



The Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations (Part 2) (Clean)

May 2026

Revision 2

Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN010168

Document Reference: EXAM/9.3

**The Infrastructure Planning (Examination Procedure) Rules 2010
Rules 8(1)(c)**

Schedule of Changes

Revision	Section Reference	Description of Changes	Reason for Revision
2	[cover]	Updated to Revision 2	As required for submission at Deadline 1.
	[throughout]	Additional RR codes added throughout where these were identified as omitted from the previous submission.	Applicant due diligence.
The Applicant notes that timetabling has been updated since the previous submission of this document. References to deadlines within the document have not been updated throughout. The Applicant confirms that Statements of Common Ground will be submitted at Deadline 2.			

Contents

1	Introduction	4
1.1	Purpose of the Document	4
1.2	Structure of the Report.....	4
2	The Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations by Theme	139
2.1	Description and DCO Process.....	139
2.2	Site Selection and Alternatives	212
2.3	Construction and Decommissioning	262
2.4	Climate Change and Energy Need.....	324
2.5	Landscape and Visual.....	362
2.6	Ecology and Biodiversity.....	431
2.7	Soils and Agriculture	526
2.8	Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage	591
2.9	Cultural Heritage	650
2.10	Air Quality	707
2.11	Socio-Economics, Recreation and Tourism	714
2.12	Noise and Vibration	786
2.13	Transport and Access	818
2.14	Human Health	930
2.15	Other Environmental Matters.....	949
2.16	Cumulative and In-Combination Effects	1029
2.17	Consultation and Engagement	1047
2.18	Community Benefit.....	1081

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose of the Document

- 1.1.1 An application (the Application) was made to the Secretary of State for Energy Security and Net Zero for a Development Consent Order (DCO) under section 37 of the Planning Act 2008 (PA 2008) for the Lime Down Solar Park (the Scheme). The Application was submitted by Lime Down Solar Park Limited (the Applicant) on 19 September 2025 and was accepted for examination on 17 October 2025. The examination into the Application is due to commence on 21 April 2026.
- 1.1.2 This document sets out the Applicant's response to the Relevant Representations (RRs) submitted by members of the public and non-statutory parties that were not responded to within the [Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations \(Part 1\)](#) [APP-009], submitted at Deadline A (Monday 9 March 2026).
- 1.1.3 The period for registering as an Interested Party through the submission of a RR ran from Thursday 30 October 2025 to Monday 12 January 2026. The Applicant has confirmed that it has complied with sections 56 and 51 of the Planning Act 2008 and Regulation 16 of the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 in respect of publicising this period.
- 1.1.4 The Planning Inspectorate (PINS) has published a total of 4,961 RRs submitted to the Examining Authority by Interested Parties relating to the Application for the Scheme. These were published on the PINS website on Wednesday 21 January and Wednesday 4 February 2026.

1.2 Structure of the Report

- 1.1.5 This document provides a response from the Applicant to the matters raised in the RRs and is structured as follows:
- **Table 1-1** sets out the list of acronyms used for management documents that form part of the Applicant's submission and may have been referred to by the Applicant in responding to RRs.
 - **Table 1-2** lists all the RRs as summarised in the [RR Examination Library](#) published by the Planning Inspectorate.
 - **Table 1-3** lists 12 additional submissions following the closure of the RR period and accepted at the discretion of the Examining Authority and responded to in this document.
- 1.1.6 References to the Application documentation are provided in accordance with the referencing system set out in the Planning Inspectorate's Lime Down Solar Park [Examination Library](#).
- 1.1.7 Revision suffixes have also been attached to any documents which, since submission, have been revised for and resubmitted by Procedural Deadline A to the Planning Inspectorate.
- 1.1.8 All documents submitted to the Planning Inspectorate on 19 September 2025 carry 'APP' within their document reference. Submissions made to the Planning

Inspectorate post-submission and during the pre-examination stage carry 'AS' instead of 'APP' within the document reference, to reflect the submission being made during the pre-examination stage. Submissions made to the Planning Inspectorate at Procedural Deadline A carry 'PDA' within the document reference.

1.1.9 **Table 1-1** List of Acronyms for Submission Documents

Acronym	Document
DCO	Development Consent Order
CR	Consultation Report (shorthand for appendices)
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
ES	Environmental Statement
BNG	Biodiversity Net Gain
FRADS	Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy
CEMP	Construction Environmental Management Plan
EPMP	Ecological Protection and Mitigation Plan
OEMP	Operational Environmental Management Plan
DS	Decommissioning Strategy
SRMP	Soil Resources Management Plan
SWMP	Site Waste Management Plan
PROWPPMP	Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan
LEMP	Landscape and Ecological Management Plan
SSCEP	Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan
BSMP	Battery Safety Management Plan
CTMP	Construction Traffic Management Plan
EqIA	Equality Impact Assessment
WRS	Water Resources Strategy

1.1.10 **Table 1-2** Relevant Representations (as summarised in the Examination Library published by the Planning Inspectorate)

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0001	A Brooke	
RR-0002	A Patel	
RR-0003	A. Steel	
RR-0004	Aaron Allaway	
RR-0005	Aaron Banham	
RR-0006	Aaron Lye	
RR-0007	Abbey Helena Vaux Goodchild	
RR-0008	Abbie Long	
RR-0009	Abi Elliott-Williams	
RR-0010	Abi Pilcher	
RR-0011	Abigail Bailes	
RR-0012	Abigail Bull	
RR-0013	Abigail Carter	
RR-0014	Abigail Lucie Dicker	
RR-0015	Abigail sherratt	
RR-0016	Ace Ahead Tutoring	
RR-0017	Adair Jane Halliday	
RR-0018	Adam Alexander Day	
RR-0019	Adam Aubrey-Rees	
RR-0020	Adam Blight	
RR-0021	Adam Carwardine	
RR-0022	Adam Collingham	
RR-0023	Adam Duffin	
RR-0024	Adam Dutton	
RR-0025	Adam Fletcher	
RR-0026	Adam Harley	
RR-0027	Adam Penrose	
RR-0028	Adam Philip Shadbolt	
RR-0029	Adam Ralph	
RR-0030	Adam Richard Fleming	
RR-0031	Adam Thoms	
RR-0032	Adam Tuckley	
RR-0033	Adele Carnegie	
RR-0034	Adele Marie Ryan	
RR-0035	Adrian Andrews	
RR-0036	Adrian Cole	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0037	Adrian Denham	
RR-0038	Adrian Derick Lickorish	
RR-0039	Adrian Eeles	
RR-0040	Adrian Gordon Marsland	
RR-0041	Adrian Hollingdrake	
RR-0042	Adrian Robson	
RR-0043	Ahmed B. A. Abdel-Rahman	
RR-0044	Aileen Hood	
RR-0045	Ailsa Hollond	
RR-0046	Aison Penn	
RR-0047	Akhil Chokra	
RR-0048	Al Clark	
RR-0049	Alain Vix	
RR-0050	Alan E K Rossiter	
RR-0051	Alan felton	
RR-0052	Alan Francis	
RR-0053	Alan Geoffrey King	
RR-0054	Alan Graham Whitworth	
RR-0055	Alan Griffiths	
RR-0056	Alan Hall	
RR-0057	Alan Hugh Townsend	
RR-0058	Alan Jubb	
RR-0059	Alan Matthew Huckin	
RR-0060	Alan Matthews	
RR-0061	Alan Morton	
RR-0062	Alan Pease	
RR-0063	Alan Raymond Smith	
RR-0064	Alan Robert Potter	
RR-0065	Alan Roy Jones	
RR-0066	Alan Victor Pugh	
RR-0067	Alasdair Boyle	
RR-0068	Alastair Beor-Roberts	
RR-0069	Alastair Davies	
RR-0070	Alastair James Mr McNeill	
RR-0071	Alastair Kemp	
RR-0072	Alastair Kenneil	
RR-0073	Alastair McLeish	
RR-0074	Alastair McNeill	
RR-0075	Alastair Palmer	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0076	Alastair Skeffington	
RR-0077	Albert George Painter	
RR-0078	Albert Payne	
RR-0079	Alberto Amati	
RR-0080	Alejandro Bengochea	
RR-0081	Alex Bethune	
RR-0082	Alex Fogwill	
RR-0083	Alex Galvin	
RR-0084	Alex Gerald	
RR-0085	Alex Godley	
RR-0086	Alex Hudd	
RR-0087	Alex Inkin	
RR-0088	Alex Johnson	
RR-0089	Alex Lee	
RR-0090	Alex Miller	
RR-0091	Alex Pollock	
RR-0092	Alex Ross	
RR-0093	Alex St John Wright	
RR-0094	Alex Sutton	
RR-0095	Alexa Peters	
RR-0096	Alexander Clayton	
RR-0097	Alexander Davies	
RR-0098	Alexander Frost	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0099	Alexander Golesworthy	
RR-0100	Alexander Guy Brudenell	
RR-0101	Alexander Henry Allman	
RR-0102	Alexander King	
RR-0103	Alexander Lawrence Shipp	
RR-0104	Alexander Leslie	
RR-0105	Alexander Mackey	
RR-0106	Alexander Maltby	
RR-0107	Alexander Millar	
RR-0108	Alexander Patrick scott	
RR-0109	Alexander Robert Instrell	
RR-0110	Alexander Scott	
RR-0111	Alexander Simon David Roche	
RR-0112	Alexander Stuart Lamoury	
RR-0113	Alexandra Bayley	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0114	Alexandra Boyd	
RR-0115	Alexandra Butler	
RR-0116	Alexandra Butler	
RR-0117	Alexandra Cole	
RR-0118	Alexandra D'Arcy Clark	
RR-0119	Alexandra Dearden Wilson	
RR-0120	Alexandra Gibson-Watt	
RR-0121	Alexandra Gravell	
RR-0122	Alexandra Harbottle	
RR-0123	Alexandra Hawker	
RR-0124	Alexandra Margaret Taylor	
RR-0125	Alexandra Mitchell	
RR-0126	Alexandra Pentecost	
RR-0127	Alexandra Seear	
RR-0128	Alexandra Seymour-Williams	
RR-0129	Alexandra Surfleet	
RR-0130	Alexine Frick	
RR-0131	Alexis Andreou	
RR-0132	Alexise Stoddart	
RR-0133	Alfie Marshall	
RR-0134	Alice Beer	
RR-0135	Alice Boldy	
RR-0136	Alice Catherine Sowerby	
RR-0137	Alice Chamberlain	
RR-0138	Alice Elizabeth Gilbert	
RR-0139	Alice Elizabeth Mary Holmes	
RR-0140	Alice Patricia WARE	
RR-0141	Alice Rymill	
RR-0142	Alice Talbot Rice	
RR-0143	Alicia Blackett	
RR-0144	Alicia Hawker	
RR-0145	Alicia Hawker Eventing Ltd	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0146	Alisha White	
RR-0147	Alison Aviss	
RR-0148	Alison Bather	
RR-0149	Alison Bisping	
RR-0150	Alison Brady	
RR-0151	Alison Bury	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0152	Alison Buxton	
RR-0153	Alison Charnock	
RR-0154	Alison Dunevein-Gordon	
RR-0155	Alison Figueiredo	
RR-0156	Alison Flintham	
RR-0157	Alison Fullerton	
RR-0158	Alison Goodall	
RR-0159	Alison Haynes	
RR-0160	Alison Hems	
RR-0161	Alison Jane Caulfield	
RR-0162	Alison Jane Mead	
RR-0163	Alison Macnee Wilkinson	
RR-0164	Alison Marie Little	
RR-0165	Alison Mary Holland	
RR-0166	Alison Murray Wells	
RR-0167	Alison Ogden	
RR-0168	Alison Palmer	
RR-0169	Alison Reed	
RR-0170	Alison Robertson	
RR-0171	Alison Shadbolt	
RR-0172	Alison Swayne	
RR-0173	Alison Townshend	
RR-0174	Alison White	
RR-0175	Alison Wyndham	
RR-0176	Alistair Fleming	
RR-0177	Alistair long	
RR-0178	Alistair Morelli	
RR-0179	Alistair Ramsay	
RR-0180	Alistair Willis	
RR-0181	Alister John Chisholm	
RR-0182	Alister Lamb	
RR-0183	Alister Mathie	
RR-0184	Alix Watson	
RR-0185	Allan Massie	
RR-0186	Allan Norman	
RR-0187	Allan Peter Moss	
RR-0188	Allison Dobson	
RR-0189	Allison Fry	
RR-0190	Allison Vizor	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0191	Alma Borthwick	
RR-0192	Alpna Brocklehurst	
RR-0193	Alyce Rushaya	
RR-0194	Alyson Grant-Thorold	
RR-0195	Amanda Bailey-Turner	
RR-0196	Amanda bush	
RR-0197	Amanda Clothier	
RR-0198	Amanda du Cros	
RR-0199	Amanda Hollingworth	
RR-0200	Amanda Hornby	
RR-0201	Amanda Hornby	
RR-0202	Amanda Jane Kendrick Preston	
RR-0203	Amanda Jane Massarella	
RR-0204	Amanda Jane Pye	
RR-0205	Amanda Lean	
RR-0206	Amanda Opperman	
RR-0207	Amanda Parrott	
RR-0208	Amanda Walker	
RR-0209	Amanda Winter	
RR-0210	Amanda Wyatt	
RR-0211	Amber Napthine	
RR-0212	Amelia Fuller	
RR-0213	Amelia Hodges	
RR-0214	Amy Bowling	
RR-0215	Amy Collingham	
RR-0216	Amy Davis	
RR-0217	Amy Dobson	
RR-0218	Amy Elizabeth Meade	
RR-0219	Amy Ephgrave	
RR-0220	Amy Geidt	
RR-0221	Amy Hannah Warren	
RR-0222	Amy Johnston	
RR-0223	Amy Kent	
RR-0224	Amy Kent Rugs Ltd	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0225	Amy West	
RR-0226	Anand Sindgi	
RR-0227	Anastasia Smart	
RR-0228	Andea Legh-Smith	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0229	Andre Kayani	
RR-0230	Andrea Bowker	
RR-0231	Andrea Bridle	
RR-0232	Andrea Hunt	
RR-0233	Andrea Julie Smith	
RR-0234	Andreea Topley	
RR-0235	Andrew Barnett	
RR-0236	Andrew Barton	
RR-0237	Andrew Battersby	
RR-0238	Andrew Bride	
RR-0239	Andrew Calderbank	
RR-0240	Andrew Carnegie	
RR-0241	Andrew Carrington	
RR-0242	Andrew Charles Munden	
RR-0243	Andrew Cole	
RR-0244	Andrew Cook	
RR-0245	Andrew Cordle	
RR-0246	Andrew Curry	
RR-0247	Andrew Dexter Maltby	
RR-0248	Andrew Ehrensperger	
RR-0249	Andrew Floyer-Acland	
RR-0250	Andrew French	
RR-0251	Andrew Graham	
RR-0252	Andrew Greenhill	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0253	Andrew Grimditch	
RR-0254	Andrew Hart	
RR-0255	Andrew Helps	
RR-0256	Andrew Hinder	
RR-0257	Andrew Holbrook	
RR-0258	Andrew Hurdle	
RR-0259	Andrew James Phillips	
RR-0260	Andrew Jarvis	
RR-0261	Andrew John Marriage	
RR-0262	Andrew John Stevens	
RR-0263	Andrew John Williams	
RR-0264	Andrew Jonathan Simms	
RR-0265	Andrew Jones	
RR-0266	Andrew Kendall	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0267	Andrew Lindsay	
RR-0268	Andrew Luggar	
RR-0269	Andrew Maclean	
RR-0270	Andrew Macpherson	
RR-0271	Andrew Michael Barber	
RR-0272	Andrew Musgrave	
RR-0273	Andrew Musty	
RR-0274	Andrew Napthine	
RR-0275	Andrew Pennell	
RR-0276	Andrew Petherick	
RR-0277	Andrew Pivars	
RR-0278	Andrew Poynton	
RR-0279	Andrew Quick	
RR-0280	Andrew Raymond Hart	
RR-0281	Andrew Robert West	
RR-0282	Andrew Rowell	
RR-0283	Andrew Shapland	
RR-0284	Andrew Shoubridge	
RR-0285	Andrew Spearman	
RR-0286	Andrew Stafford	
RR-0287	Andrew Takayuki Cassidy Kojima	
RR-0288	Andrew Towle	
RR-0289	Andrew Tremellen	
RR-0290	Andrew Tweedale	
RR-0291	Andrew Watson	
RR-0292	Andrew Weatherley	
RR-0293	Andrew West	
RR-0294	Andy Dawson	
RR-0295	Andy Turner	
RR-0296	Andy Wilkinson	
RR-0297	ANDYBUS & COACH LTD	
RR-0298	Angela Charlotte Harris	
RR-0299	Angela Crocker	
RR-0300	Angela Enthoven	
RR-0301	Angela Firth Grigsby	
RR-0302	Angela Grigg	
RR-0303	Angela Hibbard	
RR-0304	Angela Hopttoff	
RR-0305	Angela June Wicks	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0306	Angela King	
RR-0307	Angela Mattingley	
RR-0308	Angela Mitchell	
RR-0309	Angela Potter	
RR-0310	Angela Powell	
RR-0311	Angela Roberts	
RR-0312	Angela Stone	
RR-0313	Angus Fullerton	
RR-0314	Angus McLeish	
RR-0315	Anita Gillespie	
RR-0316	Anita Powell	
RR-0317	Ann Booth	
RR-0318	Ann Buxton	
RR-0319	Ann Caple	
RR-0320	Ann Dutton	
RR-0321	Ann Hawker	
RR-0322	Ann Kershaw-Hudd	
RR-0323	Ann Marguerite Sparke	
RR-0324	Ann Oliver	
RR-0325	Ann Puce	
RR-0326	Ann Roberts-Phare	
RR-0327	Ann Sideras	
RR-0328	Ann Skinner	
RR-0329	Ann Sneyd	
RR-0330	Ann Sturgis	
RR-0331	Ann Summers	
RR-0332	Ann Taylor	
RR-0333	Ann Wilson	
RR-0334	Anna Bloom	
RR-0335	Anna Fullerton	
RR-0336	Anna Goodman-Slender	
RR-0337	Anna Hill	
RR-0338	Anna Ingram	
RR-0339	Anna Kate Fuller	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0340	Anna Layton	
RR-0341	Anna Louise J McMeekin	
RR-0342	Anna Maria Pitt	Response provided at Deadline A

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0343	Anna Mead	
RR-0344	Anna Mitchell	
RR-0345	Anna Pitt-Stanley	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0346	Anna Stevens	
RR-0347	Anna Tipper	
RR-0348	Anna Wiles	
RR-0349	Annabel Alban-Jones	
RR-0350	Annabel Buik	
RR-0351	Annabel Clifton	
RR-0352	Annabel Delisle	
RR-0353	Annabel Hood	
RR-0354	Annabel Llewellen Palmer	
RR-0355	Annabella Hassan	
RR-0356	Annabella Lucy	
RR-0357	Annalisa Owers	
RR-0358	Anna-Louise Williams	
RR-0359	Anne Bouet	
RR-0360	Anne Carr-Jones	
RR-0361	Anne Chapman	
RR-0362	Anne Clilverd	
RR-0363	Anne Cole	
RR-0364	Anne Cox	
RR-0365	Anne Durrant	
RR-0366	Anne King	
RR-0367	Anne Louise Dod	
RR-0368	Anne Margaret Croft	
RR-0369	Anne Marie Murphy	
RR-0370	Anne Marjorie Horswill	
RR-0371	Anne McClean	
RR-0372	Anne Norbury	
RR-0373	Anne Roberts	
RR-0374	Anne Waite	
RR-0375	Anne Weaver	
RR-0376	Anne Werner	
RR-0377	Anne Whittle	
RR-0378	Annemarie Farrington	
RR-0379	Anne-Marie Magee	
RR-0380	AnneMarie Prtichett	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0381	Annette Catherine	
RR-0382	Annette Ewence	
RR-0383	Annette Lesley Bains	
RR-0384	Annette Mackie	
RR-0385	Annie Jo Morgan	
RR-0386	Annie Willett	
RR-0387	Anthea Emmet	
RR-0388	Anthony Bather	
RR-0389	Anthony Blezard	
RR-0390	Anthony Bosaw	
RR-0391	Anthony Bugg	
RR-0392	Anthony Carter	
RR-0393	Anthony Champion	
RR-0394	Anthony Clive Morgan	
RR-0395	Anthony Desmond Weedon	
RR-0396	Anthony Hargrave	
RR-0397	Anthony Herbert	
RR-0398	Anthony Hibbard	
RR-0399	Anthony Jaffe	
RR-0400	Anthony Kerr	
RR-0401	Anthony King	
RR-0402	Anthony Lawrence Walron Kellett	
RR-0403	Anthony Paul Marriott	
RR-0404	Anthony Price	
RR-0405	Anthony Snook	
RR-0406	Anthony St George Gribbon	
RR-0407	Anthony Wood	
RR-0408	Antonia Moffatt	
RR-0409	Antonia Pitman	
RR-0410	Antonia Tucker	
RR-0411	Antony Brassey	
RR-0412	Antony Craven Walker	
RR-0413	Antony Glass	
RR-0414	Antony James Mortimore	
RR-0415	Antony Massarella	
RR-0416	Antony Richard Taylor	
RR-0417	Antony Young	
RR-0418	Arabella Dear	
RR-0419	Arabella J F Perry	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0420	Arabella McEntegart	
RR-0421	Arabella West	
RR-0422	Archie Bagnall	
RR-0423	Archie John Lord	
RR-0424	Archie Robert Gibb	
RR-0425	Archie Roche	
RR-0426	Archie Webb	
RR-0427	Arne Barker	
RR-0428	Aron Hodges	
RR-0429	Arthur Grun	
RR-0430	Ashley Stopforth	
RR-0431	Ashton Hawker	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0432	Associate Professor Neil Thompson	
RR-0433	Athol William Wiggins	
RR-0434	Atlantis AV Solutions Ltd	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0435	Audrey Bloomfield	
RR-0436	Audrey Grandison	
RR-0437	Aurora Eastwood	
RR-0438	Avis Ball	
RR-0439	Avril Swift	
RR-0440	B (Mr) Hollingworth	
RR-0441	Barbara Baggs	
RR-0442	Barbara Hervey	
RR-0443	Barbara koenig	
RR-0444	Barbara Rutley	
RR-0445	Barbara Scott	
RR-0446	Barbara Sillence	
RR-0447	Barnaby Hodgson	
RR-0448	Barnaby Janes	
RR-0449	Barney Buik	
RR-0450	Barrie Harvey	
RR-0451	Barry D Rose	
RR-0452	Barry Hayward	
RR-0453	Barry Williams	
RR-0454	barry yuill	
RR-0455	Bath and North East Somerset Council	Response provided at Deadline A

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0456	BDP Imports Holdings Limited	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0457	Beatrice Ferrand	
RR-0458	Beatrice Rose Chapman-Kelly	
RR-0459	Beatrice Temmink	
RR-0460	Beatrice Williams	
RR-0461	Becky Sainsbury	
RR-0462	Bee Wade	
RR-0463	Belinda Aspinall	
RR-0464	Belinda Caroline Boyd	
RR-0465	Belinda Holley	
RR-0466	Belinda Ralph	
RR-0467	Belinda Robinson	
RR-0468	Ben Carless	
RR-0469	Ben Carruthers	
RR-0470	Ben Fuller	
RR-0471	Ben Goldfinch	
RR-0472	Ben Greenwood	
RR-0473	Ben Keswick	
RR-0474	Ben Long	
RR-0475	Ben Mander	
RR-0476	Ben Meheust	
RR-0477	Ben Russell	
RR-0478	Ben Scott Thomas	
RR-0479	Ben Smee	
RR-0480	Ben Swarbrick	
RR-0481	Benedicte Nicolas	
RR-0482	Benjamin Bartlett	
RR-0483	Benjamin Charles Wakefield	
RR-0484	Benjamin Darling	
RR-0485	Benjamin Edward Worsley	
RR-0486	Benjamin Paul James	
RR-0487	Benjamin Powell	
RR-0488	Benjamin Wilson	
RR-0489	Bernard Bate	
RR-0490	Bernard Henry Ingham	
RR-0491	Bernard Howard Twist	
RR-0492	Beryl Foster	
RR-0493	Beth Hendry	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0494	Beth Taylor	
RR-0495	Beth Wood	
RR-0496	Bethan Bayley	
RR-0497	Bethan McLeish	
RR-0498	Bethany Kemp	
RR-0499	beverley brooks	
RR-0500	Beverley Hobbs	
RR-0501	Beverley Lee	
RR-0502	Beverly Jane Hill	
RR-0503	Beverly Louise Osullivan	
RR-0504	Biddestone and Slaughterford Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0505	Big Bull Storage limited	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0506	Bill Breakspear	
RR-0507	Bill Corry	
RR-0508	Bill Jackson	
RR-0509	Bill Lang	
RR-0510	Billy Whitaker	
RR-0511	Blake Gordon	
RR-0512	Bob Blackwell	
RR-0513	Bob Wharton	
RR-0514	Bonnie Dean	
RR-0515	Bowleys Cottage	
RR-0516	Bracey	
RR-0517	Brad Kington	
RR-0518	Bradley Hamblin	
RR-0519	Bradley James Key	
RR-0520	Brenda Corbin	
RR-0521	Brenda Harrison	
RR-0522	Brenda Margaret Foot	
RR-0523	Brenda Mellor	
RR-0524	Brendan Leo McCarron	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0525	Brendan Pocock	
RR-0526	Brendon Hope	
RR-0527	Brian Edward Gore	
RR-0528	Brian Francis Roberts	
RR-0529	Brian Gibbs	
RR-0530	Brian Goswell	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0531	Brian Healy	
RR-0532	Brian Hugh Douglas Cuming	
RR-0533	Brian James	
RR-0534	Brian John Hammond	
RR-0535	Brian John Holmes	
RR-0536	Brian Lees	
RR-0537	Brian Leitch	
RR-0538	Brian O'Rourke	
RR-0539	Brian Shipp	
RR-0540	Bridget Ann Williams	
RR-0541	Bridget Cross	
RR-0542	Bridget Marie Gregory	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0543	Bridgette Ramsay	
RR-0544	Briony Gibb	
RR-0545	Britta carpigo	
RR-0546	Britta Stephan	
RR-0547	Brokenborough Parish Council	
RR-0548	Broley Household	
RR-0549	Brown	
RR-0550	Brownhill Nurseries Ltd.	
RR-0551	Bruce Davidson	
RR-0552	Bruce Douglas	
RR-0553	Bruce Whiting	
RR-0554	Bryan Roger Osborne	
RR-0555	Bryan Waller	
RR-0556	Bryna Barrett	
RR-0557	Brynley David Stew	
RR-0558	Brynley Ronald Rossiter	
RR-0559	Caerys Merryweather	
RR-0560	Caitlin Kemp	
RR-0561	Callum Tully	
RR-0562	Calum Gordon Price	
RR-0563	Calvin David Venn	
RR-0564	Cameron Hussey	
RR-0565	Camilla Acloque	
RR-0566	Camilla Balmer	
RR-0567	Camilla Bartrop	
RR-0568	Camilla Ellison-Smith	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0569	Camilla Flach	
RR-0570	Camilla Hipwood	
RR-0571	Camilla Hodges	
RR-0572	Camilla Jackson	
RR-0573	Camilla Keveth	
RR-0574	Camilla Kirwan	
RR-0575	Camilla Luard	
RR-0576	Campaign to protect Rural England Wiltshire Branch	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0577	Campbell Ritchie	
RR-0578	Candyce Samantha Brooks	
RR-0579	Caren Burnett	
RR-0580	Carey Smith	
RR-0581	Carl D Moore	
RR-0582	Carl Watson	
RR-0583	Carla Parkes	
RR-0584	Carleen Blundell	
RR-0585	Carly Hill	
RR-0586	Carol Armstrong	
RR-0587	Carol Clift	
RR-0588	Carol Elizabeth Adrienne Paton	
RR-0589	Carol Elizabeth Johnson	
RR-0590	Carol Elizabeth Turner	
RR-0591	Carol Fry	
RR-0592	Carol Hinwood	
RR-0593	Carol Monica Miller	
RR-0594	Carol Pearce	
RR-0595	Carol Plumridge	
RR-0596	Carol Potts	
RR-0597	Carole Baker	
RR-0598	Carole Barber	
RR-0599	Carole Mortimer	
RR-0600	Carole Stott	
RR-0601	Caroline Andrews	
RR-0602	Caroline Appelbe	
RR-0603	Caroline Bance	
RR-0604	Caroline Chambers	
RR-0605	Caroline Clark	Response provided at Deadline A

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0606	Caroline Cullimore	
RR-0607	Caroline Darling	
RR-0608	Caroline de Blocq van Kuffeler	
RR-0609	Caroline Deakin	
RR-0610	Caroline Eames	
RR-0611	Caroline Fish	
RR-0612	Caroline Freeth	
RR-0613	Caroline Glass	
RR-0614	Caroline Hare	
RR-0615	Caroline Heron	
RR-0616	Caroline Itoh	
RR-0617	Caroline Jerram	
RR-0618	Caroline Law	
RR-0619	Caroline McShane	
RR-0620	Caroline Moore	
RR-0621	Caroline Patton	
RR-0622	Caroline Pitman	
RR-0623	Caroline Potter	
RR-0624	Caroline Purdon	
RR-0625	Caroline Quinton	
RR-0626	Caroline Rich	
RR-0627	Caroline Robertson	
RR-0628	Caroline Russell	
RR-0629	Caroline S Luxton	
RR-0630	Caroline Seward	
RR-0631	Caroline Sharp	
RR-0632	Caroline Wilson	
RR-0633	Caroline Winwood	
RR-0634	Caroline Wood	
RR-0635	Caroline Woodcock	
RR-0636	Carolyn Andrea Gardner	
RR-0637	Carolyn Carter	
RR-0638	Carolyn Downes	
RR-0639	Carolyn Munn	
RR-0640	Carolyn Perchard	
RR-0641	Caron Williams	
RR-0642	Carrie St John Wright	
RR-0643	Carrie White	
RR-0644	Cassie Maslen	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0645	Castle Combe Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0646	Catharine O'Neill	
RR-0647	Catherine Adele Ayliffe	
RR-0648	Catherine Anna O'Dwyer	
RR-0649	Catherine Anne Corner	
RR-0650	Catherine Armstrong	
RR-0651	Catherine Collingborn	
RR-0652	Catherine Coverdale	
RR-0653	Catherine Fleet	
RR-0654	Catherine Gallacher	
RR-0655	Catherine Goodwin	
RR-0656	Catherine Hamblin	
RR-0657	Catherine Humphrey	
RR-0658	Catherine Jobson	
RR-0659	Catherine Kearton	
RR-0660	Catherine King	
RR-0661	Catherine Liddington	
RR-0662	Catherine Marr	
RR-0663	Catherine Marsh	
RR-0664	Catherine Martin	
RR-0665	Catherine Mary Burgess	
RR-0666	Catherine McIntyre	
RR-0667	Catherine Prysor-Jones	
RR-0668	Catherine Read	
RR-0669	Catherine Sally Sedgwick de Pradier d'Agrain	
RR-0670	Catherine Sanderson	
RR-0671	Catherine Stephens	
RR-0672	Cathrine Hanks	
RR-0673	Cathy Nix	
RR-0674	Catriona Aspray	
RR-0675	Catrona Magee	
RR-0676	Caty Tappin	
RR-0677	Celia Ford	
RR-0678	Celia Margaret Ryall	
RR-0679	Celia Massie	
RR-0680	Celia Stewart	
RR-0681	Celia Wright	
RR-0682	Celina Gordon	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0683	Ceri Gascoyne	
RR-0684	Chamberlain Nigel	
RR-0685	Chamberlains	
RR-0686	Chantal Bryan	
RR-0687	Chard Family	
RR-0688	Charles Caddick	
RR-0689	Charles Collins	
RR-0690	Charles Edward Cook	
RR-0691	Charles Edward Pouget	
RR-0692	Charles Fellows	
RR-0693	Charles Floyd	
RR-0694	Charles Hibbard	
RR-0695	Charles Levinson	
RR-0696	Charles Lucas	
RR-0697	Charles Martin Fielding Scott	
RR-0698	Charles Orchard	
RR-0699	Charles Oscar Graves Puddick	
RR-0700	Charles Phillips	
RR-0701	Charles Ponting	
RR-0702	Charles Purkess	
RR-0703	Charles Quick	
RR-0704	Charles Ralph	
RR-0705	Charles Rickett	
RR-0706	Charles Robin Walker	
RR-0707	Charles Rodwell	
RR-0708	Charles Scott	
RR-0709	Charles Sebastian Lawrence Mills	
RR-0710	Charles Stagg	
RR-0711	Charles Whittle	
RR-0712	Charles Wilfred Burkinshaw	
RR-0713	Charles William Ferrand	
RR-0714	Charles William Gould	
RR-0715	Charlie Brougham	
RR-0716	Charlie Eaton	
RR-0717	Charlie Pickman	
RR-0718	Charlie Robert Graham	
RR-0719	Charlie Tuke-Hastings	
RR-0720	Charlie West	
RR-0721	Charlotte Bullock	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0722	Charlotte Cantle	
RR-0723	Charlotte Cutcher	
RR-0724	Charlotte Day	
RR-0725	Charlotte Elizabeth Claire Clasen	
RR-0726	Charlotte Forbes-Robertson	
RR-0727	Charlotte French	
RR-0728	Charlotte Ljungman	
RR-0729	Charlotte Lydia Elliott	
RR-0730	Charlotte Malliff	
RR-0731	Charlotte Reeves	
RR-0732	Charlotte Smith	
RR-0733	Charlotte Swadling	
RR-0734	Charlotte Twelves	
RR-0735	Charlotte Williams	
RR-0736	Charlotte Woolcott-Antunes	
RR-0737	Cherry Boulter	
RR-0738	Cheryl Green	
RR-0739	Chessie Lamb	
RR-0740	Chiara Dottorini McCormack	
RR-0741	Chiara McCormack	
RR-0742	Chippenham Without Parish Council	
RR-0743	Chloe Abigail Mort	
RR-0744	Chloe Bartlett	
RR-0745	Chloe Longdin	
RR-0746	Chloe Meade	
RR-0747	Chloe Weaver	
RR-0748	Chloe Williams-Wynne	
RR-0749	Chloe Withers	
RR-0750	Chris Atwell	
RR-0751	Chris Chubb	
RR-0752	Chris Enderby	
RR-0753	Chris Fenton	
RR-0754	Chris Gascoyne	
RR-0755	Chris Groves	
RR-0756	Chris hennessy	
RR-0757	Chris Hockley	
RR-0758	Chris Howse	
RR-0759	Chris Mills	
RR-0760	Chris Munn	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0761	Chris Naughton	
RR-0762	Chris Pedler	
RR-0763	Chris Smith	
RR-0764	Chris Vickery	
RR-0765	Chris Webb	
RR-0766	Christian de Coulon	
RR-0767	Christian Robbins	
RR-0768	Christiane Winifred Bailey	
RR-0769	Christina Belloc Lowndes	
RR-0770	Christina Cleaver	
RR-0771	Christina Hucker	
RR-0772	Christina Jodrell	
RR-0773	Christina Joyce	
RR-0774	Christina Pattie	
RR-0775	Christina Staff	
RR-0776	Christine Alice Shipp	
RR-0777	Christine Butterfield	
RR-0778	Christine Clark	
RR-0779	Christine Dagger	
RR-0780	Christine Davis	
RR-0781	Christine Elizabeth Kitchen	
RR-0782	Christine Hamilton	
RR-0783	Christine Helen Boyle	
RR-0784	Christine Hobson	
RR-0785	Christine Joy Bowler	
RR-0786	Christine Juson	
RR-0787	Christine King	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0788	Christine Lane	
RR-0789	Christine Longdin	
RR-0790	Christine Martin	
RR-0791	Christine Massey	
RR-0792	Christine McAleavy	
RR-0793	Christine Moore	
RR-0794	Christine Morton Macnee	
RR-0795	Christine Newman	
RR-0796	Christine Partington	
RR-0797	Christine Ratcliffe	
RR-0798	Christine Richings	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0799	Christine Robbins	
RR-0800	Christine Scott	
RR-0801	Christine Sherman	
RR-0802	Christine Spencer	
RR-0803	Christine Stone	
RR-0804	Christine White	
RR-0805	Christopher Beazley	
RR-0806	Christopher Berresford	
RR-0807	Christopher Birdwood	
RR-0808	Christopher Blackmore	
RR-0809	Christopher Blount	
RR-0810	Christopher Cornell	
RR-0811	Christopher Davies	
RR-0812	Christopher Gale	
RR-0813	Christopher Harding	
RR-0814	Christopher Harper	
RR-0815	Christopher Hugo Birdwood	
RR-0816	Christopher Instrell	
RR-0817	Christopher Jack	
RR-0818	Christopher James Bullman	
RR-0819	Christopher James Maynard	
RR-0820	Christopher Jenkin	
RR-0821	Christopher Jerram	
RR-0822	Christopher John Rawlinson	
RR-0823	Christopher Johnson	
RR-0824	Christopher Koscielny	
RR-0825	Christopher Lees	
RR-0826	Christopher Maggs	
RR-0827	Christopher Mark Winter	
RR-0828	Christopher Martyn Meade	
RR-0829	Christopher Maxwell	
RR-0830	Christopher Michael Eddison-Cogan	
RR-0831	Christopher Morgan	
RR-0832	Christopher Parker	
RR-0833	Christopher Parmiter	
RR-0834	Christopher Potts	
RR-0835	Christopher Raymond Perrin	
RR-0836	Christopher Robert Pickthall	
RR-0837	Christopher Rodwell	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0838	Christopher Rose	
RR-0839	Christopher Samuel Pitman	
RR-0840	Christopher Seaton	
RR-0841	Christopher Smith	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0842	Christopher Smith	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0843	Christopher stokes	
RR-0844	Christopher Tuite	
RR-0845	Christopher Vittle	
RR-0846	Christopher Vowles	
RR-0847	Christopher Walker	
RR-0848	Christopher White	
RR-0849	christopher young	
RR-0850	Claerwen Green	
RR-0851	Clair Hussey	
RR-0852	Claire Allen	
RR-0853	Claire Burgess	
RR-0854	Claire Cooper	
RR-0855	Claire Davies	
RR-0856	Claire de Giles	
RR-0857	Claire Denise Pendle	
RR-0858	Claire Dobson	
RR-0859	Claire Dutton-Forshaw	
RR-0860	Claire Erasmus	
RR-0861	Claire Hafield	
RR-0862	Claire Hoad	
RR-0863	Claire Jobbins	
RR-0864	Claire Jordan	
RR-0865	Claire Louise Mays	
RR-0866	Claire Madeley	
RR-0867	Claire Marcia Bowles	
RR-0868	Claire McLoughlin-Gardner	
RR-0869	Claire Nelson	
RR-0870	Claire Pearse	
RR-0871	Claire Robinson	
RR-0872	Claire salthouse	
RR-0873	Claire Sidhu	
RR-0874	Claire Sixt	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0875	Claire Smith	
RR-0876	Claire Walmsley-Moss	
RR-0877	Clancy Chamberlain	
RR-0878	Clare Adams	
RR-0879	Clare Beazley	
RR-0880	Clare Bullock	
RR-0881	Clare Capstick-Dale	
RR-0882	Clare Crossley	
RR-0883	Clare Davidson	
RR-0884	Clare Foster	
RR-0885	Clare Legg	
RR-0886	Clare Louise Eaton	
RR-0887	Clare Louise Peacock	
RR-0888	Clare Luck	
RR-0889	Clare Macnamara	
RR-0890	Clare Robinson	
RR-0891	Clare Seymour	
RR-0892	Clare Smith	
RR-0893	Clare Stanton	
RR-0894	Clare Stokes	
RR-0895	Clare Wadsworth	
RR-0896	Clare Walker	
RR-0897	Clare Ward	
RR-0898	Clarissa Elizabeth Heather Boys	
RR-0899	Clarissa Mitchell	
RR-0900	Clark Farms	
RR-0901	Clemmie Jackson	
RR-0902	Clifford Martin Daws	
RR-0903	Clifford Richard Maurice Noonan	
RR-0904	Clio Louisa Hare	
RR-0905	Clive Bishop	
RR-0906	Clive Blackman	
RR-0907	Clive Chamberlain	
RR-0908	Clive Gerrard	
RR-0909	Clive Morris	
RR-0910	Clive Nicholls	
RR-0911	Clive Renton	
RR-0912	Clive Robert Halden	
RR-0913	Clive Wells	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0914	Cllr Jon Atkey	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0915	Clubs4Kids Ltd	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0916	Clyde Stanley Burgess	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0917	Colin Bailey	
RR-0918	Colin Barber	
RR-0919	Colin Barksby	
RR-0920	Colin Batchelor	
RR-0921	Colin Coles	
RR-0922	Colin Duncan	
RR-0923	Colin Frederick Whittaker Hope	
RR-0924	Colin Legge	
RR-0925	Colin Lewis	
RR-0926	Colin Low	
RR-0927	Colin M King	
RR-0928	Colin Maunton	
RR-0929	Colin Napton	
RR-0930	Colin Pearce	
RR-0931	Colin Rae	
RR-0932	Colin Spurway	
RR-0933	Colin Wootton	
RR-0934	Colleen Smith	
RR-0935	Community Action: Whitley and Shaw (CAWS)	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0936	Coral Murphy	
RR-0937	Cordelia Hockin	
RR-0938	Corinna Ferreira	
RR-0939	Cormac Pritchett	
RR-0940	Cornelius Hanraads	
RR-0941	Corsham Town Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0942	Costanza Villani	
RR-0943	Cotswold edge riding club	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0944	Cotswolds National Landscape Board	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0945	Courtney Hamilton-Foad	
RR-0946	Craig Fuller Property S&A Ltd	
RR-0947	Craig Hayter	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0948	Craig King	
RR-0949	Craig Lance Newton Fuller	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0950	Cressida Bazeley	
RR-0951	Crystal Foster	
RR-0952	Cynthia Llewellyn Palmer Trust	
RR-0953	Daisy Hatfield	
RR-0954	Dale Hicks	
RR-0955	Dan Pritchett	
RR-0956	Daniel Butler	
RR-0957	Daniel Evans	
RR-0958	Daniel Martin	
RR-0959	Daniel Perchard	
RR-0960	Daniel Peter Warren	
RR-0961	Daniel preece	
RR-0962	Daniel Prescott	
RR-0963	Daniel Thomas Watling	
RR-0964	Danielle Ahearne	
RR-0965	Danielle Davis	
RR-0966	Danielle Juson	
RR-0967	Danny Firth	
RR-0968	Danny Robinson	
RR-0969	Danya Walker	
RR-0970	Daphne Marchington	
RR-0971	Darcy smith	
RR-0972	Darren Biss	
RR-0973	Darren Molyneux	
RR-0974	Darren Philpott	
RR-0975	Darren Ramsay	
RR-0976	Darren Rawlings	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0977	Darren walsh	
RR-0978	Darren Waring	
RR-0979	Dauntsey Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-0980	Dave Bankhead	
RR-0981	Dave Irvine	
RR-0982	Dave Nelson	
RR-0983	Davey Group	
RR-0984	David Abbott	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-0985	David Allen	
RR-0986	David Aspin	
RR-0987	David Bailey	
RR-0988	David Bartlett	
RR-0989	David Boldy	
RR-0990	David Bond	
RR-0991	David Boydell	
RR-0992	David Boyle	
RR-0993	David Brierley	
RR-0994	David Butler	
RR-0995	David Cardale	
RR-0996	David Carter	
RR-0997	David Christopher Rawlings	
RR-0998	David Clarke	
RR-0999	David Coleman	
RR-1000	David Coleman	
RR-1001	David Colin White	
RR-1002	David Cornell	
RR-1003	David Craig Copeland	
RR-1004	David Di Claudio	
RR-1005	David Dunn	
RR-1006	David Else	
RR-1007	David Eric Winwood / Joyce Cynthia Winwood	
RR-1008	David Faraday	
RR-1009	David Gardner	
RR-1010	David Gibbons	
RR-1011	David Gyle-Thompson	
RR-1012	David Hancock	
RR-1013	David Hanks	
RR-1014	David Hawking	
RR-1015	David Head	
RR-1016	David Henry Jones	
RR-1017	David Hide	
RR-1018	David Hill	
RR-1019	David Holmes	
RR-1020	David Horn	
RR-1021	David Hudson	
RR-1022	David Humphrey	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1023	David Hunt	
RR-1024	David Ian Cooper	
RR-1025	David J Grigsby	
RR-1026	David Jarvis	
RR-1027	David Jenkins	
RR-1028	David John Curry	
RR-1029	David John Jago	
RR-1030	David John Madders	
RR-1031	David John Marsden LEWIS	
RR-1032	David John Mee	
RR-1033	David John Webb	
RR-1034	David Jones	
RR-1035	David Jones	
RR-1036	David Joseph Sneyd	
RR-1037	David K Gurtler	
RR-1038	David Keen	
RR-1039	David Kemp	
RR-1040	David Kenneth Beck	
RR-1041	David Killinger	
RR-1042	David Legg	
RR-1043	David Longridge	
RR-1044	David Lott	
RR-1045	David Mathew Childs	
RR-1046	David Maurice Curties	
RR-1047	David Mays	
RR-1048	David Miller	
RR-1049	David Morgan-Brown	
RR-1050	David Niall MacArthur Campbell	
RR-1051	David Norman Peek	
RR-1052	David Palgrave	
RR-1053	David Peter Malpas	
RR-1054	David Pinder	
RR-1055	David Pitt	
RR-1056	David Pynn	
RR-1057	David Randolph	
RR-1058	David Richards	
RR-1059	David Rivers	
RR-1060	David Robert Hawkins	
RR-1061	David Robinson	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1062	David Rose	
RR-1063	David Round	
RR-1064	David Sharp	
RR-1065	David Shephard	
RR-1066	David Simpson	
RR-1067	David Steele	
RR-1068	David Tappin	
RR-1069	David Taylor	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1070	David Webb	
RR-1071	David West	
RR-1072	David Whitley	
RR-1073	David Williams	
RR-1074	David Wood	
RR-1075	Davina Longsdon	
RR-1076	Davina St Clair Wain	
RR-1077	Davina sturgis	
RR-1078	Dawn Duo	
RR-1079	Dawn Elaine Watson	
RR-1080	Dawn Havron	
RR-1081	Dawn Jeanette Copeland	
RR-1082	Dawn Tiley	
RR-1083	Dawn Wiggins	
RR-1084	Dean Alan Roy Cottey	
RR-1085	Dean Elwood	
RR-1086	Dean Mark Tedds	
RR-1087	Dean Pendry	
RR-1088	Deb Credicott	
RR-1089	Debbie Billett	
RR-1090	Debbie Partridge	
RR-1091	Debbie Smallman	
RR-1092	Debbie Smith	
RR-1093	Debbie Welch	
RR-1094	Debbie Winter	
RR-1095	Debby Gregory	
RR-1096	Deborah Ackerley	
RR-1097	Deborah Anne Shipp	
RR-1098	Deborah Anne Wootton	
RR-1099	Deborah Bartlett	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1100	Deborah Burgess	
RR-1101	Deborah Emerson	
RR-1102	Deborah Fitzcharles	
RR-1103	Deborah Gillingham	
RR-1104	Deborah Gray	
RR-1105	Deborah Helen Clogg	
RR-1106	Deborah Klinkenberg	
RR-1107	Deborah Magson	
RR-1108	Deborah Meredith	
RR-1109	Deborah Napthine	
RR-1110	Deborah Radford	
RR-1111	Deborah Rix	
RR-1112	Deborah Schofield	
RR-1113	Deborah Simms	
RR-1114	Deborah Snook	
RR-1115	Deborah Tomalin	
RR-1116	Deborah Tuite	
RR-1117	Debra Amos	
RR-1118	Debra Fellows	
RR-1119	Debra Gregory	
RR-1120	Debra Hargreaves	
RR-1121	Debra Lewis	
RR-1122	Declan Baseley	
RR-1123	Dee Maslen	
RR-1124	Deena Higgins	
RR-1125	Defence Infrastructure Organisation	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1126	Deirdre Bond	
RR-1127	Deirdre Booty	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1128	Deirdre McDonald	
RR-1129	Denise Meakins	
RR-1130	Denise Richards	
RR-1131	Dennis Terence Anscombe	
RR-1132	Dennis Webb	
RR-1133	Derek Baker	
RR-1134	Derek Colin Johnson	
RR-1135	Derek Edward Rundell	
RR-1136	Derek Flanagan	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1137	Derek Norman morgan	
RR-1138	Derek Sanderson	
RR-1139	Derek Stoneman	
RR-1140	Derek William Warren	
RR-1141	Derek Williams	
RR-1142	Dermot Farrington	
RR-1143	Derry Connors	
RR-1144	Di Thomas	
RR-1145	Diana Boulton	
RR-1146	Diana Carole Kirby	
RR-1147	Diana Chappell	
RR-1148	Diana D'Arcy	
RR-1149	Diana Elizabeth Harcourt	
RR-1150	Diana Evans	
RR-1151	Diana Gray	
RR-1152	Diana Isabel Guilbert	
RR-1153	Diana Mary Silvey	
RR-1154	Diana Matthews	
RR-1155	Diana Paget	
RR-1156	Diana R Wilson	
RR-1157	Diana Reagh Wilkinson	
RR-1158	Diana Rodwell	
RR-1159	Diane Bray	
RR-1160	Diane Fosbrook	
RR-1161	Diane Hamblin	
RR-1162	Diane Jackson	
RR-1163	Diane Seymour-Williams	
RR-1164	Dianomi PLC	
RR-1165	Diarmuid ODoherty	
RR-1166	DIBflowers	
RR-1167	Dick Jeffcoate	
RR-1168	Dilys Nursaw	
RR-1169	Dirk Johnson	
RR-1170	Dominic Holmes	
RR-1171	Dominic Johnson-Greening	
RR-1172	Dominic Michael Mallin	
RR-1173	Dominic Sunderland	
RR-1174	Dominic Ventham	
RR-1175	Don Queen	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1176	Donald Adrian Lord	
RR-1177	Donald baker	
RR-1178	Donald Grahame Fuller	
RR-1179	Donald Ian Blackmore	
RR-1180	Donald Kent	
RR-1181	Donald Peter Smith	
RR-1182	Donald Turnbull	
RR-1183	Donald Webster	
RR-1184	Donna Murray	
RR-1185	Doreen Anne Pattison	
RR-1186	Doreen Jevons	
RR-1187	Doreen Wing	
RR-1188	Dorothea Willis	
RR-1189	Dorothy Webb	
RR-1190	Dorset & Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1191	Douglas Johnston	
RR-1192	Douglas Matthews	
RR-1193	Douglas McLeish	
RR-1194	Douglas Ralph Price	
RR-1195	Dr Anke Lehmkuhl	
RR-1196	Dr Bernard James Rawlings	
RR-1197	Dr Brian Hazleman	
RR-1198	Dr Brian W Darvell	
RR-1199	Dr Christopher Beeby	
RR-1200	Dr Colin Mason	
RR-1201	Dr David Mark Jackson	
RR-1202	Dr James Bartlett	
RR-1203	Dr Jason Mark Yon	
RR-1204	Dr John McCormack	
RR-1205	Dr Lawrence Charles Kitchen	
RR-1206	Dr P Howard	
RR-1207	Dr Pauline E McNeil	
RR-1208	Dr Sally A Campbell	
RR-1209	Dr. Paul W. Howey	
RR-1210	Dr. Sidney Jevons	
RR-1211	Dudley Hewitt	
RR-1212	Duncan Buchanan	
RR-1213	Duncan Flack	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1214	Duncan Hall	
RR-1215	Duncan Milne	
RR-1216	Duncan Swift	
RR-1217	Dylan Russell	
RR-1218	E (Ms) Kirkby	
RR-1219	E Denny	
RR-1220	Easton Grey Parish Meeting	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1221	EATDRINKSLEEP	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1222	Ed Brandley	
RR-1223	Ed Littlewood	
RR-1224	Ed Worsley	
RR-1225	Eddie Robinson	
RR-1226	Edelgard Chivers	
RR-1227	Edward Andrew Perronet Sells	
RR-1228	Edward Aspinall	
RR-1229	Edward Bailey	
RR-1230	Edward Benjamin de Giles	
RR-1231	Edward Benson	
RR-1232	Edward Blackett	
RR-1233	Edward Bolton	
RR-1234	Edward Crossley	
RR-1235	Edward Francis Rowland Threlfall	
RR-1236	Edward Geidt	
RR-1237	Edward Gibson-Watt	
RR-1238	Edward Grant piers trehearne	
RR-1239	Edward H Stevens	
RR-1240	Edward Hadrill	
RR-1241	Edward Hall	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1242	Edward Harford	
RR-1243	Edward Hawke	
RR-1244	Edward Hendy	
RR-1245	Edward Hodson	
RR-1246	Edward Holman	
RR-1247	Edward J Lippiatt	
RR-1248	Edward King	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1249	Edward Leighfield	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1250	Edward Mawle	
RR-1251	Edward Newton	
RR-1252	Edward Penrose	
RR-1253	Edward Pitman	
RR-1254	Edward Riddington	
RR-1255	Edward Stephens	
RR-1256	Edward Stoddart	
RR-1257	Edward Tremayne	
RR-1258	Edward Warner	
RR-1259	Edward Watson	
RR-1260	Edward Waugh	
RR-1261	Edward Woolf	
RR-1262	Edwina D'Arcy Rice	
RR-1263	Eileen Guy	
RR-1264	Elaine Ann Jefferis	
RR-1265	Elaine Chamberlain	
RR-1266	Elaine Dony	
RR-1267	Elaine Forrest	
RR-1268	Elaine Naughton	
RR-1269	Elaine Scott	
RR-1270	Elaine Vaughan	
RR-1271	Eleanor Adye	
RR-1272	Eleanor Stevens	
RR-1273	Eleanor Webster	
RR-1274	Elecia Staveley	
RR-1275	Elen Simms	
RR-1276	Elinor Wood	
RR-1277	Elisabeth Amanda Dobson	
RR-1278	Elisabeth F Cheshire	
RR-1279	Elisabeth Innes	
RR-1280	Elisabeth Johnson	
RR-1281	Elisabeth Perrott	
RR-1282	Elisabeth SiÂN Dodds	
RR-1283	Elise Harvey	
RR-1284	Elissa Bucher	
RR-1285	Elissa Rospigliosi	
RR-1286	Eliza Louisa Gibb	
RR-1287	Eliza Roche	
RR-1288	Eliza Scrope	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1289	Elizabeth Alexdandria Johnson	
RR-1290	Elizabeth Allum	
RR-1291	Elizabeth Ann Cook	
RR-1292	Elizabeth Ann Greenman	
RR-1293	Elizabeth Anne Cooper	
RR-1294	Elizabeth Anne Threlfall	
RR-1295	Elizabeth Badcock	
RR-1296	Elizabeth Batten	
RR-1297	Elizabeth Bayley	
RR-1298	Elizabeth Beattie	
RR-1299	Elizabeth Birdwood	
RR-1300	Elizabeth Breakspear	
RR-1301	Elizabeth Brereton	
RR-1302	Elizabeth Bullock	
RR-1303	Elizabeth Campbell	
RR-1304	Elizabeth Churchill	
RR-1305	Elizabeth Claire Maxwell	
RR-1306	Elizabeth Davis	
RR-1307	Elizabeth Fox-Andrews	
RR-1308	Elizabeth Hawker	
RR-1309	Elizabeth Howarth	
RR-1310	Elizabeth Jane King	
RR-1311	Elizabeth Jane Matthews	
RR-1312	Elizabeth Jane Waldron	
RR-1313	Elizabeth Joanne Perry	
RR-1314	Elizabeth Kershaw	
RR-1315	Elizabeth King	
RR-1316	Elizabeth King	
RR-1317	Elizabeth Lewin	
RR-1318	Elizabeth Margaret Mildner	
RR-1319	Elizabeth Marshall	
RR-1320	Elizabeth Mary Ratcliffe	
RR-1321	Elizabeth Meyer	
RR-1322	Elizabeth Nash	
RR-1323	Elizabeth Purslow	
RR-1324	Elizabeth Randolph	
RR-1325	Elizabeth Rosemary Latter	
RR-1326	Elizabeth Russell	
RR-1327	Elizabeth Salter	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1328	Elizabeth Sheard	
RR-1329	Elizabeth Stethridge	
RR-1330	Elizabeth Victoria Cullen	
RR-1331	Elizabeth Wood	
RR-1332	Ella Morgan	
RR-1333	Ella Moriarty	
RR-1334	Ella Starbrook	
RR-1335	Ella Wells	
RR-1336	Ella-Rose Fiona Bray	
RR-1337	Elle Losty	
RR-1338	Ellen Borough	
RR-1339	Ellen fish	
RR-1340	Ellen Grace Macnee	
RR-1341	Ellen Hopkins	
RR-1342	Ellen Wood	
RR-1343	Ellie Hack	
RR-1344	Ellie Williams	
RR-1345	Elliot Firth	
RR-1346	Em Holt	
RR-1347	Emilian Stanciu	
RR-1348	Emily Bainbridge	
RR-1349	Emily Brett	
RR-1350	Emily Calvert	
RR-1351	Emily Carter	
RR-1352	Emily Hyde	
RR-1353	Emily kathleen may	
RR-1354	Emily Lamb	
RR-1355	Emily Matten	
RR-1356	Emily Mattie Rowell	
RR-1357	Emily McGee	
RR-1358	Emily Reeves	
RR-1359	Emily Sarah Clarke	
RR-1360	Emily Thomson	
RR-1361	Emily Vaughan	
RR-1362	Emily Waters	
RR-1363	Emma Allen-Curry	
RR-1364	Emma Amati	
RR-1365	Emma Blackett	
RR-1366	Emma Brett	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1367	Emma Clark	
RR-1368	Emma Cope	
RR-1369	Emma Copeland	
RR-1370	Emma Cottey	
RR-1371	Emma Godby	
RR-1372	Emma Ingall	
RR-1373	Emma Kelly	
RR-1374	Emma Lancaster	
RR-1375	Emma Maude Weir	
RR-1376	Emma Melissa walker	
RR-1377	Emma Metters	
RR-1378	Emma Mollie Williams	
RR-1379	Emma Morley	
RR-1380	Emma Newby	
RR-1381	Emma Persephone Brigstocke	
RR-1382	Emma Power	
RR-1383	Emma Rene	
RR-1384	Emma Ridgway	
RR-1385	Emma Savage	
RR-1386	Emma Taylor	
RR-1387	Emma Tomlinson	
RR-1388	Emma Topping	
RR-1389	Emma Trickett	
RR-1390	Emma Vaux	
RR-1391	Emma Wade	
RR-1392	Emma Wilmot	
RR-1393	Emma-Jane O'Neill	
RR-1394	Environment Agency	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1395	Eric Hine	
RR-1396	Erica GibsonPerry	
RR-1397	Erica Hole-Jones	
RR-1398	Erica Marriage	
RR-1399	Erica Whatton	
RR-1400	Erin Jones	
RR-1401	Es Lee	
RR-1402	Esther Hadrill	
RR-1403	Esther Luise McGarrick	
RR-1404	Esther McGarrick	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1405	Etalage	
RR-1406	Ethel May King	
RR-1407	Euan David Hamilton	
RR-1408	Eunice Ketcher	
RR-1409	Eva Elisabeth Sweet	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1410	Eve Johnson Houghton	
RR-1411	Evelyn Lewis	
RR-1412	Everard James Hudson	
RR-1413	F Cardale	
RR-1414	Fash Shahrokni	
RR-1415	Faye Stevens	
RR-1416	Fayme Knight	
RR-1417	Felicity Anne De Salis	
RR-1418	Felicity Gray	
RR-1419	Felicity Parsons	
RR-1420	Felix Shipsey	
RR-1421	Fergus Beeley	
RR-1422	Fergus Dignan	
RR-1423	Finlay McLeish	
RR-1424	Finn Mewton	
RR-1425	Finn Russell	
RR-1426	Fiona Butterfield	
RR-1427	Fiona Elisabeth Holman	
RR-1428	Fiona Fishburne	
RR-1429	Fiona Gillian Plowman	
RR-1430	Fiona Gunn	
RR-1431	Fiona Hart	
RR-1432	Fiona Hicks	
RR-1433	Fiona Hodson	
RR-1434	Fiona Iliffe-Moon	
RR-1435	Fiona Ishbel McDowall	
RR-1436	Fiona Jane Buchan	
RR-1437	Fiona Jarman	
RR-1438	Fiona Keir	
RR-1439	Fiona Margaret Petrie	
RR-1440	Fiona Meyrick	
RR-1441	Fiona Mitchell	
RR-1442	Fiona Penelope Dobson	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1443	Fiona Rawbone	
RR-1444	Fiona Shipsey	
RR-1445	Fiona Stananought	
RR-1446	Fiona Travers	
RR-1447	Fleur Reeve	
RR-1448	Flora Chalmers	
RR-1449	Flora de winton	
RR-1450	Flora Hodson	
RR-1451	Flora Miller Mundy	
RR-1452	Florence Smith	
RR-1453	Fosse Farm	
RR-1454	Foxley Road Nurseries	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1455	Fran Beech	
RR-1456	Frances Anne Rayner	
RR-1457	Frances Bramich	
RR-1458	Frances Bridget Jolley	
RR-1459	Frances Collingborn	
RR-1460	Frances Dalrymple Collett	
RR-1461	Frances Jean Dalrymple	
RR-1462	Frances Oglesby	
RR-1463	Frances Roch	
RR-1464	Frances Shipsey	
RR-1465	Frances Thivet	
RR-1466	Francesca Watson	
RR-1467	Francesco Benincasa	
RR-1468	Francis Anthony Punshon	
RR-1469	Francis James Gilchrist	
RR-1470	Francis Richards	
RR-1471	Francisco Javier Garcia	
RR-1472	Frank Ball	
RR-1473	Frank Gordon Thomas Birlison	
RR-1474	Fred Goudie	
RR-1475	Freddie Clark	
RR-1476	Freddie Jodrell	
RR-1477	Freddie Meade	
RR-1478	Freddy Gardner	
RR-1479	Frederic Nicolas	
RR-1480	Frederick James Armitage	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1481	Freya Ann Kirby	
RR-1482	Friedrich Wilhelm Käfling	
RR-1483	Fuller	
RR-1484	Gaie Johnson Houghton	
RR-1485	Gail Marjorie Hayman	
RR-1486	Gail Seviour	
RR-1487	Gareth Cook	
RR-1488	Gareth David Pearce	
RR-1489	Gareth Hare	
RR-1490	Garreth Ashlin	
RR-1491	Garry Edward Cope	
RR-1492	Garth Chapman	
RR-1493	Gary Chaplin	
RR-1494	Gary Hill	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1495	Gary John	
RR-1496	Gary Muchmore	
RR-1497	Gary Paramore	
RR-1498	Gary Seward	
RR-1499	Gary Stevens	
RR-1500	Gary Sydney-Smith	
RR-1501	Gavin Langley-Johnson	
RR-1502	Gavin Williams	
RR-1503	Gay Morison	
RR-1504	Gayle Auckland	
RR-1505	Gaynor Russen	
RR-1506	GDPR -LDSF -007	
RR-1507	GDPR -LDSF-005	
RR-1508	GDPR -LDSF-006	
RR-1509	GDPR -LDSF-006	
RR-1510	GDPR -LDSF-008	
RR-1511	GDPR -LDSF-009	
RR-1512	GDPR -LDSF-010	
RR-1513	GDPR -LDSF-011	
RR-1514	GDPR- LDSF-012	
RR-1515	GDPR- LDSF-013	
RR-1516	GDPR- LDSF-014	
RR-1517	GDPR -LDSF-015	
RR-1518	GDPR -LDSF-016	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1519	GDPR -LDSF-017	
RR-1520	GDPR -LDSF-018	
RR-1521	GDPR -LDSF-019	
RR-1522	GDPR -LDSF-020	
RR-1523	GDPR -LDSF-021	
RR-1524	GDPR -LDSF-022	
RR-1525	GDPR -LDSF-023	
RR-1526	GDPR -LDSF-024	
RR-1527	GDPR -LDSF-025	
RR-1528	GDPR -LDSF-026	
RR-1529	GDPR -LDSF-028	
RR-1530	GDPR -LDSF-029	
RR-1531	GDPR -LDSF-030	
RR-1532	GDPR -LDSF-031	
RR-1533	GDPR -LDSF-032	
RR-1534	GDPR -LDSF-033	
RR-1535	GDPR -LDSF-034	
RR-1536	GDPR -LDSF-035	
RR-1537	GDPR -LDSF-036	
RR-1538	GDPR -LDSF-037	
RR-1539	GDPR -LDSF-038	
RR-1540	GDPR -LDSF-039	
RR-1541	GDPR -LDSF-040	
RR-1542	GDPR -LDSF-041	
RR-1543	GDPR -LDSF-042	
RR-1544	GDPR -LDSF-043	
RR-1545	GDPR -LDSF-044	
RR-1546	GDPR -LDSF-045	
RR-1547	GDPR -LDSF-046	
RR-1548	GDPR -LDSF-047	
RR-1549	GDPR -LDSF-048	
RR-1550	GDPR -LDSF-049	
RR-1551	GDPR -LDSF-050	
RR-1552	GDPR -LDSF-051	
RR-1553	GDPR -LDSF-052	
RR-1554	GDPR -LDSF-053	
RR-1555	GDPR -LDSF-054	
RR-1556	GDPR -LDSF-055	
RR-1557	GDPR -LDSF-056	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1558	GDPR -LDSF-057	
RR-1559	GDPR -LDSF-058	
RR-1560	GDPR -LDSF-059	
RR-1561	GDPR -LDSF-060	
RR-1562	GDPR -LDSF-061	
RR-1563	GDPR -LDSF-062	
RR-1564	GDPR -LDSF-063	
RR-1565	GDPR -LDSF-064	
RR-1566	GDPR -LDSF-065	
RR-1567	GDPR -LDSF-068	
RR-1568	GDPR -LDSF-069	
RR-1569	GDPR -LDSF-070	
RR-1570	GDPR -LDSF-071	
RR-1571	GDPR -LDSF-072	
RR-1572	GDPR -LDSF-073	
RR-1573	GDPR -LDSF-074	
RR-1574	GDPR -LDSF-075	
RR-1575	GDPR -LDSF-076	
RR-1576	GDPR -LDSF-077	
RR-1577	GDPR -LDSF-078	
RR-1578	GDPR -LDSF-079	
RR-1579	GDPR -LDSF-080	
RR-1580	GDPR -LDSF-081	
RR-1581	GDPR -LDSF-082	
RR-1582	GDPR -LDSF-083	
RR-1583	GDPR -LDSF-084	
RR-1584	GDPR -LDSF-085	
RR-1585	GDPR-LDSF -001	
RR-1586	GDPR-LDSF -002	
RR-1587	GDPR-LDSF -003	
RR-1588	GDPR-LDSF -004	
RR-1589	Gemma Dummett	
RR-1590	Gemma Hodgkinson	
RR-1591	Gemma Hole	
RR-1592	Gemma Langham	
RR-1593	Gemma Simmonds	
RR-1594	Genevieve Kershaw	
RR-1595	Genevieve minney	
RR-1596	Geoff Wedgwood	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1597	Geoffrey Adrian Jones	
RR-1598	Geoffrey Charles Martin	
RR-1599	Geoffrey Martin Lucena	
RR-1600	Geoffrey Penderel Whittington	
RR-1601	Geoffrey Philipps	
RR-1602	George Clegg Littler	
RR-1603	George Edward Harford	
RR-1604	George Hodson	
RR-1605	George Irvine	
RR-1606	George kershaw	
RR-1607	George Lane	
RR-1608	George Leonard	
RR-1609	George Lippiatt	
RR-1610	George Martin	
RR-1611	George McEntegart	
RR-1612	George Meade	
RR-1613	George Mitchell	
RR-1614	George Morgan-Grenville	
RR-1615	George Neil Gemmell	
RR-1616	George Novell	
RR-1617	George Robert Clarke	
RR-1618	George Turner	
RR-1619	Georgia Fanshawe	
RR-1620	Georgia Howes	
RR-1621	Georgie Balfry	
RR-1622	Georgie Mackieforrest	
RR-1623	Georgie Martin	
RR-1624	Georgie Seddon Brown	
RR-1625	Georgina Breeze	
RR-1626	Georgina Buddle	
RR-1627	Georgina Edith Caddick	
RR-1628	Georgina Gane	
RR-1629	Georgina Green	
RR-1630	Georgina Hawkins	
RR-1631	Georgina Ingram	
RR-1632	Georgina Julia Strutt	
RR-1633	Georgina Marcia Nina Bennett	
RR-1634	Georgina Philipps	
RR-1635	Georgina Preest	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1636	Georgina Ramsay	
RR-1637	Georgina Rebecca Gay	
RR-1638	Georgina Romani	
RR-1639	Georgina Valentine	
RR-1640	Georgina Vowles	
RR-1641	Georgina Walker	
RR-1642	Georgina Wessels	
RR-1643	Gerald Clarke	
RR-1644	Gerald Mark Harford	
RR-1645	Gerald White	
RR-1646	Geraldine Gould	
RR-1647	Geraldine June Lucena	
RR-1648	Geraldine McKibbin	
RR-1649	Geraldine Munden	
RR-1650	Gerard Bain	
RR-1651	Gerard Gilchrist	
RR-1652	Gerard William H Joynson	
RR-1653	Gerry Chauveau	
RR-1654	Gerry Sweet	
RR-1655	Ghita Haglund-Bate	
RR-1656	Gigi Normani	
RR-1657	Giles Archer	
RR-1658	Giles Lock-ingham	
RR-1659	Giles Lock-ingham	
RR-1660	Gilks family	
RR-1661	Gill Harrison	
RR-1662	Gill Lobley	
RR-1663	Gill West	
RR-1664	Gillian Anne Rothwell	
RR-1665	Gillian Bostwick	
RR-1666	Gillian Brack	
RR-1667	Gillian Brown	
RR-1668	Gillian Bruce Richards	
RR-1669	Gillian C. Stafford	
RR-1670	Gillian Davey	
RR-1671	Gillian E. Adamson	
RR-1672	Gillian Jamieson	
RR-1673	Gillian Jones	
RR-1674	Gillian Keen	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1675	Gillian Miles	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1676	Gillian Noble	
RR-1677	Gillian Penberth	
RR-1678	Gillian Ross	
RR-1679	Gillian Spurway	
RR-1680	Gillian Walker	
RR-1681	Gillian Webb	
RR-1682	Gillian Whitmarsh	
RR-1683	Gilly Riddington	
RR-1684	Gina Mather	
RR-1685	Gina Waller	
RR-1686	Giorgia Webb	
RR-1687	Giovanni Amati	
RR-1688	Gisela Norman	
RR-1689	Giselle Amiry	
RR-1690	Glenn Bailes	
RR-1691	Gloria Astrid Pugh	
RR-1692	Gloria Riera	
RR-1693	Glyn Bethell	
RR-1694	Glynis Seale	
RR-1695	Gordon Arthur Cole	
RR-1696	Gordon Lazaro	
RR-1697	Grace Bellamy	
RR-1698	Grace Perry	
RR-1699	Grace Smith	
RR-1700	Graeme Cooper	
RR-1701	Graeme John Slaymaker	
RR-1702	Graeme Strachan	
RR-1703	Graham Charles Smith	
RR-1704	Graham Collins	
RR-1705	Graham Cowdrey	
RR-1706	Graham Davison-Smith	
RR-1707	Graham Edmonds	
RR-1708	Graham Goodfellow	
RR-1709	Graham Hall	
RR-1710	Graham Harris	
RR-1711	Graham Hayman	
RR-1712	Graham Holliday	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1713	Graham Howe	
RR-1714	Graham Keith Instrell	
RR-1715	Graham Keith Wells	
RR-1716	Graham Kenneth Lacey	
RR-1678	Gillian Ross	
RR-1679	Gillian Spurway	
RR-1680	Gillian Walker	
RR-1681	Gillian Webb	
RR-1682	Gillian Whitmarsh	
RR-1683	Gilly Riddington	
RR-1684	Gina Mather	
RR-1685	Gina Waller	
RR-1686	Giorgia Webb	
RR-1687	Giovanni Amati	
RR-1688	Gisela Norman	
RR-1689	Giselle Amiry	
RR-1690	Glenn Bailes	
RR-1691	Gloria Astrid Pugh	
RR-1692	Gloria Riera	
RR-1693	Glyn Bethell	
RR-1694	Glynis Seale	
RR-1695	Gordon Arthur Cole	
RR-1696	Gordon Lazaro	
RR-1697	Grace Bellamy	
RR-1698	Grace Perry	
RR-1699	Grace Smith	
RR-1700	Graeme Cooper	
RR-1701	Graeme John Slaymaker	
RR-1702	Graeme Strachan	
RR-1703	Graham Charles Smith	
RR-1704	Graham Collins	
RR-1705	Graham Cowdrey	
RR-1706	Graham Davison-Smith	
RR-1707	Graham Edmonds	
RR-1708	Graham Goodfellow	
RR-1709	Graham Hall	
RR-1710	Graham Harris	
RR-1711	Graham Hayman	
RR-1712	Graham Holliday	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1713	Graham Howe	
RR-1714	Graham Keith Instrell	
RR-1715	Graham Keith Wells	
RR-1716	Graham Kenneth Lacey	
RR-1717	Graham Lee	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1718	Graham Mockridge	
RR-1719	Graham Orchard	
RR-1720	Graham Pattie	
RR-1721	Graham Pattison	
RR-1722	Graham Paul Holt	
RR-1723	Graham Stuart McDougal	
RR-1724	Graham Thompson	
RR-1725	Graham William Wilkins	
RR-1726	Graham Winterbourne	
RR-1727	Grania Ellenborough	
RR-1728	Grant Cambridge	
RR-1729	Grant Harrold	
RR-1730	Grant Turner Robinson	
RR-1731	Grazyna Tabley	
RR-1732	Great Somerford Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1733	Greg Ayliffe	
RR-1734	Greg Clark	
RR-1735	Gregory Alexander Shenkman	
RR-1736	Gregory Fauvarque	
RR-1737	Gregory Matthew Leadbitter	
RR-1738	Gregory Wood	
RR-1739	Greig Elliott	
RR-1740	Greville Earle	
RR-1741	Greville Vernon	
RR-1742	Grittleton Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1743	Grittleton Sevington and Leigh Delamere Village Hall and Recreation Ground	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1744	Grittleton Tennis Club	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1745	guy banwell	
RR-1746	Guy Calvert	
RR-1747	Guy Gibson Rutherford	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1748	Guy Larsen	
RR-1749	Guy Tullberg	
RR-1750	Guy Turner	
RR-1751	Gwen Nicholls	
RR-1752	Gwendoline Hadrill	
RR-1753	gwendoline heath	
RR-1754	Gwendoline Prior	
RR-1755	Gweneth Perraton Russell	
RR-1756	H Worthey	
RR-1757	Hanna Gibbons	
RR-1758	Hannah Ashton	
RR-1759	Hannah Creighton	
RR-1760	Hannah Fay Shipp	
RR-1761	Hannah Gallacher	
RR-1762	Hannah Lees	
RR-1763	Hannah Luddington	
RR-1764	Hannah McHenry	
RR-1765	Hannah McMurray	
RR-1766	Hannah Minty	
RR-1767	Hannah Moody	
RR-1768	Hannah Robinson	
RR-1769	Hannah Shepherd	
RR-1770	Hannah Siddall	
RR-1771	Hannah Watling	
RR-1772	Hannah Watson	
RR-1773	Harold Derek Chase	
RR-1774	Harri Dawson	
RR-1775	Harriet Bloomer	
RR-1776	Harriet Byrne	
RR-1777	Harriet l������ Anson	
RR-1778	Harriet Tupper	
RR-1779	Harrison Kershaw	
RR-1780	Harry Black	
RR-1781	Harry Dicks	
RR-1782	Harry Ferdinando	
RR-1783	Harry Firth	
RR-1784	Harry Fleming	
RR-1785	Harry Glover	
RR-1786	Harry Heywood	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1787	Harry Monson	
RR-1788	Harry padbury	
RR-1789	Harry Peek	
RR-1790	Harry Pilkington	
RR-1791	Harry Pitman	
RR-1792	Harry Waldemar Brown	
RR-1793	Hawker Farms	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1794	Haydyn Brown	
RR-1795	Hayley Bull	
RR-1796	Hayley Flack	
RR-1797	Hayley Mathie	
RR-1798	Hayley Reeves	
RR-1799	Hayley Sparrowhawk	
RR-1800	Hazel Staddon	
RR-1801	Heath John Russell	
RR-1802	Heather Binks	
RR-1803	Heather Fleming	
RR-1804	Heather kington	
RR-1805	Heather Martin	
RR-1806	Heather Parody	
RR-1807	Heather Philpotts	
RR-1808	Heather Prangle	
RR-1809	Heather Scott	
RR-1810	Hector John Frank Tarratt	
RR-1811	Heidi Maria Burkinshaw	
RR-1812	Heidi Mena	
RR-1813	Heidi Newnham	
RR-1814	Helen Aldyth Shaw	
RR-1815	Helen Baker	
RR-1816	Helen Brookes	
RR-1817	Helen Carter	
RR-1818	Helen Clare Freeth	
RR-1819	Helen Clifford	
RR-1820	Helen Creighton	
RR-1821	Helen Davies	
RR-1822	Helen Fisk	
RR-1823	Helen Foord-warren	
RR-1824	Helen Foster	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1825	Helen Hall	
RR-1826	Helen Hood	
RR-1827	Helen Hood Business	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1828	Helen Houston	
RR-1829	Helen Jayne Cartwright	
RR-1830	Helen King	
RR-1831	Helen Lydia Sunderland	
RR-1832	Helen McLeish	
RR-1833	Helen Mcnerlin	
RR-1834	Helen Merron	
RR-1835	Helen Pamela Williams	
RR-1836	Helen Peacop	
RR-1837	Helen Playne	
RR-1838	Helen Reay	
RR-1839	Helen Russell	
RR-1840	Helen Ruth Pitman	
RR-1841	Helen Sandom	
RR-1842	Helen Shoubridge	
RR-1843	Helen Stokes	
RR-1844	Helen Tweedie	
RR-1845	Helen Whelan	
RR-1846	Helen Willis	
RR-1847	Helena Forsythe	
RR-1848	Helena Maxwell	
RR-1849	Helena Rose Robertson	
RR-1850	Helene Gammack	
RR-1851	Henrietta Bellord	
RR-1852	Henrietta Ferdinando	
RR-1853	Henrietta Lloyd-Jones	
RR-1854	Henrietta Metters	
RR-1855	Henrietta Symington	
RR-1856	Henrietta Wateridge	
RR-1857	Henry Clarke	
RR-1858	Henry Crawford	
RR-1859	Henry Gaunt	
RR-1860	Henry Geidt	
RR-1861	Henry Holman	
RR-1862	Henry James Ellis	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1863	Henry Jodrell	
RR-1864	Henry Lamb	
RR-1865	Henry Madders	
RR-1866	Henry Montresor	
RR-1867	Henry Moss	
RR-1868	Henry Oswin Vaux Goodchild	
RR-1869	Henry Stott	
RR-1870	Hilary Anne Greene	
RR-1871	Hilary Cox	
RR-1872	Hilary Cripps	
RR-1873	Hilary Fortune	
RR-1874	Hilary Hetherington	
RR-1875	Hilary McCallum	
RR-1876	Hilary McDougal	
RR-1877	Hilary Purkess	
RR-1878	Hilary Samways	
RR-1879	Hill Richard Charles Denny	
RR-1880	Historic England	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1881	Hitesh Batavia	
RR-1882	Hollie Gale	
RR-1883	Hollie Kebell	
RR-1884	Holly Horton	
RR-1885	Holly Watson	
RR-1886	Hon Rebecca Brennan	
RR-1887	Hon. Charles Walter Edward Ralph Buchan	
RR-1888	Honor Elizabeth Carter	
RR-1889	Honor Sells	
RR-1890	Houghton	
RR-1891	Howard Arnaultham	
RR-1892	Howard Henry Ham	
RR-1893	Howard John Smith	
RR-1894	Hugh A J Shuttleworth	
RR-1895	Hugh Purkess	
RR-1896	Hugh Randolph	
RR-1897	Hugh Renner-Thompson	
RR-1898	Hugh Richard Jones	
RR-1899	Hugh Roche	
RR-1900	Hugh Ronald Hanlon	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1901	Hugo Armitage	
RR-1902	Hugo Douglas-Pennant	
RR-1903	Hugo Richardson	
RR-1904	Hugo Stewart	
RR-1905	Hullavington Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1906	Humphrey Gravell	
RR-1907	Huw Alun Friar	
RR-1908	Iain Charles Anaïs Porter	
RR-1909	Iain Duffin	
RR-1910	Iain Lindsay	
RR-1911	Iain McMorris	
RR-1912	Iain Moody	
RR-1913	Iain Thomson	
RR-1914	Iain Wood	
RR-1915	Ian Adkins	
RR-1916	Ian Bennett	
RR-1917	Ian Bodey-Jones	
RR-1918	Ian Charles Jones	
RR-1919	IAN CHRISTOPHER DONY	
RR-1920	Ian Colin Wright	
RR-1921	Ian David Hall	
RR-1922	Ian Dixon	
RR-1923	Ian Free	
RR-1924	Ian Grandison	
RR-1925	Ian John Cambray-Smith	
RR-1926	Ian Luddington	
RR-1927	Ian Mackie	
RR-1928	Ian Madge	
RR-1929	Ian McCallum	
RR-1930	Ian Michael Churchill	
RR-1931	Ian Michael Newman	
RR-1932	Ian Oliver	
RR-1933	Ian Plummer	
RR-1934	Ian Purvis	
RR-1935	Ian Trevor Banister	
RR-1936	Ian Valentine	
RR-1937	Ian Vout	
RR-1938	Ian Wilson	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1939	Imogen Coughlan	
RR-1940	Imogen Firth	
RR-1941	India Amos	
RR-1942	Ingrid Sidmouth	
RR-1943	Iona Blackshaw	
RR-1944	Iona Legge-Bourke	
RR-1945	Irene Campagnolo Maschio	
RR-1946	Irene Coleman	
RR-1947	Irene Johnston	
RR-1948	Iris Daunt-Withers	
RR-1949	Isaac Owen	
RR-1950	Isaac Tucker	
RR-1951	Isabel Davidson	
RR-1952	Isabel Grace Liversidge	
RR-1953	Isabel Henrietta Verschoyle	
RR-1954	Isabel Ross	
RR-1955	Isabella Lawrence-Mills	
RR-1956	Isabella Scarlett Phillips	
RR-1957	Isabelle Ridgwell	
RR-1958	Isabelle Syben	
RR-1959	Isabelle Vinet	
RR-1960	Isabel Hughes	
RR-1961	Isobel Blackett	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-1962	J Bergman	
RR-1963	J Eavis	
RR-1964	Jack Alexander Gibb	
RR-1965	Jack Byam Shaw	
RR-1966	Jack Curthoys	
RR-1967	Jack Godwin	
RR-1968	Jack Green	
RR-1969	Jack Hodges	
RR-1970	Jack Kilminster	
RR-1971	Jack Konynenburg	
RR-1972	Jack Pitman	
RR-1973	Jack Stevens	
RR-1974	Jack Taylor	
RR-1975	Jackdaws Coffee House	Response provided at Deadline A

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-1976	Jackie Burns	
RR-1977	Jackie Du Fresne	
RR-1978	Jackie Greenhill	
RR-1979	Jackie Harvey	
RR-1980	Jackie Hunt	
RR-1981	Jackie Knights	
RR-1982	Jackie Lawton	
RR-1983	Jackie Tarleton	
RR-1984	Jackie Taylor	
RR-1985	Jackie vale	
RR-1986	Jackie Woodhouse	
RR-1987	Jacob Kershaw	
RR-1988	Jacqueline Alison Henson	
RR-1989	Jacqueline Barnett	
RR-1990	Jacqueline Beatrice Banks	
RR-1991	Jacqueline Butler	
RR-1992	Jacqueline Elspeth Muggleton	
RR-1993	Jacqueline Ferguson	
RR-1994	Jacqueline Hutchings	
RR-1995	Jacqueline Massey	
RR-1996	Jacqueline Muggleton	
RR-1997	Jacqueline Nizzero	
RR-1998	Jacqueline Pritchard	
RR-1999	Jacqueline Sheard	
RR-2000	Jacqueline Shona Anderson	
RR-2001	Jacqueline Smith	
RR-2002	Jacqueline Thomas	
RR-2003	Jacqueline Tozer	
RR-2004	Jacqueline White	
RR-2005	Jacqueline wing	
RR-2006	Jacqui Ellis	
RR-2007	Jacqui Erskine Crum	
RR-2008	Jacqui Palmer	
RR-2009	Jacqui Piper	
RR-2010	Jacqui Sneddon-Jenkins	
RR-2011	Jacquie Hewitt	
RR-2012	Jade Thomson	
RR-2013	Jago Atkinson	
RR-2014	Jake Anderson	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2015	James A Knell	
RR-2016	James Allan	
RR-2017	James Andrew Fisher	
RR-2018	James Barrett-Jolley	
RR-2019	James Bastable	
RR-2020	James Birdwood	
RR-2021	James Blackett	
RR-2022	James Boggis-Rolfe	
RR-2023	James Brabner	
RR-2024	James Broughton	
RR-2025	James bruce Hamilton	
RR-2026	James Clayton	
RR-2027	James Close-Smith	
RR-2028	James Cole	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2029	James Coleman	
RR-2030	James Cowap	
RR-2031	James Cox	
RR-2032	James Curthoys	
RR-2033	James Denman	
RR-2034	James Dennis Patrick Hadfield-Hyde	
RR-2035	James Edward Robinson	
RR-2036	James Elliott	
RR-2037	James Forbes	
RR-2038	James Fosdyke	
RR-2039	James Fothergill	
RR-2040	James Gaunt	
RR-2041	James Gay	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2042	James Golob	
RR-2043	James Green	
RR-2044	James Hadrill	
RR-2045	James Harford	
RR-2046	James Harris	
RR-2047	James Henry Petrie	
RR-2048	James Hodson	
RR-2049	James Hoffman	
RR-2050	James Howarth	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2051	James Ingall	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2052	James Kevan Hewitt	
RR-2053	James Lees	
RR-2054	James Maitland Palgrave	
RR-2055	James Martin Trotter	
RR-2056	James McAlpine	
RR-2057	James Michael Layton	
RR-2058	James Middleton	
RR-2059	James Mitchell	
RR-2060	James Mothersole	
RR-2061	James Munro Castle	
RR-2062	James Munson	
RR-2063	James Norman	
RR-2064	James O'Connor	
RR-2065	James Palser	
RR-2066	James Pearce-Smith	
RR-2067	James Pope	
RR-2068	James Prestwich	
RR-2069	James Pyle & Co	
RR-2070	James Quinn	
RR-2071	James Rickett	
RR-2072	James Ridout	
RR-2073	James Robertson	
RR-2074	James Salkeld	
RR-2075	James Stokes	
RR-2076	James Stoll	
RR-2077	James Thomas	
RR-2078	James Turner	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2079	James Vernon	
RR-2080	James Walker	
RR-2081	James Webb	
RR-2082	James William Reed	
RR-2083	James Wootton-Jones	
RR-2084	Jamie Charles Howells	
RR-2085	Jamie O'Connell Connor	
RR-2086	Jamie Pike	
RR-2087	Jamie Roulston	
RR-2088	Jamie Turner	
RR-2089	Jan Coffin	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2090	Jan Corry	
RR-2091	Jan Hounsell	
RR-2092	Jan Lloyd	
RR-2093	Jan Shadbolt	
RR-2094	Jan Swallow	
RR-2095	Jane Andrews	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2096	Jane Ann Cubitt	
RR-2097	Jane Atwell	
RR-2098	Jane Barling	
RR-2099	Jane Bayley	
RR-2100	Jane Benstead	
RR-2101	Jane Beverley Ingham	
RR-2102	Jane Boggis	
RR-2103	Jane Buckley	
RR-2104	Jane Calverley	
RR-2105	Jane Campbell	
RR-2106	Jane Caroline Meade	
RR-2107	Jane Catherine Miller	
RR-2108	Jane Colston	
RR-2109	Jane Coombs	
RR-2110	Jane Deacon	
RR-2111	Jane Dorothy Coleborn	
RR-2112	Jane Druett	
RR-2113	Jane Elizabeth Hatcher	
RR-2114	Jane Elizabeth Jupp	
RR-2115	Jane Elizabeth Lambert	
RR-2116	Jane Eva	
RR-2117	Jane Fletcher	
RR-2118	Jane Fothergill	
RR-2119	Jane Gibson	
RR-2120	Jane Henshall	
RR-2121	Jane Hirst	
RR-2122	Jane Humphreys	
RR-2123	Jane Kinnard	
RR-2124	Jane Livesey	
RR-2125	Jane Lock	
RR-2126	Jane MacEwen	
RR-2127	Jane Maslin	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2128	Jane McCarthy	
RR-2129	Jane Milne	
RR-2130	Jane Moller	
RR-2131	Jane Morgan-Brown	
RR-2132	Jane Nicholson St John Wright	
RR-2133	Jane North	
RR-2134	Jane Oberheim	
RR-2135	Jane Oddy	
RR-2136	Jane Oglesby	
RR-2137	Jane Preddy	
RR-2138	Jane Sara Gray	
RR-2139	Jane Sharkey	
RR-2140	Jane Spindler	
RR-2141	Jane Sunderland	
RR-2142	Jane Tuckwell	
RR-2143	Jane Turner	
RR-2144	Jane Wheeler	
RR-2145	Jane Whitworth	
RR-2146	Jane Wilkinson	
RR-2147	Jane Williams	
RR-2148	Janet Arnold	
RR-2149	Janet Batty	
RR-2150	Janet Bellamy	
RR-2151	Janet Bentley	
RR-2152	Janet Bray	
RR-2153	Janet Brown	
RR-2154	Janet Clare Crouch	
RR-2155	Janet Dorothy Jones	
RR-2156	Janet Driver	
RR-2157	Janet Hughes	
RR-2158	Janet H-Wilks	
RR-2159	Janet Jenkins	
RR-2160	Janet Jones	
RR-2161	Janet Korsak	
RR-2162	Janet Lang	
RR-2163	Janet Mary	
RR-2164	Janet Mary Smith	
RR-2165	Janet Mary Wells	
RR-2166	Janet Muriel Blackmore	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2167	Janet Parke	
RR-2168	Janet Street	
RR-2169	Janet Strode	
RR-2170	Janet Waters	
RR-2171	Janette Armstrong	
RR-2172	Janette Barstow	
RR-2173	Janette Templeton	
RR-2174	Janice Bardwell	
RR-2175	Janice Burden	
RR-2176	Janice Elaine Jeffcoate	
RR-2177	Janice Killinger	
RR-2178	Janice Parker	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2179	Janice Riches	
RR-2180	Janie Dear	
RR-2181	Janine Dawn	
RR-2182	Janine Dolman	
RR-2183	Jannina szymanski	
RR-2184	January Farrington	
RR-2185	Jasmine Tree	
RR-2186	Jason Clark	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2187	Jason Nightingale	
RR-2188	Jason Sinclair	
RR-2189	Jason Stokes	
RR-2190	Jasper Atkins	
RR-2191	Jay James	
RR-2192	Jay Sangster-Hawkins	
RR-2193	Jayne Elizabeth Turner	
RR-2194	Jayne Goodale	
RR-2195	Jayne Karen Phillips	
RR-2196	Jayne Louise Hughes	
RR-2197	Jayne Shortridge	
RR-2198	Jayne-Marie H Pooley	
RR-2199	Jean Bankhead	
RR-2200	Jean Claudette Jones	
RR-2201	Jean Clayton	
RR-2202	Jean Dalglish	
RR-2203	Jean Duffield	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2204	Jean Fitzgerald	
RR-2205	Jean Patricia Dunn	
RR-2206	Jean Watt	
RR-2207	Jeanette Carpenter	
RR-2208	Jeanette Dummett	
RR-2209	Jeanette Payne	
RR-2210	Jeannine Saba	
RR-2211	Jeffrey Allen	
RR-2212	Jeffrey Eadon	
RR-2213	Jeffrey Ware	
RR-2214	Jehanne Le Quesne	
RR-2215	Jem Rice	
RR-2216	Jemima Keveth	
RR-2217	Jemima Walker	
RR-2218	Jemma kojima	
RR-2219	Jennie Lamont	
RR-2220	Jennie Moss	
RR-2221	Jennifer Adams	
RR-2222	Jennifer Ann Hunt	
RR-2223	Jennifer Ann Weaver	
RR-2224	Jennifer Anne Palgrave	
RR-2225	Jennifer Brady	
RR-2226	Jennifer Buchanan	
RR-2227	Jennifer Griffiths	
RR-2228	Jennifer Mills	
RR-2229	Jennifer Noblet	
RR-2230	Jennifer Payne	
RR-2231	Jennifer Plummer	
RR-2232	Jennifer Robinson	
RR-2233	Jennifer Teresa Scott	
RR-2234	Jennifer Tidmarsh	
RR-2235	Jennifer Van Hamel	
RR-2236	Jennifer Weetman	
RR-2237	Jennifer White	
RR-2238	Jenny Mackrill-Clegg	
RR-2239	Jenny Naicker	
RR-2240	Jenny Verard	
RR-2241	Jeremy Benton	
RR-2242	Jeremy Corcoran	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2243	Jeremy Martin	
RR-2244	Jeremy Melville White	
RR-2245	Jeremy Milne	
RR-2246	Jeremy Morrogh-Ryan	
RR-2247	Jeremy Payne	
RR-2248	Jeremy Reece	
RR-2249	Jeremy Richard Retford	
RR-2250	Jeremy Sinker	
RR-2251	Jeremy van Dijk	
RR-2252	Jess Johnson	
RR-2253	Jessame Llewellyn Palmer	
RR-2254	Jessamy Frances Dibben	
RR-2255	Jessica Bolton	
RR-2256	Jessica Bray	
RR-2257	Jessica G M Wheeler	
RR-2258	Jessica Greatrex-Smith	
RR-2259	Jessica Lee	
RR-2260	Jessica Omlie	
RR-2261	Jessica Phillips	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2262	Jessica Pillow	
RR-2263	Jessica Puddy	
RR-2264	Jessica Rowan Branton	
RR-2265	Jessica Shanley	
RR-2266	Jessica Von Etzdorf	
RR-2267	Jill A Nash	
RR-2268	Jill Allison Hawkesworth	
RR-2269	Jill Bowman	
RR-2270	Jill Clark	
RR-2271	Jill Johnston	
RR-2272	Jill Molyneux	
RR-2273	Jill Neal	
RR-2274	Jill Palmer	
RR-2275	Jill Rowland	
RR-2276	Jillian Anne Carlyle	
RR-2277	Jillian Yvonne West	
RR-2278	Jim Burns	
RR-2279	Jim Dermody	
RR-2280	Jim Kettlety	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2281	Jo Carlisle	
RR-2282	Jo Hibbard	
RR-2283	Jo Holder	
RR-2284	Jo Keveth	
RR-2285	Jo Lawrence-Mills	
RR-2286	Jo Maggs	
RR-2287	Jo peeke	
RR-2288	Jo Powell	
RR-2289	Jo Spendeler	
RR-2290	Jo Stone	
RR-2291	Joan Bagley	
RR-2292	Joan Collett	
RR-2293	Joan Lindsay	
RR-2294	Joan Marie Bassler	
RR-2295	Joan Yuill	
RR-2296	Joanna Anderson	
RR-2297	Joanna Bastable	
RR-2298	Joanna Cadbury	
RR-2299	Joanna Daane	
RR-2300	Joanna Dorothy Dunn	
RR-2301	Joanna Edith Crosland	
RR-2302	Joanna Fraser	
RR-2303	Joanna Hawkesworth	
RR-2304	Joanna Hayes	
RR-2305	Joanna Ireland	
RR-2306	Joanna Jane Dickson Leach	
RR-2307	Joanna Maddams	
RR-2308	Joanna Maitland	
RR-2309	Joanna Rogers	
RR-2310	Joanna Ross	
RR-2311	Joanna Watchman	
RR-2312	Joanne Claire Neale	
RR-2313	Joanne Fletcher	
RR-2314	Joanne Harmer	
RR-2315	Joanne Holder	
RR-2316	Joanne Jameson	
RR-2317	Joanne neal	
RR-2318	Joanne pennell	
RR-2319	Joanne Pilkington	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2320	Joanne Selina	
RR-2321	Joanne Shepherd	
RR-2322	Jo-Anne Williamson	
RR-2323	Jocelyn Dehnert	
RR-2324	Jocelyn Major	
RR-2325	Jodie Collier	
RR-2326	Joe Chrisp	
RR-2327	Joe Denness	
RR-2328	Joe Hatt	
RR-2329	Joel Knox	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2330	Joginder Singh Bains	
RR-2331	Johanna Luddington	
RR-2332	Johanna Margaret Jackson	
RR-2333	Johannes Falkenburg	
RR-2334	Johannes Hoekstra	
RR-2335	Johannes Sytse Geradus Hoekstra	
RR-2336	John Alan Barrass	
RR-2337	John Alec Bennett	
RR-2338	John Angus Graham Matthews	
RR-2339	John Anthony Marvin Giles	
RR-2340	John Arthur Pearce	
RR-2341	John Atkinson	
RR-2342	John Baillie	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2343	John Barker	
RR-2344	John Barnes	
RR-2345	John Bartholomew	
RR-2346	John Cantouris	
RR-2347	John Carr-Jones	
RR-2348	John Charles Harris	
RR-2349	John Clarke	
RR-2350	John Conway	
RR-2351	John Cowper Kingston Wells	
RR-2352	John Dalley MBE	
RR-2353	John Darling Laidlaw	
RR-2354	John David Mills	
RR-2355	John Denholm	
RR-2356	John Dominic Sunderland	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2357	John Doyle	
RR-2358	John Eavis	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2359	John Edmund Metters	
RR-2360	John Edward King	
RR-2361	John Fairhurst	
RR-2362	John Faulkner	
RR-2363	John Fitzpatrick	
RR-2364	John Gerard Leigh	
RR-2365	John Gilbert	
RR-2366	John Graham Smith	
RR-2367	John Hall	
RR-2368	John Hammond	
RR-2369	John Harris	
RR-2370	John Harry Crosland	
RR-2371	John Hastings-Bass	
RR-2372	John Hawkesworth	
RR-2373	John Hawkins	
RR-2374	John Heffer	
RR-2375	John Hodgkinson	
RR-2376	John James Feane	
RR-2377	John Jenkins	
RR-2378	John kershaw	
RR-2379	John L Newman	
RR-2380	John Lippitt	
RR-2381	John Martin Boldon	
RR-2382	John Mason Griffiths	
RR-2383	John Michael Beattie	
RR-2384	John Miles Elwell	
RR-2385	John Mitchell	
RR-2386	John Morgan	
RR-2387	John Murray Owen	
RR-2388	John Oglesby	
RR-2389	John Paul Jones Ltd	
RR-2390	John Petley	
RR-2391	John Ramsay	
RR-2392	John Ramsay Lloyd-Jones	
RR-2393	John Ranford	
RR-2394	John Rattenbury	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2395	John Raymond O'Leary	
RR-2396	John Richard Addison	
RR-2397	John Richard Smith	
RR-2398	John Richardson	
RR-2399	John Robert Buckley	
RR-2400	John Ronald Fairbairn	
RR-2401	John Rose	
RR-2402	John Rutley	
RR-2403	John Seale	
RR-2404	John Sheridan Latter	
RR-2405	John Shipsey	
RR-2406	John Simon Nelaon	
RR-2407	John Smail	
RR-2408	John Stewart King	
RR-2409	John Sykes Crawshaw	
RR-2410	John Terrington	
RR-2411	John Thomson	
RR-2412	John Thorne	
RR-2413	John Tremayne	
RR-2414	John Tribley	
RR-2415	John Turton	
RR-2416	John Warren	
RR-2417	John Whittle	
RR-2418	John Wilding	
RR-2419	John William Matthews	
RR-2420	Johnny West	
RR-2421	Johnson	
RR-2422	Jon Stethridge	
RR-2423	Jon Webster	
RR-2424	Jonathan B Brown	
RR-2425	Jonathan Barstow	
RR-2426	Jonathan Clark	
RR-2427	Jonathan Easthope	
RR-2428	Jonathan Fish	
RR-2429	Jonathan howells	
RR-2430	Jonathan Ingram	
RR-2431	Jonathan James Calladine	
RR-2432	Jonathan Jones	
RR-2433	Jonathan L Hobson	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2434	Jonathan Loader	
RR-2435	Jonathan Martyn Lloyd Price	
RR-2436	Jonathan Meyer	
RR-2437	Jonathan Paul White	
RR-2438	Jonathan Peter Taylor	
RR-2439	Jonathan Plews	
RR-2440	Jonathan Randall	
RR-2441	Jonathan Raymond Bouchier Stone	
RR-2442	Jonathan Russen	
RR-2443	Jonathan Seymour	
RR-2444	Jonathan Smith	
RR-2445	Jonathan T Seymour-Williams	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2446	Jonathan Wood	
RR-2447	Jonathan Woodward	
RR-2448	Jonathen de Giles	
RR-2449	Jonnie Jeeves	
RR-2450	Jonquil Wing	
RR-2451	Jorge Otalora-Lozano	
RR-2452	Joseph Clegg	
RR-2453	Josephine Aldridge	
RR-2454	Josephine Powell	
RR-2455	Josh Coombs	
RR-2456	Josh Henry Graham Harrison	
RR-2457	Joshua James Horton Gay	
RR-2458	Joshua Knight	
RR-2459	Joshua Mutch	
RR-2460	Joshua Nicky Verdun Giles	
RR-2461	Joshua Tabley	
RR-2462	Joshua Vale	
RR-2463	Joshua Willis	
RR-2464	Josie Dawes	
RR-2465	Josie Robinson	
RR-2466	Joy Lesley Manning	
RR-2467	Joy Smith	
RR-2468	Joyce Haigh	
RR-2469	Joyce Kirk	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2470	Joyce Rose	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2471	Judith Cottrell	
RR-2472	Judith Cousins	
RR-2473	Judith Hale	
RR-2474	Judith Laddle	
RR-2475	Judith Marian Chapman	
RR-2476	Judith Morris	
RR-2477	Judith Morris	
RR-2478	Judith Outlaw	
RR-2479	Judith Picton Phillipps	
RR-2480	Judith Poulton	
RR-2481	Judith Taylor	
RR-2482	Judith Verity	
RR-2483	Judith Watson	
RR-2484	Judith Woolliams	
RR-2485	Judy Barker	
RR-2486	Judy Boyt	
RR-2487	Judy Groves	
RR-2488	Judy Roberts	
RR-2489	Judy Tice	
RR-2490	Julia A E Jenkin	
RR-2491	Julia Annette Smith	
RR-2492	Julia Charlotte Pontifex Judd	
RR-2493	Julia Fenner	
RR-2494	Julia Greatrex	
RR-2495	Julia Helen Anne Wild	
RR-2496	Julia Howey	
RR-2497	Julia Jamieson-Black	
RR-2498	Julia Katherine Dowell	
RR-2499	Julia King	
RR-2500	Julia Paley-Jones	
RR-2501	Julia Poynton	
RR-2502	Julia Speak	
RR-2503	Julia Squier	
RR-2504	Julia Tisdale	
RR-2505	Julia Touloumbadjian	
RR-2506	Julia Vittle	
RR-2507	Julia Vowles	
RR-2508	Julian Andrew Greenman	
RR-2509	Julian Biggs	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2510	Julian Collier	
RR-2511	Julian Garry	
RR-2512	Julian Hayne	
RR-2513	Julian Howes	
RR-2514	Julian Jones	
RR-2515	Julian Law	
RR-2516	Julian Mitchell	
RR-2517	Julian Philip Edwin Brunt	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2518	Julian Shipp	
RR-2519	Julian Wain	
RR-2520	Julie Andrea Dart	
RR-2521	Julie Bayliss	
RR-2522	Julie Curtis	
RR-2523	Julie Dawn King	
RR-2524	Julie Frankland	
RR-2525	Julie Haig	
RR-2526	Julie Hare	
RR-2527	Julie Hazel Wade	
RR-2528	Julie Heather Terrington	
RR-2529	Julie hennah	
RR-2530	Julie Leighfield	
RR-2531	Julie Morrison	
RR-2532	Julie Morrison	
RR-2533	Julie O'Shea	
RR-2534	Julie Pickering	
RR-2535	Julie Ridsdale	
RR-2536	Julie Roberts	
RR-2537	Julie Robson	
RR-2538	Julie Thompson	
RR-2539	Julie Wodecki	
RR-2540	Julien Echaliér	
RR-2541	Juliet Anne Fenton	
RR-2542	Juliet Finegold	
RR-2543	Juliet Fishenden	
RR-2544	Juliet Jones	
RR-2545	Juliet O'Carroll	
RR-2546	Juliet Russell	
RR-2547	Juliette Jago	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2548	June Heather Gent	
RR-2549	June Hodson	
RR-2550	June P Baron	
RR-2551	Justin Charles WÅ.adysÅ.aw Maciejewski	
RR-2552	Justin Chippendale	
RR-2553	Justin Millner	
RR-2554	Justin Patrick James Grandison	
RR-2555	Justin Scutt	
RR-2556	Justin Wateridge	
RR-2557	Justine Norman	
RR-2558	K Hallam	
RR-2559	K James	
RR-2560	K Parker	
RR-2561	Karel Aldred	
RR-2562	Karen Armstrong	
RR-2563	Karen Davie	
RR-2564	Karen Drake	
RR-2565	Karen Georgina Harman	
RR-2566	Karen Gibson	
RR-2567	Karen Hall	
RR-2568	Karen Hallam	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2569	Karen Harrison	
RR-2570	Karen Jobbins	
RR-2571	Karen Millner	
RR-2572	Karen Munnings	
RR-2573	Karen Pearson	
RR-2574	Karen Skingley-Parkes	
RR-2575	Karen Stott	
RR-2576	Karen Thomson	
RR-2577	Karen Thorpe	
RR-2578	Karen Valley	
RR-2579	Karen Watson	
RR-2580	Karen Webster	
RR-2581	Kasper Lootens	
RR-2582	Katarina Lloyd	
RR-2583	Kate Armitage	
RR-2584	Kate Child	
RR-2585	Kate Dickins	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2586	Kate hamblin	
RR-2587	Kate Heathman	
RR-2588	Kate Hockley	
RR-2589	Kate Honeyborne	
RR-2590	Kate Louise Neal	
RR-2591	Kate Lyus	
RR-2592	Kate Platt	
RR-2593	Kate Robinson	
RR-2594	Kate Smith Cowap	
RR-2595	Kate Southwell	
RR-2596	Kate Tanner	
RR-2597	kate Tullberg	
RR-2598	Kate Victoria Schmidt	
RR-2599	Katharine Bodey-Jones	
RR-2600	Katharine Campbell	
RR-2601	Katharine Green	
RR-2602	Katharine Rachel Watson	
RR-2603	Katherine Ann Filmer	
RR-2604	Katherine Bayley	
RR-2605	Katherine Bennett	
RR-2606	Katherine Bond	
RR-2607	Katherine Foster	
RR-2608	Katherine Hattrell	
RR-2609	Katherine Roberts	
RR-2610	Katherine Roy	
RR-2611	Katherine Ruth Brunt	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2612	Kathleen Dinah Robinson	
RR-2613	Kathleen M F Hodson (Lady)	
RR-2614	Kathleen Mary Denham	
RR-2615	Kathleen Oates	
RR-2616	Kathlynn Clarke	
RR-2617	Kathryn Bond	
RR-2618	Kathryn Crone	
RR-2619	Kathryn Jackson	
RR-2620	Kathryn Jane Talboys	
RR-2621	Kathryn Jones	
RR-2622	Kathryn Lucy Jane Balfry	
RR-2623	Kathryn Morris	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2624	Kathryn O'Rourke	
RR-2625	Kathryn Reece	
RR-2626	Kathryn Reeves	
RR-2627	Kathryn Thomson	
RR-2628	Katie Barrett-Jolley	
RR-2629	Katie Carter	
RR-2630	Katie Garland	
RR-2631	Katie Gordon	
RR-2632	Katie Griffin	
RR-2633	Katie Johnstone	
RR-2634	Katie McDowell	
RR-2635	Katie Skeffington	
RR-2636	Katie Summerville	
RR-2637	Katie Vannerley	
RR-2638	Katy Bradley	
RR-2639	Katya Manamsa Hall	
RR-2640	Kay Anne Bowles	
RR-2641	Kay Oâ€™Neill	
RR-2642	Kay Payne	
RR-2643	Keeley Anne Vernon	
RR-2644	Keith Evans	
RR-2645	Keith John Salway	
RR-2646	Keith Juson	
RR-2647	Keith Lewis	
RR-2648	Keith Maslin	
RR-2649	Keith Norris	
RR-2650	Keith Parsons	
RR-2651	Keith Pearce	
RR-2652	Keith Ronald Morgan Taylor	
RR-2653	Keith Seaton	
RR-2654	Keith Terry	
RR-2655	Keith Waterhouse	
RR-2656	Kellie Chandler	
RR-2657	Kelly herbert	
RR-2658	Kelly Hicks	
RR-2659	Kelly Howells	
RR-2660	Kelly North	
RR-2661	Kelly Parsons	
RR-2662	Kelly Tait	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2663	Kelly Tyler	
RR-2664	Ken Dewar	
RR-2665	Ken Paul Kalbskopf	
RR-2666	Kenneth Camerier	
RR-2667	Kenneth Charles Shearing	
RR-2668	Kenneth David Humpherson	
RR-2669	Kenneth Dunn	
RR-2670	Kenneth George Fullerton	
RR-2671	Kenneth Jones	
RR-2672	Kenneth Norcott	
RR-2673	Kenneth Reed	
RR-2674	Kenneth T Blackmore	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2675	Kenneth Weston	
RR-2676	Kenneth Wilson	
RR-2677	Kerrin Malone	
RR-2678	Kerry Chapman	
RR-2679	Kerry Clampton	
RR-2680	Kerry Doyle	
RR-2681	Kerry Munson	
RR-2682	Kerry Vaughan	
RR-2683	Kevan Baylie	
RR-2684	Kevan Johns	
RR-2685	Kevin Ashley	
RR-2686	Kevin Billett	
RR-2687	Kevin Buddle	
RR-2688	Kevin Clampton	
RR-2689	Kevin Peberdy	
RR-2690	Kevin Perkin	
RR-2691	Kevin Ponting	
RR-2692	Khia Elliott	
RR-2693	Kieran king	
RR-2694	Kilmartin	
RR-2695	Kim Baines	
RR-2696	Kim Langley	
RR-2697	Kim Morgan	
RR-2698	Kim Pinchbeck	
RR-2699	Kim Seaton	
RR-2700	Kim vale	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2701	Kington St Michael Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2702	Kirsty Askew	
RR-2703	Kirsty Badman	
RR-2704	Kirsty Bushell	
RR-2705	Kirsty Erskine-Hill	
RR-2706	Kirsty Rich	
RR-2707	Kirsty Strachan	
RR-2708	Kit Pitman	
RR-2709	Kitty Davies	
RR-2710	Kitty King	
RR-2711	KMT Farming and Consultancy Limited	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2712	Krista sharp	
RR-2713	Kristin Brew	
RR-2714	Kristina Smith	
RR-2715	Kyle Paige	
RR-2716	L Baldry	
RR-2717	L Hill	
RR-2718	Lady Caroline Cox-Johnson	
RR-2719	Lady Veronica Farquhar	
RR-2720	Laetitia Chapman	
RR-2721	Langley Burrell Without Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2722	Larry Sargent	
RR-2723	Laura Bailes	
RR-2724	Laura Bell	
RR-2725	Laura Firth	
RR-2726	Laura Hall-Wilson	
RR-2727	Laura Hewetson	
RR-2728	Laura Hooper	
RR-2729	Laura Kaye	
RR-2730	Laura McEwen	
RR-2731	Laura Moore	
RR-2732	Laura Potter	
RR-2733	Laura Stevens	
RR-2734	Laura Taylor-Vann	
RR-2735	Laura Yeoman	
RR-2736	Laurel Penrose	
RR-2737	Lauren Hoekstra	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2738	Lauren McBride	
RR-2739	Laurence John Beesley	
RR-2740	Laurence Morley	
RR-2741	Laurence Mussett	
RR-2742	Laurence Rogers	
RR-2743	Laurence Simpson	
RR-2744	Laurey Rankin	
RR-2745	Lavinia Clare Unwin	
RR-2746	Lavinia Corbally Stourton	
RR-2747	Lavinia Hedley	
RR-2748	Lavinia Leigh	
RR-2749	Lawrence Tweedie Copeland	
RR-2750	Lea, Garsdon & Cleverton Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2751	Leah Tuck	
RR-2752	Leann Alyson Atkinson	
RR-2753	Leanna Carr	
RR-2754	Leanne Pike	
RR-2755	Leanne Punshon	
RR-2756	Leanne Wilson	
RR-2757	Lee Baigent	
RR-2758	Lee Bilham	
RR-2759	Lee Faber	
RR-2760	Lee Frances Partridge	
RR-2761	Leigh Smallman	
RR-2762	Leini Ireland	
RR-2763	Lena Joan Brown	
RR-2764	Leonora Mason	
RR-2765	Leonora Wood	
RR-2766	Lesley Allen	
RR-2767	Lesley Bailey	
RR-2768	Lesley Bennett	
RR-2769	Lesley C S Bennett	
RR-2770	Lesley Crymble	
RR-2771	Lesley Drake	
RR-2772	Lesley Holdway	
RR-2773	Lesley Janet Thomas	
RR-2774	Lesley Kenny	
RR-2775	Lesley Palmer	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2776	Lesley Spencer	
RR-2777	Lesley Wilson	
RR-2778	Leslie Albert Blackman	
RR-2779	Leslie Tuffley	
RR-2780	Leslie William Sharpe	
RR-2781	Letitia Grand	
RR-2782	Lettie Bellord	
RR-2783	Lewis Matthews	
RR-2784	Lewis Mercieca	
RR-2785	Liam Robb	
RR-2786	Lianna Smyth-Davies	
RR-2787	Lilly Mayhead	
RR-2788	Lily Gravell	
RR-2789	Lily Hicks	
RR-2790	Lily Riddick	
RR-2791	Lin Prior	
RR-2792	Linda anderson	
RR-2793	Linda Budd	
RR-2794	Linda Byrne	
RR-2795	Linda Di Claudio	
RR-2796	Linda Fitzpatrick	
RR-2797	Linda Margaret Doyle	
RR-2798	Linda Mary Johnson	
RR-2799	Linda Mary Sullivan	
RR-2800	Linda Mordaunt	
RR-2801	Linda Neaves	
RR-2802	Linda Peek	
RR-2803	Linda Ridler	
RR-2804	Linda Sankey	
RR-2805	Linda Suffolk	
RR-2806	Linda van As	
RR-2807	Linda Webb	
RR-2808	Lindsay Jennings	
RR-2809	Lindsay Mercer	
RR-2810	Lindsay Pocock	
RR-2811	Lindsey Fowler	
RR-2812	Line Whitfield	
RR-2813	Lisa Blackburn	
RR-2814	Lisa Fleming	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2815	Lisa Gregory	
RR-2816	Lisa Gunner	
RR-2817	Lisa Jane Andreae	
RR-2818	Lisa Jones	
RR-2819	Lisa Leigh Penrose	
RR-2820	Lisa Lloyd	
RR-2821	Lisa Suchet	
RR-2822	Lisa Wells	
RR-2823	Little Warren	
RR-2824	Liz O'Hanlon	
RR-2825	Liz Williams	
RR-2826	Liz Wirdnam	
RR-2827	Liza jones	
RR-2828	Lizzie Gordon	
RR-2829	Logan Thomson	
RR-2830	Lord King of Bridgwater	
RR-2831	Lorna Corbett	
RR-2832	Lorna Fowler	
RR-2833	Lorraine Ann Jeffery	
RR-2834	Lorraine Barker	
RR-2835	Lorraine Carter	
RR-2836	Lorraine Dorrian	
RR-2837	Lorraine Holland	
RR-2838	Lorraine Osborne	
RR-2839	Lorrie Turvey	
RR-2840	Lottie Bostock	
RR-2841	Lottie Hodson	
RR-2842	Lottie Murray Wells	
RR-2843	Louis Nicholls	
RR-2844	Louis Wilson	
RR-2845	Louisa Brunt	
RR-2846	Louisa Newton	
RR-2847	Louisa Oldfield	
RR-2848	Louise A Myles	
RR-2849	Louise Ann Rawlinson	
RR-2850	Louise Belsey	
RR-2851	Louise Chamberlain	
RR-2852	Louise Coombes	
RR-2853	Louise Elizabeth Deane	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2854	Louise Goacher	
RR-2855	Louise Grant	
RR-2856	Louise Hooper	
RR-2857	Louise Hull	
RR-2858	Louise Isabella Roberts	
RR-2859	Louise Lumley	
RR-2860	Louise Parfrey	
RR-2861	Louise Rayne	
RR-2862	Louise Ropner	
RR-2863	Louise Sneyd	
RR-2864	Luci Douglas pennant	
RR-2865	Lucia Tripepi	
RR-2866	Lucianna Mills	
RR-2867	Lucie King	
RR-2868	Lucienne Hughes	
RR-2869	Lucinda Bolton	
RR-2870	Lucinda Gomiciaga	
RR-2871	Lucinda Maxwell	
RR-2872	Lucinda Rose	
RR-2873	Luckington and Alderton Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2874	Lucy Anne Brakspear	
RR-2875	Lucy Burton	
RR-2876	Lucy Carolan	
RR-2877	Lucy Coxall	
RR-2878	Lucy Curtis	
RR-2879	Lucy Dalgleish	
RR-2880	Lucy Dummett	
RR-2881	Lucy Elisabeth Boggis-Rolfe	
RR-2882	Lucy Forbes	
RR-2883	Lucy Hibbard	
RR-2884	Lucy Jane Cope	
RR-2885	Lucy Lawrance	
RR-2886	Lucy Moules	
RR-2887	Lucy Osborne	
RR-2888	Lucy Teasdale	
RR-2889	Lucy Wilkinson	
RR-2890	Lucy Willis Fleming	
RR-2891	Luke Ferdinando	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2892	Luke Hollingworth	
RR-2893	Luke Maggs	
RR-2894	Lydia Michelle Howarth	
RR-2895	Lydia Walker	
RR-2896	Lyn Blackwell	
RR-2897	Lyn Crabtree	
RR-2898	Lyn Middlehurst	
RR-2899	Lynda Brown	
RR-2900	Lynda Fisher	
RR-2901	Lynda Robbins	
RR-2902	Lynda Robinson	
RR-2903	Lyndie Clarkson	
RR-2904	Lyndsey Curry	
RR-2905	Lynette Alice Lord	
RR-2906	Lynn Forrester	
RR-2907	Lynn J Letherbarrow	
RR-2908	Lynn Levy	
RR-2909	Lynn Roberts	
RR-2910	Lynn Thompson	
RR-2911	Lynne Hutchison	
RR-2912	Lynne Lawton	
RR-2913	Lynne Savage	
RR-2914	Lynne South	
RR-2915	M C O'B Horsford (Captain)	
RR-2916	M Dilworth	
RR-2917	M4 Property Solutions Limited	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2918	MÃa Ramirez	
RR-2919	Maddy Hayward-Brown	
RR-2920	Madelaine Ellis	
RR-2921	Madeline Brunt	
RR-2922	Magaly Etter	
RR-2923	Maggie Paton	
RR-2924	Maggie Pivars	
RR-2925	Mairead Harrington	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2926	Malcolm Forbes-Cable	
RR-2927	Malcolm Gerald	
RR-2928	Malcolm Keen	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2929	Malcolm Reeves	
RR-2930	Malcolm Robert Corbin	
RR-2931	Mali Gravell	
RR-2932	Malmesbury Town Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-2933	Mandy Burchell	
RR-2934	Mandy Elaine greaves	
RR-2935	Manish Jadeja	
RR-2936	Marc Boixader Riera	
RR-2937	Marc Evans	
RR-2938	Marco Furini	
RR-2939	Marcus Day	
RR-2940	Marcus Kearton	
RR-2941	Marcus Syben	
RR-2942	Marek Walisiewicz	
RR-2943	Margaret Cheese	
RR-2944	Margaret Crawford	
RR-2945	Margaret Eleanor Hammond CVO	
RR-2946	Margaret English	
RR-2947	Margaret Gore	
RR-2948	Margaret Gore	
RR-2949	Margaret Harries	
RR-2950	Margaret Hopkins	
RR-2951	Margaret Jane Gordon	
RR-2952	Margaret Joan Greenwood	
RR-2953	Margaret Lesley Dyson	
RR-2954	Margaret Matilda Matthews	
RR-2955	Margaret Pearce	
RR-2956	Margaret Watson	
RR-2957	Margaret Webster	
RR-2958	Margarita Ziborova	
RR-2959	Margot Holland	
RR-2960	Maria Coleman	
RR-2961	Maria Michelle Smart	
RR-2962	Maria Sullivan	
RR-2963	Maria Theodora della Gherardesca	
RR-2964	Mariana Victoria Magdalen Heywood	
RR-2965	Marianne Jane Overton MBE	
RR-2966	Marianne Staniforth	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-2967	Marie Mckechnie	
RR-2968	Marie-Clare Brind	
RR-2969	Marika Tham	
RR-2970	Maril Eldred	
RR-2971	Marilyn Hodsoll	
RR-2972	Marilyn June Jones	
RR-2973	Marilyn Kellond	
RR-2974	Marilyn Lesley Foggitt	
RR-2975	Marina Jacqueline Green	
RR-2976	Marina Jodrell	
RR-2977	Marina Sells	
RR-2978	Marion gilbert	
RR-2979	Marion King	
RR-2980	Marion Oxley	
RR-2981	Marisa Garry	
RR-2982	Marjorie Hodgkins	
RR-2983	Mark Andrew McIntyre	
RR-2984	Mark Andrew Wallington	
RR-2985	Mark Baines	
RR-2986	Mark Baldwin	
RR-2987	Mark Bennett	
RR-2988	Mark Bharucha	
RR-2989	Mark Cornell	
RR-2990	Mark David Greenhill	
RR-2991	Mark Dawson	
RR-2992	Mark Dismore	
RR-2993	Mark Edward James Crean	
RR-2994	Mark Edwards	
RR-2995	Mark Faithfull	
RR-2996	Mark Galvin	
RR-2997	Mark Gregory	
RR-2998	Mark Hammond	
RR-2999	Mark Harding	
RR-3000	Mark Harding	
RR-3001	Mark Hawkesworth	
RR-3002	Mark Hills	
RR-3003	Mark Hooper	
RR-3004	Mark Horton	
RR-3005	Mark Howard King	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3006	Mark Jenner	
RR-3007	Mark Lloyd	
RR-3008	Mark Lock	
RR-3009	Mark Power	
RR-3010	Mark Prout	
RR-3011	Mark Rea	
RR-3012	Mark Robert Michel	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3013	Mark Savill	
RR-3014	Mark Saxby	
RR-3015	Mark Senior	
RR-3016	Mark Simon Wilson	
RR-3017	Mark Studden	
RR-3018	Mark Sturgess	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3019	Mark Terry Kempson	
RR-3020	Mark Thomas Martin Rowe	
RR-3021	Mark Tomlinson	
RR-3022	Mark Vaughan Priest	
RR-3023	Mark Vincent Judge	
RR-3024	Mark Weaver	
RR-3025	Mark Webster	
RR-3026	Mark Wilton	
RR-3027	Marlyn Hall	
RR-3028	Martha Armitage	
RR-3029	Martha Gordon	
RR-3030	Martha Keswick	
RR-3031	Martin Andrew Foot	
RR-3032	Martin barrie Heyde	
RR-3033	Martin Fausing Smith	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3034	Martin Genner	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3035	Martin Hatcher	
RR-3036	Martin Henry Holland	
RR-3037	Martin Horton	
RR-3038	Martin James Carpenter	
RR-3039	Martin James Haggerty	
RR-3040	Martin Leyden	
RR-3041	Martin Logan	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3042	Martin McKibbin	
RR-3043	Martin Measures	
RR-3044	Martin Potter	
RR-3045	Martin Ryall	
RR-3046	Martin Symington	
RR-3047	Martin Weston	
RR-3048	Martin Woolley	
RR-3049	Martyn Garrett	
RR-3050	Martyn Leyfield	
RR-3051	Martyn Ratcliffe	
RR-3052	Martyn Richard James Joyce	
RR-3053	Mary Clare Gribbon	
RR-3054	Mary Clarke	
RR-3055	Mary Clements	
RR-3056	Mary Cole	
RR-3057	Mary Collingborn	
RR-3058	Mary Dalglish	
RR-3059	Mary Davies	
RR-3060	Mary Derrick	
RR-3061	Mary Elisabeth Owen	
RR-3062	Mary Elizabeth Calderbank	
RR-3063	Mary Elizabeth Smith	
RR-3064	Mary Evans	
RR-3065	Mary Humpherson	
RR-3066	Mary Jane Carson	
RR-3067	Mary Jane Little	
RR-3068	Mary Jane Wilkinson	
RR-3069	Mary Lussiana	
RR-3070	Mary Murphy	
RR-3071	Mary Plowden	
RR-3072	Mary Price Guinness	
RR-3073	Mary Smalley	
RR-3074	Mary Smith	
RR-3075	Mary Tyler	
RR-3076	Mary Winsome Dignan	
RR-3077	Marylise Smague	
RR-3078	Matt Bridle	
RR-3079	Matt Bryant	
RR-3080	Matt Cannon	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3081	Matt Rich	
RR-3082	Matthew Byam Shaw	
RR-3083	Matthew Cockram	
RR-3084	Matthew Denham	
RR-3085	Matthew Doe	
RR-3086	Matthew Dominik Shipp	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3087	Matthew Duquenoy	
RR-3088	Matthew Eden	
RR-3089	Matthew Edmund Grogan	
RR-3090	Matthew Gerard Newman	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3091	Matthew Hall	
RR-3092	Matthew Harding	
RR-3093	Matthew Hillier	
RR-3094	Matthew Hood	
RR-3095	Matthew Maddams	
RR-3096	Matthew Oxford	
RR-3097	Matthew Paget	
RR-3098	Matthew Rose	
RR-3099	Matthew Short	
RR-3100	Matthew Simms	
RR-3101	Matthew Steele	
RR-3102	Matthew Thomas	
RR-3103	Matthew Tom Withers	
RR-3104	Mattias Ljungman	
RR-3105	Maura Ferdinando	
RR-3106	Maura Poole	
RR-3107	Maureen Foley	
RR-3108	Maureen Inwards	
RR-3109	Maureen Janet Blake	
RR-3110	Maureen O'Mahoney	
RR-3111	Maurice Evans	
RR-3112	Mavis Head	
RR-3113	Max Harvey-Orpen	
RR-3114	Max Lilley	
RR-3115	Maximillian Thomas Bray	
RR-3116	Maxwell William Dear	
RR-3117	Maxwell William Myers	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3118	McNicol household	
RR-3119	Meave Hamill	
RR-3120	Megan Jean Luddington	
RR-3121	Melanie Ann Fitzsimons	
RR-3122	Melanie Ann Wilde	
RR-3123	Melanie Anne Beeching	
RR-3124	Melanie Blackman	
RR-3125	Melanie Greggain	
RR-3126	Melanie Harris	
RR-3127	Melanie Hill-Walker	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3128	Melanie Hobbs	
RR-3129	Melanie Knight	
RR-3130	Melanie Lawrence	
RR-3131	Melanie Sturgess	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3132	Melanie Thaw	
RR-3133	Melanie Turfrey	
RR-3134	Melanie Verrall	
RR-3135	Melanie Wilkinson	
RR-3136	Melinda Pumphrey	
RR-3137	Melissa Griffiths	
RR-3138	Melissa Hunt	
RR-3139	Melissa Robb	
RR-3140	Melissa Tuckwell	
RR-3141	Meksham Without Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3142	Melvyn Hourigan	
RR-3143	Melvyn Pickering	
RR-3144	Mercita Collett	
RR-3145	Meriel Moore-Colyer	
RR-3146	Messer Nicholas	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3147	Mhairi Gould	
RR-3148	Mia George	
RR-3149	Michael B Turner	
RR-3150	Michael Baines	
RR-3151	Michael Barrett Johnson	
RR-3152	Michael Blowfield	
RR-3153	Michael Botham	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3154	Michael Bouet	
RR-3155	Michael Bowring	
RR-3156	Michael Braithwaite Wicks	
RR-3157	Michael Bucher	
RR-3158	Michael Canty	
RR-3159	Michael Carmichael	
RR-3160	Michael Carver	
RR-3161	Michael Chadwick	
RR-3162	Michael Clark	
RR-3163	Michael Cottle	
RR-3164	Michael D F French	
RR-3165	Michael David Lees	
RR-3166	Michael dermott kemp	
RR-3167	Michael Dible	
RR-3168	Michael Fellows	
RR-3169	Michael Galvin	
RR-3170	Michael Godby	
RR-3171	Michael Goodenough	
RR-3172	Michael Green	
RR-3173	Michael Guy	
RR-3174	Michael Hewlett	
RR-3175	Michael Hinwood	
RR-3176	Michael Holstead	
RR-3177	Michael Holt	
RR-3178	Michael Horner	
RR-3179	Michael J Palmer	
RR-3180	Michael James Rogers	
RR-3181	Michael John Graves	
RR-3182	Michael John Green	
RR-3183	Michael John Hume	
RR-3184	Michael John Jones	
RR-3185	Michael John Laurie	
RR-3186	Michael John Ridsdale	
RR-3187	Michael John Tarrant	
RR-3188	Michael John Wilson	
RR-3189	Michael Jonathan Edgley-Pyshorn	
RR-3190	Michael Jones	
RR-3191	Michael Langtree	
RR-3192	Michael Llewellyn Palmer	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3193	Michael Moss MRICS	
RR-3194	Michael Neal	
RR-3195	Michael P Lucas	
RR-3196	Michael Prior	
RR-3197	Michael Ratcliffe	
RR-3198	Michael Robert Edge	
RR-3199	Michael Robertson	
RR-3200	Michael Robinson	
RR-3201	Michael Robinson	
RR-3202	Michael Sherborne	
RR-3203	Michael Sital-Singh	
RR-3204	Michael Thornbury	
RR-3205	Michael Todd Nightingale	
RR-3206	Michael Tremayne	
RR-3207	Michael William Eccles	
RR-3208	Michael Wright	
RR-3209	Michaela Boldy	
RR-3210	Michaela Davies	
RR-3211	Michelle Clarke	
RR-3212	Michelle Haley	
RR-3213	Michelle Heidi White	
RR-3214	Michelle Lang	
RR-3215	Michelle Maxwell	
RR-3216	Michelle Stirling	
RR-3217	Michelle White	
RR-3218	Mike Atkinson	
RR-3219	Mike Credicott	
RR-3220	Mike Griffin	
RR-3221	Mike Hussey	
RR-3222	Mike Klinkenberg	
RR-3223	Mike Mitchell	
RR-3224	Mike Pearson	
RR-3225	Mike Smith	
RR-3226	Miles Brandi	
RR-3227	Miles Clayton Edmeston	
RR-3228	Millie Taylor	
RR-3229	Minnie Winifred Jillian Bowyer	
RR-3230	Miranda Beaufort	
RR-3231	Miranda Deirdre Eden	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3232	Miranda Donovan	
RR-3233	Miranda Shirniah	
RR-3234	Mirza Hassan Ali Baig	
RR-3235	Miss Alexandra Bibby	
RR-3236	Miss Caron Myles	
RR-3237	Miss D M Ings	
RR-3238	MISS J L TAYLOR	
RR-3239	Miss Jacqueline Beeney	
RR-3240	Mitchell Outlaw	
RR-3241	MISmart	
RR-3242	Moiria Leitch	
RR-3243	Molly Gupwell	
RR-3244	Monika Kiss	
RR-3245	Monika Lesiecka	
RR-3246	Monty Shadbolt	
RR-3247	Mostyn Neil Hamilton	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3248	Moya O'Doherty	
RR-3249	Moya Webb	
RR-3250	Mr & Mrs Full	
RR-3251	Mr A Francis	
RR-3252	Mr Adrian Payne	
RR-3253	Mr Alan Bryant	
RR-3254	Mr Allan Michael George	
RR-3255	Mr Andrew Frances	
RR-3256	Mr Ballard	
RR-3257	Mr Brian Pinchbeck	
RR-3258	Mr Chris Barrow	
RR-3259	Mr Christian J Laidlaw	
RR-3260	Mr Christopher Barski	
RR-3261	Mr Christopher J White	
RR-3262	Mr Christopher Lloyd Tilley	
RR-3263	Mr Christopher Palmer-Tomkinson	
RR-3264	Mr Christopher Taper	
RR-3265	Mr Christopher Taylor	
RR-3266	Mr Christopher W Brodie	
RR-3267	Mr David Akerman	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3268	Mr David Briggs	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3269	Mr David Forbes Briggs	
RR-3270	Mr E Collett	
RR-3271	Mr Eric George Gough	
RR-3272	Mr Eric Gough	
RR-3273	Mr Frederick Peter Winup	
RR-3274	Mr Gary Liddington	
RR-3275	Mr Graeme Pattison	
RR-3276	Mr Graham Beech	
RR-3277	Mr Graham Lewis	
RR-3278	Mr Ian L McDonald	
RR-3279	Mr James Jones	
RR-3280	Mr John Henry Rushton	
RR-3281	Mr Jonathan Dummett	
RR-3282	Mr Kenneth Bridgwater	
RR-3283	Mr Lawrence Wilcock	
RR-3284	Mr Mallory Alex Partridge	
RR-3285	Mr Mark Murphy	
RR-3286	Mr Mark Williams	
RR-3287	Mr Martin Saunders	
RR-3288	Mr Michael I Elam	
RR-3289	Mr Michael Tarrant	
RR-3290	Mr Moray Macnee	
RR-3291	Mr N R Tyrie	
RR-3292	Mr Neil James Woodhams	
RR-3293	Mr p white	
RR-3294	Mr Paul Dodimead	
RR-3295	Mr Paul Francis Wood	
RR-3296	Mr Paul Leitch	
RR-3297	Mr Pete Sladden	
RR-3298	Mr Robert Anthony Dennis	
RR-3299	Mr S Roberts	
RR-3300	Mr Stephen Auty	
RR-3301	Mr Stephen Challis	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3302	Mr Stuart Raymond Jackson	
RR-3303	Mr Timothy Joyce	
RR-3304	Mr Trevor Chambers	
RR-3305	Mr Victor I Church	
RR-3306	Mr Vincent Osborne	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3307	Mr Vivian Jupp	
RR-3308	Mr William Roy Eastlake	
RR-3309	Mr Yousaf Mirza	
RR-3310	Mr. David Gernonn	
RR-3311	Mr. Michael Stone	
RR-3312	Mrs A B Leaver	
RR-3313	Mrs Adelaide Diana Lamb	
RR-3314	Mrs Anita C Ramsay	
RR-3315	Mrs Anna Kent	
RR-3316	Mrs Anne Roberts	
RR-3317	Mrs Beech	
RR-3318	Mrs Beryl Mehers	
RR-3319	Mrs Caroline Baker	
RR-3320	Mrs Caroline Meakin	
RR-3321	Mrs Carolyn Smyth	
RR-3322	Mrs Christina helps	
RR-3323	Mrs Christine Chancellor	
RR-3324	Mrs Daisy Brenton-Potter	
RR-3325	Mrs Daphne Lewis	
RR-3326	Mrs Deirdre Wild	
RR-3327	Mrs Delyse Miller	
RR-3328	Mrs Denise P Gibson	
RR-3329	Mrs Denise S Lysaght	
RR-3330	Mrs Dorinda A Runacres	
RR-3331	Mrs Elisabeth Amanda Dobson	
RR-3332	Mrs Frances J Gammie	
RR-3333	Mrs Frances S Coles	
RR-3334	Mrs Helen Barski	
RR-3335	Mrs Jackie Miles	
RR-3336	Mrs Janet Conley	
RR-3337	Mrs Janette P Barker	
RR-3338	Mrs Jean Hopkins	
RR-3339	Mrs Jennifer Ann Woolley	
RR-3340	Mrs Jennifer Carter	
RR-3341	Mrs Jennifer K Hulbert	
RR-3342	Mrs Jill Biss	
RR-3343	Mrs Juliet Gardiner	
RR-3344	Mrs Karen Corstorphine	
RR-3345	Mrs Karen Shergold	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3346	Mrs Kate Losty	
RR-3347	Mrs Katharine Tozer	
RR-3348	Mrs Lesley Anne Tilley	
RR-3349	Mrs Lisa Hewett	
RR-3350	Mrs Lisa Mae Priest	
RR-3351	Mrs Louise Akerman	
RR-3352	Mrs Louise Lynes	
RR-3353	Mrs Lucy Greenish	
RR-3354	Mrs Marilyn Archer	
RR-3355	Mrs Marilyn June Jones	
RR-3356	Mrs Marina Suzanne Cowan	
RR-3357	Mrs Mary Christine Lees	
RR-3358	Mrs Maureen Foley	
RR-3359	Mrs Maureen Heather Hobbs	
RR-3360	Mrs Melanie Barbara Laidlaw	
RR-3361	Mrs Michaela Harding	
RR-3362	Mrs Miriam Sydney-Smith	
RR-3363	Mrs Pamela Hudson	
RR-3364	Mrs Penelope Farquharson	
RR-3365	Mrs Rita Pike	
RR-3366	Mrs Rosemary Wilding	
RR-3367	Mrs Ruth Wrixon	
RR-3368	Mrs S Finch	
RR-3369	Mrs Sadie Jones	
RR-3370	Mrs Sandra Traynor	
RR-3371	Mrs Sara Jane McNeil	
RR-3372	Mrs Sarah Blezard	
RR-3373	Mrs Sarah Ellis	
RR-3374	Mrs Sarah Harrison	
RR-3375	Mrs Sarah Richmond	
RR-3376	Mrs Sarah Wallace	
RR-3377	Mrs Serena Evans	
RR-3378	Mrs Shelley Dodimead	
RR-3379	Mrs Sophie Grogan	
RR-3380	Mrs Sue Giles	
RR-3381	Mrs Sue Young	
RR-3382	Mrs Susan Shortridge	
RR-3383	Mrs Sylvia Latham	
RR-3384	Mrs Teresa Lodge	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3385	Mrs Tina Perry	
RR-3386	Mrs V Jane Milligan	
RR-3387	Mrs Vicki L Clarke	
RR-3388	Mrs Victoria Betsy Gordon Petley	
RR-3389	Mrs Wendy Hayes	
RR-3390	Mrs. Camilla Monck	
RR-3391	Mrs. Debbie Short	
RR-3392	Mrs.Susan Reeves-Bassett	
RR-3393	Ms Allison Vizor	
RR-3394	Ms Jaana M Laidlaw	
RR-3395	Ms S Thakorlal	
RR-3396	Muriel Reeves	
RR-3397	Murray Williams	
RR-3398	Myles Marchigton	
RR-3399	Myra Ann Chappell	
RR-3400	Myra Bennett	
RR-3401	Myra Sandars	
RR-3402	Myrtle Evelyn White	
RR-3403	N Ford	
RR-3404	N Phillips	
RR-3405	Nadiya Boyar	
RR-3406	Nancy Chamberlain	
RR-3407	Nancy H Saldana	
RR-3408	Nancy Lawson	
RR-3409	Naomi Black	
RR-3410	Naomi Elizabeth Alford	
RR-3411	Naomi Reynolds	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3412	Naomi Watts	
RR-3413	Natalie Hurdle	
RR-3414	Natalie Poole-Adams	
RR-3415	Natalie Winchurch	
RR-3416	Natasha Gane	
RR-3417	Natasha Hole-Jones	
RR-3418	Natasha May	
RR-3419	Natasha Thorbek	
RR-3420	Nathan Bankhead	
RR-3421	Nathan Dawes	
RR-3422	Nathan Mills	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3423	National Gas Transmission PLC	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3424	National Grid Electricity Distribution (South West) plc	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3425	National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3426	National Highways	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3427	Natural England	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3428	Natural Face Aesthetics	
RR-3429	Ned Falconer	
RR-3430	Neil Atkinson	
RR-3431	Neil Fox	
RR-3432	Neil George	
RR-3433	Neil Hickman	
RR-3434	Neil Howard Pidduck	
RR-3435	Neil Hutton	
RR-3436	Neil Morris	
RR-3437	Neil Parkes	
RR-3438	Neil Peter Record	
RR-3439	Neil R. Fishburne	
RR-3440	Neil Turner	
RR-3441	Neil Weatherley	
RR-3442	Neil Williams	
RR-3443	Nettleton Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3444	Neville Courtenay Cheverton	
RR-3445	Neville John Gliddon	
RR-3446	Niamh Hayes	
RR-3447	Nic Corless	
RR-3448	Nic Turfrey	
RR-3449	Nicholas Alan Coleborn	
RR-3450	Nicholas Anthony Gill	
RR-3451	Nicholas Archie Tatham	
RR-3452	Nicholas Barton	
RR-3453	Nicholas Brakspear	
RR-3454	Nicholas Clark	
RR-3455	Nicholas Coombs	
RR-3456	Nicholas Eldred	
RR-3457	Nicholas Fane De Salis	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3458	Nicholas Grant-Thorold	
RR-3459	Nicholas Hardy	
RR-3460	Nicholas Hare	
RR-3461	Nicholas Holland	
RR-3462	Nicholas Hornby	
RR-3463	Nicholas Howes	
RR-3464	Nicholas John Gatenby	
RR-3465	Nicholas John Green	
RR-3466	Nicholas John Rutherford Davis	
RR-3467	Nicholas Keveth	
RR-3468	Nicholas Page	
RR-3469	Nicholas Peers-Dent	
RR-3470	Nicholas Pelham	
RR-3471	Nicholas Perry	
RR-3472	Nicholas Riley	
RR-3473	Nicholas Robert Burridge	
RR-3474	Nicholas Rowe	
RR-3475	Nicholas Stokes	
RR-3476	Nicholas Vale	
RR-3477	Nicholas Wiles	
RR-3478	Nicholas Winkfield	
RR-3479	Nicholas Woodman Mitchell	
RR-3480	Nicholas Woods	
RR-3481	Nick Battersby	
RR-3482	Nick Brock	
RR-3483	Nick Crean	
RR-3484	Nick Greene	
RR-3485	Nick Hood	
RR-3486	Nick Mitchell	
RR-3487	Nick Skelton	
RR-3488	Nicki Houghton	
RR-3489	Nicki Robson	
RR-3490	Nicky Alberry	
RR-3491	Nicky Butler	
RR-3492	Nicky Harraway	
RR-3493	Nicky Powell	
RR-3494	Nicky Staveley	
RR-3495	Nicola Adams	
RR-3496	Nicola Brown	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3497	Nicola Carley	
RR-3498	Nicola Cavalot	
RR-3499	Nicola Earle	
RR-3500	Nicola Floyd	
RR-3501	Nicola Frances Macdonald	
RR-3502	Nicola Gay	
RR-3503	Nicola Gray	
RR-3504	Nicola Hargrave	
RR-3505	Nicola Hope	
RR-3506	Nicola Iles	
RR-3507	Nicola J Beale	
RR-3508	Nicola Jane Harrison	
RR-3509	Nicola Jee	
RR-3510	Nicola Kendell	
RR-3511	Nicola Ker	
RR-3512	Nicola Maguire	
RR-3513	Nicola Maunton	
RR-3514	Nicola Plummer	
RR-3515	Nicola Purkess	
RR-3516	Nicola Rhiannon Parry	
RR-3517	Nicola Salmon	
RR-3518	Nicola Sarson	
RR-3519	Nicola Turton	
RR-3520	Nicola Webb	
RR-3521	Nicole Beazer	
RR-3522	Nicole Eregyin	
RR-3523	Nige Thacker	
RR-3524	Nigel Anderson Brereton	
RR-3525	Nigel Andrews	
RR-3526	Nigel Austen	
RR-3527	Nigel Boulter	
RR-3528	Nigel Cannon	
RR-3529	Nigel chamberlain	
RR-3530	Nigel Greenman	
RR-3531	Nigel Higginbottom	
RR-3532	Nigel John Freeth	
RR-3533	Nigel Lang	
RR-3534	Nigel Lawrence Dent	
RR-3535	Nigel Martin Snook	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3536	Nigel Matthew Thomas	
RR-3537	Nigel Meek	
RR-3538	Nigel Norton	
RR-3539	Nigel Oxley	
RR-3540	Nigel Partridge	
RR-3541	Nigel Paul parmenter	
RR-3542	Nigel Peter Amor	
RR-3543	Nigel Roberts	
RR-3544	Nigel Stevens	
RR-3545	Nigel Tapp	
RR-3546	Nikki Ford	
RR-3547	Nikki Tilley	
RR-3548	Nina Meddings	
RR-3549	Nina Millar	
RR-3550	Nina Pritchard	
RR-3551	Noel Hugh Cleave	
RR-3552	Noelle Southam	
RR-3553	Nola Laidlaw	
RR-3554	Norbert Mak	
RR-3555	Norma Staniforth	
RR-3556	Norman John Samuel	
RR-3557	Norman Johnsen	
RR-3558	North Wiltshire Friends of the Earth	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3559	Norton and Foxley Parish	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3560	Norton Parochial Church Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3561	Nuala Oughton	
RR-3562	Nyna Solanki	
RR-3563	Old Swan Inn Sherston	
RR-3564	Oliver Baines	
RR-3565	Oliver Chadwick	
RR-3566	Oliver Clark	
RR-3567	Oliver Clifton	
RR-3568	Oliver Cox	
RR-3569	Oliver Edward Beauchamp Blackett	
RR-3570	Oliver Findlay	
RR-3571	Oliver Hughes	
RR-3572	Oliver John Francis	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3573	Oliver Lambert	
RR-3574	Oliver Leonard	
RR-3575	Oliver Meakin	
RR-3576	Oliver Robert Miles Johnson	
RR-3577	Oliver Ross	
RR-3578	Oliver Samuel Charles Shipp	
RR-3579	Oliver Stroud	
RR-3580	Oliver Thompson	
RR-3581	Oliver Timothy Gravell	
RR-3582	Olivia Bush	
RR-3583	Olivia Frost	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3584	Olivia Grant Thorold	
RR-3585	Olivia Hoodless	
RR-3586	Olivia Louise Maxwell	
RR-3587	Olivia Madders	
RR-3588	Olivia Sketchley	
RR-3589	Olwen Shellard	
RR-3590	Oscar Elliott	
RR-3591	Oscar Hammond	
RR-3592	P & R Hodson Partnership	
RR-3593	Paddy Dear	
RR-3594	Paddy Ryan	
RR-3595	Pagan Hopkinson	
RR-3596	Pam Chase	
RR-3597	Pam Storate	
RR-3598	Pam Tilling	
RR-3599	Pamela Clifford	
RR-3600	Pamela Fiona Robinson	
RR-3601	Pamela Griffiths	
RR-3602	Pamela Lucas	
RR-3603	Pamela Rawlins	
RR-3604	Pamela Tredwin	
RR-3605	Pandora Webb	
RR-3606	Pat Lockett	
RR-3607	Patricia Ann Moody	
RR-3608	Patricia Ann Sankey	
RR-3609	Patricia Clare Homfray	
RR-3610	Patricia Douglas	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3611	Patricia Gilchrist	
RR-3612	Patricia Hammersley	
RR-3613	Patricia Jane Mounsey	
RR-3614	Patricia Joy Lawrence	
RR-3615	Patricia Pooley	
RR-3616	Patricia Riches	
RR-3617	Patricia Simmonds	
RR-3618	Patricia Smith	
RR-3619	Patricia Steele	
RR-3620	Patrick Charles Green	
RR-3621	Patrick Eve	
RR-3622	Patrick Graves	
RR-3623	Patrick Hall	
RR-3624	Patrick Jennings	
RR-3625	Patrick Stockreisser	
RR-3626	Patrick Williams	
RR-3627	Patrick Zahara	
RR-3628	Paul Adrian Racey	
RR-3629	Paul Anthony Carter	
RR-3630	Paul Anthony Dowell	
RR-3631	Paul Anthony Ormiston	
RR-3632	Paul Aviss	
RR-3633	Paul Barlow	
RR-3634	Paul Barnes	
RR-3635	Paul Barton	
RR-3636	Paul Blackburn	
RR-3637	Paul Bray	
RR-3638	Paul Burgess	
RR-3639	Paul Burton	
RR-3640	Paul Clive Smith	
RR-3641	Paul Corrigan	
RR-3642	Paul Craddock	
RR-3643	Paul Damsell	
RR-3644	Paul Dawson	
RR-3645	Paul Dominic Jameson	
RR-3646	Paul Duncan	
RR-3647	Paul Filmer	
RR-3648	Paul Frank Anthony Nash	
RR-3649	Paul Freeth	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3650	Paul Froggatt	
RR-3651	Paul Godwin	
RR-3652	Paul Gregory	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3653	Paul Gregory Woloschuk	
RR-3654	Paul Griffiths	
RR-3655	Paul Hackett	
RR-3656	Paul Haenel	
RR-3657	Paul Hamblin	
RR-3658	Paul Hatcher	
RR-3659	Paul Hepworth	
RR-3660	Paul Hollingdrake	
RR-3661	Paul Hounsell	
RR-3662	Paul Hutchence	
RR-3663	Paul Ivens	
RR-3664	Paul James Deacon	
RR-3665	Paul John Reeves	
RR-3666	Paul John Wallace	
RR-3667	Paul Johnson	
RR-3668	Paul Jones	
RR-3669	Paul Kirk	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3670	Paul Kirkham	
RR-3671	Paul Lavender	
RR-3672	Paul Leighfield	
RR-3673	Paul Leon Stanford	
RR-3674	Paul Lewis	
RR-3675	Paul Martin Gatland	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3676	Paul Mears	
RR-3677	Paul Mewton	
RR-3678	Paul O'Brien	
RR-3679	Paul Rainger	
RR-3680	Paul Reeves	
RR-3681	Paul Richard Wallis	
RR-3682	Paul Robbins	
RR-3683	Paul Robinson	
RR-3684	Paul Robinson	
RR-3685	Paul Robinson	
RR-3686	Paul Sheard	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3687	Paul Simmons	
RR-3688	Paul Snook	
RR-3689	Paul Stevens	
RR-3690	Paul Vernon Baker	
RR-3691	Paul Ward	
RR-3692	Paul Westnedge	
RR-3693	Paul Whittock	
RR-3694	Paul William Bailey	
RR-3695	Paul William Beamson	
RR-3696	Paul Williams	
RR-3697	Paul Woloschuk	
RR-3698	Paula Ann Robinson	
RR-3699	Paula Brown	
RR-3700	Paula C Smith	
RR-3701	Paula Downing	
RR-3702	Paula Purser	
RR-3703	Paulene Dunn	
RR-3704	Pauline Allison Hall	
RR-3705	Pauline Cameron	
RR-3706	Pauline Curthoys	
RR-3707	Pauline Henson	
RR-3708	Pauline Lock	
RR-3709	Pauline Loveday	
RR-3710	Pauline Orchard	
RR-3711	Pea Wallace	
RR-3712	Peggotty Livingstone-Learmonth	
RR-3713	Penelope Ann Bowen	
RR-3714	Penelope Bruce	
RR-3715	Penelope Gyle-Thompson	
RR-3716	Penelope Mary Towne Crawshaw	
RR-3717	Penelope Walker	
RR-3718	Pennie Harwin	
RR-3719	Penny Bowring	
RR-3720	Penny Boyland	
RR-3721	Penny Hutchings	
RR-3722	Penny Marshall	
RR-3723	Pete Gash	
RR-3724	Pete Hickerton	
RR-3725	Pete Rushton	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3726	Peter & Denise Nicholls	
RR-3727	Peter Alan Thomas	
RR-3728	Peter Carr-Jones	
RR-3729	Peter Charles McGarrick	
RR-3730	Peter Clark	
RR-3731	Peter Cole	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3732	Peter Cole	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3733	Peter Cook	
RR-3734	Peter Cullen	
RR-3735	Peter Desmond Nash	
RR-3736	Peter Fairweather	
RR-3737	Peter Fisk	
RR-3738	Peter Fitzcharles	
RR-3739	Peter Frank Boggis	
RR-3740	Peter Hopkinson	
RR-3741	Peter Hutchins	
RR-3742	Peter James White	
RR-3743	Peter Jeffreys	
RR-3744	Peter Jeremy Gibson	
RR-3745	Peter John Hatherell	
RR-3746	Peter John Noest	
RR-3747	Peter John Simmonds	
RR-3748	Peter Johnston	
RR-3749	Peter Kenneth Moss	
RR-3750	Peter Knight	
RR-3751	Peter Landgrebe	
RR-3752	Peter Lomas	
RR-3753	Peter M Harper	
RR-3754	Peter Mallaband	
RR-3755	Peter Mark Stewart	
RR-3756	Peter Mortley	
RR-3757	Peter Nicholas Sneyd	
RR-3758	Peter O'Sullivan	
RR-3759	Peter Parry-Williams	
RR-3760	Peter Penrose	
RR-3761	Peter Quilley	
RR-3762	Peter Richards	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3763	Peter Sampson	
RR-3764	Peter Sewell McHenry	
RR-3765	Peter Simon Kershaw	
RR-3766	Peter Stanley	
RR-3767	Peter Story	
RR-3768	Peter Stucley	
RR-3769	Peter Swallow	
RR-3770	Peter Toft	
RR-3771	Peter Waylett	
RR-3772	Peter Williams	
RR-3773	Pewsey Community Area Partnership	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3774	Phil Dyke	
RR-3775	Phil sharpe	
RR-3776	Philip Akerman	
RR-3777	Philip Bailey	
RR-3778	Philip Barker	
RR-3779	Philip Brown	
RR-3780	Philip Burden	
RR-3781	Philip Burrows	
RR-3782	Philip Charles Davey	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3783	Philip Cooper Evans	
RR-3784	Philip Cozens	
RR-3785	Philip H Carter	
RR-3786	Philip Hall	
RR-3787	Philip Harper	
RR-3788	Philip Harrison	
RR-3789	Philip Jackson	
RR-3790	Philip John Sturgeon	
RR-3791	Philip john vickery MBE,DL	
RR-3792	Philip Kaye	
RR-3793	Philip Malpas	
RR-3794	Philip Miles	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3795	Philip Moody	
RR-3796	Philip Moore	
RR-3797	Philip Nicholson	
RR-3798	Philip Parkinson	
RR-3799	Philip Peter Waters	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3800	Philip Simms	
RR-3801	Philip Snow	
RR-3802	Philip Walter Evans	
RR-3803	Philippa Beckwith	
RR-3804	Philippa Gay Gray	
RR-3805	Philippa Hodson	
RR-3806	Philippa Jones	
RR-3807	Philippa Le Quesne Herbert	
RR-3808	Philippa Lord	
RR-3809	Philippa Matthews	
RR-3810	Philippa Neel	
RR-3811	Philippa Wallace	
RR-3812	Phillip Goodchild	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3813	Phillip Hawkins	
RR-3814	Phillip Henry Watson	
RR-3815	Phillip hutchings	
RR-3816	Phillip Steven Hamblin	
RR-3817	Phillip Tozer	
RR-3818	Phillip Wheeler	
RR-3819	Phillip Williams	
RR-3820	Phillipa Roche	
RR-3821	Phoebe Dickinson	
RR-3822	Phoebe Howes	
RR-3823	Piers Dibben	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3824	Piers Dickinson	
RR-3825	Piers Gibb	
RR-3826	Piers Petrie	
RR-3827	Piers Simonelli	
RR-3828	Pip Windsor	
RR-3829	Pippa Hibbard	
RR-3830	Pippa McLeish	
RR-3831	Pippa Webb-Carter	
RR-3832	Polly Bateman	
RR-3833	Polly Bawden	
RR-3834	Polly Hawker	
RR-3835	Polly Morton	
RR-3836	Polly Tuckwell	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3837	Poppy Clark	
RR-3838	Poppy Ross	
RR-3839	Poppy Shadbolt	
RR-3840	Poppy Wyatt	
RR-3841	Practical car and van rental	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3842	Priscilla Austen	
RR-3843	Pritpal Dhillon	
RR-3844	Prof Jonathan Vaughan	
RR-3845	Purusha Gordon	
RR-3846	Quentin Kidner	
RR-3847	R Baggott	
RR-3848	R Leslie	
RR-3849	Rachael Baker	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3850	Rachael Davies	
RR-3851	Rachael Elaine Sexton	
RR-3852	Rachael Hogg	
RR-3853	Rachael McHenry	
RR-3854	Rachael Simpson	
RR-3855	Rachael Westhead	
RR-3856	Rachael White	
RR-3857	Rachel Anne McLeish	
RR-3858	Rachel Bristow	
RR-3859	Rachel Brown	
RR-3860	Rachel Buckle	
RR-3861	Rachel Cooper	
RR-3862	Rachel Cripps	
RR-3863	Rachel Gregory	
RR-3864	Rachel Hamblin	
RR-3865	Rachel Hodgkinson	
RR-3866	Rachel Hood	
RR-3867	Rachel Kemp	
RR-3868	Rachel Mary de Fossard	
RR-3869	Rachel Moore	
RR-3870	Rachel Olejnik	
RR-3871	Rachel Reddy	
RR-3872	Rachel Ruth Copeland	
RR-3873	Rachel Wells	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3874	Rachel Wood	
RR-3875	Rachel yandell	
RR-3876	Rae Long	
RR-3877	Raich Reeves-Bassett	
RR-3878	Ralph and Christine Plummer	
RR-3879	Ralph Bellamy	
RR-3880	Ralph Maxwell	
RR-3881	Ralph Timms	
RR-3882	Raphe Alun Langdon	
RR-3883	Ray Clifton	
RR-3884	Raymond Falconer	
RR-3885	Raymond Harry Stockall	
RR-3886	Raymond Hatherly	
RR-3887	Raymond John Jones	
RR-3888	Rebecca Atterbury-Thomas	
RR-3889	Rebecca Bardwell	
RR-3890	Rebecca Corcoran	
RR-3891	Rebecca Danson	
RR-3892	Rebecca Derry-Evans	
RR-3893	Rebecca Drew	
RR-3894	Rebecca Faskin	
RR-3895	Rebecca Fisher	
RR-3896	Rebecca Gay	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3897	Rebecca Hill	
RR-3898	Rebecca Lambert	
RR-3899	Rebecca Langridge	
RR-3900	Rebecca Louise Whitworth	
RR-3901	Rebecca McAlpine	
RR-3902	Rebecca Minty	
RR-3903	Rebecca Monk	
RR-3904	Rebecca Neville	
RR-3905	Rebecca Perry	
RR-3906	Rebecca Playford	
RR-3907	Rebecca Sargeant	
RR-3908	Rebecca Saunders	
RR-3909	Rebecca Vale	
RR-3910	Rebecca Worsley	
RR-3911	Rebeccah Knight	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3912	Rebekah Hayward	
RR-3913	Reg Hendy	
RR-3914	Regina Buechner-Cross	
RR-3915	Reginald Watson	
RR-3916	Renee Tuck	
RR-3917	Rhyddian Roper	
RR-3918	Ricardo Gomiciaga	
RR-3919	Rich Parry	
RR-3920	Richard Ã Brassard	
RR-3921	Richard Anthony Opperman	
RR-3922	Richard Antony McCraith	
RR-3923	Richard Arthur Skeffington	
RR-3924	Richard Boggis-Rolfe	
RR-3925	Richard Bond	
RR-3926	Richard Cary	
RR-3927	Richard Charles Alan Cox	
RR-3928	Richard Cooper	
RR-3929	Richard Dalglish	
RR-3930	Richard Farquhar	
RR-3931	Richard Feldmanis	
RR-3932	Richard Giles	
RR-3933	Richard Gray	
RR-3934	Richard Greatrex	
RR-3935	Richard Green	
RR-3936	Richard Groome	
RR-3937	Richard Hand	
RR-3938	Richard Harris	
RR-3939	Richard Hedley Newman	
RR-3940	Richard Henshall	
RR-3941	Richard Hugh Cutcliffe Vaux	
RR-3942	Richard Hulett	
RR-3943	Richard J A Burnell	
RR-3944	Richard J Ash-Price	
RR-3945	Richard James Brocklehurst	
RR-3946	Richard James Greatrex-Smith	
RR-3947	Richard John Phillips	
RR-3948	Richard John Wyatt	
RR-3949	Richard Jonathan Davies	
RR-3950	Richard Jowitt	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3951	Richard Keenan	
RR-3952	Richard Kirk	
RR-3953	Richard Knight	
RR-3954	Richard Lamb	
RR-3955	Richard Langley	
RR-3956	Richard Marshall	
RR-3957	Richard Mellowes	
RR-3958	Richard Miles	
RR-3959	Richard Neale	
RR-3960	Richard Norman Addison	
RR-3961	Richard Oddy	
RR-3962	Richard Oldfield	
RR-3963	Richard Pearson	
RR-3964	richard pilkington	
RR-3965	Richard Poulton	
RR-3966	Richard Scrope	
RR-3967	Richard Smith	
RR-3968	Richard Spencer	
RR-3969	Richard Stump	
RR-3970	Richard Tanner	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-3971	Richard Tout	
RR-3972	Richard Waller	
RR-3973	Richard Warren MBE	
RR-3974	Richard Warrington	
RR-3975	Richard William George Threlfall	
RR-3976	Rick Carley	
RR-3977	Ricky Dingle	
RR-3978	Riley Hare	
RR-3979	Rita Mary Pike	
RR-3980	Ro Collingborn	
RR-3981	Rob Minter	
RR-3982	Rob Pattinson	
RR-3983	Rob Tweedie	
RR-3984	Robert Alan Bentley	
RR-3985	Robert Alan Chanter	
RR-3986	Robert Alan Shotton	
RR-3987	Robert Arthur Head	
RR-3988	Robert Bolton	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-3989	Robert Bozas	
RR-3990	Robert Brown	
RR-3991	Robert C S Hall	
RR-3992	Robert Clarke	
RR-3993	Robert Dalton-Morris	
RR-3994	Robert David Geoffrey Hanlon	
RR-3995	Robert Edward Baker	
RR-3996	Robert Edwin Caddick	
RR-3997	Robert Eustace	
RR-3998	Robert Frederick Green	
RR-3999	Robert George Corbin	
RR-4000	Robert Goacher	
RR-4001	Robert Hammersley	
RR-4002	Robert Harrison	
RR-4003	Robert Hastings	
RR-4004	Robert Hatfield	
RR-4005	Robert Hinks	
RR-4006	Robert Hyatt	
RR-4007	Robert James Clark	
RR-4008	Robert John Adams	
RR-4009	Robert John Charman	
RR-4010	Robert John Dehnert	
RR-4011	Robert John Smith	
RR-4012	Robert Johnson	
RR-4013	Robert Johnson	
RR-4014	Robert Leigh Hare	
RR-4015	Robert MacLachlan	
RR-4016	Robert Michael Iles	
RR-4017	Robert Preece	
RR-4018	Robert Smith	
RR-4019	Robert Stephen Derry-Evans	
RR-4020	Robert Stuart James Rowell	
RR-4021	Robert Suchet	
RR-4022	Robert Vincent St John Wright	
RR-4023	Robert Waddington	
RR-4024	Robert William Lawton	
RR-4025	Roberta Hoekstra	
RR-4026	Roberta Targett	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4027	Roberts Berry Farm	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4028	Robin Atterbury-Thomas	
RR-4029	Robin E.A.Salmon	
RR-4030	Robin Francis Cantle	
RR-4031	Robin Gaddum	
RR-4032	Robin Gerald Hill-Walker	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4033	Robin Henry Grey Faber	
RR-4034	Robin John William Turner	
RR-4035	Robin Lade	
RR-4036	Robin Lane	
RR-4037	Robin Michael Longdin	
RR-4038	Robin Nash	
RR-4039	Robin Philip	
RR-4040	Robin Phipps	
RR-4041	Robin Shelley	
RR-4042	Robin Stephen Townsend	
RR-4043	Robin Williams	
RR-4044	Robyn Gibson	
RR-4045	Rod Smith	
RR-4046	Roddy Seymour Williams	
RR-4047	Roderic David Clarence Ando	
RR-4048	Roderic Paul Cheese	
RR-4049	Roderick John Standing	
RR-4050	Roderick Moyse	
RR-4051	Roger Booth	
RR-4052	Roger E Budgen	
RR-4053	Roger Gee	
RR-4054	Roger Howard Cartwright	
RR-4055	Roger Jones	
RR-4056	Roger Langley	
RR-4057	Roger Malcolm Beever	
RR-4058	Roger Meacock	
RR-4059	Roger Neil Kirkman	
RR-4060	Roger Nursaw	
RR-4061	Roger Peabody	
RR-4062	Roger Phillips	
RR-4063	Roger Pope	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4064	Roger Richmond	
RR-4065	Roger Starling	
RR-4066	Roger Thomas Mather	
RR-4067	Roger whitehouse	
RR-4068	Roger Wyatt	
RR-4069	Rollo Herbert	
RR-4070	Roma Clark	
RR-4071	Ron Roet	
RR-4072	Ronald William Highman	
RR-4073	Roni Murray	
RR-4074	Rory Calvert	
RR-4075	Rory S Graham	
RR-4076	Rory Sweet	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4077	Ros Bates	
RR-4078	Ros Emmet	
RR-4079	Ros Hume	
RR-4080	Rosalie Anne Marshall	
RR-4081	Rosalind Bates	
RR-4082	Rosalind Brown	
RR-4083	Rosalind Ford	
RR-4084	Rosalind Goss	
RR-4085	Rosalind Maltby	
RR-4086	Rosalind Savage	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4087	Rosalind Susan Tate	
RR-4088	Rosamund Bull	
RR-4089	Rosamund Clavell Blount	
RR-4090	Rose Davies	
RR-4091	Rose Hipwood	
RR-4092	Rose Tinsley	
RR-4093	Rose Tussaud	
RR-4094	Rosemary Birks	
RR-4095	Rosemary Jane Penny	
RR-4096	Rosemary Leonard	
RR-4097	Rosemary Smedley	
RR-4098	Rosemary Smedley	
RR-4099	Rosemary Spence	
RR-4100	Rosemary Taylor	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4101	Rosemary Victoria Scrope Harford	
RR-4102	Roshan Hughes	
RR-4103	Rosie Atkins	
RR-4104	Rosie Clark	
RR-4105	Rosie Pack	
RR-4106	Rosie Pyle	
RR-4107	Rosie Ruth Folkard	
RR-4108	Rosie Savile	
RR-4109	Rosie Sherborne	
RR-4110	Rosie Williams	
RR-4111	Roslyn Angela Smith	
RR-4112	Roslyn Mary Thomson	
RR-4113	Ross Alexander Hendry	
RR-4114	Ross Coombes	
RR-4115	Ross McLachlan	
RR-4116	Ross Perchard	
RR-4117	Rowan Reynolds	
RR-4118	Roy Bowerman	
RR-4119	Roy Smart	
RR-4120	Roy Startup	
RR-4121	Roy Thompson	
RR-4122	Roy Welburn	
RR-4123	Royal Mail	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4124	Royston William Marshall	
RR-4125	Ruby Warren	
RR-4126	Rupert Drummond	
RR-4127	Rupert Evans	
RR-4128	Rupert Greenish	
RR-4129	Rupert Hodson	
RR-4130	Rupert Lang	
RR-4131	Rupert Longsdon	
RR-4132	Rupert Mitchell	
RR-4133	Rupert Sturgis	
RR-4134	Rupert Williams	
RR-4135	Rupert Williams	
RR-4136	Russell Barker	
RR-4137	Russell Poynter-Brown	
RR-4138	Russell Townsend	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4139	Ruth Anne smith	
RR-4140	Ruth Beattie	
RR-4141	Ruth Bridgwater	
RR-4142	Ruth Claire Wilson	
RR-4143	Ruth Collins	
RR-4144	Ruth Elizabeth Cole	
RR-4145	Ruth Gaunt	
RR-4146	Ruth Hulbert	
RR-4147	Ruth Jeffrey	
RR-4148	Ruth King	
RR-4149	Ruth Lee	
RR-4150	Ruth M Gaddum	
RR-4151	Ruth Martin	
RR-4152	Ruth Patricia Grace Sumner	
RR-4153	Ruth Rayne	
RR-4154	Ruth Ritchie	
RR-4155	Ruth Smith	
RR-4156	Ruth Sweet	
RR-4157	Ryan Bush	
RR-4158	Ryan Llewellyn	
RR-4159	Ryan Russell	
RR-4160	S R W Baxter	
RR-4161	Sally Ann McEwen	
RR-4162	Sally Armstrong	
RR-4163	Sally Caitlin Price	
RR-4164	Sally Cambridge	
RR-4165	Sally Ellwood	
RR-4166	Sally Fairfax	
RR-4167	Sally Gould	
RR-4168	Sally Griffiths	
RR-4169	Sally Hart	
RR-4170	Sally Hewlett	
RR-4171	Sally Jane FORD	
RR-4172	Sally Jeeves	
RR-4173	Sally Kemp-Welch	
RR-4174	Sally Kenchington	
RR-4175	Sally marks	
RR-4176	Sally McCartney	
RR-4177	Sally Pascall	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4178	Sally Rice	
RR-4179	Sally Thorneioe	
RR-4180	Sally Townsend	
RR-4181	Sally Turnham	
RR-4182	Sally Warburton	
RR-4183	Sally Young	
RR-4184	Sally-Ann Leaf	
RR-4185	Sam Armstrong	
RR-4186	Sam Bellord	
RR-4187	Sam Bullock	
RR-4188	Sam Carroll	
RR-4189	Sam Cox	
RR-4190	Sam Evans	
RR-4191	Sam Flintham	
RR-4192	Sam Jadeja	
RR-4193	Sam Phillips	
RR-4194	Sam Scruton	
RR-4195	Sam Tiley	
RR-4196	Samantha Ann Barlow	
RR-4197	Samantha Blanch	
RR-4198	Samantha hayward	
RR-4199	Samantha Kirk	
RR-4200	Samantha Knight	
RR-4201	Samantha Lane	
RR-4202	Samantha Pyle	
RR-4203	Samantha Skarda	
RR-4204	Samantha Thacker	
RR-4205	Samuel Dawes	
RR-4206	Samuel James Hale	
RR-4207	Samuel Neil Gilbert	
RR-4208	Samuel Price	
RR-4209	Samuel shears	
RR-4210	Samuel Walker	
RR-4211	Sandra Å Brassard	
RR-4212	Sandra Chase	
RR-4213	Sandra Christie	
RR-4214	Sandra Griffin	
RR-4215	Sandra J Rea	
RR-4216	Sandra Jane Barnes	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4217	Sandra Mangnall	
RR-4218	Sandra Muir	
RR-4219	Sandra Mullett	
RR-4220	Sandra Samra	
RR-4221	Sandra Sorell	
RR-4222	Sandra Vaughan	
RR-4223	Sandy Phillips	
RR-4224	Sandy Rich	
RR-4225	Sandy Thomas	
RR-4226	Sara Bloomer	
RR-4227	Sara Colquhoun	
RR-4228	Sara French	
RR-4229	Sara Kate Twisk	
RR-4230	Sara Margaret Jane Sorby	
RR-4231	Sara Pierson	
RR-4232	Sara Rodwell	
RR-4233	Sara White	
RR-4234	Sarah Anne Pitt	
RR-4235	Sarah Ball	
RR-4236	Sarah Barlow	
RR-4237	Sarah Bateman	
RR-4238	Sarah baxter	
RR-4239	Sarah Boon	
RR-4240	Sarah boyle	
RR-4241	Sarah Brackenridge	
RR-4242	Sarah Britain	
RR-4243	Sarah Britton	
RR-4244	Sarah Capper	
RR-4245	Sarah Cecelia Cornell	
RR-4246	Sarah Chatterton	
RR-4247	Sarah Clegg Littler	
RR-4248	Sarah Collingham	
RR-4249	Sarah Collins	
RR-4250	Sarah Davidson Greenhill	
RR-4251	Sarah Davies	
RR-4252	Sarah Debrion	
RR-4253	Sarah Donnelly	
RR-4254	Sarah Elizabeth Robertson	
RR-4255	Sarah Erskine-Hill	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4256	Sarah Fuchs	
RR-4257	Sarah Gerald	
RR-4258	Sarah Gill	
RR-4259	Sarah Gingell	
RR-4260	Sarah Greig	
RR-4261	Sarah Griffiths	
RR-4262	Sarah Heywood	
RR-4263	Sarah Hickson	
RR-4264	Sarah James	
RR-4265	Sarah Jane Bugg	
RR-4266	Sarah Jane ellwood	
RR-4267	Sarah Jane Hayes	
RR-4268	Sarah Jane Iggulden	
RR-4269	Sarah Jane Robina Darling	
RR-4270	Sarah Jane Trigg	
RR-4271	Sarah Jocelyn Fuchs	
RR-4272	Sarah Johnson	
RR-4273	Sarah Kershaw	
RR-4274	Sarah Lambirth	
RR-4275	Sarah Laskey	
RR-4276	Sarah Margaret Steinschaden-Silver	
RR-4277	Sarah McKinnon	
RR-4278	Sarah Nelson	
RR-4279	Sarah Paramore	
RR-4280	Sarah Payne	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4281	Sarah Pegden	
RR-4282	Sarah Pope	
RR-4283	Sarah Price-Tompkins	
RR-4284	Sarah Raines-Watling	
RR-4285	sarah robinson	
RR-4286	Sarah Ross	
RR-4287	Sarah Royal	
RR-4288	Sarah Snell	
RR-4289	Sarah Susan Burden	
RR-4290	Sarah Taylor	
RR-4291	Sarah Tilley	
RR-4292	Sarah Tonkinson	
RR-4293	Sarah Ward	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4294	Sarah Wilkinson	
RR-4295	Sarah Wood	
RR-4296	Sarah-jane Cropper	
RR-4297	Sarah-Jane Peffers	
RR-4298	Sarah-Jayne Fell	
RR-4299	Sasha Berkeley	
RR-4300	Scarlett Lewis Ratcliffe	
RR-4301	Scott McLoughlin-Gardner	
RR-4302	Scott Williams	
RR-4303	Seagry Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4304	Sean Harries	
RR-4305	Sean Magee	
RR-4306	Sean Roberts	
RR-4307	Sebastian Blanch	
RR-4308	Sebastian Clark	
RR-4309	Serena Holdsworth	
RR-4310	Serena Parker	
RR-4311	Serena Reschke	
RR-4312	Sergei Petrov	
RR-4313	Serra Kaliviotis	
RR-4314	Sevington Lodge	
RR-4315	Sevington Victorian School	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4316	Shan Treadaway	
RR-4317	Shan Williams	
RR-4318	Shannen Alysia Jenkinson	
RR-4319	Sharon Andrews	
RR-4320	Sharon Elizabeth Williams	
RR-4321	Sharon Mordaunt	
RR-4322	Sharon Morley	
RR-4323	Sharon Nolan	
RR-4324	Sharon Savage	
RR-4325	Sharon Ward	
RR-4326	Sharron Boulton	
RR-4327	Sharron von Tutschek	
RR-4328	Shaun Bray	
RR-4329	Shaun Michael Scott	
RR-4330	Shaun Outlaw	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4331	Shaun White	
RR-4332	Sheena Elizabeth Forrest	
RR-4333	Sheila Ann Chapman	
RR-4334	Sheila Doreen Scott	
RR-4335	Sheila Harris	
RR-4336	Sheila M Cutcher	
RR-4337	Sheila Mary Burridge	
RR-4338	Sheila Parker	
RR-4339	Sheila Smith	
RR-4340	Sheilah Michael	
RR-4341	Shelley Hollingworth	
RR-4342	Shelley Parker	
RR-4343	Shelley Rapoport	
RR-4344	Shelly Laura Terry	
RR-4345	Sheralyn Blackett	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4346	Sheree King	
RR-4347	Sherilyn Lewis	
RR-4348	Sherree Westwood	
RR-4349	Sherry Baffour	
RR-4350	Sherston Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4351	Shiona Deas	
RR-4352	Shipton Moyne Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4353	Shirley Bailey	
RR-4354	Shirley Cooper	
RR-4355	Shirley Danby	
RR-4356	Shirley Wood	
RR-4357	Sian Briddon	
RR-4358	Sian Clarke	
RR-4359	Sian Knight	
RR-4360	Silvia Smith	
RR-4361	Simon A D Hall	
RR-4362	Simon Adams	
RR-4363	Simon Amos	
RR-4364	Simon Bawden	
RR-4365	Simon Biddulph	
RR-4366	Simon Bloom	
RR-4367	Simon Brooks	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4368	Simon Bull	
RR-4369	Simon Cadbury	
RR-4370	Simon collins	
RR-4371	Simon Colston	
RR-4372	Simon Cooper	
RR-4373	Simon Cooper	
RR-4374	Simon Cox	
RR-4375	Simon Davies	
RR-4376	Simon Emmet	
RR-4377	Simon Gaskell	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4378	Simon Gaylard	
RR-4379	Simon Henry Durrant	
RR-4380	Simon James Byrne	
RR-4381	Simon Lake	
RR-4382	Simon Lancaster Graley	
RR-4383	Simon Le Marechal	
RR-4384	Simon Ludlow	
RR-4385	Simon Nicoll	
RR-4386	Simon Nuttall	
RR-4387	Simon Peeke	
RR-4388	Simon Robinson	
RR-4389	Simon Russell Bird	
RR-4390	Simon Temperton	
RR-4391	Simon Thexton	
RR-4392	Simon Wright	
RR-4393	Simone Leslie Porter	
RR-4394	Siobhan Dunn	
RR-4395	Siobhan O'Connor	
RR-4396	Sir Edward James Holman	
RR-4397	Sir Michael Edward Pitt	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4398	Sir Michael Farquhar	
RR-4399	Skye Turner	
RR-4400	Sol Davidson	
RR-4401	Solomon Pitt	
RR-4402	Somerset Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4403	Sonia Frances Patrickson La Fontaine	
RR-4404	Sonia Parkinson	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4405	Sonia Price	
RR-4406	Sonia Thomas	
RR-4407	Sonya Blackmore	
RR-4408	Sophia Angelov	
RR-4409	Sophia Ashe	
RR-4410	Sophia Bond	
RR-4411	Sophia Burkinshaw	
RR-4412	Sophia ChambrÃ©	
RR-4413	Sophia Edwards	
RR-4414	Sophia Hinton	
RR-4415	Sophia Montagu	
RR-4416	Sophia Sackville	
RR-4417	Sophia Talbot Rice	
RR-4418	Sophie de Courcy	
RR-4419	Sophie Dibben	
RR-4420	Sophie Francis	
RR-4421	Sophie Jones	
RR-4422	Sophie Malpas	
RR-4423	Sophie Patricia George	
RR-4424	Sophie Pryor	
RR-4425	Sophie Purdey	
RR-4426	Sophie Robinson	
RR-4427	Sophie Taylor	
RR-4428	Sophie Watt	
RR-4429	Sopworth Parish Meeting	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4430	South Cotswolds Liberal Democrats	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4431	St Mary and St Ethelbert Church PCC, Luckington	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4432	St Paul Malmesbury Without Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4433	Stacey Bramhall	
RR-4434	Stafford Evans	
RR-4435	Stan Hynes	
RR-4436	Stanton St Quintin Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4437	Star Hart	
RR-4438	Stella Mee	
RR-4439	Steph Bond	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4440	Steph Hammond	
RR-4441	Stephanie Abbott	
RR-4442	Stephanie Corless	
RR-4443	Stephanie Dampney	
RR-4444	Stephanie Deacon	
RR-4445	Stephanie Fox	
RR-4446	Stephanie Jackson	
RR-4447	Stephanie Noon	
RR-4448	Stephanie Rosemary Harman	
RR-4449	Stephanie Turner	
RR-4450	Stephanie Wallington	
RR-4451	Stephen Allcock	
RR-4452	Stephen Barr	
RR-4453	Stephen Bint	
RR-4454	Stephen Birks	
RR-4455	Stephen Booty	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4456	Stephen Coleman	
RR-4457	Stephen Hamer Bloomfield	
RR-4458	Stephen Harvey	
RR-4459	Stephen James Holt	
RR-4460	Stephen John Gillard	
RR-4461	Stephen Lawrence	
RR-4462	Stephen Leonard	
RR-4463	Stephen Mark Brady	
RR-4464	Stephen MichaelWilliams	
RR-4465	Stephen Neel	
RR-4466	Stephen Oliver	
RR-4467	Stephen Peter Clarke	
RR-4468	Stephen Potter	
RR-4469	Stephen Roger Keys	
RR-4470	Stephen Rose	
RR-4471	Stephen Ross Jepson	
RR-4472	Stephen Sheard	
RR-4473	Stephen Thomas Mansfield	
RR-4474	Stephen Threlfall	
RR-4475	Stephen Wilkinson	
RR-4476	Steve Dunn	
RR-4477	Steve Ellis	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4478	Steve Gardner	
RR-4479	Steve Greatrex	
RR-4480	Steve Neal	
RR-4481	Steve Pratt	
RR-4482	Steve Redway	
RR-4483	Steve. Cox	
RR-4484	Steven Burgess	
RR-4485	Steven Duffill	
RR-4486	Steven francis	
RR-4487	Steven Grant	
RR-4488	Steven Michael Boyle	
RR-4489	Steven Purvis	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4490	Steven Stocks	
RR-4491	Steven Thompsett	
RR-4492	Stewart Jonathan Dobson	
RR-4493	Stokes	
RR-4494	Stop Beacon Solar	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4495	Stop Lime Down	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4496	Stop Limedown industrial solar	
RR-4497	Stuart Brogan	
RR-4498	Stuart David Murray Bernau	
RR-4499	Stuart Fisher	
RR-4500	Stuart Fleet	
RR-4501	Stuart Freegard	
RR-4502	Stuart King	
RR-4503	Stuart Madeley	
RR-4504	Stuart Neil Panting	
RR-4505	stuart robinson	
RR-4506	Stuart Seear	
RR-4507	Stuart Townshend	
RR-4508	Stuart Trubee	
RR-4509	Sue Alexander	
RR-4510	Sue Baar	
RR-4511	Sue Badham	
RR-4512	Sue Bramich	
RR-4513	Sue Bromyard	
RR-4514	Sue Chambres	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4515	Sue Clifford Terrett	
RR-4516	Sue Dolton	
RR-4517	Sue Dyson	
RR-4518	Sue Enderby	
RR-4519	Sue Filmer	
RR-4520	Sue Froggatt	
RR-4521	Sue Godwin	
RR-4522	Sue Haine	
RR-4523	Sue Jones	
RR-4524	Sue Mather	
RR-4525	Sue Pegg	
RR-4526	Sue Plant	
RR-4527	Sue Williams	
RR-4528	Susan Anne Mansfield	
RR-4529	Susan Baggett	
RR-4530	Susan Bamber-Powell	
RR-4531	Susan Bevan	
RR-4532	Susan Boughton	
RR-4533	Susan Bozzard	
RR-4534	Susan Brachi	
RR-4535	Susan Brassey	
RR-4536	Susan Carol Mason	
RR-4537	Susan Chapman	
RR-4538	Susan Dawn Griffin	
RR-4539	Susan de Zulueta	
RR-4540	Susan Dianne Webb	
RR-4541	Susan Dugdale	
RR-4542	Susan Edwards	
RR-4543	Susan Elizabeth Ormiston	
RR-4544	Susan Emery	
RR-4545	Susan Felicity Bryant Price	
RR-4546	Susan Florian Clark	
RR-4547	Susan Free	
RR-4548	Susan Glass	
RR-4549	Susan Greville	
RR-4550	Susan Guild	
RR-4551	Susan Guy	
RR-4552	Susan Gwendoline Bernau	
RR-4553	Susan Harding	

Referen ce ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4554	Susan Harwood	
RR-4555	Susan Headley	
RR-4556	Susan Hull	
RR-4557	Susan Hunt	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4558	Susan Hunton	
RR-4559	Susan Jennifer Dennison	
RR-4560	Susan Kelly	
RR-4561	Susan Lade	
RR-4562	Susan Margaret Bint	
RR-4563	Susan Margaret Castle	
RR-4564	Susan Margaret Castle	
RR-4565	Susan Margaret Phillips	
RR-4566	Susan Margaret Richardson	
RR-4567	Susan Mary Akers	
RR-4568	Susan Mary Robinson	
RR-4569	Susan McCleery	
RR-4570	Susan McCraith	
RR-4571	Susan Monk	
RR-4572	Susan Osman	
RR-4573	Susan Patricia Curnock	
RR-4574	Susan Quick	
RR-4575	Susan Russell	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4576	Susan Squire	
RR-4577	Susan Tanner	
RR-4578	Susan Taylor	
RR-4579	Susan Thody	
RR-4580	Susan Upton	
RR-4581	Susan Vaux	
RR-4582	Susan Wadham	
RR-4583	Susan Walker	
RR-4584	Susan Webb	
RR-4585	Susan Whitehead	
RR-4586	Susan Wilcock	
RR-4587	Susan Yvonne Edwards	
RR-4588	Susanna Holt	
RR-4589	Susanna Parsons	
RR-4590	Susanna pearce	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4591	Susannah Dibben	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4592	Susannah Jones	
RR-4593	Susannah Lees	
RR-4594	Susannah Mary Mansbridge Highman	
RR-4595	Susannah Rogers	
RR-4596	Susannah Sterry	
RR-4597	Susanne McKechnie	
RR-4598	Suzan Bishop	
RR-4599	Suzanna Bunting	
RR-4600	Suzanne Batchelor	
RR-4601	Suzanne Eva	
RR-4602	Suzanne Hilson-Hall	
RR-4603	Suzanne Miller	
RR-4604	Suzanne Price	
RR-4605	Suzanne Reynolds	
RR-4606	Suzanne Richards	
RR-4607	Suzanne Spendley	
RR-4608	Suzanne Thompson	
RR-4609	Suzanne Woodward	
RR-4610	Suzie Belsham	
RR-4611	Suzie Smyth	
RR-4612	Swayne	
RR-4613	Sybil Haddrell	
RR-4614	Sylvia Pollard	
RR-4615	Sylvia Rose Mehegan	
RR-4616	Tamsin Woods	
RR-4617	Tamsyn Attiwell	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4618	Tamsyn Bond	
RR-4619	Tamsyn Luggar	
RR-4620	Tanya Jane Selley	
RR-4621	Tanya Louise Burgess	
RR-4622	Tanya Macpherson	
RR-4623	Tanya Williams	
RR-4624	Tanya Wilson	
RR-4625	Tara Legge	
RR-4626	Tara Payne	
RR-4627	Tegan Wood	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4628	Terence David Danter	
RR-4629	Terence Harris	
RR-4630	Teresa Jones	
RR-4631	Teresa Mary Collingborn	
RR-4632	Terhi Ikonen	
RR-4633	Terry Allen	
RR-4634	Terry Battensby	
RR-4635	Terry Cremin	
RR-4636	Terry Spendley	
RR-4637	Terry Wright	
RR-4638	Tess Chisholm	
RR-4639	Tess Warnes	
RR-4640	Tessa Carnegie Hall	
RR-4641	Tessa Garton	
RR-4642	Tessa Green	
RR-4643	Tessa Knowles	
RR-4644	Tessa Thorne	
RR-4645	The Angel Cafe	
RR-4646	The Baraka Catering Company	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4647	The British Horse Society (Wiltshire Access Team)	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4648	The Casey household	
RR-4649	The Chimney Sweeper Ltd	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4650	The Church of the Holy Cross, Sherston	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4651	The Clements Family	
RR-4652	The Coal Authority (trading name The Mining Remediation Authority)	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4653	The Tonks Household	
RR-4654	The Vine Tree	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4655	Thelma Crosse	
RR-4656	Theresa Dawson	
RR-4657	Thomas Anthony Beverley	
RR-4658	Thomas B Gaffney	
RR-4659	Thomas Brougham	
RR-4660	Thomas cassidy BEM	
RR-4661	Thomas Charles Oliver	
RR-4662	Thomas Collins	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4663	Thomas Faber	
RR-4664	Thomas Falconer	
RR-4665	Thomas Fraser	
RR-4666	Thomas Freegard-Newell	
RR-4667	Thomas Frost	
RR-4668	Thomas Gane	
RR-4669	Thomas George Matten	
RR-4670	Thomas Gillingham	
RR-4671	Thomas Green	
RR-4672	Thomas Hale	
RR-4673	Thomas Hawken	
RR-4674	Thomas James Dunning Follows	
RR-4675	Thomas James Robinson	
RR-4676	Thomas kemp	
RR-4677	Thomas McGirr	
RR-4678	Thomas McMeekin	
RR-4679	Thomas Meheust	
RR-4680	Thomas Montresor Balmer	
RR-4681	Thomas Munro Rupert Davison	
RR-4682	Thomas Picton StVincent Picton Phillipps	
RR-4683	Thomas Pitman	
RR-4684	Thomas Robertson Ralph	
RR-4685	Thomas Smith	
RR-4686	Thomas Spearman	
RR-4687	Thomas Stewart	
RR-4688	Thomas White	
RR-4689	Thomas Wilmot	
RR-4690	Thomas Winwood	
RR-4691	Tilley Household	
RR-4692	Tim Alban-Jones	
RR-4693	Tim Blackmore	
RR-4694	Tim Brain	
RR-4695	Tim Clark	
RR-4696	Tim Coles	
RR-4697	Tim Cowan	
RR-4698	Tim Cradock	
RR-4699	Tim Cripps	
RR-4700	Tim Faithfull	
RR-4701	Tim Hamilton	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4702	Tim Klukowski	
RR-4703	Tim Llewellyn Palmer	
RR-4704	Tim Major	
RR-4705	Tim Parker	
RR-4706	Tim Parker	
RR-4707	Tim Reynolds	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4708	Tim Robinson	
RR-4709	Tim Stockings	
RR-4710	Tim Wootton	
RR-4711	Timothy Bostwick	
RR-4712	Timothy Cannon	
RR-4713	Timothy Dalglish	
RR-4714	Timothy Davis	
RR-4715	Timothy Hand	
RR-4716	Timothy Hibbard	
RR-4717	Timothy Hunter	
RR-4718	Timothy James Brown	
RR-4719	Timothy James Henry Denholm	
RR-4720	Timothy John Christie	
RR-4721	Timothy Lord	
RR-4722	Timothy Mark Dannatt	
RR-4723	Timothy Mawby	
RR-4724	Timothy Maxwell Flanagan	
RR-4725	Timothy Pain FRICS	
RR-4726	Timothy Peter Rombach	
RR-4727	Timothy Rix	
RR-4728	Timothy Roberts	
RR-4729	Timothy Simon Miller	
RR-4730	Timothy Wadsworth	
RR-4731	Tina Brenda Cocks	
RR-4732	Tina Gash	
RR-4733	Tobias Christopher Shipley Bunkle	
RR-4734	Tobias Graves	
RR-4735	Toby Evans	
RR-4736	Toby Falconer	
RR-4737	Toby Gordon	
RR-4738	Toby moss	
RR-4739	Toby Russell Sturgis	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4740	Tom Bowerman	
RR-4741	Tom Boyd	
RR-4742	Tom Chambre	
RR-4743	Tom Cornell	
RR-4744	Tom Durrant	
RR-4745	Tom Grant	
RR-4746	Tom Hyde	
RR-4747	Tom Jenkinson	
RR-4748	Tom Jodrell	
RR-4749	Tom O'Hare	
RR-4750	Tom Owens	
RR-4751	Tom Smith	
RR-4752	Tom Wilkes	
RR-4753	Tomas Vrba	
RR-4754	Tomlinson	
RR-4755	Tommy Hare	
RR-4756	Toni Williams	
RR-4757	Toni-ann Grant	
RR-4758	Tony Baxter	
RR-4759	Tony Coombes	
RR-4760	Tony Donnelly	
RR-4761	Tony Jolliffe	
RR-4762	Tony Knight	
RR-4763	Tony McAleavy	
RR-4764	Tony Parfrey	
RR-4765	Tony Roderick Ward	
RR-4766	Tony Royle	
RR-4767	Tony Sangwine-Gould	
RR-4768	Tony Ward	
RR-4769	Tony Watchorn	
RR-4770	Tony Westlake	
RR-4771	Tony Wood	
RR-4772	Tony Wooldridge	
RR-4773	Tonya Butterworth	
RR-4774	Tonya Cutler	
RR-4775	Tori Cox	
RR-4776	Tormarton Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4777	Tracey Barrow	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4778	Tracey Cruse	
RR-4779	Tracey Giles	
RR-4780	Tracey Hancock	
RR-4781	Tracey Kim Thomas-Leah	
RR-4782	Tracey Selwood-Rogers	
RR-4783	Tracey Tillotson	
RR-4784	Tracy Boyle	
RR-4785	Tracy Hale	
RR-4786	Tracy Watson	
RR-4787	Tracy Worcester	
RR-4788	Trevor Hunt	
RR-4789	Tristan Bowden	
RR-4790	Tristan Bund	
RR-4791	Tristan Scott	
RR-4792	Tristan Stevens	
RR-4793	Tristram owers	
RR-4794	Trudi speirs	
RR-4795	Trudy Anne Harwood	
RR-4796	Trystan Hitchens	
RR-4797	Tulla Stewart	
RR-4798	UK Health Security Agency	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4799	Una McDonaldGraves	
RR-4800	Ursula Falconer	
RR-4801	Ursula Farwell	
RR-4802	V Grandfield	
RR-4803	V12	
RR-4804	Valda Burke	
RR-4805	Valerie Cushing	
RR-4806	Valerie E Mackie	
RR-4807	Valerie Fahey	
RR-4808	Valerie Grainger	
RR-4809	Valerie Ham	
RR-4810	Valerie Susan Stephens	
RR-4811	Vanessa Clow	
RR-4812	Vanessa Herring	
RR-4813	Vanessa Louise Wells	
RR-4814	Vanessa Shipp	Response provided at Deadline A

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4815	Vanessa Wilson	
RR-4816	Vanessa Woodward	
RR-4817	Venetia Appelbe	
RR-4818	Venetia Walker	
RR-4819	Vera van Dijk	
RR-4820	Veronica Ann Thornhill	
RR-4821	Veronica Hills	
RR-4822	Veronica Lyn Skeffington	
RR-4823	Veronica Pascall	
RR-4824	Veronica Pearson	
RR-4825	Veronica Street	
RR-4826	Veronique Kennedy	
RR-4827	Vianney Elizabeth Hermoza-Jefferies	
RR-4828	Vicki Harries	
RR-4829	Vicki Meakin	
RR-4830	Vicki Roberts	
RR-4831	Vicky Cole	
RR-4832	Vicky Dawes	
RR-4833	Vicky Moxham	
RR-4834	Victor Beeching	
RR-4835	Victor David Pike	
RR-4836	Victoria Allman	
RR-4837	Victoria Bell	
RR-4838	Victoria Brackenbury	
RR-4839	Victoria Brenner	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4840	Victoria Cameron	
RR-4841	Victoria Charlesworth	
RR-4842	Victoria Graham	
RR-4843	Victoria Hardiman	
RR-4844	Victoria Heaton Renshaw	
RR-4845	Victoria Hunter	
RR-4846	Victoria Knox	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4847	Victoria Little	
RR-4848	Victoria Loubeau	
RR-4849	Victoria Louise Irvine	
RR-4850	Victoria MacRae	
RR-4851	Victoria Meheust	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4852	Victoria Newton	
RR-4853	Victoria Orr Ewing	
RR-4854	Victoria Osborne	
RR-4855	Victoria Page	
RR-4856	Victoria Palframan	
RR-4857	Victoria Penelope Stace	
RR-4858	Victoria Purton	
RR-4859	Victoria Roberts	
RR-4860	Victoria Robinson	
RR-4861	Victoria Smalley	
RR-4862	Victoria Sturgis	
RR-4863	Victoria White	
RR-4864	Viktoria Hutton	
RR-4865	Vin Mooneeram	
RR-4866	Vince Dolman	
RR-4867	Virginia A P Keen	
RR-4868	Virginia Hilton	
RR-4869	Virginia Jane Milligan	
RR-4870	Virginia Pearce	
RR-4871	Virginia Rawlings	
RR-4872	Virginia Watson	
RR-4873	Vivian Sherman	
RR-4874	Vivien Clare	
RR-4875	Vivienne Jane Clarke	
RR-4876	Vivienne Tremayne	
RR-4877	Vivienne Wilmott	
RR-4878	Volodymyr Puliak	
RR-4879	W E Ltd	
RR-4880	W H P Renovations Ltd	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4881	Warren Alan Finegold	
RR-4882	Warren Hateley	
RR-4883	Wayne Mortimer	
RR-4884	Wayne Smith	
RR-4885	Wendy Allen	
RR-4886	Wendy Ann Purvis	
RR-4887	Wendy Armstrong	
RR-4888	Wendy Beever	
RR-4889	Wendy Miles	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4890	Wendy Myfanwy Green	
RR-4891	Wendy New	
RR-4892	West Park Farm	
RR-4893	West Street Farm Farming Partnership	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4894	Whatley Manor Hotel	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4895	Whatley Manor Hotel & Spa	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4896	William Brereton	
RR-4897	Wildnature Rites of Passage CIC	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4898	Wilfred Charles Ratcliffe	
RR-4899	Will Frost	
RR-4900	Will Lawrence-Mills	
RR-4901	Will Lawton	
RR-4902	Willa Gravell	
RR-4903	Willa Harford	
RR-4904	Willa Hodson	
RR-4905	Willa Rose Gibb	
RR-4906	William Barton	
RR-4907	William Blackmore	
RR-4908	William Crook	
RR-4909	William Duckworth-Chad	
RR-4910	William Eaton	
RR-4911	William Eaton	
RR-4912	William Edward Shipsey	
RR-4913	William Fisher	
RR-4914	William Fishpool	
RR-4915	William Ford	
RR-4916	William Gurney	
RR-4917	William Guy Carlyle	
RR-4918	William Halsey	
RR-4919	William Hawker	
RR-4920	William Hugh Pitman	
RR-4921	William John Mitchell	
RR-4922	William John Petrie	
RR-4923	William L Smith	
RR-4924	William Laurence Ashman	
RR-4925	William Martin Grant Goodwill	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
RR-4926	William Pilkington	
RR-4927	William Pope	
RR-4928	William seaton	
RR-4929	William Sykes	
RR-4930	William Tommaso Thomson	
RR-4931	William Worsley	
RR-4932	Wiltshire Bridleways Association	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4933	Wiltshire Climate Alliance	
RR-4934	Wiltshire Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4935	Wiltshire Ramblers	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4936	Woody John Canham	
RR-4937	Woody Walker	
RR-4938	Xenia Close-Smith	
RR-4939	Yasmin Bright	
RR-4940	Yasmine Eldene	
RR-4941	Yatton Keynell Parish Council	Response provided at Deadline A
RR-4942	Yvette Harvey	
RR-4943	Yvonne Cole	
RR-4944	Yvonne Kiamtia-appleby	
RR-4945	Yvonne Lockhart	
RR-4946	Yvonne Margaret Forsyth	
RR-4947	Yvonne Wildbore	
RR-4948	Zak Armitage	
RR-4949	Zara Vernon	
RR-4950	Zenia Madylus Ware	
RR-4951	Zinnia Herbert	

1.1.11 **Table 1-3** Additional submissions accepted at the discretion of the Examining Authority

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
AS-003	Stewart Entwistle	
AS-004	Andrew Scott	
AS-005	Tade	

Reference ID	Interested Party	Response at Deadline A (if applicable)
AS-006	Exolum Pipeline System Ltd on behalf of FISHER GERMAN LLP	Response provided at Deadline A
AS-008	Alex Lloyd-Jones	
AS-009	Conor Harkins	
AS-010	Jeremy Nicholson	
AS-011	Mr Philip Emsley	
AS-012	Rupert Lang	
PDA-015	John Marie Bassler	
PDA-016	Joan Marie Bassler	
PDA-017	Mr Allan Michael George	
PDA-018	Peter Sampson	
PDA-019	Rowan Reynolds	

2 The Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations by Theme

2.1 Description and DCO Process

Table 2-1

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
D-01	RR-2237, RR-2324, RR-3955, RR-2293, RR-3568, RR-2308, RR-3417, RR-0440, RR-0478, RR-2892, RR-2455, RR-1693, RR-1910, RR-2806, RR-1618, RR-3599, RR-0811, RR-1065, RR-2427, RR-0648, RR-1462, RR-3611, RR-1276, RR-0361, RR-3724, RR-1584, RR-2735, RR-4513, RR-4138, RR-4221, RR-2849, RR-3220, RR-0017, RR-1589, RR-2787, RR-0693, RR-1329, RR-4764, RR-1581, RR-0488, RR-1240, RR-2616, RR-1398, RR-4709, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-3898, RR-0502, RR-3565, RR-2860, RR-2925, RR-2014, RR-1926, RR-3335, RR-4135, RR-0347, RR-2933, RR-0208, RR-4789, RR-4550, RR-3510, RR-2153, RR-3747, RR-3726, RR-0961, RR-0700, RR-0639, RR-4048, RR-3454, RR-0563, RR-4411, RR-0465, RR-2609, RR-1862, RR-1717, RR-3475, RR-1332,	General Sentiment	Respondents objected to and/or expressed opposition to the Scheme.	The Applicant's Statement of Need [APP-266] provides evidence that an unprecedented capacity of new low-carbon generation schemes is urgently needed for the UK to meet its legally binding climate change targets. The government has confirmed that solar energy is at the heart of the Clean Power 2030 Mission [NPS EN-3 (2025) Paras 2.10.1 & 2.10.2]. The Statement of Need confirms that the need for the Scheme is urgent and that substantial weight should be given to the need for the Scheme [NPS EN-1 (2023) Para 3.2.6-3.2.7]. The Applicant is confident that the proposed management and mitigation measures set out in the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] are comprehensive and robust and that the Scheme aligns with national and local planning policy; this is outlined in the Planning Statement [APP-267]. Of particular relevance are Annex A: National Policy Statement Accordance Tables and Annex B: Local Policy Accordance Tables, of the Planning Statement which set out an

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1164, RR-2963, RR-1496, RR-1186, RR-1731, RR-1303, RR-2936, RR-0879, RR-3936, RR-4891, RR-2184, RR-0170, RR-2398, RR-0544, RR-0001, RR-2366, RR-2658, RR-0530, RR-4069, RR-4951, RR-2868, RR-2202, RR-0379, RR-3733, RR-0738, RR-1255, RR-4639, RR-4715, RR-2586, RR-4326, RR-4609, RR-1779, RR-2061, RR-1828, RR-0766, RR-4844, RR-3161, RR-2578, RR-1687, RR-0428, RR-0067, RR-0681, RR-0878, RR-4346, RR-1829, RR-4560, RR-4261, RR-1527, RR-4318, RR-4773, RR-1350, RR-2995, RR-0474, RR-4908, RR-1354, RR-3836, RR-3987, RR-4407, RR-1966, RR-3199, RR-3779, RR-4147, RR-4188, RR-3656, RR-1670, RR-2251, RR-1637, RR-3548, RR-4537, RR-1243, RR-0119, RR-3561, RR-1099, RR-0126, RR-2157, RR-4819, RR-4088, RR-2074, RR-3355, RR-0635, RR-0579, RR-3858, RR-2018, RR-3031, RR-4423, RR-3988, RR-4784, RR-1577, RR-2874, RR-4706, RR-4439, RR-2725 RR-0180, RR-2457, RR-4447, RR-3002, RR-3228, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-0420, RR-4833, RR-1191, RR-2659, RR-1080,			appraisal of the Scheme against national and local planning policies.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4746, RR-4746, RR-4441, RR-4441, RR-0575, RR-4373, RR-1374, RR-0115, RR-0973, RR-2499, RR-0626, RR-2499, RR-1095, RR-3710, RR-4195, RR-2803, RR-1119, RR-1411, RR-4415, RR-4801, RR-0565, RR-1700, RR-1291, RR-0955, RR-1239, RR-3073, RR-2944, RR-1334, RR-2447, RR-2945, RR-3640, RR-3245, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-1882, RR-3745, RR-1957, RR-1667, RR-4236, RR-1073, RR-3763, RR-1165, RR-2219, RR-2686, RR-2158, RR-2232, RR-3499, RR-1089, RR-1275, RR-2493, RR-3947, RR-1847, RR-0493, RR-1710, RR-3009, RR-3464, RR-0076, RR-1627, RR-0153, RR-2904, RR-0405, RR-3251, RR-2043, RR-2283, RR-2896, RR-2160, RR-2097, RR-0516, RR-3996, RR-4093, RR-1874, RR-4588, RR-1722, RR-0375, RR-2005, RR-2713, RR-0362, RR-0574, RR-3875, RR-2511, RR-0109, RR-2981, RR-4803, RR-1003, RR-4879, RR-0295, RR-0273, RR-4548, RR-4912, RR-2786, RR-4224, RR-3872, RR-1316, RR-2640, RR-3687, RR-0905, RR-2236, RR-3545, RR-0074, RR-0225, RR-2224, RR-3482, RR-0097, RR-2889, RR-3631,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1999, RR-3297, RR-0004, RR-3449, RR-4585, RR-4184, RR-4334, RR-2450, RR-3260, RR-3039, RR-4498, RR-4359, RR-0395, RR-3690, RR-2287, RR-2124, RR-0548, RR-4524, RR-1458, RR-0062, RR-3190, RR-0805, RR-2315, RR-4758, RR-0513, RR-2827, RR-3540, RR-3722, RR-0583, RR-1090, RR-3474, RR-4317, RR-4192, RR-2816, RR-3077, RR-1575, RR-2910, RR-0769, RR-2413, RR-1352, RR-0570, RR-4453, RR-3246, RR-3246, RR-1473, RR-2265, RR-1055, RR-2419, RR-2818, RR-2954, RR-0452, RR-4796, RR-4216, RR-0968, RR-2678, RR-2174, RR-4506, RR-3873, RR-1712, RR-0198, RR-4206, RR-1784, RR-4887, RR-0406, RR-2979, RR-3340, RR-1803, RR-1872, RR-1574, RR-2119, RR-4699, RR-3895, RR-0959, RR-0039, RR-1163, RR-2615, RR-2302, RR-2856, RR-0762, RR-3003, RR-2277, RR-1263, RR-0776, RR-3796, RR-4692, RR-1235, RR-2255, RR-1806, RR-3946, RR-1820, RR-2681, RR-2362, RR-2311, RR-0195, RR-0725, RR-1644, RR-3007, RR-2990, RR-0658, RR-2582, RR-3616, RR-0199, RR-1668, RR-1317, RR-1573,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2463, RR-3843, RR-4250, RR-4353, RR-0186, RR-3694, RR-4336, RR-2978, RR-0364, RR-3530, RR-0281, RR-0974, RR-4925, RR-4728, RR-2987, RR-0535, RR-0404, RR-3721, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-4262, RR-1893, RR-3975, RR-2126, RR-0233, RR-0592, RR-1455, RR-3063, RR-0853, RR-3700, RR-1459, RR-0651, RR-0467, RR-0324, RR-1571, RR-2907, RR-2263, RR-3076, RR-1339, RR-4712, RR-2452, RR-1570, RR-3144, RR-2509, RR-1798, RR-3258, RR-1278, RR-1569, RR-1282, RR-1215, RR-2273, RR-4111, RR-0123, RR-4937, RR-0328, RR-1568, RR-3888, RR-3869, RR-4200, RR-1908, RR-2112, RR-4393, RR-2330, RR-0483, RR-0877, RR-3590, RR-1049, RR-1519, RR-3471, RR-1853, RR-2882, RR-1991, RR-3911, RR-4126, RR-0509, RR-2162, RR-4204, RR-3237, RR-2139, RR-3714, RR-1115, RR-2506, RR-4563, RR-4000, RR-1264, RR-3653, RR-4324, RR-2547, RR-2404, RR-4162, RR-3400, RR-3504, RR-0919, RR-0445, RR-2144, RR-2565, RR-2354, RR-2958, RR-3288, RR-1250, RR-3064, RR-1613, RR-0279, RR-3069, RR-1792,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1046, RR-1107, RR-0048, RR-0412, RR-3692, RR-0078, RR-0998, RR-0321, RR-1420, RR-2643, RR-4472, RR-4294, RR-0311, RR-0391, RR-3252, RR-4466, RR-0027, RR-0271, RR-3535, RR-4822, RR-0598, RR-0130, RR-4877, RR-2819, RR-4828, RR-1967, RR-1051, RR-4478, RR-4584, RR-4564, RR-2570, RR-2486, RR-1103, RR-0022, RR-1054, RR-2831, RR-2924, RR-0215, RR-4248, RR-1285, RR-2639, RR-3604, RR-2553, RR-2172, RR-4600, RR-3017, RR-4865, RR-3479, RR-0988, RR-0636, RR-3688, RR-2340, RR-1724, RR-4700, RR-3831, RR-1464, RR-3914, RR-1680, RR-4911, RR-1600, RR-2012, RR-2029, RR-2411, RR-4112, RR-4870, RR-4112, RR-0388, RR-1396, RR-2866, RR-2015, RR-3913, RR-4084, RR-2991, RR-2716, RR-1752, RR-1384, RR-1244, RR-4922, RR-1114, RR-1517, RR-4097, RR-2400, RR-3610, RR-1994, RR-2831, RR-2441, RR-3844, RR-1516, RR-2778, RR-3820, RR-3792, RR-2653, RR-0689, RR-1151, RR-3997, RR-4775, RR-4544, RR-4468, RR-1748, RR-1930, RR-4151, RR-0958, RR-3117, RR-0267, RR-1121,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0859, RR-2554, RR-0582, RR-3682, RR-4898, RR-2901, RR-3760, RR-3046, RR-4259, RR-1061, RR-0481, RR-2136, RR-2289, RR-4428, RR-0380, RR-4054, RR-2031, RR-0200, RR-0016, RR-2906, RR-3567, RR-4299, RR-4899, RR-2467, RR-2651, RR-1399, RR-4145, RR-4690, RR-1036, RR-3101, RR-2520, RR-3748, RR-3608, RR-4682, RR-3391, RR-4342, RR-4735, RR-4327, RR-1078, RR-3837, RR-3783, RR-3789, RR-2587, RR-2988, RR-4101, RR-2823, RR-2198, RR-2975, RR-3626, RR-1592, RR-2646, RR-1996, RR-1053, RR-1656, RR-4103, RR-2969, RR-3627, RR-0767, RR-0276, RR-3383, RR-4873, RR-0847, RR-0176, RR-1886, RR-4731, RR-3108, RR-2708, RR-4417, RR-3842, RR-2877, RR-2035, RR-4583, RR-1004, RR-4491, RR-3515, RR-4470, RR-3597, RR-0909, RR-4252, RR-0419, RR-4694, RR-4512, RR-1188, RR-0978, RR-0068, RR-0978, RR-1515, RR-3621, RR-0801, RR-3206, RR-3759, RR-2279, RR-1694, RR-2042, RR-2317, RR-2403, RR-3630, RR-4073, RR-1944, RR-0070, RR-4777, RR-3148, RR-2538, RR-0133, RR-1253,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3570, RR-1565, RR-4826, RR-0470, RR-1790, RR-0002, RR-4519, RR-1392, RR-4592, RR-2227, RR-0608, RR-4283, RR-2680, RR-2859, RR-3787, RR-3904, RR-2756, RR-2859, RR-3486, RR-4444, RR-0765, RR-1158, RR-1772, RR-0132, RR-4684, RR-2073, RR-1257, RR-4568, RR-0906, RR-3536, RR-4068, RR-4165, RR-3269, RR-4766, RR-2143, RR-4165, RR-2230, RR-2454, RR-4625, RR-4239, RR-3967, RR-2034, RR-0424, RR-0088, RR-2804, RR-4567, RR-3778, RR-1764, RR-3931, RR-2296, RR-3764, RR-2079, RR-0448, RR-2603, RR-4013, RR-3620, RR-3945, RR-4178, RR-4381, RR-0351, RR-0135, RR-0413, RR-4629, RR-4509, RR-2492, RR-4269, RR-2523, RR-4422, RR-0127, RR-1768, RR-2732, RR-4753, RR-3677, RR-2408, RR-3717, RR-1443, RR-2416, RR-1277, RR-1422, RR-1111, RR-4727, RR-0181, RR-1435, RR-1997, RR-3168, RR-2801, RR-4666, RR-3957, RR-3377, RR-0021, RR-0226, RR-4231, RR-1071, RR-0069, RR-4942, RR-2249, RR-3518, RR-1953, RR-3990, RR-2048, RR-0320, RR-3959, RR-3756, RR-1033, RR-1471,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1060, RR-1546, RR-4278, RR-1418, RR-3043, RR-0104, RR-3115, RR-0861, RR-2579, RR-1603, RR-1081, RR-0092, RR-4057, RR-0485, RR-1224, RR-3557, RR-2075, RR-1181, RR-4357, RR-1873, RR-1822, RR-1559, RR-2341, RR-3897, RR-1993, RR-0079, RR-3972, RR-4840, RR-0761, RR-3331, RR-3221, RR-1155, RR-0430, RR-2715, RR-3173, RR-0851, RR-3415, RR-1087, RR-4811, RR-4078, RR-0716, RR-3179, RR-3312, RR-0610, RR-3455, RR-2474, RR-1195, RR-4838, RR-3446, RR-1780, RR-3051, RR-1348, RR-0964, RR-1765, RR-2026, RR-4347, RR-2734, RR-2290, RR-1170, RR-2484, RR-3456, RR-3089, RR-0807, RR-4704, RR-0786, RR-1118, RR-1370, RR-2808, RR-0476, RR-1084, RR-4733, RR-2702, AS-004, RR-3276, RR-3519, RR-3182, RR-0458, RR-3814, RR-2707, RR-0975, RR-4284, RR-3624, RR-1863, RR-2807, RR-4209, RR-4125, RR-4871, RR-2767, RR-4464, RR-3944, RR-3667, RR-4173, RR-2919, RR-4605, RR-0054, RR-2443, RR-4931, RR-2420, RR-1262, RR-3343, RR-0917, RR-3892, RR-0147, RR-4569, RR-4711,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1436, RR-1222, RR-4031, RR-3365, RR-1755, RR-3441, RR-4900, RR-0830, RR-2838, RR-2793, RR-0554, RR-1415, RR-2965, RR-2099, RR-2604, RR-0496, RR-1085, RR-1444, RR-1070, RR-1444, RR-1444, RR-1117, RR-0393, RR-0046, RR-4114, RR-3537, RR-4028, RR-0864, RR-0003, RR-0800, RR-0646, RR-4681, RR-2652, RR-3705, RR-1389, RR-4854, RR-2241, RR-3819, RR-4272, RR-1959, RR-1945, RR-4196, RR-4418, RR-1899, RR-2024, RR-0472, RR-1309, RR-0654, RR-0863, RR-4358, RR-0858, RR-3092, RR-3270, RR-4362, RR-3846, RR-4940, RR-1835, RR-4663, RR-4787, RR-2318, RR-2909, RR-2318, RR-1022, RR-1911, RR-0136, RR-1460, RR-2027, RR-0555, RR-2597, RR-4205, RR-0274, RR-4363, RR-0300, RR-4919, RR-0433, RR-2399, RR-4197, RR-1230, RR-1883, RR-0940, RR-0672, RR-0971, RR-1259, RR-1441, RR-1671, RR-2502, RR-1452, RR-2374, RR-3282, RR-1315, RR-1808, RR-4783, RR-0350, RR-4463, RR-4751, RR-1563, RR-4531, RR-4092, RR-3326, RR-2212, RR-4137, RR-4622, RR-3110, RR-4164, RR-3405,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2382, RR-1879, RR-1834, RR-1558, RR-3939, RR-3201, RR-1856, RR-3134, RR-2025, RR-4522, RR-0986, RR-3307, RR-4736, RR-1490, RR-2848, RR-1869, RR-1143, RR-4485, RR-1564, RR-3111, RR-4689, RR-0843, RR-4574, RR-1855, RR-1942, RR-2800, RR-0146, RR-3320, RR-3191, RR-3680, RR-0802, RR-1946, RR-1946, RR-2321, RR-2321, RR-2471, RR-4356, RR-0942, RR-4477, RR-1884, RR-3889, RR-4477, RR-1254, RR-1761, RR-1485, RR-0602, RR-4794, RR-2736, RR-0160, RR-0042, RR-1269, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-1225, RR-2606, RR-2417, RR-2368, RR-4884, RR-3734, RR-2605, RR-3666, RR-1319, RR-1548, RR-0735, RR-1728, RR-4319, RR-4049, RR-2047, RR-4778, RR-3788, RR-4555, RR-3049, RR-1824, RR-3670, RR-4061, RR-0999, RR-1740, RR-0982, RR-1209, RR-4842, RR-1513, RR-0775, RR-3689, RR-1229, RR-2161, RR-1983, RR-2464, RR-0870, RR-4662, RR-3392, RR-4621, RR-0900, RR-4530, RR-1475, RR-4530, RR-0232, RR-4780, RR-4332, RR-4021, RR-1139, RR-0773, RR-1976, RR-1376, RR-3366, RR-4139,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0471, RR-3380, RR-1982, RR-2215, RR-1660, RR-3403, RR-1716, RR-0148, RR-1311, RR-3716, RR-3332, RR-2339, RR-2409, RR-0928, RR-4445, RR-1751, RR-0910, RR-0385, RR-0691, RR-0691, RR-1970, RR-3736, RR-3573, RR-3511, RR-3505, RR-4254, RR-2214, RR-0698, RR-0831, RR-4734, RR-2199, RR-0836, RR-3177, RR-1335, RR-1725, RR-1858, RR-2016, RR-2947, RR-2625, RR-3208, RR-3790, RR-3880, RR-2351, RR-2338, RR-1011, RR-0809, RR-2879, RR-4632, RR-2629, RR-2703, RR-0987, RR-0490, RR-0041, RR-4872, RR-0990, RR-3249, RR-1007, RR-2598, RR-2688, RR-3978, RR-1267, RR-4035, RR-2305, RR-0128, RR-3439, RR-1428, RR-0128, RR-4561, RR-2679, RR-3890, RR-1719, RR-3462, RR-4050, RR-1035, RR-0674, RR-3351, RR-3703, RR-1767, RR-3020, RR-0627, RR-1342, RR-1964, RR-2180, RR-2101, RR-1294, RR-0184, RR-1870, RR-4905, RR-1870, RR-2923, RR-4849, RR-3140, RR-2530, RR-2627, RR-2994, RR-1702, RR-3672, RR-3193, RR-3664, RR-3686, RR-2533, RR-1912, RR-3133, RR-1013, RR-1407,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4343, RR-3310, RR-0679, RR-0185, RR-2132, RR-1612, RR-1286, RR-2179, RR-1678, RR-1106, RR-3524, RR-0169, RR-2733, RR-3905, RR-2360, RR-1698, RR-0930, RR-4762, RR-0449, RR-2891, RR-2206, RR-4390, RR-4020, RR-2121, RR-2581, RR-2537, RR-1314, RR-1372, RR-3884, RR-1148, RR-1916, RR-0755, RR-3993, RR-2148, RR-1328, RR-2905, RR-1864, RR-2335, RR-1653, RR-2742, RR-1288, RR-4223, RR-0827, RR-3693, RR-4518, RR-0256, RR-4723, RR-4888, RR-0308, RR-3937, RR-2726, RR-3943, RR-2876, RR-0817, RR-0500, RR-0038, RR-4022, RR-3156, RR-2477, RR-1540, RR-0249, RR-0108, RR-2843, RR-0696, RR-3044, RR-3021, RR-2791, RR-1469, RR-1382, RR-3171, RR-0034, RR-1327, RR-2928, RR-3645, RR-2421, RR-3799, RR-3921, RR-0752, RR-2811, RR-1703, RR-2242, RR-2687, RR-3229, RR-2534, RR-3735, RR-1664, RR-0258, RR-4812, RR-3381, RR-2189, RR-3980, RR-0178, RR-0266, RR-0417, RR-2675, RR-3985, RR-0894, RR-3120, RR-1266, RR-1512, RR-0690, RR-0204, RR-0924, RR-1447, RR-2426,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1431, RR-3298, RR-2546, RR-1894, RR-3433, RR-3969, RR-0094, RR-2332, RR-4907, RR-3050, RR-3294, RR-2539, RR-2719, RR-4094, RR-4290, RR-3378, RR-2985, RR-3674, RR-4755, RR-4240, RR-3618, RR-4643, RR-1252, RR-3973, RR-4614, RR-2381, RR-0140, RR-1176, RR-4171, RR-1184, RR-1018, RR-0221, RR-4586, RR-1295, RR-1511, RR-0492, RR-4376, RR-2108, RR-0994, RR-0994, RR-0387, RR-0191, RR-4850, RR-4109, RR-3594, RR-3202, RR-0059, RR-4837, RR-0715, RR-0584, RR-3370, RR-3730, RR-1403, RR-0715, RR-3572, RR-0829, RR-3215, RR-4451, RR-4017, RR-4420, RR-0637, RR-3491, RR-0072, RR-3772, RR-1679, RR-1270, RR-0932, RR-1665, RR-0521, RR-3781, RR-4002, RR-1713, RR-3048, RR-1929, RR-3145, RR-4510, RR-0678, RR-4507, RR-0063, RR-3154, RR-1375, RR-1850, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-0359, RR-3207, RR-2496, RR-1950, RR-3862, RR-3923, RR-0052, RR-4006, RR-0358, RR-0155, RR-1499, RR-2081, RR-3038, RR-3372, RR-4232, RR-0055, RR-0729, RR-2781, RR-3681, RR-4950, RR-0454,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0217, RR-2704, RR-3434, RR-3016, RR-2298, RR-1461, RR-0414, RR-1723, RR-0310, RR-4406, RR-1397, RR-0663, RR-3949, RR-1393, RR-0142, RR-3264, RR-4903, RR-4267, RR-1066, RR-2188, RR-2743, RR-1901, RR-0855, RR-4525, RR-1661, RR-3083, RR-4167, RR-0261, RR-1626, RR-0125, RR-3853, RR-3303, RR-3158, RR-0933, RR-2516, RR-0497, RR-2673, RR-3857, RR-1733, RR-2470, RR-2154, RR-4756, RR-0049, RR-1510, RR-4504, RR-1729, RR-0764, RR-2999, RR-2328, RR-4890, RR-3184, RR-1175, RR-3061, RR-3404, RR-1336, RR-2122, RR-2200, RR-2872, RR-1321, RR-4785, RR-1781, RR-1934, RR-0867, RR-1322, RR-4214, RR-2248, RR-0188, RR-1141, RR-1367, RR-1313, RR-1543, RR-1223, RR-1544, RR-1369, RR-1545, RR-2436, RR-1895, RR-2218, RR-1093, RR-2313, RR-4532, RR-0812, RR-4253, RR-4597, RR-1988, RR-3410, RR-2574, RR-1990, RR-1226, RR-1841, RR-2275, RR-4538, RR-4635, RR-1721, RR-3422, RR-4499, RR-3751, RR-4469, RR-2437, RR-1347, RR-2635, RR-1159, RR-0272, RR-2038, RR-3852,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3879, RR-1034, RR-3160, RR-1373, RR-1193, RR-0625, RR-4243, RR-1635, RR-0227, RR-1995, RR-4174, RR-0714, RR-2319, RR-0254, RR-1210, RR-0788, RR-4079, RR-3752, RR-2039, RR-2699, RR-1524, RR-4928, RR-3697, RR-2196, RR-1919, RR-3697, RR-1268, RR-3676, RR-2439, RR-0657, RR-1495, RR-0657, RR-0840, RR-2137, RR-0903, RR-0539, RR-3367, RR-0652, RR-0921, RR-1379, RR-0520, RR-0721, RR-1659, RR-0248, RR-4129, RR-1182, RR-3347, RR-2056, RR-0923, RR-1629, RR-1871, RR-3636, RR-3960, RR-2549, RR-3286, RR-2813, RR-3087, RR-3864, RR-0139, RR-4523, RR-0187, RR-3525, RR-1421, RR-2650, RR-2092, RR-1419, RR-2149, RR-0594, RR-4226, RR-0203, RR-2942, RR-2592, RR-2239, RR-1234, RR-3497, RR-2953, RR-3170, RR-1438, RR-2054, RR-2280, RR-2383, RR-2472, RR-4207, RR-2490, RR-4554, RR-0134, RR-3777, RR-1640, RR-3999, RR-4836, RR-1628, RR-3239, RR-2011, RR-0846, RR-2875, RR-3416, RR-2507, RR-2922, RR-1404, RR-4517, RR-3362, RR-1433, RR-1924, RR-4830, RR-4387,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3376, RR-3271, RR-3927, RR-2880, RR-2105, RR-2665, RR-2642, RR-3025, RR-2247, RR-3458, RR-1846, RR-0219, RR-4930, RR-3855, RR-4386, RR-0793, RR-3231, RR-1770, RR-0793, RR-1493, RR-4385, RR-2955, RR-0312, RR-0365, RR-0486, RR-1059, RR-4225, RR-0763, RR-2583, RR-1302, RR-3022, RR-1302, RR-2972, RR-2656, RR-2532, RR-3641, RR-3641, RR-2621, RR-4153, RR-4760, RR-0768, RR-0549, RR-0664, RR-0640, RR-3317, RR-4853, RR-4552, RR-0469, RR-4810, RR-1414, RR-4816, RR-0259, RR-0241, RR-0795, RR-3473, RR-0426, RR-4763, RR-3055, RR-3770, RR-3806, RR-3227, RR-4547, RR-1876, RR-3600, RR-3029, RR-4425, RR-3685, RR-4613, RR-4122, RR-3798, RR-1956, RR-1657, RR-1647, RR-0301, RR-1647, RR-1599, RR-3082, RR-0348, RR-2238, RR-2951, RR-0688, RR-4595, RR-0101, RR-3526, RR-3379, RR-1074, RR-4693, RR-0436, RR-2812, RR-0875, RR-0194, RR-0246, RR-2634, RR-0819, RR-3520, RR-3942, RR-0938, RR-3951, RR-2824, RR-0173, RR-3176, RR-2540, RR-0784, RR-4266, RR-3024,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2066, RR-3053, RR-0792, RR-0192, RR-1356, RR-2213, RR-4166, RR-4238, RR-4238, RR-4624, RR-0085, RR-1162, RR-2841, RR-1245, RR-1753, RR-0662, RR-1663, RR-3500, RR-1284, RR-2775, RR-3695, RR-2841, RR-1245, RR-4279, RR-0066, RR-1838, RR-4792, RR-2758, RR-4009, RR-0965, RR-2551, RR-3576, RR-0577, RR-1691, RR-4556, RR-0415, RR-2730, RR-0399, RR-4565, RR-3678, RR-4565, RR-3266, RR-3390, RR-0966, RR-2422, RR-1360, RR-4208, RR-4090, RR-1533, RR-1534, RR-4461, RR-0196, RR-1450, RR-0172, RR-4199, RR-1771, RR-4824, RR-2297, RR-1807, RR-4148, RR-1807, RR-3321, RR-3204, RR-1809, RR-0618, RR-3080, RR-1915, RR-4395, RR-4228, RR-2323, RR-3005, RR-1649, RR-1602, RR-0501, RR-3651, RR-2221, RR-1639, RR-0491, RR-0620, RR-1936, RR-1831, RR-0791, RR-2691, RR-1839, RR-4172, RR-0137, RR-0907, RR-0106, RR-1940, RR-4732, RR-2449, RR-2473, RR-3066, RR-2019, RR-3723, RR-4110, RR-0655, RR-4915, RR-0960, RR-1535, RR-2575, RR-1917, RR-4921, RR-0303, RR-0713,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3487, RR-1898, RR-1102, RR-1412, RR-2912, RR-1027, RR-2729, RR-0167, RR-0711, RR-0600, RR-2229, RR-0532, RR-2518, RR-3143, RR-4661, RR-0515, RR-3899, RR-3283, RR-1006, RR-1097, RR-2166, RR-1082, RR-2698, RR-2544, RR-4106, RR-1442, RR-1836, RR-3375, RR-2052, RR-4910, RR-2284, RR-0798, RR-0056, RR-2373, RR-1794, RR-0727, RR-1530, RR-3075, RR-4064, RR-3704, RR-1890, RR-0269, RR-4511, RR-1154, RR-1800, RR-3189, RR-4341, RR-1185, RR-2228, RR-4085, RR-4396, RR-2648, RR-3647, RR-3912, RR-1002, RR-0573, RR-3334, RR-3275, RR-3893, RR-1766, RR-4056, RR-0666, RR-4672, RR-2596, RR-0149, RR-1821, RR-1289, RR-0251, RR-0177, RR-2070, RR-0929, RR-0619, RR-3327, RR-4003, RR-2852, RR-4630, RR-2535, RR-3186, RR-0677, RR-3802, RR-4697, RR-3924, RR-0438, RR-4539, RR-1199, RR-0265, RR-4714, RR-2881, RR-2045, RR-0983, RR-2644, RR-2002, RR-1823, RR-4825, RR-4408, RR-4247, RR-0014, RR-2468, RR-4033, RR-2390, RR-2761, RR-3195, RR-2737, RR-0697, RR-4546,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0268, RR-0737, RR-3085, RR-2569, RR-1296, RR-0989, RR-1331, RR-4845, RR-3420, RR-0603, RR-2980, RR-2685, RR-1918, RR-0466, RR-4740, RR-4703, RR-3532, RR-2495, RR-1156, RR-1818, RR-2541, RR-2842, RR-3607, RR-0349, RR-0463, RR-3910, RR-3805, RR-3965, RR-3406, RR-2508, RR-2235, RR-2115, RR-4664, RR-1586, RR-4458, RR-0121, RR-2428, RR-0363, RR-3539, RR-0704, RR-0667, RR-2693, RR-4549, RR-4245, RR-4743, RR-2067, RR-3436, RR-1952, RR-3769, RR-1588, RR-3255, RR-3817, RR-0367, RR-3754, RR-4193, RR-1681, RR-0441, RR-4881, RR-4864, RR-2740, RR-4858, RR-2003, RR-4914, RR-0422, RR-1718, RR-0422, RR-0111, RR-4713, RR-0394, RR-4424, RR-4403, RR-3803, RR-0429, RR-1194, RR-4416, RR-2337, RR-1301, RR-3516, RR-3588, RR-2613, RR-4818, RR-1536, RR-2792, RR-2064, RR-1621, RR-4007, RR-0031, RR-2542, RR-2395, RR-4832, RR-2864, RR-4675, RR-1429, RR-1236, RR-1854, RR-2181, RR-2222, RR-1483, RR-0522, RR-0950, RR-2589, RR-0201, RR-0898, RR-0329, RR-0018,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3045, RR-2168, RR-0340, RR-2684, RR-2367, RR-3712, RR-3839, RR-4497, RR-4023, RR-4220, RR-0797, RR-2797, RR-2357, RR-3428, RR-0665, RR-4659, RR-0810, RR-0892, RR-3644, RR-3277, RR-2397, RR-1368, RR-0810, RR-4066, RR-2165, RR-2433, RR-4066, RR-2725, RR-1758, RR-0826, RR-4083, RR-0790, RR-2175, RR-3374, RR-1701, RR-1638, RR-2637, RR-4041, RR-1359, RR-3308, RR-0138, RR-3637, RR-0209, RR-4292, RR-3579, RR-4191, RR-2310, RR-3891, RR-3174, RR-3476, RR-0518, RR-0234, RR-3909, RR-1686, RR-2847, RR-0705, RR-4909, RR-3919, RR-4374, RR-3192, RR-3036, RR-0247, RR-1507, RR-0278, RR-4875, RR-0503, RR-4322, RR-0210, RR-3719, RR-3421, RR-4573, RR-3948, RR-1778, RR-4771, RR-2343, RR-2359, RR-0543, RR-2810, RR-0525, RR-3546, RR-4623, RR-2264, RR-4505, RR-3657, RR-1868, RR-3214, RR-2696, RR-4571, RR-0423, RR-4230, RR-1201, RR-2176, RR-1900, RR-1896, RR-3091, RR-3023, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-2123, RR-3460, RR-3023, RR-3649, RR-1509, RR-0612, RR-3859,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2557, RR-2304, RR-1113, RR-3829, RR-1923, RR-4582, RR-0264, RR-4943, RR-2077, RR-4779, RR-3450, RR-4686, RR-3070, RR-2201, RR-0397, RR-4070, RR-2871, RR-2488, RR-3502, RR-1848, RR-2116, RR-1345, RR-3226, RR-3863, RR-0305, RR-1016, RR-3589, RR-4599, RR-1440, RR-3314, RR-0794, RR-4203, RR-1762, RR-4566, RR-1247, RR-4520, RR-1782, RR-1498, RR-0317, RR-3533, RR-3098, RR-0833, RR-0611, RR-3596, RR-4100, RR-2250, RR-2840, RR-3603, RR-2830, RR-2320, RR-4533, RR-0165, RR-2515, RR-2612, RR-4258, RR-2082, RR-2982, RR-0315, RR-3866, RR-3485, RR-1324, RR-4948, RR-3614, RR-2053, RR-4099, RR-3079, RR-3306, RR-1783, RR-0719, RR-1783, RR-4256, RR-3708, RR-3056, RR-2444, RR-2080, RR-4438, RR-1366, RR-4142, RR-4593, RR-4835, RR-2845, RR-4479, RR-3979, RR-2494, RR-3107, RR-0730, RR-0832, RR-0413, AS-009, AS-012, AS-003, RR-0462, RR-0623, RR-0717, RR-1216, RR-1256, RR-1947, RR-2286, RR-2602, RR-2724, RR-2559, RR-3361, RR-3432, RR-3467, RR-3592,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3632, RR-3804, RR-4189, RR-4562, RR-4645			
D-02	RR-3882, RR-4741, RR-2363, RR-2393, RR-3762, RR-1037, RR-0398, RR-2666, RR-0023, RR-2514, RR-1773, RR-3977, RR-0216, RR-4749, RR-2412, RR-3538, RR-1180, RR-0002, RR-3291, RR-2460, AS-005, RR-3153, RR-3472, RR-2458, RR-3119, RR-1866, RR-3099, RR-2326, RR-1086, RR-2049, RR-4085, RR-2462, RR-0529, RR-3430, RR-1501, RR-0020, RR-0668, RR-3309, RR-3660, RR-3254, RR-2690, RR-0243, RR-0100, RR-4437, RR-2344, RR-0957, RR-2769, RR-4043, RR-4015, RR-3981, RR-3642, RR-3679, RR-3224, AS-010, PDA-017, PDA-019, RR-3106	General Sentiment	Respondents expressed general support for the Scheme.	The Applicant notes these comments. The Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265], Statement of Need [APP-266], and Planning Statement [APP-267] provide an assessment of the adverse and beneficial effects associated with the Scheme, an explanation of why the Scheme is needed and the benefits of solar energy generation, and the other benefits proposed by the Scheme.
D-03	RR-4537, RR-4706, RR-0973, RR-3947, RR-0109, RR-3687, RR-1458, RR-2678, RR-0199, RR-2309, RR-1908, RR-1049, RR-2570, RR-2029, RR-1114, RR-1748, RR-4342, RR-4735, RR-3783, RR-0088, RR-0135, RR-3580, RR-1009, RR-1070, RR-1899, RR-4096, RR-4164, RR-2848, RR-0802, RR-0728, RR-1728, RR-1728, RR-1372, RR-3273, RR-1950, RR-3864,	General Sentiment	Respondents noted that Wiltshire Council, local councillors and/or local Members of Parliament (MPs) objected to and/or expressed opposition to the Scheme. Some respondents expressed agreement with the points raised by Wiltshire Council,	The Development Consent Order (DCO) process anticipates that differing views may be expressed by consultees, including local authorities, councillors and MPs, and such representations will be considered by the Examining Authority alongside all other evidence submitted. The Applicant engaged with Wiltshire Council, a host local planning authority, throughout the pre-application process and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0219, RR-3317, RR-3473, RR-4792, RR-0172, RR-4915, RR-0229, RR-0810, RR-4276, RR-4164, RR-1728, RR-3207, RR-4792, RR-3920, RR-2029, RR-3317, RR-4915		councillors and MPs and/or requested that those points be addressed and remedied.	will continue to do so during Examination. Matters raised by Wiltshire Council have informed the development of the Scheme and the accompanying assessments and are addressed within the application documents. The Applicant has also engaged with local councillors and Members of Parliament during the pre-application process as part of its wider programme of stakeholder and community engagement. Where concerns have been raised by elected representatives, the Applicant has sought to respond through consultation, engagement and the information presented within the application documents. The approach to consultation and engagement, including engagement with elected representatives and Wiltshire Council, is described in the Consultation Report [APP-022], and its appendices [APP-023 to APP-051]. The Applicant will respond in detail to Wiltshire Council's representations through the Examination process. The Applicant's response to Wiltshire Council's Relevant Representation was published at Deadline A and is set out in The Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations [PDA-008]. The Applicant will also respond to Wiltshire Council's representations via Statements of Common Ground, including at Deadline 1, and, where appropriate, at

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Examination hearings, to assist the Examining Authority in its consideration of the application.
D-04	RR-3955, RR-1756, RR-2455, RR-0648, RR-1589, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-2860, RR-4560, RR-4088, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-1090, RR-1055, RR-3252, RR-0816, RR-2322, RR-1790, RR-1768, RR-1211, RR-2130, RR-1269, RR-1427, RR-2368, RR-3670, RR-1025, RR-1698, RR-1723, RR-2188, RR-1876, RR-3384, RR-2670, RR-1231, RR-3678, RR-4396, RR-0697, RR-0121, RR-0782	Scheme Description	Respondents expressed dissatisfaction with the Scheme being called "Lime Down Solar Park" and/or that the name is misleading. Specifically, some representations suggested that "Lime Down" is not a recognised place name, that the title implies a single, contiguous site rather than multiple land parcels, and that it suggests the Scheme is located on low-lying land.	The Applicant notes this comment and confirms that the Scheme is defined as the collective infrastructure required for the generating station, as set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055]. While the name "Lime Down Solar Park" identifies the Scheme as a whole, the Applicant clarifies that the Scheme is clearly defined as comprising five land areas, Lime Down A, B, C, D and E, also known as the Solar PV Sites, a Cable Route Corridor alongside further works to the Existing Melksham Substation. The Applicant followed a staged approach to assessment, taking into consideration a range of planning, environmental, and operational factors. ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] explain the methodology that has been applied when identifying and evaluating potential sites for the Scheme. The Applicant confirms that both contiguous land parcels and land parcels near one another were considered, and the name of the Scheme is not intended to reflect the land parcels that have been selected.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
D-05	RR-3724, RR-1090, RR-4922, RR-0042, RR-0735, RR-3862, RR-2284, RR-3816	National Planning Policy	Respondent expressed that the Scheme does not represent sustainable development as defined by National Planning Policy Framework.	The Planning Statement [APP-267] sets out how the Scheme complies with the relevant national and local planning policies. The NPPF does not contain specific policies for nationally significant infrastructure projects such as the Scheme. The Scheme will primarily be determined in accordance with the national policy statements (namely NPSs EN-1, EN-3 and EN-5). However, the NPPF may still be considered by the Secretary of State to the extent it is considered important and relevant to the decision. The Planning Statement [APP-267] demonstrates how sustainable development has been achieved through the outcomes of the environmental impact assessment, through good design and through delivery of economic benefits. It is therefore considered that the Scheme is compliant with the NPPF.
D-06	RR-2387	General Comment	Respondent stated that they have lived in the proposed area since 1962 working as a veterinary surgeon until retirement in 2001.	The Applicant notes this comment.
D-07	RR-0768	Solar Industry Guidance	Respondent enquired if IGP follow the national guidelines for solar farms produced by Solar Energy.	The Applicant can confirm that Island Green Power (IGP) is a member of Solar Energy UK and is committed to following national guidelines and best practice standards for the development of their

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				schemes, including Lime Down Solar Park. Specifically, the Applicant is a signatory of the Solar Energy UK Supply Chain Commitment, which ensures ethical sourcing through the Solar Stewardship Initiative (SSI). The Scheme has an anticipated generating capacity of 500 MW and therefore exceeds the 100 MW threshold for a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP). As a result, the Scheme is subject to the Planning Act 2008 and must be consented through a Development Consent Order (DCO), examined by the Planning Inspectorate on behalf of the Secretary of State for Energy Security and Net Zero. The DCO Application has been prepared in accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act 2008. The appropriate documents have been prepared and submitted as required by regulation 5 of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedures) Regulations 2009. The Applicant has also provided any information, and documents are deemed necessary to support the application (such as those required by NPSs EN-1, EN-3 and EN-5) The Planning Statement [APP-267] sets out how the Scheme complies with the relevant national and local planning policies.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
D-08	RR-0005	Other Solar Schemes	Respondent stated that they spoke with Gloucestershire Solar Farm ambassadors about the Scheme, and they are in agreement that the Scheme will affect every local village. In a discussion between the respondent and the ambassadors which covered a range of topics including: wildlife loss, noise pollution, traffic congestion, land coverage, solar park fires, and affect on house prices, they were in agreement that the Scheme, Lime Down Solar Park, was an exception to a positive use of solar.	The potential environmental and community effects of the Scheme have been assessed as part of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and are reported in the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265]. The ES considers a range of topics including ecology and biodiversity, transport and access, noise and vibration, landscape and visual effects, socio-economics, tourism and recreation, and human health. These assessments are presented within ES Volume 1, including ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060], ES Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], ES Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065], ES Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], ES Chapter 16: Socio Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068], and ES Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070]. With reference to impacts on wildlife, the Scheme is expected to deliver an overall net gain for biodiversity as a result of the cessation of agricultural practices combined with delivery of considerable habitat creation and enhancement measures. This is quantified through the use of the DEFRA published Statutory Biodiversity Metric [APP-274] with further details provided with the Biodiversity Net

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Gain Assessment Report [APP-273] which demonstrates in excess of 10% biodiversity net gain (BNG) will result from the Scheme. The ecological mitigation and enhancement proposals, including BNG measures, are illustrated in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] and the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (OEPMS) [APP-284]. The Outline LEMP [APP-283] includes provisions for habitat establishment, maintenance and ecological monitoring to confirm that mitigation and enhancement measures are functioning as intended and to identify and implement remedial measures where required. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan and Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283] and Outline EPMS [APP-284], are secured through Requirements 7 and 8 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order [APP-016], ensuring that ecological mitigation and enhancement measures will be delivered, monitored and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme. The Applicant is confident that the proposed management and mitigation

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				measures set out in the ES [APP-052 to APP-265] are comprehensive and robust and that the Scheme aligns with national and local planning policy; this is outlined in the Planning Statement [APP-267] . Of particular relevance are Annex A: National Policy Statement Accordance Tables and Annex B: Local Policy Accordance Tables, of the Planning Statement which set out an appraisal of the Scheme against national and local planning policies.
D-09	RR-3475, RR-2461, RR-2992, RR-4639, RR-1779, RR-1272, RR-0346, RR-0616, RR-2713, RR-0109, RR-1003, RR-3260, RR-2626, RR-4250, RR-2309, RR-4342, RR-3783, RR-0226, RR-4347, RR-3270, RR-0274, RR-4526, RR-4794, RR-3104, RR-0232, RR-3133, RR-0679, RR-0185, RR-0108, RR-2928, RR-3969, RR-2525, RR-1423, RR-4950, RR-4368, RR-2133, RR-1322, RR-1888, RR-0486, RR-2183, RR-3473, RR-2213, RR-4339, RR-1198, RR-1380, RR-0929, RR-4042, RR-2545, RR-0810, RR-2608, RR-4533, RR-0754, RR-4479, RR-3497, RR-0486, RR-1380, RR-2029, RR-0486	DCO Process	Respondents expressed concern about the Scheme being decided by the government rather than Wiltshire Council and/or local residents.	Lime Down Solar Park has an anticipated generating capacity of approximately 500 MW and therefore exceeds the 100 MW threshold for a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP). As a result, the Scheme is subject to the Planning Act 2008 and must be consented through a Development Consent Order (DCO), examined by the Planning Inspectorate on behalf of the Secretary of State for Energy Security and Net Zero, rather than determined by the local planning authority. A full description of the Scheme is provided in the ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] .

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
D-10	RR-1462, RR-4550, RR-3454, RR-3228, RR-1073, RR-1003, RR-2786, RR-4192, RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-0002, RR-3620, RR-2702, RR-2994, RR-2695, RR-3497, RR-3473, RR-1753, RR-1771, RR-1826	National Planning Policy	Respondents stated that the proposals conflict with NPPF December 2024 on landscape, heritage, biodiversity and soil protection	The Planning Statement [APP-267] sets out how the Scheme complies with the relevant national and local planning policies. The NPPF does not contain specific policies for nationally significant infrastructure projects such as the Scheme. The Scheme will primarily be determined in accordance with the national policy statements (namely NPSs EN-1, EN-3 and EN-5). However, the NPPF may still be considered by the Secretary of State to the extent it is considered important and relevant to the decision. Annex A of the Planning Statement [APP-267] sets out the Scheme's compliance with the relevant NPPF policies regarding landscape, heritage, biodiversity and soil protection. It is considered that the Scheme is compliant with the relevant local and national policies and where significant adverse effects have been identified (such as visual effects), the Applicant has addressed this in the Planning Statement and set out how it considers the planning balance should be applied. The Applicant's position is that the balance is overwhelmingly in favour of the development consent order being made.
D-11	RR-2552, RR-1641, RR-4383	DCO Process	Respondents stated that they registered a Relevant Representation because they were interested in the Scheme	The Applicant welcomes continued engagement with members of the public and stakeholders throughout the Examination process.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			and/or wished to be kept informed about the project. Some respondents stated that they wished to provide comments on the Scheme.	Information relating to the Scheme, including the application documents, is publicly available on the Planning Inspectorate's website. The full suite of application documents can be accessed via the Examination Library, including the Consultation Report [APP-022] and the ES [APP-052 to APP-265]. Interested Parties may review these documents and participate in the Examination in accordance with the procedures set out by the Examining Authority.
D-12	RR-1049, RR-0276, RR-0909, RR-1009	Local Planning Policy	Respondents stated that the proposals contradicts Wiltshire Core Strategy on the following grounds: Wiltshire Core Strategy (2015) - Core Policy 42 Standalone renewable installations must satisfactorily address impacts on landscape, biodiversity, heritage, transport, amenity and BMV land Reference: Wiltshire Core Strategy Core Policy 42 Wiltshire Core Strategy (2015)	The Planning Statement [APP-267] sets out how the Scheme complies with the relevant national and local planning policy, including the adopted Wiltshire Core Strategy (2015). Further details of the specific policies and how the Scheme accords with them are set out in Annex B of the Planning Statement. This includes detailed answers regarding Core Policies 42, 51, 52, 57 and 58 mentioned in this relevant representation. It is considered that through its design and application of the mitigation hierarchy, the Scheme is policy compliant. Where significant adverse effects have been identified (such as for visual effects), these have been considered by the Applicant in its application of the planning balance. It is considered that the planning balance is

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			- Core Policy 51 Development must protect, conserve and enhance landscape character Visually sensitive skylines and important views must be protected Reference: Wiltshire Core Strategy Core Policy 51 Wiltshire Core Strategy (2015) - Core Policy 52 Green infrastructure networks and ecological connectivity must be protected Reference: Wiltshire Core Strategy Core Policy 52 Wiltshire Core Strategy (2015) - Core Policy 57 Development must not compromise neighbour amenity Reference: Wiltshire Core Strategy Core Policy 57	overwhelmingly in favour of making the development consent order. As to Wiltshire's Draft Local Plan, Wiltshire Council's Local Plan Regulation 19 Pre-submission Draft (2023) has been considered and the Scheme's compliance with the draft policies is set out in Annex B of the Planning Statement (see page 753 onwards). It is interpreted that the relevant representation is referring to Policy 86 which refers to cumulative effects and the use of grazing in bat sustenance zones and Policy 89 which includes a requirement to achieve a minimum of 20% BNG. In relation to these specific points: 1. A comprehensive Cumulative and In-Combination Effects Assessment has been undertaken in accordance with Planning Inspectorate Advice Note 17 and the relevant NPS EN-1, NPS EN-3 and NPS EN-5 requirements which are set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 21: Cumulative and In-Combination Effects [APP-074] and associated Appendices [APP-264]. Interaction with proposed or consented but not yet built developments has been fully explored within each of the technical assessments [APP-059 - APP-072] with a summary of each

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			Wiltshire Core Strategy (2015) - Core Policy 58 Heritage assets and their settings must be conserved Reference: Wiltshire Core Strategy Core Policy 58 Draft Wiltshire Local Plan - Core Policy 86 Cumulative environmental effects must be satisfactorily addressed 20% Biodiversity Net Gain required (applicant offers 10%) Grazing required in bat sustenance zones (applicant proposes none) Reference: Draft Wiltshire Local Plan Core Policy 86	of these assessments provided within ES Volume 1, Chapter 21: Cumulative and In-Combination Effects [APP-073]. Existing schemes are captured within the baseline environment. The four stage cumulative assessment methodology is described within ES Chapter 6 EIA Methodology [APP-058]. 358 developments were included in the Long List [APP-264]. 41 developments are included in the short list (and are therefore assessed) including a number of proposed solar and BESS developments. The list of developments included in the cumulative assessment was reviewed and developed in consultation with Wiltshire Council. Taking a conservative worse case approach, significant cumulative effects with the short list of developments are identified at a limited and discrete number of receptors comprising tourism and recreation impacts during the construction phase for example, on Corsham Park, PRoW WT CORM 122, WT HULL 29, and Sustrans Cycle Route 403, and on skylark at a District level as set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 21 In-Combination

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				and Cumulative Effects [APP-073]. 2. The design of the Solar PV allows some farming practices to continue during operation of the Scheme, including sheep grazing. Whilst the land would not be available for crop production, there may be opportunities to limit the effects on agricultural production through other forms of agricultural practice. The Outline Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan [APP-285] sets out measures to support agricultural workers in moving to diversified farming practises (such as sheep grazing) which can continue alongside operation of the Scheme. The Outline Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan will be secured via Requirement 18 under Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016]. Defined Special Area of Conservation (SAC) bat sustenance zones referred to by the policy are mapped in relation to the Scheme within ES Volume 2, Figure 9-1-4 Wiltshire Impact Zones for Bats [APP-110]. Only the Cable Route Corridor element of the Scheme overlaps with any bat sustenance zone. Within the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Cable Route Corridor, all construction works to lay underground cables works will be temporary and require a relatively narrow working area (circa 25 m wide), with all habitat reinstated on completion of works. Such works would not preclude the continued grazing of land within any bat sustenance zone. 3. Whilst the Draft Local Plan requires 20% BNG, in accordance with s104(4) of the Planning Act 2008, the DCO Application will be decided in accordance with the relevant NPSS. The requirement to achieve 10% BNG is expected to become a legal requirement for NSIPs from May 2026 but will not apply retrospectively to applications submitted before that date and therefore is not a legal requirement for the Scheme. Regardless of this, the Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment Report [APP-273] demonstrates that the Scheme will result in excess of 10% biodiversity net gain (BNG).
D-13	RR-2460	General Support	Respondent stated that the planning balance clearly favours granting consent as	The Applicant notes these comments.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			the proposals deliver substantial and long-term national benefits, while the identified local impacts have been carefully assessed and appropriately mitigated.	The Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] , Statement of Need [APP-266] , and Planning Statement [APP-267] provide an assessment of the adverse and beneficial effects associated with the Scheme, an explanation of why the Scheme is needed and the benefits of solar energy generation, and the other benefits proposed by the Scheme.
D-14	RR-1085	Grid Connection	Respondent states that the Applicant should disclose and evidence the Gate outcome for PV and for BESS, whether either element has protected/partially protected status, and what the Applicant's fallback is if the BESS is delayed, reduced or cannot proceed on the assumed timetable.	The Applicant confirms that it has received notification of a Gate Two, Phase One offer for the solar element of the Scheme. Whilst a Gate One notification has been received for the BESS element, it is fully anticipated that, should the Scheme receive consent, co-located technologies will be prioritised and the Gate offer for the BESS would be upgraded or improved. This expectation is supported by the Applicant's other consented projects, the Cottom Solar Project and the West Burton Solar Project, both of which received Gate Two offers for solar and BESS at the time of notifications in November 2025 due to their earlier Development Consent Orders (DCO's) being granted. The Applicant also notes that further rounds of notifications are anticipated, which are expected to reflect advances in project timelines across the network. The Applicant clarifies that Gate offers are only granted to parties that have secured a

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				grid connection with National Grid, as set out in the Grid Connection Statement [APP-270] . The Applicant therefore has a secured connection for both elements of the Scheme and has included and fully assessed each element within the Application.
D-15	RR-4121	Scheme Documentation	Respondent stated that projects of this scale would normally require a Project Execution Plan (PEP), together with an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and a Safety Risk Assessment (SRA). Given the Environmental Impact and the Safety Risk associated with this Scheme.	The DCO Application has been prepared in accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act 2008. The appropriate documents have been prepared and submitted as required by regulation 5 of The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedures) Regulations 2009. The Applicant has also provided any information and documents deemed necessary to support the application (such as those required by NPSs EN-1, EN-3 and EN-5). An Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] has been submitted with the application and provide an assessment of the adverse and beneficial effects associated with the Scheme.
D-16	RR-1406, RR-0652, RR-0640, RR-4026, RR-0677, RR-4779	Outline Management Plans	Respondent expressed concern that management plans and mitigation will only be confirmed after the proposal is approved.	The construction, operation and decommissioning of the Scheme have been assessed throughout the ES [APP-052 to APP-265] which considers associated effects across relevant topic areas. As part of the assessment, appropriate mitigation measures are incorporated into the Scheme and secured

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				through the DCO and associated management plans. Mitigation measures to limit effects associated with the Scheme are set out in the outline management plans [APP-277 to APP-287]. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed management plans, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order [APP-016], ensuring that effects associated with the Scheme are appropriately controlled.
D-17	RR-2654, RR-3864, RR-3497, AS-004	Planning Policy	Respondent expressed that the Scheme goes against planning requirements and/or local planning policies.	The Planning Statement [APP-267] sets out the Scheme's compliance with the relevant national and local planning policies, with a full list of relevant policy listed at annexes A and B. It is considered that the Scheme is in overall compliance with the relevant national and local policies and particularly the relevant sections of national policy statements NPS EN-1, NPS EN-3 and NPS EN-5. The Planning Statement also summarises where significant environmental effects have been identified (for instance in relation to visual effects) and how the Applicant considers these should be weighed in the planning balance. It is the Applicant's position that the balance is overwhelmingly in favour of the development consent order being made.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
D-18	RR-0244	Trust in Decision-Making	Respondent stated that people are becoming disillusioned and sceptical about the decision makers in this process.	The Scheme is being considered through the statutory process set out in the Planning Act 2008, which establishes a transparent and structured framework for the examination of Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects. This examination is managed by an independently appointed Examining Authority. The Examination process also provides opportunities for members of the public, local authorities and other stakeholders to participate, including through written submissions and Examination hearings. The Examining Authority will consider all Relevant Representations, Written Representations and other evidence submitted during the Examination before making a recommendation to the Secretary of State for Energy Security and Net Zero, who will make the final decision on whether to grant development consent.
D-19	RR-2489, RR-2578, RR-4908, RR-3779, RR-2457, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-1458, RR-0513, RR-0583, RR-1784, RR-0324, RR-1339, RR-4098, RR-4466, RR-3222, RR-1344, RR-4178, RR-3916, RR-0083, RR-3276, RR-1899, RR-1490, RR-1869, RR-2321, RR-4302, RR-2736, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-1225, RR-1319, RR-4662,	Mitigation	Respondents expressed scepticism regarding the effectiveness of the proposed mitigation measures and/or their ability to reduce impacts on local communities.	The Applicant is confident that the proposed management and mitigation measures set out in the Environmental Statement [APP-052 to APP-265] are comprehensive and robust and that the Scheme aligns with national and local planning policy; this is outlined in the Planning Statement [APP-267]. Of particular relevance are Annex A: National Policy Statement Accordance Tables and Annex B: Local Policy Accordance Tables,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0232, RR-2741, RR-2434, RR-3974, RR-4050, RR-2533, RR-1612, RR-3448, RR-3727, RR-0407, RR-0084, RR-0108, RR-4081, RR-4790, RR-3877, RR-0894, RR-3765, RR-4643, RR-4837, RR-4451, RR-1679, RR-1596, RR-1867, RR-4950, RR-1881, RR-1321, RR-2058, RR-3059, RR-2635, RR-0254, RR-4079, RR-1482, RR-1495, RR-3367, RR-3087, RR-1935, RR-3497, RR-2383, RR-2642, RR-2247, RR-2955, RR-1302, RR-3641, RR-3641, RR-4853, RR-0246, RR-1809, RR-2221, RR-0620, RR-0791, RR-3578, RR-3094, RR-2575, RR-1593, RR-1497, RR-3704, RR-0089, RR-4488, RR-0929, RR-2667, RR-2303, RR-0459, RR-0884, RR-3420, RR-1689, RR-3767, RR-0253, RR-2448, RR-0782, RR-1008, RR-0087, RR-2740, RR-4424, RR-0606, RR-1338, RR-0660, RR-0898, RR-0992, RR-2357, RR-1279, RR-3579, RR-2931, RR-2847, RR-1826, RR-1868, RR-2176, RR-4365, RR-0860, RR-0671, RR-4686, RR-2201, RR-1848, RR-0684, RR-4136, RR-3497, RR-4836, RR-0444, RR-0848			of the Planning Statement which set out an appraisal of the Scheme against national and local planning policies. Of particular relevance to the assessment of effects on local communities are ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060], Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065], Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], Chapter 15: Air Quality [APP-067], Chapter 16: Socioeconomics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068], and Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070], all of which consider potential impacts on residential properties and local communities. The mitigation measures contained within the various outline management plans are secured via DCO Requirements meaning that the mitigation contained within the final management plans (which must be substantially in accordance with the outline versions) must be implemented as part of the Scheme. A failure to do so would be a criminal offence and there are enforcement mechanisms that would ensure such mitigation would be implemented. These management plans are secured via the Requirements contained within Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order [APP-016].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
D-20	RR-2460, AS-005	Mitigation	Respondents expressed general support for and/or welcome the Scheme's proposed mitigation measures.	The Applicant makes note of these comments and welcomes continued constructive dialogue.
D-21	RR-2184, RR-3858, RR-0513, RR-0311, RR-2571, RR-1748, RR-3446, AS-004, RR-1596, RR-1867, RR-1393, RR-3497, RR-2155, RR-0980, RR-4664, RR-0111	Environmental Assessment Credibility	Respondents expressed scepticism that the team undertaking the environmental assessments were unbiased and/or impartial and/or questioned the validity of the environmental assessment findings. Some respondents requested that environmental and/or ecological surveys be undertaken by independent specialists.	The Applicant disagrees that the team undertaking the environmental assessments are biased. The ES [APP-052 to APP-265] and environmental and ecological surveys have been undertaken by competent experts with considerable experience assessing similar schemes. Details of qualifications, experience and professional institute membership are provided within ES Volume 3, Appendix 1-1 Statement of Competence [APP-180] .
	RR-1060, RR-1143, RR-0042, RR-3445, RR-3686, RR-2206, RR-2537, RR-4788, RR-4847, RR-2335, RR-3765, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-3410, RR-3961, RR-0469, RR-4619, RR-4026, RR-0792, RR-2887, RR-4742, RR-1809, RR-4412, RR-0149, RR-2644, RR-0446, RR-4779	Environmental Effects	Respondents stated that the Applicant had acknowledged that the Scheme would result in significant environmental effects, including residual effects on landscape, wildlife, soils and heritage assets following the implementation of mitigation measures.	The Applicant acknowledges that there will be some unavoidable impacts on the environment as a result of the Scheme. The Secretary of State will balance those potential impacts and changes against the urgent need and critical national priority for the Scheme as set out in Government policy. The Applicant has assessed adverse impacts arising from the Scheme and has also set out within the ES [APP-052 – APP-065] the steps taken to avoid, mitigate and minimise these impacts as far as possible, and has prepared a number of

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				management plans that will ensure that impacts are kept to a minimum. These management plans are secured via the Requirements contained within Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order [APP-016] .
D-22	RR-0042, RR-2741, RR-2644	Outline Management Plans	Respondents noted that critical plans to manage construction traffic, noise and environmental damage, would not be finalised until after the proposal is approved. For example, the Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) and the Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP). Respondents stated that this was unacceptable and should have been included in the application.	The construction, operation and decommissioning of the Scheme have been assessed throughout the ES [APP-052 to APP-265] which considers associated effects across relevant topic areas. As part of the assessment, appropriate mitigation measures are incorporated into the Scheme and secured through the DCO and associated management plans. Mitigation measures to limit effects associated with the Scheme are set out in the outline management plans [APP-277 to APP-287]. Schedule 2 to the Draft DCO [APP-016] secures management plans must be submitted to and approved by Wiltshire Council, the management plans must be substantially in accordance with the outline management plans, and all aspects of the Scheme must be carried out in accordance with the approved management plans, ensuring that effects associated with the Scheme are appropriately controlled.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
D-23	RR-2892, RR-1616, RR-1584, RR-3437, RR-4419, RR-0114, RR-4709, RR-3074, RR-2014, RR-4718, RR-3617, RR-3354, RR-3475, RR-3389, RR-4607, RR-1332, RR-1496, RR-2398, RR-1031, RR-3733, RR-1833, RR-2578, RR-4312, RR-4482, RR-3129, RR-1608, RR-3561, RR-1099, RR-1845, RR-2074, RR-0370, RR-4706, RR-4725, RR-4241, RR-2348, RR-2203, RR-3149, RR-0115, RR-2499, RR-1474, RR-0076, RR-2160, RR-2620, RR-0109, RR-0558, RR-3872, RR-4696, RR-1341, RR-3449, RR-2394, RR-2287, RR-0548, RR-4758, RR-4286, RR-1090, RR-2114, RR-2009, RR-1591, RR-2794, RR-1352, RR-4453, RR-2979, RR-3185, RR-0962, RR-4250, RR-4728, RR-2205, RR-0404, RR-0289, RR-0233, RR-0255, RR-3063, RR-3700, RR-0651, RR-3223, RR-0123, RR-4717, RR-4098, RR-4799, RR-2668, RR-3400, RR-1250, RR-3132, RR-4472, RR-0311, RR-1383, RR-4150, RR-3017, RR-4865, RR-3479, RR-0636, RR-2029, RR-0642, RR-2991, RR-2400, RR-2815, RR-3820, RR-3792, RR-3997, RR-4544, RR-1748, RR-1152,	Developer Motivation	Respondents expressed concern that the Scheme was motivated by financial profit and/or characterised the motivation as 'greed'. Some respondents referred to 'greenwashing' and/or expressed scepticism that the developer was genuinely concerned with climate change and/or achieving net zero.	The Applicant notes the concerns about the reasons behind the Scheme. The Statement of Need [APP-266] provides evidence that the Scheme aims to help meet national targets for clean energy and net zero targets set out in legislation and policy. The aim is to deliver long term environmental benefit alongside a secure energy supply. The Applicant is committed to meeting all planning, environmental, and construction policies and standards. The Scheme is being developed in line with legal and regulatory requirements, with engagement with all statutory and non-statutory bodies, ensuring quality and accountability throughout.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2289, RR-0380, RR-0871, RR-0016, RR-2906, RR-4145, RR-4615, RR-2587, RR-3626, RR-2814, RR-0280, RR-3597, RR-3515, RR-4229, RR-0068, RR-2279, RR-1694, RR-2403, RR-3630, RR-0073, RR-1392, RR-2079, RR-1673, RR-4753, RR-3677, RR-2498, RR-1111, RR-4113, RR-3800, RR-0226, RR-0552, RR-1105, RR-0320, RR-3959, RR-1546, RR-1547, RR-1954, RR-3557, RR-0389, RR-2036, RR-0374, RR-0716, RR-1780, RR-3051, RR-0964, RR-4300, RR-4456, RR-4669, RR-0868, RR-4347, RR-1100, RR-0996, RR-0050, RR-2085, RR-2707, RR-4772, RR-3667, RR-4605, RR-1791, RR-3343, RR-4900, RR-4132, RR-0820, RR-1070, RR-3537, RR-4854, RR-2209, RR-1835, RR-3116, RR-2027, RR-0707, RR-0161, RR-0006, RR-4800, RR-2312, RR-0922, RR-3939, RR-4736, RR-1564, RR-3111, RR-4527, RR-0843, RR-4077, RR-1855, RR-3680, RR-0802, RR-1485, RR-2736, RR-1427, RR-3395, RR-3734, RR-3113, RR-0621, RR-3788, RR-4061, RR-0982, RR-1209, RR-2524, RR-1025, RR-1139, RR-1311, RR-3332, RR-0910, RR-3393, RR-2125,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2016, RR-1011, RR-4759, RR-2703, RR-3974, RR-2180, RR-1870, RR-2360, RR-1852, RR-4370, RR-1916, RR-1148, RR-0706, RR-3663, RR-0634, RR-2148, RR-0407, RR-0926, RR-2163, RR-0817, RR-1540, RR-0108, RR-4081, RR-4063, RR-4708, RR-3877, RR-1266, RR-0204, RR-1447, RR-1371, RR-3780, RR-3969, RR-4534, RR-4290, RR-0059, RR-0584, RR-4244, RR-1665, RR-0063, RR-0569, RR-4612, RR-1950, RR-3851, RR-1867, RR-0454, RR-3353, RR-3084, RR-0414, RR-0837, RR-4809, RR-4267, RR-3521, RR-3083, RR-3854, RR-2133, RR-0057, RR-3639, RR-0985, RR-2059, RR-3287, RR-3628, RR-1373, RR-0625, RR-1830, RR-4079, RR-3986, RR-1919, RR-0760, RR-0248, RR-4129, RR-2549, RR-3815, RR-0953, RR-4554, RR-4836, RR-3239, RR-3976, RR-3164, RR-2105, RR-0219, RR-0848, RR-3231, RR-2955, RR-2621, RR-4760, RR-4853, RR-3304, RR-4159, RR-1876, RR-1647, RR-2129, RR-3384, RR-2543, RR-4314, RR-3135, RR-2066, RR-2986, RR-1992, RR-1162, RR-1636, RR-3266, RR-3390, RR-4379, RR-3658, RR-3102,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3394, RR-2406, RR-1809, RR-3005, RR-3952, RR-1940, RR-2449, RR-2473, RR-0815, RR-1102, RR-0711, RR-1380, RR-2622, RR-2052, RR-0056, RR-3075, RR-1154, RR-2256, RR-3816, RR-1973, RR-3189, RR-0089, RR-4341, RR-1531, RR-4918, RR-2799, RR-0844, RR-3647, RR-3807, RR-0586, RR-4158, RR-2169, RR-0533, RR-0929, RR-0980, RR-4714, RR-0838, RR-0014, RR-2462, RR-4067, RR-2334, RR-3085, RR-3318, RR-0268, RR-2685, RR-2093, RR-1631, RR-2386, RR-4104, RR-3607, RR-2977, RR-0197, RR-3058, RR-3797, RR-3665, RR-2740, RR-4219, RR-0229, RR-4713, RR-3871, RR-0429, RR-0606, RR-4416, RR-3588, RR-1621, RR-4091, RR-2989, RR-2684, RR-2545, RR-0457, RR-2367, RR-2094, RR-3428, RR-3605, RR-4791, RR-3014, RR-0790, RR-1279, RR-2294, RR-2931, RR-2327, RR-0234, RR-2071, RR-2117, RR-4573, RR-3926, RR-0543, RR-3546, RR-2937, RR-3023, RR-3319, RR-1310, RR-0682, RR-0207, RR-2488, RR-0294, RR-1913, RR-0774, RR-1440, RR-0794, RR-4203, RR-4566, RR-2576, RR-1697, RR-3650,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2840, RR-2784, RR-4533, RR-4710, RR-3079, RR-0748, RR-2444, RR-0754, RR-1032, RR-0832, AS-003, RR-1523, RR-1696, RR-1861, RR-2724, RR-2724, RR-4310			
D-24	RR-2308, RR-1910, RR-0648, RR-0114, RR-4709, RR-3074, RR-4718, RR-3354, RR-0778, RR-3447, RR-1303, RR-2184, RR-3265, RR-3733, RR-0067, RR-0428, RR-2970, RR-4560, RR-4797, RR-1528, RR-4687, RR-0680, RR-3779, RR-2074, RR-3858, RR-3988, RR-4784, RR-3002, RR-0115, RR-1239, RR-4636, RR-0362, RR-1003, RR-3872, RR-4696, RR-3687, RR-2503, RR-4498, RR-0395, RR-3190, RR-4758, RR-2773, RR-1591, RR-4453, RR-4128, RR-2207, RR-3873, RR-0959, RR-2626, RR-3796, RR-0195, RR-4728, RR-0289, RR-3975, RR-3429, RR-1215, RR-2392, RR-1776, RR-4516, RR-2547, RR-2929, RR-2958, RR-1107, RR-2006, RR-3132, RR-1965, RR-0598, RR-1051, RR-2570, RR-1103, RR-2555, RR-4150, RR-2172, RR-4600, RR-0636, RR-1600, RR-4112, RR-3222, RR-2015, RR-2991, RR-3333, RR-2400, RR-0396, RR-3997,	Trust in Developer	Respondents expressed general distrust towards Island Green Power and/or Macquarie. Some respondents expressed specific concern regarding Macquarie's involvement.	The Applicant acknowledges the expression of distrust towards the Scheme and has demonstrated the Scheme's compliance with all statutory requirements under the Planning Act 2008 and applicable legislation such as The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017. The Applicant is subject to legally binding Development Consent Order (DCO) requirements. The Planning Act 2008 also contains enforcement provisions regarding compliance with the terms of a DCO. The Applicant has a strong track record of developing Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) scale projects, notably the consented Cottom Solar Project and West Burton Solar Project, alongside a strong pipeline of further applications coming forward. The Applicant confirms that the owners of Island Green Power, Macquarie, has a strong record of long-term UK investment. Since 1999, Macquarie has invested more than £65 billion into UK infrastructure,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4775, RR-4544, RR-1152, RR-0267, RR-3682, RR-2901, RR-3046, RR-3760, RR-0058, RR-0380, RR-0676, RR-3966, RR-4342, RR-2587, RR-1242, RR-3842, RR-0280, RR-3597, RR-4252, RR-0978, RR-0090, RR-3630, RR-3744, RR-1253, RR-4519, RR-4444, RR-2073, RR-0448, RR-2603, RR-2732, RR-4753, RR-3261, RR-2498, RR-1009, RR-1205, RR-1111, RR-4727, RR-0181, RR-1997, RR-3377, RR-4113, RR-0781, RR-0552, RR-2249, RR-3940, RR-0104, RR-2579, RR-0092, RR-1954, RR-3557, RR-0389, RR-3916, RR-4357, RR-3709, RR-0964, RR-4669, RR-4367, RR-2484, RR-0807, RR-1202, RR-4173, RR-4605, RR-3136, RR-2384, RR-1173, RR-2793, RR-1070, RR-2241, RR-4737, RR-2027, RR-4380, RR-4673, RR-1315, RR-0876, RR-1789, RR-1611, RR-1869, RR-3111, RR-0588, RR-3680, RR-0602, RR-1485, RR-2736, RR-1427, RR-4778, RR-4061, RR-1463, RR-1740, RR-1139, RR-0124, RR-3716, RR-2434, RR-2338, RR-1011, RR-2180, RR-3664, RR-2533, RR-3133, RR-4724, RR-2733, RR-3905, RR-4762, RR-0449, RR-2601, RR-1148,			including energy projects, supporting more than 33,000 UK jobs. The Funding Statement [APP-019] in the Application provides details of the corporate structure of Lime Down Solar Park Limited and how the Scheme is being funded.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1810, RR-0044, RR-4022, RR-0249, RR-3037, RR-2791, RR-0675, RR-0158, RR-1925, RR-2421, RR-3799, RR-0752, RR-2811, RR-3293, RR-1617, RR-4812, RR-2189, RR-3551, RR-2675, RR-0894, RR-4534, RR-4290, RR-1252, RR-4726, RR-0531, RR-0715, RR-0521, RR-3781, RR-4002, RR-3145, RR-0063, RR-0589, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-1950, RR-4321, RR-4950, RR-4368, RR-0414, RR-0837, RR-3187, RR-4267, RR-0855, RR-1661, RR-3083, RR-0049, RR-3639, RR-0164, RR-2695, RR-3287, RR-4597, RR-1177, RR-1373, RR-2795, RR-2512, RR-0657, RR-2898, RR-1182, RR-1629, RR-1871, RR-3960, RR-3864, RR-2942, RR-3774, RR-2953, RR-0435, RR-0953, RR-2472, RR-4554, RR-4836, RR-3239, RR-3976, RR-3507, RR-3118, RR-0751, RR-2105, RR-0219, RR-0848, RR-4413, RR-0312, RR-0486, RR-2955, RR-2621, RR-4760, RR-3738, RR-0664, RR-4853, RR-3304, RR-2183, RR-4159, RR-3344, RR-0376, RR-1647, RR-1599, RR-3150, RR-2258, RR-1652, RR-3176, RR-3385, RR-3176, RR-2986, RR-3296, RR-1992, RR-1162, RR-3713,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1753, RR-4792, RR-1062, RR-1231, RR-1198, RR-3266, RR-1682, RR-3390, RR-4305, RR-3743, RR-0758, RR-2422, RR-3102, RR-4012, RR-4742, RR-1029, RR-1915, RR-4395, RR-4148, RR-2425, RR-4394, RR-0491, RR-0791, RR-3094, RR-1940, RR-2449, RR-2473, RR-0815, RR-2575, RR-1917, RR-3487, RR-1380, RR-1097, RR-2622, RR-2052, RR-0798, RR-1015, RR-1800, RR-1973, RR-2862, RR-4341, RR-4396, RR-4918, RR-0844, RR-3647, RR-1821, RR-2210, RR-0980, RR-3280, RR-3934, RR-1116, RR-1199, RR-2468, RR-2390, RR-1816, RR-3085, RR-2569, RR-1918, RR-2685, RR-2093, RR-4740, RR-2386, RR-4104, RR-0144, RR-0463, RR-2977, RR-0121, RR-2067, RR-3786, RR-3058, RR-3683, RR-1906, RR-1008, RR-3665, RR-4864, RR-2740, RR-3356, RR-0422, RR-0111, RR-4416, RR-3588, RR-4818, RR-2989, RR-2684, RR-2545, RR-0457, RR-2367, RR-3577, RR-3839, RR-1537, RR-3605, RR-0892, RR-0810, RR-2433, RR-0790, RR-4857, RR-0105, RR-1283, RR-2327, RR-3476, RR-0234, RR-2847, RR-2117, RR-1507, RR-1826,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3926, RR-3023, RR-3319, RR-1310, RR-0682, RR-0207, RR-2110, RR-2488, RR-2788, RR-0211, RR-1913, RR-0774, RR-3562, RR-0794, RR-4203, RR-0854, RR-4566, RR-1308, RR-4520, RR-3650, RR-4065, RR-0165, RR-2082, RR-0719, RR-3056, RR-0754, RR-1032, RR-0832, AS-003, RR-0439, RR-1861, RR-2724, RR-3432, RR-3435, RR-3592, RR-4933			
D-25	RR-1910, RR-0648, RR-3074, RR-4360, RR-2153, RR-3726, RR-0563, RR-1024, RR-4514, RR-0484, RR-3936, RR-1031, RR-0530, RR-0738, RR-0379, RR-4181, RR-2631, RR-2223, RR-4609, RR-2061, RR-2173, RR-0766, RR-0067, RR-4482, RR-4318, RR-4559, RR-1845, RR-2074, RR-3355, RR-1272, RR-0947, RR-2874, RR-4706, RR-1207, RR-0346, RR-2203, RR-1052, RR-1239, RR-3640, RR-1073, RR-2895, RR-0076, RR-0153, RR-2283, RR-2160, RR-3932, RR-2713, RR-0558, RR-4696, RR-3687, RR-1341, RR-2450, RR-2394, RR-0805, RR-2114, RR-2794, RR-4453, RR-4128, RR-0564, RR-2979, RR-3340, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-0959, RR-2626, RR-1263,	Foreign Ownership and Investment	Respondents expressed concern regarding foreign investment and/or ownership of the Scheme and/or that profits generated by the Scheme may go outside the UK. Some respondents expressed concern regarding the potential implications for national security and/or the involvement of malicious state actors. Some respondents expressed concern that the developer may not pay UK tax.	The Applicant, Lime Down Solar Park Limited is a 100% subsidiary of IGP UK Projects Limited. Both are registered in England and Wales and subject to UK tax law and regulations. Investment may come from a range of sources, including international ones. This is common practice with UK infrastructure projects and helps fund the transition to clean energy at the scale required. The Applicant highlights Island Green Power's Cottam and West Burton projects which have received Development Consent Orders (DCOs). The Cottam Solar Project was granted development consent by the Secretary of State on 5 September 2024. The West Burton Solar Project was granted development consent by Secretary of State on 24 January 2025. Funding Statement [APP-019] submitted

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3796, RR-1249, RR-2068, RR-0725, RR-2706, RR-1668, RR-3175, RR-4353, RR-4336, RR-2205, RR-0289, RR-1893, RR-3975, RR-3063, RR-2263, RR-3553, RR-3429, RR-4098, RR-4799, RR-2330, RR-0383, RR-3471, RR-3646, RR-2882, RR-1776, RR-3358, RR-4563, RR-4516, RR-2547, RR-3400, RR-4443, RR-4242, RR-2006, RR-3132, RR-2055, RR-1103, RR-2639, RR-4600, RR-3017, RR-1044, RR-1600, RR-4112, RR-3222, RR-2991, RR-2400, RR-2815, RR-3792, RR-1152, RR-0267, RR-0997, RR-1434, RR-0845, RR-3567, RR-1036, RR-3789, RR-3626, RR-3783, RR-3842, RR-0392, RR-3597, RR-3515, RR-2295, RR-0978, RR-1694, RR-2403, RR-3915, RR-2483, RR-1859, RR-2040, RR-0073, RR-1392, RR-1489, RR-2756, RR-0765, RR-3856, RR-1299, RR-0135, RR-1673, RR-3580, RR-3677, RR-3377, RR-3800, RR-0226, RR-0226, RR-1105, RR-3756, RR-3514, RR-0389, RR-3916, RR-1704, RR-3173, RR-0382, RR-0868, RR-3989, RR-0975, RR-3343, RR-3136, RR-0917, RR-4900, RR-0820, RR-1070, RR-3442, RR-4272, RR-2209, RR-3116,			with the DCO application demonstrates that the Scheme is financially viable and adequately funded to deliver the Scheme in full.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1333, RR-1987, RR-2027, RR-0433, RR-4380, RR-4673, RR-0350, RR-1625, RR-1869, RR-3111, RR-4527, RR-1761, RR-0602, RR-4476, RR-2736, RR-2605, RR-3666, RR-2087, RR-3788, RR-4555, RR-1209, RR-1229, RR-0900, RR-1475, RR-3366, RR-2125, RR-2434, RR-4902, RR-3349, RR-2338, RR-4333, RR-2703, RR-4702, RR-3249, RR-1007, RR-4035, RR-4561, RR-3664, RR-3133, RR-4724, RR-0449, RR-4392, RR-1372, RR-2601, RR-1148, RR-3663, RR-3363, RR-0356, RR-0044, RR-3943, RR-3529, RR-2876, RR-4022, RR-3739, RR-0108, RR-4063, RR-0920, RR-0581, RR-1925, RR-3799, RR-0752, RR-4668, RR-3293, RR-4820, RR-2375, RR-1617, RR-4812, RR-3551, RR-2675, RR-3801, RR-1266, RR-0647, RR-0369, RR-2426, RR-4213, RR-2332, RR-4534, RR-0510, RR-2108, RR-0531, RR-2525, RR-1665, RR-3145, RR-0063, RR-0589, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-2496, RR-4321, RR-0454, RR-3142, RR-3353, RR-3084, RR-4120, RR-4267, RR-3828, RR-1661, RR-3262, RR-3407, RR-2872, RR-4679, RR-2795, RR-0625, RR-2512, RR-2319,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4079, RR-1182, RR-1629, RR-3864, RR-0953, RR-3239, RR-3976, RR-3458, RR-0219, RR-2955, RR-2513, RR-2621, RR-4760, RR-0768, RR-3738, RR-0640, RR-4853, RR-3317, RR-3304, RR-2183, RR-3344, RR-1647, RR-2258, RR-4570, RR-3942, RR-2424, RR-4339, RR-3176, RR-3296, RR-1992, RR-1162, RR-3713, RR-1759, RR-4792, RR-2697, RR-4001, RR-4938, RR-0577, RR-3266, RR-3390, RR-0758, RR-3102, RR-3469, RR-3394, RR-4012, RR-4742, RR-0911, RR-4824, RR-1809, RR-4148, RR-2425, RR-4394, RR-0491, RR-0791, RR-3094, RR-2473, RR-0815, RR-2575, RR-4508, RR-1917, RR-1898, RR-1412, RR-1149, RR-3143, RR-1380, RR-1097, RR-2166, RR-2622, RR-0798, RR-3075, RR-4511, RR-1800, RR-1154, RR-2256, RR-1973, RR-0089, RR-2799, RR-0844, RR-1002, RR-3647, RR-3633, RR-0533, RR-4946, RR-2660, RR-2210, RR-0251, RR-3934, RR-3924, RR-1116, RR-2881, RR-2468, RR-1918, RR-2093, RR-4740, RR-2386, RR-4104, RR-0121, RR-2067, RR-3683, RR-1906, RR-0441, RR-3665, RR-2740, RR-4858, RR-3356,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0422, RR-1194, RR-4416, RR-2869, RR-0162, RR-1621, RR-0337, RR-0991, RR-1483, RR-4651, RR-0898, RR-2684, RR-2545, RR-0457, RR-3581, RR-1368, RR-1129, RR-1283, RR-2327, RR-0234, RR-1538, RR-0543, RR-3546, RR-3319, RR-1310, RR-2110, RR-2488, RR-2788, RR-3226, RR-1913, RR-2008, RR-3562, RR-1440, RR-0794, RR-4203, RR-0854, RR-4566, RR-1247, RR-4520, RR-2576, RR-3650, RR-2082, RR-4716, RR-3866, RR-3079, RR-0719, RR-3056, RR-0754, RR-1032, RR-0832, RR-2724, RR-2618, RR-4310			
D-26	RR-3407	Financial Returns	Respondent expresses concern that profits would go abroad specifically at a time when the UK economy is struggling.	The Applicant notes the concern raised regarding the destination of financial returns associated with the Scheme. For clarification, the Applicant, Lime Down Solar Park Limited is a 100% subsidiary of IGP UK Projects Limited. Both are registered in England and Wales and subject to UK tax law and regulations. The Scheme is being brought forward by the Applicant and will be funded through a structure that is typical for large-scale energy infrastructure projects. The Applicant is owned by investment funds managed by Macquarie Asset Management on behalf of a diverse range

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				of investors, including UK pension funds. This type of funding structure is common across the energy sector and is used to ensure that projects of this scale are financially robust and deliverable. The Applicant wishes to clarify that private investment goes well beyond generating returns for investors; it brings essential capital, expertise, and innovation to UK solar projects, which will help to deliver new developments faster and more efficiently in line with government targets as set out in NPS-EN3. The private funding structure also helps ease pressure on public finances, allowing government resources to be channelled elsewhere. The Funding Statement [APP-019] submitted with the DCO application demonstrates that the Scheme is financially viable and adequately funded to deliver the Scheme in full.
D-27	RR-3819, RR-3187, RR-3262, RR-2422, RR-0491, RR-0844, RR-1116, RR-4914, RR-3577, RR-3839, RR-1537, RR-4857, RR-2847, AS-003	Compliance and Decommissioning	Respondents expressed concern for lack of responsibility/accountability to comply with specifics of DCO/proposals. Some respondents specified concern for this in relation to decommissioning. Some respondents specified	The Applicant confirms that the DCO process itself incorporates enforceable mechanisms to regulate Scheme delivery. Any breach of development consent order or failure to comply with Requirements can result in enforcement action. A detailed Decommissioning Strategy will be prepared substantially in accordance with the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] and must be submitted to and approved by the relevant

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			as a result of Scheme ownership being sold on / changing hands.	local planning authority prior to the commencement of decommissioning. This is secured through Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order [APP-016]. In addition, the Applicant is seeking a time-limited consent for the Scheme to have an operational period of 60 years, with decommissioning to take place following cessation of operation. This is secured by Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016]. The Applicant confirms that, if consent is granted, it has the capability to build, own, and operate the Scheme. The Applicant may also consider the option to sell or transfer the Scheme to another suitably qualified party. Regardless of any future ownership, the undertaker as defined in the draft Development Consent Order [APP-016] will remain bound by the legally enforceable requirements of the DCO. Finally, the Funding Statement [APP-019] submitted with the DCO application demonstrates that the Scheme is financially viable and adequately funded to deliver the Scheme in full.
D-28	RR-3733	Ownership and Governance	Respondent stated that the ownership of the solar farm should be in government oversight.	The Applicant may consider the option to sell or transfer the Scheme to another suitably qualified party. Regardless of any future ownership, the undertaker as defined in the draft Development Consent Order [APP-016] will remain bound by the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				legally enforceable requirements of the DCO, which via process, would be consented by Government by the Secretary of State.
D-29	RR-1003, RR-0877, RR-0909, RR-1070, RR-2848, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-3628, RR-3497, RR-4792, RR-3487, RR-1821, RR-3769, RR-0229, RR-4818	Developer Conduct	Respondent expressed concern regarding IGP's letters to local landowners in exchange for them withdrawing their objections to the project. One respondent expressed that the developer should be investigated for bribery due to these letters and the Scheme should be put on hold during this investigation.	The Applicant acknowledges the concern expressed by the respondent, though firmly refutes the suggestion of bribery. As set out in the Statement of Reasons [APP-018] the Applicant has been seeking to acquire the relevant land, new rights and use of land by voluntary agreement, in order to ensure implementation of the Scheme. Clarifications around the wording of a specific term in early communications regarding Heads of Terms for the Cable Route Corridor, specifically wording on objecting to the project, were not in the Applicant's standard Heads of terms and should not have been included. This was addressed and corrected versions were sent affected parties and landowners. Furthermore, the Applicant made clear that Heads of terms were voluntary agreements, and parties were not obliged to sign them. However, any who choose to sign would be able to also object to the project and make representations to the Planning Inspectorate if that is what they wished to do. The Applicant remains committed to meaningful engagement with all land interests and confirms that negotiations are

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				tracked in the Land and Rights Negotiations Tracker [APP-021] .
D-30	RR-1799	Developer Conduct	Respondent stated that the Applicant and its agents have demonstrated an entitled and bullying attitude when demanding access to small holdings for the purposes of the cable.	The Applicant acknowledges the concern expressed by the respondent, though firmly refutes the suggestion of bullying. As set out in the Statement of Reasons [APP-018], the Applicant has been seeking to acquire the relevant land, new rights and use of land by voluntary agreement, in order to ensure implementation of the Scheme. The Applicant and its agents have sought to engage with landowners and occupiers through appropriate communication and discussions regarding access arrangements. The Applicant remains committed to meaningful engagement with all land interests and confirms that negotiations are tracked in the Land and Rights Negotiations Tracker [APP-021].
D-31	RR-1015	Developer Conduct	Respondent noted that while they have previously submitted supportive feedback, and support the Scheme in principal, they now	While the Applicant acknowledges the respondent's change in sentiment, it maintains that the Scheme is being progressed in full alignment with the Government's National Policy Statements.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			believe the Applicant is incapable of acting in the public interest.	Large-scale solar and energy storage and therefore, the Scheme, are classified as Critical National Priority (CNP) infrastructure under NPS EN-1, establishing that the delivery of such projects is essential for achieving the UK's legally binding targets for decarbonisation, energy security, and affordability. The Applicant operates within the rigorous statutory framework of the Planning Act 2008, which ensures that the development process is subject to high levels of public, technical, and regulatory scrutiny. All proposed mitigation, management plans, and operational parameters are legally secured through the Requirements of the Draft DCO [APP-016], making them binding obligations on the 'undertaker' regardless of any future changes in project ownership.
D-32	RR-3683	Developer Conduct	Respondent stated that the Applicant is purposefully hiding or keeping 'secret' information which, if revealed, would confirm that the Scheme should not go ahead. Respondent does not provide further details or indication of what this information could be.	The Applicant is confident that the proposed management and mitigation measures set out in the ES [APP-052 to APP-265] are comprehensive and robust and that the Scheme aligns with national and local planning policy; this is outlined in the Planning Statement [APP-267]. Furthermore, the Applicant has demonstrated full compliance with all statutory requirements under the Planning Act 2008 and the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				All proposed mitigations and operational parameters which are formally defined within the ES [APP-052 to APP-265] , are legally secured through the Requirements in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016] . Compliance with these Requirements is a statutory duty, and any breach constitutes a criminal offence under the Planning Act 2008, providing the necessary legal certainty that the Scheme will be delivered strictly within the assessed parameters. The Applicant remains confident that the information provided is robust and sufficient to enable the Secretary of State to fully understand the environmental impacts and make an informed decision.
D-33	RR-3650	Developer Motivation	Respondent stated that energy pricing arbitrage is a key financial motivator for the Scheme.	The Applicant wishes to clarify that the primary objective of the Scheme is to help meet national targets for clean energy and net zero as set out in legislation and policy. The Funding Statement [APP-019] submitted with the DCO application demonstrates that the Scheme is financially viable and adequately funded to deliver the Scheme in full. While the Scheme is privately financed and commercial viability is, of course, an important consideration for investment, its principal purpose is to deliver long term environmental benefits alongside a secure and affordable energy supply.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Applicant notes that pricing arbitrage could cover a wide range of factors, however, the Scheme is specifically designed with the optionality to charge from both the on-site Solar PV Arrays and the National Grid. This allows the BESS to store excess renewable energy during periods of high generation from the Solar PV Sites or import energy from the grid when demand is lower than supply, subsequently exporting it when demand is higher. As highlighted in National Policy Statement EN-1, BESS technology is critical for providing the flexibility required to integrate low carbon power onto the network. The Applicant confirms that any commercial and trading elements associated with BESS are an industry norm and ensure the Scheme delivers this vital support to the National Grid Transmission Network.
D-34	RR-3335, RR-1687, RR-0126, RR-4626, RR-2493, RR-0199, RR-4712, RR-0412, RR-0796, RR-4019, RR-4165, RR-0092, RR-2384, RR-1436, RR-0800, RR-4737, RR-3326, RR-3111, RR-1318, RR-0158, RR-3551, RR-3801, RR-1754, RR-3196, RR-3187, RR-3746, RR-0545, RR-3522, RR-4297, RR-4122, RR-0301, RR-3384, RR-1887, RR-2156, RR-4266, RR-0918, RR-2776, RR-4012, RR-4148,	Scheme Financial Viability	Respondents expressed general concern about the overall cost of the Scheme and/or that the Scheme has not secured adequate funding. Some respondents expressed scepticism that the Scheme will not be cost effective and/or profitable.	The Applicant acknowledges comments regarding the cost effectiveness and profitability of the Scheme. However, it is important to clarify that Lime Down Solar Park is a privately financed development. All financial risks associated with the construction, operation, and maintenance of the Scheme, including those related to the cable route, are borne entirely by the developer. The commercial viability of the Scheme has been assessed as part of the developer's investment decision, including the length of the cable and associated

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0491, RR-4508, RR-1774, RR-0028, RR-4408, RR-1227, RR-3805, RR-2067, RR-2740, RR-2013, RR-1932, RR-4131, RR-4722, RR-4566, RR-4533, RR-1938, RR-2494			infrastructure. The Funding Statement [APP-019] submitted with the Development Consent Order (DCO) application demonstrates that the Scheme is financially viable and adequately funded to deliver the Scheme in full.
D-35	RR-4221, RR-2908, RR-2993, RR-4514, RR-1687, RR-4626, RR-1160, RR-3260, RR-4128, RR-3796, RR-4712, RR-3720, RR-0412, RR-1051, RR-1152, RR-1489, RR-3593, RR-4727, RR-4113, RR-3514, RR-0092, RR-0710, RR-3989, RR-4768, RR-3136, RR-1436, RR-4800, RR-0350, RR-0876, RR-4736, RR-3111, RR-0602, RR-3104, RR-4160, RR-2180, RR-0449, RR-2402, RR-0507, RR-0108, RR-1754, RR-3923, RR-0855, RR-3262, RR-1699, RR-0081, RR-1193, RR-2549, RR-3096, RR-3164, RR-1887, RR-3176, RR-4379, RR-4824, RR-4148, RR-3143, RR-3633, RR-0980, RR-4408, RR-0884, RR-0394, RR-2013, RR-2094, RR-3476, RR-3835, RR-4917, RR-2997, RR-2840, AS-003, RR-1206, RR-4765	Public Funding and Subsidy	Respondents express concern for the use of taxpayer money and/or subsidies.	The Applicant confirms that Lime Down Solar Park is being developed solely through private sector financing and that it has not received, and is not receiving any public money, subsidy or funding in the development of the Scheme. The Funding Statement [APP-019] submitted with the DCO application demonstrates that the Scheme is financially viable and adequately funded to deliver the Scheme in full.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
D-36	RR-1910, RR-2860, RR-2184, RR-3858, RR-3796, RR-2498, RR-1085, RR-0602, RR-3262, RR-2011, RR-3317, RR-4148, RR-0980, RR-4104, RR-1711	Compulsory Acquisition	Respondents expressed concern for the use of compulsory purchase and/or compulsory acquisition powers.	The Applicant's goal is to deliver Lime Down Solar Park on land where the owner has voluntarily agreed to its use. Meaningful conversations with identified land interests have helped inform the design which in turn assists in avoiding people's land, including homes or gardens. As is standard in Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) solar schemes, the draft Development Consent Order [APP-016] includes provision for compulsory acquisition of land and rights, but these are subject to controls and limitations. These powers sought are necessary and proportionate to ensure the deliverability of the Scheme.
D-37	RR-0491	Scheme Location	Respondent suggests relocating the Scheme to Ireland, as IGP's leadership are Irish.	The Applicant makes note of this comment. Regarding the suggestion to relocate the Scheme to Ireland, the Applicant clarifies that the project is designed specifically to address the urgent and need for low-carbon generation within the United Kingdom to meet legally binding national energy security and net-zero targets, as established in the Statement of Need [APP-266] . For clarification, the Applicant, Lime Down Solar Park Limited is a 100% subsidiary of IGP UK Projects Limited. Both are registered in England and Wales and subject to UK tax law and regulations. The Scheme is being brought forward by the Applicant and will be funded through a

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				structure that is typical for large-scale energy infrastructure projects. The Applicant is owned by investment funds managed by Macquarie Asset Management on behalf of a diverse range of investors, including UK pension funds.
D-38	RR-1704, RR-1229, RR-1934	Community Ownership	Respondent stated that the Scheme should be owned by the community.	The Applicant acknowledges that the concept of community energy and shared ownership has been raised through the consultation and representation phases of the Scheme. While shared ownership mechanisms would be explored, the Applicant is committed to the provision of a voluntary Community Benefit Fund (CBF). The CBF is designed to recognise local needs and allow for community-driven benefits that are not necessarily associated with the infrastructure itself. The Applicant would look to establish the specific mechanisms for implementation in consultation with local authorities, parish councils, and community groups. To ensure that any such initiatives remain community-led, the Applicant is committed to independent, professional administration of the fund. The Applicant has committed to the provision of a Community Benefit Fund (CBF) as stated in section 5.5 of the Planning Statement [APP-267]. Additionally, the Applicant also notes that the Scheme will establish a Community

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Liaison Group which is secured under Requirement 4, Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] .
D-39	RR-4570	Cable Route Design	Respondent stated that underground cabling is not an appropriate, long-term answer.	The Applicant confirms that underground cabling is an appropriate, robust and long term solution to ensuring the delivery of the Scheme. The decision to utilise underground cabling for the Grid Connection Corridor is a key mitigation measure designed to minimise the long-term landscape and visual impacts of the Scheme. A full description of the Scheme and its associated physical parameters, including those related to the installation of grid connection cables, are provided in Table 3-1 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055]. While overhead lines (OHLs) are a technically feasible alternative for high-voltage transmission as utilised by the network operator, they were not adopted because the introduction of permanent, tall pylons would cause significant and unnecessary adverse effects on the character of the rural landscape and the setting of nearby settlement. This design choice aligns with current national best practice; notably, National Grid's Cotswolds Visual Impact Mitigation Project is currently removing 16 pylons and 7.4km of OHLs to replace them with underground

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				cables specifically to "significantly reduce visual impact" within the Cotswold National Landscape.
D-40	RR-0920	Scheme Value for Money	Respondent questioned whether the current options chosen to provide the best value for money solutions for the country and local area.	As established in the Statement of Need [APP-266], solar facilities are currently among the cheapest forms of electricity generation in the UK, and large-scale ground-mounted projects are significantly more cost-effective on a per-unit basis than smaller or rooftop installations. By generating clean renewable energy, the Scheme will displace more expensive fossil-fuel generation, thereby lowering the wholesale market price of electricity. Furthermore, in contrast to agricultural land, which is exempt from business rates, the Scheme will provide business rates which provide the local authority with a stable, long-term income stream to be invested in local services. Finally, the Scheme's design represents the most efficient technical solution by connecting directly to the National Electricity Transmission System (NETS) at the existing Melksham 400kV substation. As set out in 6.4.28 of the Statement of Need [APP-266], existing substations and existing transmission cables with spare transmission capacity provide the opportunity to repurpose existing, therefore largely sunk-cost, infrastructure to connect new schemes to the NETS earlier than would be possible if entirely new

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				infrastructure was required to be added to the network.
D-41	RR-2309	Rochdale Envelope and Design Flexibility	Respondent stated that key details of the Rochdale Envelope have been deferred.	The Applicant disagrees that key details of the Rochdale Envelope have been deferred. ES Volume 1, Chapter 6, EIA Methodology [APP-058] has provided transparent and comprehensive information in the Environmental Statement which sets out worst-case scenarios including the design parameters and locations for the solar panels and associated infrastructure. The impact assessments are informed using the "Rochdale Envelope" approach, which is an industry standard for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects, and defines the maximum envelope of the Scheme's parameters and design optionality. As established in the Statement of Need [APP-266], this approach allows the Applicant to secure necessary design flexibility to incorporate technological innovation and improvements, such as more efficient solar panel models, that may be available at the time of construction. A full description of the Scheme and its associated physical parameters are provided in Table 3-1 of ES Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055], and throughout Section 2: "Design Parameters and Commitments" of 7.4: Design Principles and Parameters [APP-269] where details

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				of the technical specifications and maximum dimensions used to inform these worst-case scenarios for the environmental assessment are set out.
D-42	RR-4875	Other Solar Schemes	Respondent stated that the applicant for Cleve Hill has already failed to do things it claimed it would when seeking the DCO.	The Applicant clarifies that Cleve Hill Solar Park is a separate project that received development consent and is promoted by a different developer to the Applicant. Lime Down Solar Park (the Scheme), as a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project, is subject to the rigorous statutory framework of the Planning Act 2008 and will be governed by its own unique Development Consent Order (DCO). The Applicant operates within the rigorous statutory framework of the Planning Act 2008, which ensures that the development process is subject to high levels of public, technical, and regulatory scrutiny. All proposed mitigation, management plans, and operational parameters are legally secured through the Requirements of the Draft DCO [APP-016] , making them binding obligations.
D-43	RR-3151	Local Planning Policy	Respondent stated that the Applicant should take into consideration the Sherston Neighbourhood plan.	The Planning Statement [APP-267] sets out the Scheme's compliance with relevant national and local planning policy, including the Sherston Neighbourhood Plan. Further details on how the Scheme is considered to comply with the Sherston Neighbourhood Plan specifically can be

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				found in Annex B (page 872) of the Planning Statement.
D-44	RR-0253	Grid Connection	Respondent requests clear evidence of the Scheme's connection position and timeframes.	The Applicant confirms that it has signed a Bilateral Connection Agreement (BCA) between the Applicant and National Energy System Operator (NESO), allowing for a Transmission Entry Capacity (TEC) of 500 MW (AC) export to and 250 MW (AC) import from the NETS. Clear evidence of this arrangement and the associated timeframes can be found in the following locations: Grid Connection Statement [APP-270]: This document sets out the formal connection arrangement and the proposed construction plan required for energisation. NESO - TEC Register: Documentary evidence issued and updated by NESO of the Scheme's status is publicly available online on the "Transmission Entry Capacity (TEC) register. Furthermore, the Scheme has received notification that, as part of NESO's connection queue reprioritisation process, the solar component of the scheme has been re-prioritised as a Gate 2 Phase 1 connection (i.e. connecting in 2030 or earlier) and that the BESS component of the Scheme has been re-prioritised as a Gate 1 connection. The indicative

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				connection date of the BESS has not yet been confirmed.
D-45	RR-1082	Environmental Mitigation	Respondent states that no plans have been included in the proposal to manage environmental damage.	The Applicant does not agree that no plans have been submitted to manage environmental damage. A comprehensive suite of plans have been provided within Volume 7 of the DCO. These include documents such as the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan [APP-277] and the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan [APP-283] amongst others. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed management plans, substantially in accordance with the outline plans such as the above, are secured through Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order [APP-016] , ensuring that environmental impacts throughout the lifetime of the Scheme are appropriately controlled.
D-46	AS-008, AS-012	Submission Issues	Respondent stated they were concerned that the Planning Inspectorate was not receiving Relevant Representation submissions and/or that the website was experiencing outages.	The Planning Inspectorate's Relevant Representation (RR) system is administered independently by the Planning Inspectorate. If respondents experienced difficulties submitting representations through the online portal, they were able to contact the Planning Inspectorate directly for assistance or use alternative submission

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				methods provided by the Planning Inspectorate. The Planning Inspectorate also extended the deadline for members of the public to register as an Interested Party and submit RRs from Friday 9 January to Monday 12 January, to allow additional time in light of potential technical issues. All RRs accepted by the Planning Inspectorate are published on the Scheme page of the Planning Inspectorate's website and are assigned a reference number by the Planning Inspectorate. The Applicant has prepared responses based on the RRs published by the Planning Inspectorate. The Applicant has also responded within this document and the Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations (Part 1) [PDA-009] to additional submissions made by members of the public (AS-003 to AS-012 and PDA-015 to PDA-019).

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
D-47	PDA-016	Site Inspection Request	Respondent stated they would like the Planning Inspectorate to carry out a site visit to understand the scale of their concerns.	The Examining Authority has carried out an unaccompanied site inspection as recorded in Note of First Unaccompanied Site Inspection [EV1-001] . The Applicant is preparing a list of suggested stopping points for an accompanied site inspection and will provide a draft itinerary at Procedural Deadline B.

2.2 Site Selection and Alternatives

Table 2-2

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
SS-01	RR-4817, RR-2237, RR-3955, RR-0440, RR-4493, RR-2892, RR-1693, RR-4501, RR-4952, RR-1616, RR-0648, RR-0822, RR-2479, RR-0361, RR-3724, RR-3917, RR-4944, RR-1377, RR-4221, RR-3220, RR-3114, RR-0017, RR-1589, RR-0693, RR-1426, RR-1329, RR-2489, RR-1240, RR-3218, RR-2723, RR-1238, RR-1398, RR-4631, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-1110, RR-0502, RR-4874, RR-2860, RR-4351, RR-3335,	Scheme Scale	Respondents expressed concern about the scale of the Scheme and/or stated that the Scheme was too big.	The Scheme has been designed to deliver the greatest practicable benefit from the available land and the available grid connection, whilst avoiding, minimising and/or mitigating harmful environmental effects. As per the description set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055], the total area within the DCO Order Limits encompasses approximately 1,237 hectares (ha). Of this, the 'Solar PV Sites' comprise approximately 749.1 ha of land. Around 479.5 ha of this land is proposed to be

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4135, RR-0347, RR-1424, RR-2993, RR-0208, RR-3510, RR-0961, RR-4807, RR-3354, RR-1717, RR-1164, RR-1186, RR-4475, RR-1496, RR-1731, RR-2936, RR-4149, RR-2184, RR-0170, RR-2254, RR-4678, RR-2202, RR-3733, RR-2631, RR-3827, RR-0607, RR-2061, RR-0067, RR-4274, RR-2970, RR-1829, RR-2481, RR-4773, RR-0897, RR-4407, RR-1487, RR-2365, RR-4147, RR-1088, RR-3656, RR-2251, RR-3548, RR-4537, RR-1243, RR-3561, RR-0126, RR-0386, RR-4819, RR-1845, RR-0635, RR-3858, RR-1144, RR-3414, RR-3002, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-3248, RR-4389, RR-4441, RR-4441, RR-0973, RR-0012, RR-1096, RR-3122, RR-3710, RR-4415, RR-3549, RR-0580, RR-3073, RR-2447, RR-1882, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-1957, RR-3745, RR-2957, RR-3947, RR-1847, RR-2062, RR-2097, RR-4636, RR-4598, RR-2620, RR-2930, RR-0362, RR-4548, RR-4503, RR-4912, RR-2786, RR-0905, RR-1341, RR-4275, RR-0097, RR-2889, RR-3297, RR-4498, RR-2356, RR-2394, RR-3190, RR-0805, RR-2590, RR-1610, RR-3722,			required for installation of solar PV, battery storage, and associated infrastructure. The remaining 269.5 ha would provide areas dedicated to ecological mitigation, measurable Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) delivery, landscaping, offsets and agricultural land managed for environmental enhancement and habitat creation. These mitigation measures are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060], Figure 3-4-1 to 3-4-5.2 Landscape and Ecology Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed within the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The Cable Route Corridor, which stretches approximately 22 km from 'Lime Down D' to Melksham Substation and links together the Solar PV Sites, covers approximately 463.2 ha. Approximately 17.8 ha (of which 5.9 ha overlap with the Cable Route Corridor) is proposed for Highway Improvement. These are areas within which improvements to sections of the existing highway network will be completed to facilitate access to the Scheme, such as improvements to road edge and traffic management. Work will be undertaken by the Applicant and National Grid within the Existing National Grid Melksham Substation,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2114, RR-2816, RR-1273, RR-0769, RR-2794, RR-4453, RR-3246, RR-1055, RR-4263, RR-2419, RR-0452, RR-2174, RR-2979, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-1163, RR-2302, RR-2140, RR-2277, RR-0776, RR-0669, RR-1820, RR-2681, RR-2311, RR-2706, RR-0199, RR-1668, RR-4618, RR-4452, RR-0974, RR-0404, RR-1477, RR-0746, RR-1893, RR-0233, RR-0592, RR-3063, RR-3700, RR-0651, RR-0467, RR-1339, RR-4712, RR-2452, RR-3791, RR-0344, RR-1215, RR-4937, RR-0328, RR-3888, RR-2112, RR-3750, RR-1776, RR-1115, RR-2506, RR-4563, RR-4000, RR-1264, RR-2547, RR-2929, RR-2958, RR-4471, RR-4443, RR-1138, RR-0321, RR-0945, RR-4466, RR-0027, RR-0271, RR-3535, RR-0130, RR-4877, RR-1967, RR-4478, RR-4584, RR-0036, RR-1039, RR-2486, RR-1103, RR-2571, RR-2555, RR-2884, RR-3968, RR-4143, RR-3850, RR-4150, RR-2172, RR-2369, RR-0956, RR-4911, RR-1600, RR-2411, RR-4112, RR-0388, RR-1396, RR-2015, RR-0009, RR-1674, RR-4922, RR-0277, RR-1994, RR-0411, RR-0689, RR-3095, RR-0396, RR-1748,			requiring a total area of 12.8 ha. This is set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055]. The Applicant remains committed to delivering a Scheme that makes a meaningful contribution to the UK's transition to net zero, while also seeking to minimise adverse impacts and maximise opportunities for environmental enhancement and community benefits.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1930, RR-4151, RR-0958, RR-1152, RR-2377, RR-0997, RR-0582, RR-4831, RR-0749, RR-0537, RR-2289, RR-3962, RR-4644, RR-0871, RR-1434, RR-2906, RR-0118, RR-1300, RR-1399, RR-1036, RR-2520, RR-3748, RR-3081, RR-1078, RR-3151, RR-3626, RR-3783, RR-4103, RR-4349, RR-0767, RR-4873, RR-4212, RR-1972, RR-1344, RR-1026, RR-3842, RR-4404, RR-3128, RR-0222, RR-1395, RR-2322, RR-4470, RR-3597, RR-3515, RR-3621, RR-0801, RR-0090, RR-3206, RR-2042, RR-1694, RR-2403, RR-3915, RR-2483, RR-3776, RR-3744, RR-4580, RR-2040, RR-3281, RR-4851, RR-3787, RR-3778, RR-2073, RR-1962, RR-3757, RR-2423, RR-0553, RR-0088, RR-2371, RR-4381, RR-4509, RR-2536, RR-3261, RR-1205, RR-0181, RR-2801, RR-4666, RR-3377, RR-0781, RR-0069, RR-3768, RR-0552, RR-3907, RR-3373, RR-3990, RR-3959, RR-3756, RR-3514, RR-3043, RR-0861, RR-1021, RR-0092, RR-0389, RR-1704, RR-0425, RR-4578, RR-0447, RR-3492, RR-0430, RR-3173, RR-2766, RR-2676, RR-1045, RR-2418, RR-3179, RR-2474,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1211, RR-4669, RR-2026, RR-3550, RR-3456, RR-1971, RR-2098, RR-2702, RR-0353, RR-2645, RR-3814, RR-4209, RR-4125, RR-4772, RR-3667, RR-0054, RR-1791, RR-2548, RR-2961, RR-4711, RR-1173, RR-1436, RR-1755, RR-0780, RR-1085, RR-1070, RR-1444, RR-2350, RR-4114, RR-1941, RR-4391, RR-3442, RR-2652, RR-4854, RR-3819, RR-2241, RR-1899, RR-3894, RR-4288, RR-4096, RR-4787, RR-2318, RR-3323, RR-0136, RR-2027, RR-0555, RR-4205, RR-4363, RR-4611, RR-0433, RR-2103, RR-0161, RR-0480, RR-4380, RR-0672, RR-1671, RR-2150, RR-4783, RR-1563, RR-3950, RR-4622, RR-3405, RR-4164, RR-1879, RR-0922, RR-1010, RR-3737, RR-1611, RR-1951, RR-0400, RR-0986, RR-2848, RR-0238, RR-3698, RR-1869, RR-3638, RR-4485, RR-1564, RR-4527, RR-0588, RR-1942, RR-3112, RR-3320, RR-3809, RR-2446, RR-3889, RR-4302, RR-0160, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-2417, RR-2606, RR-1726, RR-2368, RR-3666, RR-4450, RR-1319, RR-2984, RR-0735, RR-2087, RR-2047, RR-1824, RR-1740, RR-1463, RR-0982,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1513, RR-1229, RR-0870, RR-1208, RR-4662, RR-3392, RR-4621, RR-2527, RR-0969, RR-4332, RR-2385, RR-4021, RR-1139, RR-3366, RR-1716, RR-0148, RR-3716, RR-3573, RR-3505, RR-2741, RR-2274, RR-4902, RR-4734, RR-2410, RR-3775, RR-3342, RR-4246, RR-4759, RR-0990, RR-2828, RR-0739, RR-3974, RR-1719, RR-1978, RR-3703, RR-1035, RR-1342, RR-3352, RR-1702, RR-3193, RR-1013, RR-2771, RR-2132, RR-1612, RR-1678, RR-4724, RR-0169, RR-0930, RR-0523, RR-0567, RR-0702, RR-3727, RR-3663, RR-0755, RR-1304, RR-1140, RR-1023, RR-2407, RR-0356, RR-0159, RR-0256, RR-2726, RR-2876, RR-0732, RR-0500, RR-0038, RR-0108, RR-2051, RR-0675, RR-0034, RR-1327, RR-0158, RR-3645, RR-3444, RR-3921, RR-0752, RR-2242, RR-2456, RR-0613, RR-0852, RR-3490, RR-4985, RR-4483, RR-0178, RR-2675, RR-1312, RR-3801, RR-0690, RR-1662, RR-1894, RR-2546, RR-3969, RR-2060, RR-2946, RR-1124, RR-1683, RR-2372, RR-2752, RR-2985, RR-2331, RR-1796, RR-3250, RR-0510, RR-0492, RR-1688,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3594, RR-0650, RR-4705, RR-4244, RR-4641, RR-0521, RR-0359, RR-1174, RR-2522, RR-1950, RR-0052, RR-0629, RR-3851, RR-1499, RR-4232, RR-0729, RR-2996, RR-2291, RR-2704, RR-2694, RR-1723, RR-1397, RR-2967, RR-1393, RR-0885, RR-4267, RR-2188, RR-1901, RR-2744, RR-1661, RR-3083, RR-0497, RR-2673, RR-3857, RR-4010, RR-2470, RR-2154, RR-2133, RR-2956, RR-0049, RR-4504, RR-0862, RR-1729, RR-1729, RR-3407, RR-3865, RR-1321, RR-1934, RR-0498, RR-1322, RR-3870, RR-4927, RR-1313, RR-4927, RR-4679, RR-0122, RR-1988, RR-2275, RR-2072, RR-3629, RR-0272, RR-2038, RR-4683, RR-0227, RR-1830, RR-1131, RR-3701, RR-0788, RR-2039, RR-2039, RR-2623, RR-4928, RR-2234, RR-0760, RR-3832, RR-3676, RR-3961, RR-1482, RR-2531, RR-1659, RR-0248, RR-4129, RR-2056, RR-0923, RR-1871, RR-1871, RR-2549, RR-3864, RR-0139, RR-2092, RR-0257, RR-2942, RR-3876, RR-3774, RR-4331, RR-3497, RR-0306, RR-2472, RR-3290, RR-4836, RR-3507, RR-3362, RR-2105, RR-2665, RR-2642,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2247, RR-1846, RR-0444, RR-3159, RR-1770, RR-1047, RR-1059, RR-2583, RR-1998, RR-3641, RR-0768, RR-3738, RR-0664, RR-0640, RR-3317, RR-3304, RR-4552, RR-0469, RR-1330, RR-0241, RR-0795, RR-3742, RR-3575, RR-4619, RR-3029, RR-4122, RR-3798, RR-4446, RR-0301, RR-2129, RR-0101, RR-0107, RR-3147, RR-1000, RR-4693, RR-1747, RR-0875, RR-2258, RR-3520, RR-1652, RR-4314, RR-0173, RR-3135, RR-4266, RR-2066, RR-3413, RR-1356, RR-4936, RR-2863, RR-2841, RR-1245, RR-4792, RR-4867, RR-4009, RR-0965, RR-4938, RR-1708, RR-0577, RR-0415, RR-2730, RR-4565, RR-3266, RR-1682, RR-4305, RR-3097, RR-1360, RR-1167, RR-3668, RR-4461, RR-0196, RR-1450, RR-0336, RR-4215, RR-4742, RR-1981, RR-2297, RR-4148, RR-3321, RR-0334, RR-3204, RR-1809, RR-3080, RR-3005, RR-1602, RR-0501, RR-3651, RR-0491, RR-1639, RR-2691, RR-0491, RR-2774, RR-4732, RR-2449, RR-2473, RR-3172, RR-2019, RR-3723, RR-2941, RR-2575, RR-4508, RR-1917, RR-3487, RR-1102, RR-1258, RR-2912,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2729, RR-0167, RR-0711, RR-0600, RR-0673, RR-1149, RR-4661, RR-0686, RR-1815, RR-1380, RR-1006, RR-4106, RR-3375, RR-4910, RR-2284, RR-0798, RR-0056, RR-3075, RR-4064, RR-1800, RR-2858, RR-0596, RR-2159, RR-0596, RR-3816, RR-4341, RR-4085, RR-2648, RR-4396, RR-2799, RR-0844, RR-3334, RR-2886, RR-3893, RR-4118, RR-1609, RR-3633, RR-3807, RR-2596, RR-2169, RR-4488, RR-1821, RR-0177, RR-0929, RR-2852, RR-4003, RR-0619, RR-4630, RR-2535, RR-1735, RR-3934, RR-3924, RR-1774, RR-1116, RR-4539, RR-0028, RR-4616, RR-4640, RR-2002, RR-0116, RR-4408, RR-2468, RR-2390, RR-0325, RR-4067, RR-2737, RR-0033, RR-4546, RR-0268, RR-0466, RR-3209, RR-4740, RR-3766, RR-4104, RR-2495, RR-3552, RR-2782, RR-1857, RR-0463, RR-3139, RR-2299, RR-2508, RR-4664, RR-0253, RR-0121, RR-2428, RR-0363, RR-0197, RR-0704, RR-3139, RR-0354, RR-0075, RR-2086, RR-4549, RR-3436, RR-2067, RR-3255, RR-3817, RR-3058, RR-3797, RR-0218, RR-0409, RR-1681, RR-1906, RR-3984,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3188, RR-0286, RR-2740, RR-2003, RR-2003, RR-0111, RR-0229, RR-4713, RR-4424, RR-3871, RR-4416, RR-0967, RR-2869, RR-0446, RR-4680, RR-1621, RR-0031, RR-0337, RR-3901, RR-0692, RR-0703, RR-2720, RR-4486, RR-0262, RR-2989, RR-4651, RR-0898, RR-0329, RR-0018, RR-2168, RR-2013, RR-2684, RR-0992, RR-2367, RR-3839, RR-1537, RR-0665, RR-0892, RR-3014, RR-3466, RR-0614, RR-3625, RR-2165, RR-3513, RR-4083, RR-2175, RR-3374, RR-0821, RR-3181, RR-4227, RR-2637, RR-1359, RR-1283, RR-4292, RR-2022, RR-0948, RR-1819, RR-4170, RR-2931, RR-1931, RR-3476, RR-0234, RR-4375, RR-2071, RR-3909, RR-2847, RR-4545, RR-0183, RR-4374, RR-3192, RR-3036, RR-0247, RR-1826, RR-0210, RR-3948, RR-4289, RR-0525, RR-4623, RR-0883, RR-3214, RR-0423, RR-2176, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-2167, RR-2123, RR-2564, RR-2231, RR-0643, RR-3162, RR-4779, RR-2488, RR-4070, RR-2871, RR-4767, RR-0371, RR-3562, RR-3868, RR-4203, RR-4131, RR-0854, RR-1782, RR-2576, RR-1498, RR-0611,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4323, RR-0189, RR-0833, RR-0165, RR-4906, RR-0307, RR-2612, RR-3306, RR-2649, RR-1783, RR-3708, RR-0748, RR-3001, RR-4438, RR-4142, RR-1032, RR-3107, AS-008, AS-011, AS-003, RR-0103, RR-0717, RR-0984, RR-1058, RR-1145, RR-1204, RR-1378, RR-1523, RR-1651, RR-1840, RR-1947, RR-2286, RR-2602, RR-3350, RR-3435, RR-3918, RR-3995, RR-4202, RR-4765, RR-4901			
SS-02	RR-2237, RR-2427, RR-4221, RR-3822, RR-2519, RR-1580, RR-2860, RR-4795, RR-1926, RR-4048, RR-1877, RR-4475, RR-2893, RR-3571, RR-2868, RR-3823, RR-3129, RR-0680, RR-4806, RR-2748, RR-3987, RR-0635, RR-2018, RR-3031, RR-4706, RR-1136, RR-3499, RR-2220, RR-4823, RR-2783, RR-2021, RR-1928, RR-2450, RR-4498, RR-1769, RR-2419, RR-2954, RR-0452, RR-2979, RR-0959, RR-1263, RR-2276, RR-3530, RR-2131, RR-3429, RR-3223, RR-0328, RR-3590, RR-0509, RR-2162, RR-1844, RR-1643, RR-2929, RR-0445, RR-2354, RR-1250, RR-0212, RR-2055, RR-1051, RR-2570, RR-1103, RR-4179, RR-2555,	Grid Connection Location	Respondents expressed concern that the Solar PV Sites are too far from the grid connection point/Melksham substation and/or that the cable route is too long. Some respondents suggested a site closer to Melksham substation should be used. Some respondents included reference to length of cable route resulting in energy loss and/or reducing cost effectiveness.	The Applicant confirms that the existing National Grid Melksham substation has been identified as the point of connection. This decision was based on available capacity, network resilience, and strategic grid planning. As explained in ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185], an initial search area was identified at a 5 km radius from the point of connection (POC), however this was later enlarged incrementally to a 20 km radius which is considered by the Applicant to be a viable cable connection distance for a solar project of this scale. The POC and 20 km search area is shown on Figure 1, Annex C of ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3850, RR-3180, RR-1600, RR-2029, RR-3913, RR-0009, RR-1114, RR-2815, RR-3477, RR-1434, RR-4690, RR-3789, RR-3783, RR-3626, RR-0847, RR-3108, RR-2877, RR-4470, RR-3597, RR-4252, RR-0419, RR-0090, RR-3759, RR-4019, RR-3787, RR-3778, RR-2073, RR-2079, RR-4013, RR-4629, RR-4509, RR-3959, RR-0092, RR-2075, RR-3173, RR-0083, RR-2177, RR-3179, RR-0040, RR-3456, RR-3169, RR-1064, RR-4173, RR-4605, RR-1305, RR-3892, RR-0147, RR-1436, RR-2793, RR-1415, RR-3453, RR-1980, RR-1444, RR-0800, RR-4121, RR-4418, RR-4362, RR-4096, RR-0136, RR-2027, RR-2312, RR-1010, RR-3111, RR-3680, RR-1761, RR-2736, RR-1427, RR-2368, RR-3666, RR-1319, RR-0621, RR-0775, RR-3689, RR-4852, RR-2526, RR-4332, RR-2215, RR-1725, RR-2879, RR-0128, RR-1035, RR-3352, RR-2994, RR-1678, RR-4724, RR-2121, RR-4370, RR-1916, RR-0755, RR-3663, RR-1140, RR-0239, RR-4022, RR-3205, RR-2442, RR-3953, RR-4340, RR-0752, RR-3490, RR-1617, RR-3980, RR-0178, RR-3120, RR-0690, RR-3348,			More details on how the Cable Route Corridor has been located and designed can be found in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056]. Several factors were considered and the Cable Route Corridor was designed to take a direct route whilst following existing features, such as roads, and avoiding sensitive receptors as far as practicable, such as habitat designations, residential and commercial properties, heritage assets, and a large number of land interests. The assessments set out in the various chapters of the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] detail what impacts are expected as a result of the Scheme based on the minimum and maximum parameters of the Scheme, and the mitigation and enhancement measures that are proposed to reduce these impacts.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3298, RR-2546, RR-4094, RR-1252, RR-2525, RR-0175, RR-4451, RR-1270, RR-3048, RR-0359, RR-3862, RR-3923, RR-0358, RR-0202, RR-0729, RR-2967, RR-4267, RR-3083, RR-3262, RR-2762, RR-2328, RR-3325, RR-1322, RR-2275, RR-4499, RR-2072, RR-4683, RR-1373, RR-0625, RR-1830, RR-1995, RR-2319, RR-2245, RR-4053, RR-3961, RR-1659, RR-4129, RR-0923, RR-3960, RR-2549, RR-3982, RR-2942, RR-2239, RR-2953, RR-0306, RR-2472, RR-4207, RR-4387, RR-0865, RR-1846, RR-0365, RR-1302, RR-0768, RR-3317, RR-4552, RR-2573, RR-3770, RR-4122, RR-4446, RR-1647, RR-0301, RR-1599, RR-0348, RR-4570, RR-3520, RR-2914, RR-3385, RR-0143, RR-2841, RR-1245, RR-2697, RR-1708, RR-0577, RR-4379, RR-1450, RR-4742, RR-4642, RR-4929, RR-3213, RR-0242, RR-0491, RR-0491, RR-2691, RR-3094, RR-3172, RR-1745, RR-3217, RR-0532, RR-3143, RR-1380, RR-3283, RR-0798, RR-1154, RR-0089, RR-2228, RR-2648, RR-2799, RR-0844, RR-0533, RR-3934, RR-4697, RR-3924, RR-1116, RR-4539, RR-2644,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2685, RR-3209, RR-1648, RR-3805, RR-0121, RR-2086, RR-2067, RR-3058, RR-3754, RR-0782, RR-0409, RR-1906, RR-4864, RR-0286, RR-2740, RR-3356, RR-1669, RR-4914, RR-0394, RR-3871, RR-2337, RR-0967, RR-3588, RR-0162, RR-2567, RR-0898, RR-3623, RR-2684, RR-3302, RR-3014, RR-3625, RR-0810, RR-1660, RR-1538, RR-2847, RR-3192, RR-4374, RR-3902, RR-3023, RR-3460, RR-2304, RR-0207, RR-0789, RR-2488, RR-4779, RR-2788, RR-0327, RR-0794, RR-4722, RR-4566, RR-0317, RR-3650, RR-0890, RR-3001, RR-2080, RR-4479, RR-2494, RR-3262, RR-2863, RR-1145, RR-1561, RR-1658, RR-1861, RR-1947, RR-2844, RR-3632			
SS-03	RR-1589, RR-1164, RR-2184, RR-2157, RR-1263, RR-3590, RR-4466, RR-3783, RR-2190, RR-3759, RR-0092, RR-1704, RR-2766, RR-1319, RR-1209, RR-4255, RR-1208, RR-1678, RR-3156, RR-1375, RR-3923, RR-0365, RR-4446, RR-0301, RR-4792, RR-1708, RR-1167, RR-1649, RR-0491, RR-4106, RR-3924, RR-4881, RR-0111, RR-2367, RR-2176, RR-2584,	Scheme Scale	Respondents expressed concern that the solar areas were too fragmented and/or spread across a wide area. Some respondents questioned the need for multiple land parcels within the Scheme.	As set out in ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] , the Applicant looked for sites (excluding the cable route corridor) of around 1,100 ha in order to allow sufficient room to accommodate the solar PV panels and allow flexibility for design and the incorporation of appropriate mitigation. The Applicant undertook an initial review which did not identify a single site of the appropriate size (1,100 ha) within the area of search. Therefore, the Applicant

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3162, RR-4070, RR-2608, RR-4917, RR-3001			considered both contiguous land parcels and land parcels near one another. The Statement of Need [APP-266] explains at Section 7.4 that NSIP proposals may comprise adjoining or separate parcels of land and that "<i>the connection of separate parcels of suitable land together into a single scheme may, subject to analysis, enable those parcels of land to connect economically to the electricity system</i>" which is the case for this Scheme. Section 8.2 of the Statement of Need [APP-266] explains that by bringing the parcels together into a single scheme, the Scheme is able to carry a connection to the National Electricity Transmission System (NETS), thus providing a local source of low carbon electricity and also enabling the efficient bulk transfer of energy generated at the Scheme so that any surplus can be used to cover shortfalls elsewhere. By connecting to the NETS, the Scheme will not impact the feasibility or timing of distribution network connections for either new generation or consumption connections which among other things are required to support the delivery of Wiltshire County Council's growth plans.
SS-04	RR-3083	Scheme Scale	Respondent stated that the Scheme is using double the land that is actually required to power 115,000 homes, provides mathematical context: Divided by the	The Applicant supports the continued development of rooftop solar, however, as additional, rather than alternative, to large-scale schemes. This is consistent with Government's view, and for the reasons given, in NPS EN-1 (2023) Para 3.3.12.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			approximate 115000 homes powered equates to only 4.35kw [peak power] and 8.7kWh battery 'per home'. The 3000-acre site devotes approximately 462.5 Hectares to solar panels which, divided by 115,000 homes, is equal to 40m2 area of solar panels required 'per home'. But a 4.5kW solar installation on a residential roof only occupies 22m2.	Section 2.10 of the Applicant's Statement of Need [APP-266] summarises the UK Solar Roadmap, which sets out how, alongside ground mounted projects, the government plans to drive forward deployment of solar across multifunctional uses of space including rooftops. Section 2.9 of the Applicant's Statement of Need [APP-266] summarises the government's Clean Power 2030 Action Plan which includes ambitious capacity ranges for large-scale solar of 45 to 47 GW by 2030 and 45 to 69 GW by 2035. Delivering these ranges, which is critical to the achievement of a clean power system on the way to net zero 2050, does not include rooftop schemes, therefore both large-scale and small-scale schemes are needed. Section 6.3 and Figure 15 of the Statement of Need [APP-266] shows that not only do large-scale schemes contribute to the national need for power to be transmitted to wherever it is needed (therefore supporting electricity system security), but also large-scale schemes are more affordable than small scale schemes. The Applicant notes the assertion that the Scheme in effect would utilise "double the land" required for its output and respectfully disagrees with the conclusion

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				reached. As set out in the Statement of Need [APP-266], National Policy Statement (NPS) EN-3 establishes that a typical solar farm requires between 2 to 4 acres for each MW of output. For a 500MW project, this equates to an expected footprint of 1,000 to 2,000 acres (404 to 809 ha). The Solar PV Sites for the Scheme (749.1 ha) are within this range. At the detailed design stage, the Applicant will finalise a scheme layout to optimise installed generation capacity and expected annual energy yield. This involves respecting environmental constraints while aligning and placing panels to optimise their orientation with respect to each other and to the sun. Different panel technologies can also be selected (e.g. moving tracker panels which follow the sun, versus fixed panels). Rooftop solar schemes cannot generally be designed with such flexibility. Therefore, rooftop solar schemes often experience a lower load factor than large-scale ground mount schemes. Section 6.3 of the Statement of Need [APP-266] provides further information. Therefore, while the ranges provided suggest that rooftop solar may be able to accommodate an installation with a higher watts per square metre than the ranges provided in EN-3 for ground mount solar, the annual energy generated per kW from

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				the rooftop scheme is likely to be lower than that generated from a large-scale scheme. Further, large-scale solar schemes also accommodate infrastructure including substations, inverters, transformers, access tracks which provide the grid-level flexibility and reliability that small-scale rooftop installations cannot offer.
SS-05	RR-4817, RR-0440, RR-4493, RR-4501, RR-4952, RR-1616, RR-1377, RR-0017, RR-3565, RR-2860, RR-4795, RR-3510, RR-1737, RR-2521, RR-3531, RR-3936, RR-1358, RR-2658, RR-2061, RR-3161, RR-4829, RR-4482, RR-2748, RR-1966, RR-4407, RR-3779, RR-1608, RR-3561, RR-3858, RR-3928, RR-3002, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-2594, RR-2084, RR-2203, RR-0743, RR-0575, RR-1095, RR-3073, RR-1882, RR-2220, RR-4636, RR-0558, RR-4503, RR-0905, RR-1486, RR-2889, RR-2021, RR-4585, RR-4334, RR-2394, RR-0062, RR-1610, RR-3540, RR-0583, RR-2009, RR-3474, RR-2816, RR-2910, RR-0452, RR-0039, RR-1163, RR-2856, RR-3003, RR-0112, RR-0285, RR-0195, RR-1633, RR-2463, RR-0404, RR-1459, RR-0467, RR-0328,	Scheme Location	Respondents expressed general opposition to the Scheme on the basis that it was in the wrong location and/or area.	National Policy Statement EN-3 Paragraphs 2.10.11 to 2.10.18 explain that the availability of grid connection, suitable irradiance levels, and local topography are key inputs to the selection of sites suitable for large-scale solar generation developments. The number of locations within the UK at which large-scale solar generation is suitable is therefore likely to be limited, and this is a material issue when considering how the UK is to meet the urgent need for low carbon generation as is set out in the NPSs. This point is expanded upon in the Statement of Need [APP-266] in particular in Section 4.3. Chapter 8 of the Statement of Need [APP-266] provides evidence to support the suitability of the proposed location of the Scheme for large-scale solar plus storage in relation to the timeliness and availability of the grid connection, transmission system operability and the decarbonisation and energy security benefits the Scheme will bring forward from this location.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2668, RR-2929, RR-1046, RR-0321, RR-0343, RR-0945, RR-0311, RR-3535, RR-4478, RR-2924, RR-4248, RR-2639, RR-3850, RR-2146, RR-1014, RR-3180, RR-4112, RR-0411, RR-1748, RR-4151, RR-0958, RR-0749, RR-2901, RR-3046, RR-3962, RR-4644, RR-0676, RR-2906, RR-0118, RR-3567, RR-2651, RR-4690, RR-1068, RR-4916, RR-1026, RR-4404, RR-3597, RR-3515, RR-0978, RR-3621, RR-4777, RR-4580, RR-4519, RR-4592, RR-3778, RR-4666, RR-3768, RR-3990, RR-3959, RR-3756, RR-1033, RR-1471, RR-1021, RR-1603, RR-1081, RR-0092, RR-1704, RR-0083, RR-3709, RR-2177, RR-2717, RR-2734, RR-2290, RR-3478, RR-3169, RR-1941, RR-2652, RR-4272, RR-1959, RR-1899, RR-2027, RR-4363, RR-4611, RR-3793, RR-0477, RR-1879, RR-1010, RR-2025, RR-1490, RR-4527, RR-3809, RR-2471, RR-2352, RR-4302, RR-4476, RR-1269, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-1225, RR-1427, RR-2417, RR-2368, RR-2764, RR-3395, RR-1548, RR-1319, RR-0735, RR-0621, RR-1740, RR-0775, RR-1229, RR-0870, RR-4662, RR-4332, RR-0910,			As set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and the Site Selection Assessment Report at ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] an appraisal of alternative sites within a 20km radius of the Existing National Grid Melksham Substation has been undertaken, which concludes that there are no more suitable locations within the 20km search area than the proposed location for the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4902, RR-1725, RR-2879, RR-4082, RR-1294, RR-2132, RR-1612, RR-3448, RR-2733, RR-0449, RR-2846, RR-2950, RR-1140, RR-3035, RR-0249, RR-3171, RR-3921, RR-0641, RR-2675, RR-4558, RR-3120, RR-0690, RR-3298, RR-2546, RR-0120, RR-4643, RR-1796, RR-1525, RR-4850, RR-4641, RR-1713, RR-0569, RR-1596, RR-1950, RR-1867, RR-4950, RR-3353, RR-1393, RR-4809, RR-2967, RR-0142, RR-0885, RR-0292, RR-1901, RR-2744, RR-1661, RR-3083, RR-0261, RR-3857, RR-4010, RR-4504, RR-1729, RR-3407, RR-3865, RR-1699, RR-1934, RR-0498, RR-4214, RR-0188, RR-2313, RR-4253, RR-2275, RR-2072, RR-3629, RR-2796, RR-3701, RR-3752, RR-2039, RR-4928, RR-4129, RR-3155, RR-3864, RR-0187, RR-2942, RR-3876, RR-0601, RR-1234, RR-3216, RR-3497, RR-0751, RR-1846, RR-0848, RR-0486, RR-2583, RR-3641, RR-0664, RR-4462, RR-4853, RR-2573, RR-0019, RR-0241, RR-4613, RR-0301, RR-2951, RR-3379, RR-2670, RR-0143, RR-0174, RR-2213, RR-3438, RR-2775, RR-1636, RR-0965, RR-4938, RR-2887,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0464, RR-1231, RR-0415, RR-3235, RR-4565, RR-3266, RR-3390, RR-4379, RR-3097, RR-1360, RR-3469, RR-2802, RR-3668, RR-0196, RR-4742, RR-1981, RR-4148, RR-3213, RR-3204, RR-1809, RR-3080, RR-4412, RR-3005, RR-1649, RR-0491, RR-0491, RR-4732, RR-0622, RR-3723, RR-0244, RR-3487, RR-3217, RR-2867, RR-2729, RR-0167, RR-3143, RR-2562, RR-2622, RR-1836, RR-4910, RR-0056, RR-1154, RR-4085, RR-3893, RR-3647, RR-3633, RR-0666, RR-2169, RR-0619, RR-2644, RR-0033, RR-2685, RR-3209, RR-3532, RR-3552, RR-2782, RR-2842, RR-4154, RR-2977, RR-2115, RR-0121, RR-0354, RR-2086, RR-4549, RR-3255, RR-4856, RR-0409, RR-1008, RR-3356, RR-4424, RR-1338, RR-0967, RR-1985, RR-4939, RR-0457, RR-2367, RR-3839, RR-3577, RR-3605, RR-3277, RR-3625, RR-3513, RR-3382, RR-2175, RR-0790, RR-4285, RR-4170, RR-2071, RR-4545, RR-1538, RR-3919, RR-2847, RR-3192, RR-4875, RR-1797, RR-4623, RR-4505, RR-3023, RR-3587, RR-2871, RR-0305, RR-3198, RR-1345, RR-3589, RR-0695,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0854, RR-4566, RR-3650, RR-2952, RR-2840, RR-4948, RR-3958, RR-0352, RR-3001, RR-4142, RR-2494, AS-008, AS-009, AS-003, RR-0984, RR-1058, RR-1378, RR-1523, RR-1651, RR-1840, RR-1947, RR-3279, RR-3804, RR-4765			
SS-06	RR-2427, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-0502, RR-2023, RR-2874, RR-0955, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-2794, RR-0406, RR-2452, RR-0328, RR-0526, RR-0212, RR-3364, RR-0343, RR-3535, RR-2831, RR-4348, RR-4956, RR-3837, RR-4283, RR-1155, RR-1755, RR-4940, RR-4485, RR-2106, RR-2744, RR-3083, RR-2470, RR-1888, RR-4499, RR-3876, RR-3497, RR-1770, RR-0750, RR-1198, RR-1450, RR-1836, RR-2228, RR-3805, RR-0354, RR-3356, RR-0653, RR-3302, RR-3513, RR-4875, RR-3589, RR-1075, RR-3001	Site Selection Methodology	Respondents expressed general concern about the site selection process and/or the suitability of the sites selected for the Scheme.	Site selection is addressed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185]. An appraisal of alternative sites within a 20km radius of the Existing National Grid Melksham Substation has been undertaken, which concludes that there are no more suitable locations within the 20km search area than the proposed location for the Scheme. Paragraph 2.10.31 of NPS EN-3 states: <i>"...at this scale, it is likely that applicants' developments will use some agricultural land. Applicants should explain their choice of site, noting the preference for development to be on suitable brownfield, industrial and low and medium grade agricultural land"</i>. As set out in ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] the Applicant reviewed brownfield land registers for local authorities within the area of search

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				including Wiltshire Council, South Gloucestershire Council, Bath and Northeast Somerset Council, and Somerset Council. The assessment concluded that there were no sites within the search area of sufficient size to accommodate a large-scale solar development, either individually or cumulatively. The sites assessed were too small to support the proposed Scheme and were largely allocated for housing or mixed-use development, in line with local planning policies, thereby creating a conflict with the intended use for renewable energy infrastructure. Therefore, it was concluded that there was no available or suitable brownfield land for the purposes of the Scheme. With regard to agricultural land, the Applicant has adopted a sequential approach by first considering lower grade agricultural land before expanding its search. More details are set out in ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185].
SS-07	RR-1377, RR-2184, RR-3199, RR-4819, RR-0955, RR-1803, RR-2582, RR-3063, RR-0324, RR-0328, RR-4466, RR-0130, RR-2553, RR-4348, RR-3544, RR-3748, RR-3783, RR-3015, RR-0068, RR-3121, RR-2073, RR-4357, RR-1155, RR-0083, RR-1087, RR-2702, RR-3169,	Consideration of Alternatives	Respondents expressed scepticism that other suitable sites for the Scheme had not been considered and/or expressed dissatisfaction that other sites were not consulted on.	There is no general requirement in NPS EN-1 to consider alternatives or establish that the proposed Scheme represents the best option from a policy perspective. Although there is no general planning policy requirement to consider alternatives and show the proposals represent the best option, the requirement to describe the alternatives considered by the Applicant is

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3892, RR-4681, RR-1883, RR-4622, RR-0735, RR-0621, RR-1209, RR-0870, RR-4662, RR-4745, RR-4021, RR-4459, RR-3664, RR-1612, RR-2132, RR-3448, RR-1106, RR-0449, RR-3298, RR-0120, RR-0094, RR-2744, RR-0497, RR-0287, RR-1934, RR-4499, RR-1193, RR-2512, RR-4928, RR-3497, RR-3855, RR-4792, RR-1708, RR-1360, RR-3005, RR-1836, RR-1800, RR-4630, RR-4539, RR-2497, RR-3805, RR-4664, RR-0253, RR-2693, RR-0354, RR-4743, RR-0111, RR-0967, RR-3513, RR-4115, RR-4875, RR-0525, RR-3589, RR-1075, RR-0833, RR-1947, RR-1947			required by the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 and in specific circumstances as set out in NPS EN-1. The Applicant followed a staged approach to assessment, taking into consideration a range of planning, environmental and operational factors. ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] explain the methodology that has been applied when identifying and evaluating potential sites for the Scheme. It identifies a number of potential development areas for the Scheme and presents how each of those areas perform against a range of planning, environmental and operational constraints and opportunities. This report concludes that there are no more suitable and available locations within the search area than the proposed location for the Scheme, based on the criteria identified. Further, as described in the Statement of Need [APP-266], in particular in Section 2.9, the government is seeking a large capacity of new low carbon schemes to come forwards to prioritise projects needed for 2030, while maintaining a robust pipeline of projects beyond 2030 towards meeting net zero by 2050. The urgent and unprecedented requirement for new large

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				scale solar schemes supports the view that any other suitable and available sites, if such sites had been found, would likely also be needed.
SS-08	RR-2860, RR-2184, RR-2157, RR-3544, RR-3783, RR-3906, RR-4744, RR-0083, RR-3169, RR-0161, RR-1883, RR-4574, RR-0621, RR-1209, RR-1208, RR-1702, RR-3664, RR-1678, RR-1916, RR-3156, RR-0120, RR-1796, RR-4612, RR-1850, RR-0359, RR-2496, RR-3923, RR-4321, RR-0497, RR-2239, RR-0301, RR-0143, RR-2887, RR-4742, RR-4412, RR-3005, RR-0056, RR-3622, RR-4104, RR-4664, RR-0197, RR-0111, RR-3428, RR-4875, RR-4505, RR-0833	Site Selection Methodology	Respondents expressed concern that the site for the Scheme was selected primarily on a commercial basis, specifically by identifying landowners willing to provide their land, rather than on other aspects of suitability.	As detailed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] , the Applicant has followed a staged approach to assessment, taking into consideration a range of planning, environmental and operational factors. The availability of large scale land holdings within the search area was a consideration, however it is not the only consideration in the site selection process.
SS-09	RR-1049, RR-3497	Planning Policy Compliance	Respondent stated the Scheme is in contradiction with NPS EN-3(2024) requiring the use of brownfield land.	Paragraph 2.10.31 of NPS EN-3 recognises that <i>"at this scale, it is likely that applicant's developments will use some agricultural land. Applicants should explain their choice of site, noting the preference for development to be on suitable brownfield, industrial and low and medium grade agricultural land"</i> . ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] explain how brownfield land was considered, in accordance with policy (see paragraph 2.4.3). An assessment of brownfield land within the 20km search

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				area identified no land of an adequate area to facilitate a large-scale solar project, either individually or in combination with other sites. A list of the sites considered is set out at Table 2-2 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] . The sites considered were not large enough to provide a land parcel of at least 40 ha and therefore were not of adequate size to be included within the Scheme. Further, the majority of the sites were not considered to be available as they have planning permission for residential development and/or are allocated for residential/mixed use development.
SS-10	RR-0775	Scheme Location	Respondent stated that the proposed location of the Scheme in Rodbourne was ill-considered.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] explain the methodology that has been applied when identifying and evaluating potential alternative sites for the Scheme. It identifies a number of potential development areas for the Scheme and presents how each of those areas perform against a range of planning, environmental and operational constraints and opportunities. The Site Selection Assessment Report concludes that there are no more suitable and available locations within the search area than the proposed location for the Scheme, based on the criteria identified.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
SS-11	RR-1867	Site Selection Methodology	Respondent stated that the location of the Scheme should be driven by ecological and environmental factors and expressed that this was not the case.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] explain the methodology that has been applied when identifying and evaluating potential sites for the Scheme. It identifies a number of potential development areas for the Scheme and presents how each of those areas perform against a range of planning, environmental and operational constraints and opportunities. Therefore, the assessment of the Scheme's location and alternative locations does include an assessment of ecological and environmental factors. These have been considered in combination with other constraints when considering the suitability of the chosen site and other potential development areas. The Site Selection Assessment Report concludes that there are no more suitable and available locations within the search area than the proposed location for the Scheme, based on the criteria identified. As to the environmental effects of the Scheme, the various chapters of the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] assess the likely significant effects arising from the Scheme at the chosen location and the proposed mitigation. A Non-Technical Summary of the ES is also provided at [APP-265].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
SS-12	RR-4914	Site Selection Methodology	Respondent expressed that no justification had been provided for the specific sites selected for the Scheme.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] explain the methodology that has been applied when identifying and evaluating potential sites for the Scheme. The Site Selection Assessment Report identifies a number of potential development areas for the Scheme and presents how each of those areas perform against a range of planning, environmental and operational constraints and opportunities. This report concludes that there are no more suitable and available locations within the search area than the proposed location for the Scheme, based on the criteria identified.
SS-13	RR-1778	Grid Connection Point	Respondent stated that it was not clear how or where the Scheme would connect to the National Grid.	The Scheme will join the National Grid at the Existing National Grid Melksham Substation. Works at the Existing National Grid Melksham Substation in order to facilitate the connection involve the addition of new electrical infrastructure and associated civil works to comprise a new 400 kV feeder bay to connect the Grid Connection Cables for the Scheme. Further details can be found in the Grid Connection Statement [APP-270] .
SS-14	RR-3955, RR-4493, RR-4501, RR-4952, RR-0822, RR-4764, RR-2723, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-0502, RR-0502, RR-2860, RR-2184, RR-3199,	BESS Location	Respondents expressed general concern about and/or opposition to the location of the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS).	The location of the BESS was determined through an options appraisal and iterative design process, having regard to technical requirements, environmental constraints and potential effects on nearby receptors. The BESS Area is located at Lime Down D, close to the Solar PV Sites and the on-

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3779, RR-4088, RR-1272, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-1055, RR-0404, RR-4111, RR-4200, RR-0412, RR-3252, RR-2555, RR-1674, RR-0765, RR-4078, RR-1211, RR-2807, RR-0555, RR-0940, RR-4061, RR-0490, RR-2101, RR-1302, RR-0044, RR-2188, RR-0814, RR-0712, RR-2239, RR-1846, RR-4379, RR-0491, RR-1097, RR-2698, RR-2155, RR-0967, RR-1854, RR-3546, RR-4566, RR-3650, RR-4765		Some respondents expressed concern that the BESS was located too close to Hullavington.	site substation, which provides operational and efficiency benefits, including minimising transmission losses, maximising storage efficiency, supporting grid balancing, and enabling a rapid and reliable response to network fluctuations. Among the options considered for co-locating the BESS with the Solar PV Sites, Lime Down D was identified as the preferred location due to its central position within the Scheme, the presence of existing and proposed screening, its limited proximity to many residential properties, and its relationship with existing infrastructure, including the adjacent railway line. The various chapters of the ES [APP-052 to APP-265] assess the likely significant effects arising from the BESS at this location and identify mitigation measures where necessary. Overall, the assessment concludes that the siting of the BESS at Lime Down D presents the lowest potential for significant adverse effects when compared with reasonable alternatives. Further detail on the consideration of alternatives, the design evolution of the Scheme and the assessment of potential effects is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056], which sets out the iterative process undertaken to identify the preferred location and layout for the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Scheme, including the BESS Area in Section 4.7. The Applicant has followed National Fire Chiefs Council (NFCC) guidance and commissioned a Plume Assessment Study to model toxic gas emissions and visibility impacts from a BESS fire on all sensitive receptors within a 1km radius of the BESS area. The fire emissions modelled in the report were based upon recent UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) requests for DCO BESS projects which explore both immediate air quality impacts together with annual UK air quality requirements. The UKHSA is a statutory consultee for the Scheme and has confirmed that they are satisfied with the Applicant's submitted documentation and Plume Assessment Study methodology and results. The Plume Assessment Study has concluded that there are no significant toxic emission or visibility impacts at all sensitive receptor locations. A high-level visibility assessment has also been undertaken using the modelled particulates results to determine the effect of BESS fire emissions on visibility on the local road and rail network to inform the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286]. As now mandated under NFPA 855 (2026), the Applicant will only be able to

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				select a BESS system that has undertaken Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT). At the detailed design stage, the selected BESS LSFT data will be leveraged to fully inform inputs for risk assessment tools which will be utilised together with detailed consequence modelling to provide a comprehensive site operations and emergency response safety audit, this is stipulated in the Outline BSMP pre-construction requirements (Section 6). The objective of the test is to evaluate the thermal exposure impacts from a developed BESS enclosure, to determine propagation risk to adjacent BESS or equipment. Testing also defines the length of burn, duration of Peak Heat Release Rate, maximum burn temperatures, etc. All risk assessments to be conducted at detailed design for BESS projects required by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) to assess fire and explosion risk are stipulated in Section 6.1.5. Section 5 of the Outline BSMP covers all requisite firefighting requirements for the Scheme including fire service access, firefighting water supply, and emergency planning requirements. The Applicant is in the process of agreeing a Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) with D&WFRS to provide assurance that all safety and emergency response requirements are fulfilled.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Applicant is currently drafting a SoCG with the Environment Agency (EA) on Scheme environmental protection issues including the BESS area drainage strategy. The agreed strategy document will be appended to the outline BSMP at Deadline 1, to provide further assurance that all water pollution risks from a BESS fire are fully mitigated. The EA is a statutory consultee for the Outline BSMP and drainage strategies and must approve the final BSMP and drainage design at detailed design before construction of the BESS commences. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is secured through Requirement 6 (Battery safety management) in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016], which provides that Work No. 2 must not commence until a Battery Safety Management Plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The approved plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan. The Draft DCO also requires the relevant planning authority to consult with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and the EA before determining the application, and that the Battery Safety Management Plan must be implemented as approved.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
SS-15	RR-3453	BESS Location	Respondent stated that the BESS should be sited within the solar farm.	The BESS is co-located within Lime Down D. The various chapters of the ES [APP-052 to APP-265] assess the likely significant effects arising from the BESS at this location and identify mitigation measures where necessary. Overall, the assessment concludes that the siting of the BESS at Lime Down D presents the lowest potential for significant adverse effects when compared with reasonable alternatives. Further detail on the consideration of alternatives, the design evolution of the Scheme and the assessment of potential effects is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] , which sets out the iterative process undertaken to identify the preferred location and layout for the Scheme, including the BESS Area in Section 4.7.
SS-16	RR-3662	BESS Location	Respondent expressed concern with the location of the BESS and other projects planned near Whitley/Neston.	The Applicant acknowledges that whilst the opportunity for a Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) location near Whitley was explored during the early non-statutory consultation stage, this location was de-selected, at the Stage Two statutory consultation phase. The BESS is co-located within Lime Down D; some distance from Whitley/Neston. The various chapters of the ES [APP-052 to APP-265] assess the likely significant effects arising from the BESS at this location and identify mitigation measures where necessary. Overall, the assessment concludes that the siting of the BESS at Lime Down D

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				presents the lowest potential for significant adverse effects when compared with reasonable alternatives. Further detail on the consideration of alternatives, the design evolution of the Scheme and the assessment of potential effects is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056], which sets out the iterative process undertaken to identify the preferred location and layout for the Scheme, including the BESS Area in Section 4.7. The Applicant has followed National Fire Chiefs Council (NFCC) guidance and commissioned a Plume Assessment Study to model toxic gas emissions and visibility impacts from a BESS fire on all sensitive receptors within a 1km radius of the BESS area. The fire emissions modelled in the report were based upon recent UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) requests for DCO BESS projects which explore both immediate air quality impacts together with annual UK air quality requirements. The UKHSA is a statutory consultee for the Scheme and has confirmed that they are satisfied with the Applicant's submitted documentation and Plume Assessment Study methodology and results. The Plume Assessment Study has concluded that there are no significant toxic emission or visibility impacts at all sensitive receptor locations.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Applicant's Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286] is fully compliant with NFCC Guidance for the Scheme. The Outline BSMP covers all credible fire, explosion, toxic emission, and pollution risks. As now mandated under NFPA 855 (2026), the Applicant will only be able to select a BESS system that has undertaken Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT). At the detailed design stage, the selected BESS LSFT data will be leveraged to fully inform inputs for risk assessment tools which will be utilised together with detailed consequence modelling to provide a comprehensive site operations and emergency response safety audit, this is stipulated in the Outline BSMP pre-construction requirements (Section 6). The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is secured through Requirement 6 (Battery safety management) in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], which provides that Work No. 2 must not commence until a Battery Safety Management Plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The approved plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline BSMP. The Draft DCO also requires the relevant planning authority to consult with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and the EA before determining the application, and that the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Battery Safety Management Plan must be implemented as approved. Regarding the Scheme's interaction with other projects more generally, the Applicant has assessed the cumulative effects of the Scheme. A comprehensive Cumulative and In-Combination Effects Assessment has been undertaken in accordance with Planning Inspectorate Advice Note 17 and the relevant NPS EN-1, NPS EN-3 and NPS EN-5 requirements which are set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 21: Cumulative and In-combination Effects [APP-073] and associated Appendices [APP-264]. Interaction with proposed or consented but not yet built developments has been fully explored within each of the technical assessments [APP-059 - APP-072] with a summary of each of these assessments provided within ES Volume 1, Chapter 21: Cumulative and In-Combination Effects [APP-073]. Existing schemes are captured within the baseline environment for each technical chapter. The four-stage cumulative assessment methodology is described within ES Volume 1, Chapter 6: EIA Methodology [APP-058]. 358 developments were included in the Long List [APP-264]. 41 developments are included in the short list (and are therefore assessed) including a number of proposed solar and BESS developments. The list of developments

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				included in the cumulative assessment was reviewed and developed in consultation with Wiltshire Council. Taking a conservative worse case approach, significant cumulative effects with the short list of developments are identified at a limited and discrete number of receptors comprising tourism and recreation impacts during the construction phase for example, on Corsham Park, PRoW WT CORM 122, WT HULL 29, and Sustrans Cycle Route 403, and on skylark at a District level.
SS-17	RR-3650	BESS Location	Respondent stated that solar energy from rooftops and brownfield sites, along with electricity generated from offshore wind farms, could fill the storage component of the Scheme, in which case the BESS could be sited close to the grid connection near Melksham.	The Applicant's Statement of Need [APP-266] explains that the inclusion of a BESS as associated development to the main solar scheme will support the operation of the main solar scheme and will be able to store energy generated by the main solar scheme and export it to the NETS when it is needed. At times when the BESS is not supporting the operation of the principal solar site, it may be useful for the BESS to support the national supply and demand balance by importing directly from the grid rather than from the co-located solar. The Applicant infers that this representation goes towards the electrical efficiencies associated with the Scheme and its potential types of operation. These efficiencies are just one of the factors considered when developing the plans for

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				the Scheme, including the location of the storage component of the Scheme.
SS-18	RR-1641	Cable Route Location	Respondents expressed general concern for the location of the cable route.	Details on how the design of the Cable Route Corridor has evolved and been designed can be found in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056]. Several factors were considered and the corridor was designed to take a direct route whilst following existing features, such as roads, and avoiding sensitive receptors as far as practicable, such as habitat designations, residential and commercial properties, heritage assets, and a large number of land interests, including private residences and gardens. The assessments set out in the various chapters of the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] detail what impacts are expected as a result of the Scheme based on the minimum and maximum parameters of the Scheme, and the mitigation and enhancement measures that are proposed to reduce these impacts.
SS-19	RR-0328, RR-4670	Cable Route Design Detail	Respondent requested specific information on the actual route of the cables.	The Cable Route Corridor is the area within which the export connection cables (the 'Grid Connection Cables') would be located to connect the Solar PV Sites to the National Grid at the Existing National Grid Melksham Substation and the area within which cables connecting the Solar PV Sites would be located (the 'Interconnecting Cables'). The location of the Cable Route Corridor is set out on ES

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Volume 2, Figure 3-1: Indicative Site Layout Plan [APP-081]. The actual route of the Grid Connection Cables and Interconnecting Cables within the Cable Route Corridor will be determined at detailed design stage. It is necessary to have some flexibility built into the design of the Scheme when submitting the DCO Application so that the detailed design of the Scheme can be informed by technical considerations, post-consent work, and to take advantage of innovation in technology. Therefore, for the purposes of assessing the environmental effects of the Scheme, the technical assessments set out in the ES assess an 'envelope' (known as the Rochdale Envelope) within which the works would take place, based on maximum and minimum design parameters. Further details can be found in ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055].
SS-20	RR-2460	Point of Connection	Respondent supports the decision to connect to the existing Melksham substation, which they note has been agreed with National Grid, and to bury powerlines rather than introduce new pylons.	The Applicant makes note of this comment. The Applicant confirms it is proposing to construct the connection between the Solar PV Sites and the existing National Grid substation at Melksham by installing underground cables, as set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
SS-21	RR-2075, RR-3497, RR-4570, RR-4379, RR-4732	Cable Route Location	Respondent expressed concern regarding environmental damage that could be caused by the 20km cable route.	The Cable Route Corridor, which stretches approximately 22 km from 'Lime Down D' to Melksham Substation and links together the Solar PV Sites, covers approximately 463.2 ha. The existing National Grid Melksham substation was identified as the point of connection. This decision was based on available capacity, network resilience, and strategic grid planning. As explained in ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185], an initial search area was identified at a 5 km radius from the point of connection (POC), however this was enlarged incrementally to a 20 km radius which is considered by the Applicant to be a viable cable connection distance for a solar project of this scale. The POC and 20 km search area is shown on Figure 1, Annex C of ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185]. More details on how the Cable Route Corridor has been located and designed can be found in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056]. Several factors were considered, and the route was designed to take a direct route whilst following existing features, such as roads, and avoiding sensitive receptors as far as practicable, such as habitat designations, residential and commercial properties, heritage

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				assets, and a large number of land interests. The assessments set out in the various chapters of the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] detail what impacts are expected as a result of the Scheme based on the minimum and maximum parameters of the Scheme, and the mitigation and enhancement measures that are proposed to reduce these impacts. These include temporary significant effects during the construction and decommissioning stages on the following topics: ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060], ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], ES Volume 1, Chapter 10: Arboriculture [APP-062], ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Agriculture and Soils [APP-069]. These effects, whilst significant, are all assessed as temporary and would be reversible upon the completion of any work occurring on the cable route corridor.
SS-22	RR-3497	Cable Route Location	Respondent stated that the Scheme had yet to demonstrate that the grid connection and/or cabling works would not generate unacceptable additional impacts in terms of land take.	The assessments set out in the various chapters of the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] detail what impacts are expected as a result of the Scheme (including the Cable Route Corridor) based on the minimum and maximum parameters of the Scheme, and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				the mitigation and enhancement measures that are proposed to reduce these impacts. These effects are fully reported in the ES and are a matter for the Secretary of State to weigh in the planning balance against the need for the Scheme and its wider benefits. As per the assessment presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] it is anticipated that a majority of landowners affected during construction of the cable route will have voluntarily agreed to Heads of Terms and therefore will be assumed to have deemed the effects of construction on their land holding acceptable. For all landowners along the Cable Route Corridor, whether in voluntary agreement or not, there will be temporary, short-term effects on the land holdings, and in all cases, payments will be made to compensate for any crop losses resulting from construction. Effects on landowners along the Cable Route will therefore be not significant in EIA terms.
SS-23	RR-2887, RR-4742	Cable Route Location	Respondents stated that less harmful locations closer to the grid connection had been ignored in the site selection process.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] explain the methodology that has been applied when identifying and evaluating potential sites for the Scheme. The Site Selection Assessment Report identifies a number of potential development areas for the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Scheme and presents how each of those areas perform against a range of planning, environmental and operational constraints and opportunities. This includes areas closer to the Existing National Grid Melksham Substation, which have been considered, but discounted, due to various environmental and planning constraints. The Site Selection Assessment Report concludes that there are no more suitable and available locations within the search area than the proposed location for the Scheme, based on the criteria identified.
SS-24	RR-3340	Scheme Location	Respondent suggests using the rail line that crosses midway through the proposed area as an alternative site for the Scheme.	The proposed site for the Scheme does incorporate land both to the north and south of the railway line. Therefore, where possible, available land close to the railway line has been incorporated. The Government's June 2025 Solar Roadmap sets out "how, alongside ground mount projects, we plan to drive forward deployment of solar across multifunctional uses of space such as rooftops, car parks and water bodies whilst maintaining planning protections for our best agricultural land." [p20]. Solar panels could be seen installed alongside rail tracks in the UK in the future. There are no plans other than those associated with the Scheme to install solar panels on the Order Limits alongside the rail tracks which cross the site. Therefore, any proposals which come forward to install rail-side panels would be a different scheme which

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				may or may not be capable of meeting the proposed energy generating potential of the Scheme. Such a scheme, if it did come forward, would therefore not be considered to be a reasonable alternative for the Scheme. It is also possible that a scheme consisting of rail-side panels (whether near to, within or away from the Order Limits) may also be required to meet the Government's clean energy targets. Further information on the urgent need for an unprecedented capacity of new low-carbon generation projects such as the Scheme can be found in the Statement of Need [APP-266] As to alternative sites considered generally, ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] explain the methodology that has been applied when identifying and evaluating potential sites for the Scheme. It identifies a number of potential development areas for the Scheme within 20 km of the Existing National Grid Melksham Substation and presents how each of those areas perform against a range of planning, environmental and operational constraints and opportunities. The Site Selection Assessment Report concludes that there are no more suitable and available locations within the search area than the proposed location for the Scheme, based on the criteria identified.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
SS-25	RR-4509, RR-1596, RR-1826	Grid Connection Location	Respondent noted that it would make more sense to locate the Scheme near an existing substation.	ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] explain the methodology that has been applied when identifying and evaluating potential sites for the Scheme. It identifies a number of potential development areas for the Scheme within 20 km of the Existing National Grid Melksham Substation and presents how each of those areas perform against a range of planning, environmental and operational constraints and opportunities. As part of this process, locations closer to the Existing National Grid Melksham Substation have been considered but have been discounted on the basis that they are not suitable for the Scheme. The reasons why those potential development areas have been discounted are set out in the Site Selection Assessment Report, but include factors such as existing land use, land availability, potential for flooding and heritage constraints. The Site Selection Assessment Report concludes that there are no more suitable and available locations within the search area than the proposed location for the Scheme, based on the criteria identified.
SS-26	RR-4217, RR-1209	Consideration of Alternatives	Respondents questioned why disused land at Hullavington Airfield and/or the disused military base at Hullavington had not been considered as an alternative location for solar	The entirety of Hullavington Airfield is a designated conservation area (for heritage) and there are a number of non-designated heritage assets on the site. It has therefore been avoided by the Applicant in its search for a site.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			PV panels and associated infrastructure.	
SS-27	RR-0902, RR-0292, RR-1800, AS-008, RR-1378, AS-004	Consideration of Alternatives	Respondents expressed that alternative sites had not been fully explored and / or considered.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] explain the methodology that has been applied when identifying and evaluating potential sites for the Scheme. The Site Selection Assessment Report identifies a number of potential development areas for the Scheme and presents how each of those areas perform against a range of planning, environmental and operational constraints and opportunities. The Site Selection Assessment Report concludes that there are no more suitable and available locations within the search area than the proposed location for the Scheme, based on the criteria identified.
SS-28	RR-0292	Consideration of Alternatives	Respondent expressed that the Applicant should consider alternative sites more in keeping with the Government's Environment Agency agenda and policies.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] explain the methodology that has been applied when identifying and evaluating potential sites for the Scheme. The assessment of alternatives has been carried out in line with policy and particularly the policies set out in NPS EN-1 and EN-3 regarding matters such as national landscapes, designated ecological sites, best and most versatile agricultural land, flood risk, and heritage assets.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Site Selection Assessment Report identifies a number of potential development areas for the Scheme and presents how each of those areas perform against a range of planning, environmental and operational constraints and opportunities. The Site Selection Assessment Report concludes that there are no more suitable and available locations within the search area than the proposed location for the Scheme, based on the criteria identified.
SS-29	RR-1400	Consideration of Alternatives	Respondent suggests Hyde Park, London as an alternative site.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] explain the methodology that has been applied when identifying and evaluating potential sites for the Scheme. The Applicant first identified the point of connection at the Existing National Grid Melksham Substation and has then considered potential sites within 20 km of the point of connection which could be suitable for the Scheme. Given the location of the point of connection, it would not be possible to develop the Scheme in Hyde Park in London and therefore this has not been considered by the Applicant as a reasonable alternative.
SS-30	RR-1070	Planning Policy Compliance	Respondent states that the Scheme violates Wiltshire Core Strategy Core Policy 42: Violates BMV agricultural land protection Wiltshire Local Plan	The Planning Statement [APP-267] evaluates the Scheme against the relevant national and local planning policy. The Scheme's compliance with the specific policies mentioned in the representation

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			Core Policy 86: Violates strengthened BMV protections NPPF paragraph 174(b): Fails to recognize intrinsic countryside character and BMV benefits NPS EN-1 paragraph 5.10.5: Fails to avoid BMV agricultural land NPS EN-1 paragraph 5.9.8: Inadequate landscape and visual impact mitigation NPS EN-1 paragraph 5.8.2: Fails to sustain heritage asset significance.	can be viewed in Annexes A and B of the Planning Statement. ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] explain the methodology that has been applied when identifying and evaluating potential sites for the Scheme. This includes how agricultural land has been considered and included within the search for sites, in line with relevant national policy. In particular, paragraph 2.10.31 of NPS EN-3 recognises that “at this scale, it is likely that applicant’s developments will use some agricultural land. Applicants should explain their choice of site, noting the preference for development to be on suitable brownfield, industrial and low and medium grade agricultural land”. In light of this policy, the Applicant’s site selection assessment focused initially on lower grade agricultural land. Brownfield sites were also considered. None of the initial sites considered were deemed suitable for the Scheme, and therefore the search was broadened to include for consideration Grade 3 agricultural land together with specific areas of Grade 2 and Grade 1 agricultural land. By adopting this staged approach, the Applicant has sought

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				to limit the use of BMV land within the Scheme, in line with the above policy. Table 17.6 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] shows the distribution of Agricultural Land (ALC) Grades within the Solar PV Sites, determined by a detailed soil survey. The majority (66%) of the land within the Solar PV Sites is non-BMV land. As to landscape and visual impact mitigation and effects on heritage assets, these have been assessed in the relevant chapters of the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-060 and APP-064], and the effects have been considered against planning policy in the Planning Statement [APP-267]. No residual significant effects are anticipated in relation to the historic environment which is considered to attract a neutral weight in the planning balance. Some significant adverse effects on the landscape fabric are anticipated during construction and the early years of operation, but beneficial landscape effects are anticipated on the landscape fabric of the area from Operation Year 15 and at decommissioning. There are some residual adverse visual effects anticipated for the lifetime of the Scheme, where it would not be appropriate to include further mitigation. This has been taken into account in the Applicant's assessment of the planning balance (which the Applicant considers

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				attracts moderate negative weight) and will be appropriately considered by the Secretary of State when determining whether or not to grant consent for the Scheme.
SS-31	RR-4362, RR-1696	Consideration of Alternatives	Respondents stated that the site should be prioritised for housing and / or would prefer houses to be built.	The Applicant is a solar PV and energy storage developer. On that basis, the Applicant's search for sites was focused on land that would be appropriate for solar, and development of the Solar PV Sites for housing has not been considered by the Applicant as an alternative to the Scheme. More generally, the Applicant has sought to avoid the use of land allocated for housing when selecting the site for development, so as not to conflict with that potential use. Consequently, the Solar PV Sites are not allocated for housing in Wiltshire's adopted or emerging local plans. Any application for their use for housing would have to consider their suitability for that use in line with planning policy.
SS-32	RR-2431	General	Respondents expressed that their interest relates principally to how electricity will be transmitted to the grid, and the environmental and social impact of its associated infrastructure.	The Applicant makes note of this comment.

2.3 Construction and Decommissioning

Table 2-3

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CD-01	RR-3955, RR-0114, RR-3074, RR-2631, RR-4844, RR-4819, RR-3988, RR-1191, RR-1052, RR-3687, RR-2419, RR-1803, RR-0039, RR-3796, RR-2978, RR-3471, RR-2392, RR-2577, RR-1046, RR-0027, RR-2819, RR-0215, RR-4600, RR-4112, RR-1114, RR-2778, RR-4775, RR-1300, RR-3281, RR-0131, RR-4876, RR-1435, RR-3959, RR-1993, RR-3456, RR-2443, RR-3136, RR-0820, RR-2027, RR-0006, RR-2312, RR-1388, RR-2736, RR-2338, RR-2879, RR-0449, RR-3884, RR-0732, RR-1617, RR-1617, RR-2189, RR-1252, RR-2108, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-3262, RR-3751, RR-0254, RR-0248, RR-2011, RR-3317, RR-3526, RR-0491, RR-0030, RR-4511, RR-4918, RR-2155, RR-0697, RR-0229, RR-0606, RR-0892, RR-0810, RR-1826, RR-4875, RR-4875, RR-1778, RR-1201, RR-3460, RR-3613, RR-4203, RR-2080, RR-0114, RR-4648, RR-0126, RR-1272, RR-0346, RR-1239, RR-3687, RR-4528, RR-0959,	Procurement	Respondents expressed ethical and environmental concerns about panels being procured from China and / or overseas. Some respondents expressed concern that panels will not be ethically sourced. Some respondents expressed concern for modern slavery within the supply chain. One respondent expressed concern that China could use the money to fund wars in Taiwan.	Island Green Power is part of the Solar Energy UK Supply Chain Commitment, which binds the Applicant to ensuring that its supply chains are free of slavery. As part of this, the solar sector in the UK and Europe has launched the Solar Stewardship Initiative (SSI), which independently inspects and certifies individual factories for sustainable and ethical practices. The Applicant will source its panels from factories within countries that are SSI-accredited. The effects of emissions generated from embodied carbon within products, including importation from panels sourced overseas to be used on site (as well as construction, operation and decommissioning emissions), are all considered in ES Volume 1, Chapter 7: Climate Change [APP-059]. The CO₂e figures are included in detail within the ES chapter. The chapter concludes that the emissions from these sources would be outweighed by the reduced emissions as a result of the Scheme contributing to decarbonisation of energy from the National Grid.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2068, RR-0289, RR-4098, RR-4112, RR-1517, RR-2815, RR-1300, RR-4615, RR-0765, RR-2073, RR-1435, RR-2702, RR-3136, RR-0820, RR-4363, RR-0006, RR-0350, RR-0876, RR-3299, RR-2973, RR-2736, RR-1726, RR-3689, RR-4255, RR-2338, RR-2879, RR-3703, RR-2821, RR-1286, RR-0449, RR-2581, RR-3884, RR-0732, RR-1617, RR-2189, RR-3238, RR-2000, RR-4233, RR-1252, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-2835, RR-0933, RR-3262, RR-2872, RR-2466, RR-3751, RR-0625, RR-1830, RR-4079, RR-3815, RR-4721, RR-0640, RR-3317, RR-1017, RR-3384, RR-0784, RR-4266, RR-1162, RR-2551, RR-1412, RR-0891, RR-2256, RR-2155, RR-3807, RR-4868, RR-0697, RR-4104, RR-4458, RR-0229, RR-0606, RR-0162, RR-4659, RR-0892, RR-0810, RR-3476, RR-1826, RR-4875, RR-1778, RR-2487, RR-4623, RR-1201, RR-3613, RR-4203			
CD-02	RR-3955, RR-1160, RR-0027, RR-2819, RR-3760, RR-1993, RR-2807, RR-2736, RR-2189, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-0155, RR-0475, RR-4122, RR-1652, RR-2551, RR-2551, RR-4379, RR-3460, RR-4203	Procurement	Respondent expressed concern about panels being procured from China potentially having spyware in them.	The Applicant is a signatory to Solar Energy UK's Supply Chain Commitment. This is a rigorous industry traceability protocol that aligns the solar supply chain with internationally recognised standards to ensure professional and ethical working practices. Furthermore, the Applicant complies with the National Security and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Investment Act 2021 (NSIA), which was specifically enacted to safeguard the UK's critical energy infrastructure from undue foreign influence or control that could compromise national security.
CD-03	RR-4817, RR-3481, RR-4795, RR-4072, RR-0208, RR-3454, RR-4514, RR-1496, RR-3936, RR-1031, RR-3543, RR-2061, RR-1979, RR-4648, RR-2748, RR-3779, RR-0126, RR-2157, RR-1272, RR-4784, RR-2457, RR-0346, RR-3002, RR-2348, RR-3219, RR-1028, RR-1411, RR-1408, RR-3073, RR-2945, RR-1474, RR-1847, RR-2062, RR-4257, RR-2927, RR-4636, RR-0362, RR-3503, RR-2037, RR-4275, RR-0097, RR-3631, RR-0805, RR-2773, RR-3474, RR-4128, RR-2419, RR-1784, RR-2979, RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-0039, RR-2856, RR-3003, RR-0726, RR-3796, RR-0402, RR-1249, RR-2681, RR-3847, RR-1644, RR-0402, RR-0604, RR-3175, RR-0404, RR-0467, RR-4712, RR-1278, RR-0328, RR-4799, RR-2572, RR-1853, RR-3818, RR-4563, RR-1264, RR-2144, RR-2958, RR-0212, RR-1046, RR-0311, RR-3252, RR-0130, RR-2571, RR-2924, RR-2639, RR-4600, RR-3017, RR-2369, RR-4911, RR-2029, RR-3758, RR-0009, RR-3010, RR-2765,	General Concern	Respondents expressed general concern about disruption during the construction phase.	The likely significant effects of the Scheme during the construction phase are assessed throughout the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265], including effects on traffic and transport, noise and vibration, air quality, landscape and visual amenity, ecology, and local communities. Where likely significant effects are identified, appropriate mitigation measures are incorporated into the Scheme. Measures to limit disruption during construction are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]. Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to construction, a CEMP must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. That CEMP must be substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277], and all construction works associated must be carried out in accordance with the approved CEMP.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3333, RR-1994, RR-2653, RR-1748, RR-1152, RR-3046, RR-3477, RR-3203, RR-3962, RR-0845, RR-0200, RR-2651, RR-1068, RR-4342, RR-3837, RR-1179, RR-1344, RR-2035, RR-4583, RR-0222, RR-3515, RR-4252, RR-0419, RR-3759, RR-4580, RR-1489, RR-4283, RR-4338, RR-2817, RR-2073, RR-4165, RR-4239, RR-3967, RR-0591, RR-2371, RR-2408, RR-4666, RR-0069, RR-1993, RR-1560, RR-4435, RR-3028, RR-2418, RR-3179, RR-0610, RR-0040, RR-2389, RR-4144, RR-0807, RR-4733, RR-0458, RR-2645, RR-4284, RR-1202, RR-4569, RR-0780, RR-0830, RR-2096, RR-1415, RR-1085, RR-0820, RR-4854, RR-4813, RR-4418, RR-1309, RR-4940, RR-0045, RR-4737, RR-0274, RR-4380, RR-0477, RR-2374, RR-1563, RR-0179, RR-1010, RR-3737, RR-2025, RR-0400, RR-3111, RR-0771, RR-1043, RR-3062, RR-1388, RR-4302, RR-2130, RR-1726, RR-1319, RR-2526, RR-3878, RR-4780, RR-1976, RR-4246, RR-2879, RR-2528, RR-1492, RR-1342, RR-1964, RR-3140, RR-0895, RR-2100, RR-1148, RR-2278, RR-3993, RR-4888, RR-0308, RR-3662, RR-0038, RR-0108, RR-1469, RR-2928, RR-1703, RR-0613, RR-2675, RR-0204,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3780, RR-3298, RR-3954, RR-4233, RR-2719, RR-4094, RR-4290, RR-2372, RR-4643, RR-1688, RR-3202, RR-0059, RR-3397, RR-0113, RR-4002, RR-1375, RR-1950, RR-0629, RR-0052, RR-2081, RR-0729, RR-3142, RR-2704, RR-0060, RR-2744, RR-1626, RR-0497, RR-3071, RR-1729, RR-1321, RR-1934, RR-0498, RR-1141, RR-4679, RR-1888, RR-0122, RR-4597, RR-3629, RR-1159, RR-3160, RR-1373, RR-1193, RR-2314, RR-1995, RR-0788, RR-4079, RR-4596, RR-2234, RR-3697, RR-1495, RR-0921, RR-1182, RR-3347, RR-0923, RR-1629, RR-4201, RR-2549, RR-3155, RR-0187, RR-4861, RR-3096, RR-1234, RR-4331, RR-3497, RR-2383, RR-3290, RR-3999, RR-4836, RR-2011, RR-3976, RR-2105, RR-2665, RR-1846, RR-0534, RR-4853, RR-1017, RR-3227, RR-3600, RR-2780, RR-3685, RR-3798, RR-0301, RR-0101, RR-0107, RR-0866, RR-3147, RR-0173, RR-2156, RR-4266, RR-1356, RR-4936, RR-2213, RR-4190, RR-2353, RR-4337, RR-4792, RR-2758, RR-1062, RR-2709, RR-0415, RR-0399, RR-3266, RR-4306, RR-3390, RR-3509, RR-3102, RR-4642, RR-1771, RR-0911, RR-1981, RR-1809, RR-3080, RR-1649, RR-1602,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2221, RR-1940, RR-4732, RR-2449, RR-1745, RR-3172, RR-0839, RR-4915, RR-2575, RR-4004, RR-2518, RR-4661, RR-2475, RR-1006, RR-2544, RR-2052, RR-3704, RR-1154, RR-0596, RR-1185, RR-4085, RR-2648, RR-0844, RR-1766, RR-2155, RR-1821, RR-3459, RR-1804, RR-0980, RR-3924, RR-2152, RR-0438, RR-4539, RR-4616, RR-3622, RR-3419, RR-2002, RR-4247, RR-0838, RR-2468, RR-0599, RR-3085, RR-3420, RR-0268, RR-2093, RR-0466, RR-1648, RR-2386, RR-2497, RR-1857, RR-2480, RR-3406, RR-0354, RR-3817, RR-0782, RR-3984, RR-3188, RR-0653, RR-1067, RR-4424, RR-0429, RR-4403, RR-0967, RR-2010, RR-0954, RR-4095, RR-4372, RR-0522, RR-0340, RR-4311, RR-1684, RR-0892, RR-3466, RR-1368, RR-3513, RR-3382, RR-4134, RR-0138, RR-2022, RR-1819, RR-4904, RR-0234, RR-4375, RR-2071, RR-2847, RR-0247, RR-3657, RR-1868, RR-3214, RR-2167, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-3023, RR-2123, RR-3460, RR-3587, RR-2077, RR-1932, RR-2488, RR-2871, RR-1345, RR-4917, RR-0774, RR-3562, RR-0794, RR-0854, RR-1247, RR-3533, RR-0611, RR-1590, RR-3409, RR-2784, RR-4906, RR-0307,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2612, RR-3614, RR-1366, RR-4142, RR-3230, RR-2845, RR-0623, RR-0717, RR-3463, RR-4202			
CD-04	RR-3955, RR-3417, RR-3917, RR-2202, RR-2631, RR-0428, RR-1829, RR-2211, RR-3548, RR-2874, RR-4706, RR-1730, RR-2232, RR-1769, RR-3873, RR-3691, RR-3175, RR-3721, RR-3429, RR-3258, RR-2144, RR-0311, RR-2571, RR-2639, RR-3997, RR-4544, RR-0997, RR-4690, RR-3109, RR-4342, RR-2587, RR-3783, RR-1395, RR-4694, RR-0090, RR-2538, RR-4851, RR-4283, RR-4338, RR-3787, RR-0132, RR-2073, RR-4239, RR-3967, RR-0591, RR-2079, RR-1673, RR-4269, RR-3957, RR-1954, RR-1181, RR-1822, RR-2177, RR-4605, RR-4931, RR-2548, RR-1085, RR-0820, RR-0003, RR-2652, RR-1389, RR-1899, RR-4362, RR-0274, RR-0940, RR-1010, RR-2848, RR-3111, RR-4302, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-0728, RR-2417, RR-2171, RR-1726, RR-1319, RR-3788, RR-4842, RR-3689, RR-3366, RR-3332, RR-1725, RR-1492, RR-2771, RR-2121, RR-2601, RR-3156, RR-1540, RR-3295, RR-3801, RR-3196, RR-3862, RR-3142, RR-2744, RR-1729, RR-4214,	Cable Route	Respondents expressed concerns about the construction of the cable route. Some respondents specified concern for disruption to the M4 and/or Great Western Railway and/or nearby properties. Some respondents expressed concern for the width and/or depth of the cable trench.	The Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] assesses the cable route within defined parameters, including limits on working width and trench dimensions of up to 1.6 m wide by 1.2 m deep for On-Site Cables, 1.7 m wide by 2 m deep Grid Connection Cables, and 7 m wide by 2 m deep for Interconnecting Cables (the latter includes separation distances where multiple cables are running in parallel). These parameters for trench dimensions are identified within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] and represent a reasonable worst-case scenario to ensure the assessment reported in the ES captures the likely significant effects associated with the cable route. As set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 3-2: Cable Route Construction Method Statement [APP-183], a number of Avoidance Areas have been identified, including at the M4 and Great Western Railway, where non-intrusive installation methods will be used to avoid direct impacts (refer to ES Volume 2, Figure 3-2 [APP-082]). The non-intrusive installation methods may comprise a number of trenchless

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0536, RR-3629, RR-3160, RR-2796, RR-1995, RR-1210, RR-1189, RR-4129, RR-0923, RR-2953, RR-4207, RR-2011, RR-1846, RR-3458, RR-0486, RR-0768, RR-3317, RR-2405, RR-1647, RR-0784, RR-0595, RR-4792, RR-4306, RR-1360, RR-4440, RR-4929, RR-0618, RR-4732, RR-2284, RR-2749, RR-2159, RR-3189, RR-4341, RR-2228, RR-4396, RR-4003, RR-4539, RR-0028, RR-0838, RR-0599, RR-1648, RR-4549, RR-3817, RR-1067, RR-4713, RR-0967, RR-4007, RR-2395, RR-3922, RR-4095, RR-0201, RR-3277, RR-0630, RR-3513, RR-2725, RR-0138, RR-3926, RR-4623, RR-2176, RR-3023, RR-3460, RR-1932, RR-2488, RR-4566, RR-0611, RR-3603, RR-2830, RR-2845, RR-1947			techniques (refer to Appendix 3-2: Cable Route Construction Method Statement [APP-183]). Any works relating to trenchless techniques will be undertaken in line with a Method Statement. These commitments are secured via the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]. Strict adherence to the Method Statement will be required when undertaking HDD, with any changes requiring approval from the Contractors Project Manager and documented risk assessments. The Method Statement will cover project details, equipment setup, drilling procedures, and the handling of drilling fluids, emphasizing adherence to safety measures and risk assessments. The construction of the cable route has been assessed throughout the ES [APP-052 to APP-265], which considers the effects associated with these works, including nearby residential properties. Measures to manage and minimise construction effects, including reinstatement of land following installation, are set out in the Outline CEMP [APP-277]. Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 to the Draft DCO [APP-016] secures that prior to construction, a CEMP must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that CEMP must be substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277]. All construction

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				works will be carried out in accordance with the approved CEMP. The detailed design and construction of the cable route will be undertaken within the parameters set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] assessed within ES [APP-052 to APP-265]. The detailed design of the cable route will also be in accordance with Schedule 15 of the Draft Development Consent Order [APP-016] which sets out protective provisions agreed with National Highways and Network Rail to protect their assets.
CD-05	RR-2324, RR-2221	Construction Workers	Respondents expressed concern about construction workers littering.	Measures to manage and minimise construction effects are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]. The Outline CEMP [APP-277] stipulates that the Considerate Constructors Scheme (CCS) will be adopted to assist in reducing pollution and nuisance from the Scheme, by employing good practice measures which go beyond statutory compliance. The CCS includes specific provisions to control litter associated with construction activity. Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to construction, a CEMP must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that CEMP must be

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277] . All construction works will be carried out in accordance with the approved CEMP.
CD-06	RR-2364, RR-4712, RR-2831, RR-3497, RR-4936, RR-4410	Construction Phase	Respondent expressed concern about the length of the construction phase.	The Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] identifies the parameters used for the environmental impact assessment within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055], including a 24-month construction phase, which is standard practice across schemes of a similar scale. The construction phase has been assessed by each technical ES chapter [APP-059 – APP-072]. Where necessary and appropriate, the technical chapter also sets out mitigation measures that would be implemented as part of the Scheme to address the effects identified. A summary of the identified significant residual construction effects for each topic is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 22: Summary of Residual Effects [APP-074]. Measures to manage and minimise construction effects are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan [APP-277]. Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 to the Draft DCO [APP-016] secures that prior to construction, a CEMP must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that CEMP must be substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277]. All construction

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				works will be carried out in accordance with the approved CEMP.
CD-07	RR-2174	Management Plans	Respondent expressed that construction methodology does not appear to be based on detailed plans.	The full extent or 'worse case' effects arising from the Scheme are identified and reported in the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265]. The ES has been undertaken adopting the principles set out in the Planning Inspectorate's Advice Note Nine: Rochdale Envelope. The advice note acknowledges there may be aspects of the Scheme design that are not yet fixed and, therefore, it may be necessary for the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) to assess likely worst-case variations to ensure all foreseeable significant environmental effects of the Scheme are considered. Considering a reasonable worst case is standard practice for EIA in the UK. Each technical chapter [APP-059 to APP-072] has assessed the design identified to be the likely worst-case scenario for that discipline in order to determine effect significance. Where necessary and appropriate, the technical chapter also sets out mitigation measures that would be implemented as part of the Scheme to address the effects identified. Measures to manage and minimise effects are set out in the outline management plans [APP-277 to APP-287] for the Scheme. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed management

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				plans, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring that effects associated with the Scheme are appropriately controlled.
CD-08	RR-1060	Construction Workers	Respondent stated that there could be up to 622 workers on site per day.	The Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] identifies the parameters used for the environmental impact assessment within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] , including the construction phase work force as estimated by an Engineering, Procurement and Construction contractor and collective experience from the Applicant team. The construction phase has been assessed by each technical ES chapter [APP-059 to APP-072] . Of particular relevance to the assessment of effects of construction workers are ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] , ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] , and ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] . Where necessary and appropriate, the technical chapters also set out mitigation measures that would be implemented as part of the Scheme to address the effects identified. The assessments referenced find no significant adverse effects from construction workers, such as on highway movements during construction [APP-065] , impacts on population size, employment, economic

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				prosperity, or access to accommodation [APP-068], or in respect of impacts on population health characteristics or access to healthcare services [APP-070]. Measures to manage and minimise construction effects are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]. Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to construction, a CEMP must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that CEMP must be substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277]. All construction works will be carried out in accordance with the approved CEMP.
CD-09	RR-0917	Construction Workers	Respondent expressed concern that many IGP workers will be from foreign countries, and asked what DBS checks and safeguards are being provided for local residents.	Where inbound workers are required, such as during construction, these may be contracted to workers who are UK nationals, or non-UK nationals. Subject to eligibility for those who are non-UK workers to work in the UK (such as having correct working visas, if required), it is not anticipated that further DBS checks would be required due to the nature of construction work on the Scheme. Governmental DBS eligibility guidance (available at: www.gov.uk/government/collections/dbs-eligibility-guidance) does not show that construction or engineering workers are required to undertake DBS checks;

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				however, the construction contractor(s) may perform basic DBS check if they require it. No further safeguards are considered necessary for local residents.
CD-10	RR-0540	Management Plans	Respondent expressed concern regarding the planning of the Scheme due to critical plans on construction, noise and pollution not being finalised to an acceptable standard until after the consent of the proposal.	The full extent or 'worst-case' effects arising from the Scheme are identified and reported in the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265]. The ES has been undertaken adopting the principles set out in the Planning Inspectorate's Advice Note Nine: Rochdale Envelope. The advice note acknowledges there may be aspects of the Scheme design that are not yet fixed and, therefore, it may be necessary for the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) to assess likely worst-case variations to ensure all foreseeable significant environmental effects of the Scheme are considered. Considering a reasonable worst case is standard practice for EIA in the UK. The Rochdale Envelope approach allows applicants to define a design envelope (i.e. the parameters set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055]) to assess a reasonable worst case so that detailed design can evolve within that envelope. Mitigation measures to control construction dust, vehicle emissions and plant emissions are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], which includes measures such as dust suppression and site management

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				controls. Additional measures to control construction vehicle emissions are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287], which includes restrictions on construction traffic routing and timing. The Outline CEMP [APP-277] also includes numerous best practice measures to avoid significant effects from construction noise and vibration including control of noise at source, screening, prior warning of potential noisy works and a monitoring programme to be agreed with Wiltshire Council. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed CEMP and CTMP, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through requirements in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that any construction impacts are appropriately controlled throughout the construction of the Scheme.
CD-11	RR-3357, RR-3230	Construction Workers	Respondent expressed concern regarding construction workers using local facilities such as hospitals and GPs.	Impacts to local healthcare provisions have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] under the assessment receptor "health and social care services". The Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] contains specific mitigation to support construction workers in finding and registering with GPs across the Wider Baseline Study Area in

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				reasonable proximity to their temporary or full-time accommodation and where such GP surgeries have reasonable capacity to take on additional patients. Impacts on hospitals and emergency services are not anticipated to be more than negligible, albeit the assessment identifies the wider healthcare system itself is more vulnerable to increased demand for emergency access. Subject to mitigation, the anticipated impact on healthcare services is likely to result in a residual short- to medium-term temporary minor/negligible adverse effect on human health (which is not significant).
CD-12	RR-3517, RR-2488	Cable Route	Respondent expressed concern regarding the wide trenches to accommodate the cable route.	The Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] identifies the parameters used for the environmental impact assessment within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055], including the construction trenches within the cable route corridor, which are standard practice for installations of this nature. The construction phase has been assessed by each technical ES chapter [APP-059 to APP-072]. Of particular relevance are ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], ES Volume 1, Chapter 10: Arboriculture [APP-062], and ES volume 1, Chapter 17 Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] which conclude significant effects associated with the installation of trenches within the cable route corridor following the implementation

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				of mitigation. Effects associated vegetation management along the cable route corridor ([APP-061] and [APP-062]) will be minimised by optimally locating the cable trenches during detailed design and by hedgerow planting implemented as part of the Scheme. Measures to manage and minimise construction effects for the Cable Route Corridor are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]. Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to construction, a CEMP must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that CEMP must be substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277]. All construction works will be carried out in accordance with the approved CEMP.
CD-13	RR-0491	Construction Phase	Respondent expressed scepticism that the construction period will really be 2 years in length, suggests the technical complexity of the Scheme means it should be expected to take longer to construct.	A 24-month construction programme has been calculated as a worst case for the construction of the Scheme based on industry knowledge. A 24-month construction programme is standard practice for schemes of a similar scale. The Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] identifies the parameters used for the environmental impact assessment within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055],

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				including a 24-month construction programme. The construction phase has been assessed by each technical ES chapter [APP-059 – APP-072]. Where necessary and appropriate, the technical chapter also sets out mitigation measures that would be implemented as part of the Scheme to address the effects identified. Measures to manage and minimise construction effects are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], along with the estimated 24-month construction phase. Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to construction, a CEMP must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that CEMP must be substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277]. All construction works will be carried out in accordance with the approved CEMP.
CD-14	RR-4539	Construction Phase	Respondent enquired how long it would take from the start of construction to the Scheme generating electricity.	The Applicant expects this time frame to be two years. ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] sets out that the Scheme will be operational in 2029, assuming construction commences in 2027.
CD-15	RR-0989	Management Plans	Respondent expressed concern regarding the insufficient detail regarding the installation of the Scheme.	The full extent or 'worse case' effects arising from the Scheme identified and reported in the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] . The ES has been undertaken adopting the principles

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				set out in the Planning Inspectorate's Advice Note Nine: Rochdale Envelope. The advice note acknowledges there may be aspects of the Scheme design that are not yet fixed and, therefore, it may be necessary for the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) to assess likely worst-case variations to ensure all foreseeable significant environmental effects of the Scheme are considered. Considering a reasonable worst case is standard practice for EIA in the UK. Each technical chapter [APP-059 to APP-072] has assessed the design identified to be the likely worst-case scenario for that discipline in order to determine effect significance. Where necessary and appropriate, the technical chapter also sets out mitigation measures that would be implemented as part of the Scheme to address the effects identified. The detailed design and construction of the Scheme will be undertaken within the parameters set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055]. Measures to manage and minimise effects are set out in the outline management plans [APP-277 to APP-287] for the Scheme. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed management plans, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through Schedule 2 of the Draft Development

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring that effects associated with the Scheme are appropriately controlled.
CD-16	RR-2304	Construction Phase	Respondents expressed concern regarding the proposals for the cable route involving tunnelling under the M4.	As set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 3-2: Cable Route Construction Method Statement [APP-183] , a number of Avoidance Areas have been identified, including at the M4, where non-intrusive installation methods will be used to avoid direct impacts (refer to ES Volume 2, Figure 3-2: Key Construction Phase Features [APP-082]). The non-intrusive installation methods may comprise a number of trenchless techniques (refer to ES Volume 3, Appendix 3-2: Cable Route Construction Method Statement [APP-183]). Any works relating to trenchless techniques will be undertaken in line with a Method Statement. This commitment is secured via the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] . Strict adherence to the Method Statement will be required when undertaking HDD with any changes requiring approval from the Contractors Project Manager and documented risk assessments. The Method Statement will cover project details, equipment setup, drilling procedures, and the handling of drilling fluids, emphasizing adherence to safety measures and risk assessments.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Measures to manage and minimise construction effects, including reinstatement of land following installation, are set out in the Outline CEMP [APP-277]. Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to construction, a CEMP must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that CEMP must be substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277]. All construction work will be carried out in accordance with the approved CEMP. The detailed design of the cable route will also be in accordance with Schedule 15 of the Draft DCO [APP-016] which sets out protective provisions agreed with National Highways to protect their assets, such as the M4.
CD-17	RR-3796, RR-4799, RR-2572, RR-3818, RR-3364, RR-2651, RR-3837, RR-2073, RR-0591, RR-1603, RR-2389, RR-4144, RR-0830, RR-0045, RR-4737, RR-2100, RR-1617, RR-3433, RR-3030, RR-1665, RR-1990, RR-3290, RR-2780, RR-0491, RR-1745, RR-0891, RR-1185, RR-3388, RR-2871, RR-1032	General Concern	Respondents expressed general concern regarding the operation and maintenance phase of the Scheme. Some respondents expressed concern regarding how the Scheme would be managed over its operational lifetime.	The operation and maintenance of the Scheme have been assessed throughout the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] which considers operational effects across relevant topic areas. As part of the assessment, appropriate mitigation measures are incorporated into the Scheme and secured through the DCO and associated management plans. The framework for managing environmental effects during the operational phase is set out in the Outline

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278] which identifies how commitments made in the ES [APP-052 to APP-265] will be implemented during operation and maintenance, including control of lighting, operational traffic, noise, air quality, landscape and ecological management, soil protection, water management and community liaison. It also sets out monitoring and reporting arrangements to ensure mitigation measures remain effective throughout the operational life of the Scheme. The detailed OEMP will be prepared substantially in accordance with the Outline OEMP [APP-278] and must be submitted to and approved by the relevant local planning authority prior to the commencement of operation. This requirement is secured through Requirement 14 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. Regarding the management of the Scheme during its operational lifetime, section 3.5 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] sets out measures for general operational maintenance stating that:- <i>"During operation, other than in the context of a programme of replacement, activity on the Solar PV Sites would be restricted principally to vegetation management,</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				<i>equipment maintenance and servicing, ad hoc replacement and renewal of any components that fail or reach the end of their lifespan, periodic fence inspection, vegetation management along accesses, permissive paths and landscape ecological mitigation maintenance, and monitoring to ensure the continued effective operation of the Scheme". Section 3.5.4 then goes on to say that "Along the Cable Route Corridor, operational activity will consist of routine inspections and any reactive maintenance such as where a cable has been damaged".</i>
CD-18	RR-0208, RR-0042, RR-3275, RR-4539, RR-4825	Maintenance	Respondent expressed that there is insufficient detail on the frequency and nature of maintenance activities.	The Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] identifies the parameters used for the environmental impact assessment within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055], including in Section 3.5 the frequency and nature of maintenance activities during the operation and maintenance phase. The operation and maintenance phase has been assessed by each technical ES chapter [APP-059 – APP-072]. Where necessary and appropriate, the technical chapter also sets out mitigation measures that would be implemented as part of the Scheme to address the effects identified. Notably, section 3.5 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] sets out measures for general operational maintenance in Section 3.5.3:- <i>"During operation, other than in the context of a</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				<i>programme of replacement, activity on the Solar PV Sites would be restricted principally to vegetation management, equipment maintenance and servicing, ad hoc replacement and renewal of any components that fail or reach the end of their lifespan, periodic fence inspection, vegetation management along accesses, permissive paths and landscape ecological mitigation maintenance, and monitoring to ensure the continued effective operation of the Scheme”, and Section 3.5.4: “Along the Cable Route Corridor, operational activity will consist of routine inspections and any reactive maintenance such as where a cable has been damaged”.</i> Measures to manage and minimise effects associated with the operation and maintenance phase are set out in the Outline Environmental Management Plan [APP-278]. Requirement 14 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to the operation of the Scheme, an OEMP must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that OEMP must be substantially in accordance with the Outline OEMP [APP-278]. The operational environmental management plan must be implemented as approved.
CD-19	RR-4379	Operation	Respondent expressed concern regarding faults during operation, which could	The Applicant confirms that minor, isolated faults can occur during the operational lifetime of a solar scheme. Keeping the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			stop the generating power of the Scheme.	Scheme from entering fault conditions during operation or returning the system to normal operational conditions, post-fault, is important. As set out in the ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055], measures taken include the use of switchgear, which is the combination of electrical disconnect switches, fuses, circuit breakers used to control, protect and isolate electrical equipment. Switchgear is used both to de-energise equipment to allow work to be done and to clear any faults. All large-scale generators must be capable of maintaining their own synchronicity with the system to a high level of reliability. Furthermore, Section 3.5 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] also sets out measures for general operational maintenance in Section 3.5.3: <i>“During operation, other than in the context of a programme of replacement, activity on the Solar PV Sites would be restricted principally to vegetation management, equipment maintenance and servicing, ad hoc replacement and renewal of any components that fail or reach the end of their lifespan, periodic fence inspection, vegetation management along accesses, permissive paths and landscape ecological mitigation maintenance, and monitoring to ensure the continued effective operation of the Scheme, and Section 3.5.4: Along the Cable Route Corridor, operational activity</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				<i>will consist of routine inspections and any reactive maintenance such as where a cable has been damaged."</i> Finally, the Statement of Need [APP-266] also sets out ways in which the scheme can benefit wider network operational faults in Section 7.4.9: "<i>Additionally, the inclusion of BESS as part of the Scheme will provide NESO with a cost-effective tool to help manage power flows on the NETS in the vicinity of the Scheme over its operational life. For example, extreme weather conditions such as concurrent high wind and high solar irradiation, or unplanned power station failures may require NESO to balance supply with demand either locally near Melksham or nationally. Minimising these in a cost-effective way would be to the commercial benefit of consumers."</i>
CD-20	RR-4508	Maintenance	Respondent expressed concern regarding the inadequacy of local infrastructure to support the maintenance of the site.	The Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] identifies the parameters used for the environmental impact assessment within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055], including in Section 3.5 the frequency and nature of maintenance activities during the operation and maintenance phase. The operation and maintenance phase has been assessed by each technical ES chapter [APP-059 – APP-072]. Where necessary and appropriate, the technical chapter also sets out mitigation measures

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				that would be implemented as part of the Scheme to address the effects identified. Of particular relevance to the assessment of effects on local infrastructure are ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065], ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socioeconomics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068], and ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070]. During the operation and maintenance phase of the Scheme, impacts on local social and health infrastructure are largely limited due to the modest scale of employment and onsite works on the Scheme during normal operation and regular maintenance. During peak replacement activities, onsite works will be no more intensive than during construction. The assessment in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socioeconomics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] finds no residual significant effects during the operation and maintenance phase of the Scheme or during peak replacement activities, with respect to impacts on employment, accommodation, or tourism and recreation infrastructure such as PROWs or key attractions or venues. Likewise, the assessment in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] finds no residual significant effects during the operation and maintenance phase of the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Scheme or during peak replacement activities, with respect to impacts on access to open space, transport connectivity, or access to healthcare services (as the latter was scoped out of assessment due to minimal likelihood of adverse effects). Measures to manage and minimise effects associated with the operation and maintenance phase are set out in the Outline Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278]. Requirement 14 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to the operation of the Scheme, an OEMP must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that OEMP must be substantially in accordance with the Outline OEMP [APP-278]. The operational environmental management plan must be implemented as approved. Furthermore, measures to improve education and skills infrastructure throughout the operational phase of the Scheme are supported through the measures in the Outline Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan [APP-285]. The provision of a detailed Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority is secured through Requirement 18 of Schedule 2 to the Draft DCO [APP-016].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CD-21	RR-3275	Maintenance	Respondent stated that information about replacing PV panels was not included in the Environmental Statement.	Section 3.5 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] sets out the frequency and nature of works to replace Solar PV Panels. The ES [APP-052 to APP-265] uses the parameters set within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055], including Solar PV Panel replacement, for the environmental impact assessment. The operation and maintenance phase has been assessed by each technical ES chapter [APP-059 – APP-072]. Where necessary and appropriate, the technical chapter also sets out mitigation measures that would be implemented as part of the Scheme to address the effects identified. Elements of the activities during the replacement programme would be similar to those carried out during construction. Where mitigation has been identified in the ES to mitigate construction effects is applicable to the replacement programme, these have been repeated in Section 3 of the Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278]. Requirement 14 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to the operation of the Scheme, an OEMP must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that OEMP must be substantially in accordance with the Outline OEMP [APP-278]. The

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				operational environmental management plan must be implemented as approved.
CD-22	RR-2572, RR-3504, RR-2565, RR-3364, RR-1611, RR-2100, RR-1617, RR-3071, RR-3497, RR-2780, RR-0301, RR-1745, RR-1445, RR-2644, RR-1706	General Concern	Respondents expressed general concern about the decommissioning of the Scheme and / or disruption during the decommissioning phase.	The decommissioning of the Scheme has been assessed within the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] which considers the effects associated with decommissioning activities. As part of the assessment, appropriate mitigation measures are incorporated into the Scheme. The information is provided in accordance with the requirements of Part 1 of Schedule 4 of the EIA Regulations 2017 (as amended). Schedule 4 of the EIA Regulations 2017 (as amended) sets out the information for inclusion in an ES. ES Volume 1, Chapter 1: Introduction [APP-053] Table 1-2 identifies where the requirements of Schedule 4 of the EIA Regulations 2017 (as amended) have been addressed. At the end of the Scheme's operational life, all Solar PV Sites would be decommissioned and the land restored to its original use and condition as far as practicable. There will be opportunities for the retention of a range of biodiversity improvements, including established habitats, hedgerows and woodland, beyond the decommissioning of the Scheme, ultimately enhancing the ecological value of the area.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Measures to manage and minimise disruption during decommissioning are set out in the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279]. This explains how mitigation measures identified in the ES [APP-052 to APP-265] will be implemented during decommissioning and includes controls for working hours, noise, lighting, traffic management, pollution prevention, waste management and community liaison. The Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] also confirms that decommissioning activities will be undertaken in phases over an anticipated 12–24-month period, with measures to coordinate traffic movements, control noise and vibration in accordance with best practicable means and manage temporary lighting to minimise effects on nearby receptors. All land will be returned to the landowner as quickly as is practicable after decommissioning. A detailed Decommissioning Strategy will be prepared substantially in accordance with the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] and must be submitted to and approved by the relevant local planning authority prior to the commencement of decommissioning. This is secured through Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CD-23	RR-4817, RR-2293, RR-4493, RR-4501, RR-4952, RR-3599, RR-1240, RR-0208, RR-1332, RR-0544, RR-4951, RR-1979, RR-0878, RR-4074, RR-2134, RR-2062, RR-1003, RR-4548, RR-3872, RR-3297, RR-2124, RR-4369, RR-4317, RR-2207, RR-0039, RR-3847, RR-0873, RR-4336, RR-0324, RR-1571, RR-3911, RR-2139, RR-2144, RR-1107, RR-3364, RR-4466, RR-0636, RR-1674, RR-0799, RR-3997, RR-4544, RR-2636, RR-0267, RR-3760, RR-2136, RR-0845, RR-3966, RR-4299, RR-4690, RR-0276, RR-4583, RR-4491, RR-1188, RR-3915, RR-2483, RR-3630, RR-4073, RR-2538, RR-4580, RR-1489, RR-3281, RR-2680, RR-2859, RR-4568, RR-2076, RR-2498, RR-2249, RR-1953, RR-1418, RR-1603, RR-3221, RR-1155, RR-0851, RR-0043, RR-2807, RR-0917, RR-1980, RR-1941, RR-0003, RR-4787, RR-1022, RR-2027, RR-0291, RR-0477, RR-4092, RR-0876, RR-4526, RR-4382, RR-4302, RR-0160, RR-0042, RR-2736, RR-2888, RR-2087, RR-1740, RR-2526, RR-2409, RR-4855, RR-3511, RR-2741, RR-4902, RR-2199, RR-2879, RR-2528, RR-2629, RR-4333, RR-3890, RR-1978,	Managem nt Plans Decommiss ioning Phase	Respondents requested further information about the decommissioning phase and/or expressed that insufficient detail had been provided. Some respondents request furthered information on how the land will be returned to its previous state.	The decommissioning of the Scheme has been assessed within the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] which considers the likely significant effects associated with decommissioning activities. Where likely significant effects are identified, appropriate mitigation measures are incorporated into the Scheme. As set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055], when the operation and maintenance phase ends, the Solar PV Sites would be decommissioned and the land returned to its original use and condition as far as practicable and returned to the landowner. All Solar PV Panels, mounting piles, cabling, inverters, transformers, switchgear, BESS Area, substations and access tracks would be removed from within the Solar PV Sites and recycled or disposed of in accordance with good practice and market conditions at that time. Post-decommissioning, the landowners would choose how the land is to be used and managed. The landowner may return all of the land to arable use, although it is likely that established habitats such as hedgerows and woodland would be retained given their potential benefits to agricultural land and the wider farming estate. Permissive paths would be

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4309, RR-0679, RR-0185, RR-1286, RR-0972, RR-1698, RR-1148, RR-2757, RR-0817, RR-4022, RR-0675, RR-1925, RR-4340, RR-2421, RR-3229, RR-2189, RR-0266, RR-0690, RR-1266, RR-1447, RR-3765, RR-0994, RR-1403, RR-3772, RR-4002, RR-0589, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-4044, RR-3187, RR-3324, RR-1661, RR-4304, RR-2872, RR-2695, RR-2436, RR-0122, RR-2313, RR-4786, RR-3752, RR-1919, RR-1379, RR-4201, RR-4331, RR-2054, RR-3497, RR-0435, RR-2383, RR-2490, RR-2011, RR-3976, RR-2665, RR-0793, RR-4177, RR-0540, RR-1657, RR-3811, RR-0784, RR-0918, RR-1162, RR-0595, RR-4279, RR-2195, RR-4938, RR-1198, RR-1981, RR-3213, RR-0334, RR-3094, RR-3066, RR-4915, RR-1535, RR-2575, RR-1082, RR-0152, RR-3075, RR-3704, RR-4672, RR-0149, RR-4630, RR-3280, RR-4697, RR-1199, RR-2624, RR-2644, RR-0697, RR-0599, RR-3085, RR-0989, RR-2090, RR-2842, RR-1476, RR-4458, RR-4748, RR-4748, RR-2976, RR-0782, RR-1906, RR-2740, RR-1758, RR-4857, RR-2022, RR-3476, RR-3835, RR-2847, RR-0247, RR-2110, RR-3070, RR-3408, RR-3650, RR-2952, RR-2840, RR-1938, RR-3452,			removed during decommissioning, with the precise timing to be determined by the contractor(s) and communicated to Wiltshire Council in accordance with the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279]. The mode of removing the Interconnecting Cables and Grid Connection Cables decommissioning would be dependent upon government policy and good practice at that time. Currently, the most environmentally acceptable option is considered to be leaving the cables in situ, as this avoids disturbance to overlying land and habitats and to neighbouring communities. Alternatively, the cables can be removed by opening up the ground at regular intervals and pulling the cable through to the extraction point, leaving the ducting and jointing bays in place, avoiding the need to open up the entire length of the cable route. Measures to manage and minimise disruption during decommissioning are set out in the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279]. This explains how mitigation measures identified in the ES [APP-052 to APP-265] will be implemented during decommissioning and includes controls for working hours, noise, lighting, traffic management, pollution prevention, waste management and community liaison.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3708, RR-3001, RR-1032, RR-0103, RR-3632			The Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] also confirms that decommissioning activities will be undertaken in phases over an anticipated 12–24-month period, with measures to coordinate traffic movements, control noise and vibration in accordance with best practicable means and manage temporary lighting to minimise effects on nearby receptors. A detailed Decommissioning Strategy will be prepared substantially in accordance with the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] and must be submitted to and approved by the relevant local planning authority prior to the commencement of decommissioning. This is secured through Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. All land will be returned to the landowner as quickly as is practicable after decommissioning.
CD-24	RR-3955, RR-1842, RR-1910, RR-4952, RR-0648, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-0502, RR-3074, RR-2345, RR-0778, RR-1332, RR-2476, RR-4951, RR-4074, RR-2983, RR-2560, RR-0332, RR-3548, RR-4537, RR-4819,	Land Restoration	Respondents expressed scepticism that land will be returned to its original use and/or to farmland after the Scheme has been decommissioned. Some respondents expressed this scepticism as ownership	The effects of decommissioning on soils and agricultural land are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069]. As stated in this chapter, as well as in Section 2 of the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] which is secured under Schedule 2, Requirement 20 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO)

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3355, RR-3253, RR-3002, RR-4685, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-4823, RR-2783, RR-1003, RR-4548, RR-1134, RR-2124, RR-1458, RR-1969, RR-3190, RR-4286, RR-4369, RR-1090, RR-4128, RR-2419, RR-0452, RR-2678, RR-1712, RR-3873, RR-0406, RR-4674, RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-2856, RR-3003, RR-3796, RR-2681, RR-0195, RR-0873, RR-1668, RR-3175, RR-4250, RR-2463, RR-4353, RR-3721, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-0592, RR-0467, RR-1278, RR-1215, RR-4098, RR-0328, RR-3590, RR-2139, RR-0071, RR-3653, RR-3400, RR-2565, RR-0311, RR-0391, RR-0598, RR-2555, RR-0215, RR-4700, RR-0642, RR-0009, RR-4775, RR-1152, RR-0481, RR-3760, RR-0058, RR-4187, RR-0537, RR-3962, RR-3124, RR-3966, RR-4299, RR-2651, RR-4690, RR-4735, RR-4101, RR-3783, RR-4583, RR-0090, RR-3759, RR-3630, RR-1253, RR-1989, RR-2680, RR-3904, RR-0765, RR-2230, RR-3945, RR-2498, RR-1435, RR-2249, RR-1471, RR-1418, RR-3557, RR-0083, RR-3446, RR-2026, RR-0293, RR-2389, RR-2484, RR-1100, RR-0807, RR-0786, RR-4733, RR-1326, RR-2702, RR-0458, RR-1520, RR-4125, RR-4209, RR-2443,		of the Scheme may have changed hands before the decommissioning phase. Some respondents expressed that the land should not be classified as brownfield following decommissioning.	[APP-016], all land will be returned to its original use and condition as far as practicable and returned to the landowner. Should the Scheme change ownership before the decommissioning phase, any new owner remains bound by the commitments and obligations set out within the DCO and associated management plans. The effect on agricultural land quality at decommissioning would be influenced by the extent of disturbance caused by the removal of the Solar PV Panels, for example the presence and dimensions of leftover voids or the depth of the remaining underground cables. Currently, the most environmentally acceptable option is to leave the cables in-situ, although the cables could be removed by excavating at regular intervals and pulling the cable through to the extraction point, thus avoiding the need to excavate the entire cable trench. In either case, the disturbance to the land and soil would be less than that likely to occur during the construction phase. Built aspects of the Scheme such as the BESS Area and substations are also subject to the proposal to return the land to its original use and condition, which is primarily agricultural land of Subgrade 3b quality, with some Subgrade 3a at the BESS Area.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0917, RR-0147, RR-1980, RR-0003, RR-4681, RR-3705, RR-3861, RR-2024, RR-0863, RR-4358, RR-0858, RR-0378, RR-1022, RR-0274, RR-2103, RR-2399, RR-3311, RR-3326, RR-2130, RR-2736, RR-2368, RR-4555, RR-0621, RR-3049, RR-1439, RR-1824, RR-2526, RR-1208, RR-3775, RR-2947, RR-4246, RR-0809, RR-2629, RR-1492, RR-2598, RR-4050, RR-3890, RR-3564, RR-4309, RR-3352, RR-1870, RR-3133, RR-0972, RR-4762, RR-2206, RR-0301, RR-0567, RR-4020, RR-1551, RR-2537, RR-4847, RR-2839, RR-0500, RR-0038, RR-0500, RR-4022, RR-0675, RR-0920, RR-2811, RR-1617, RR-3877, RR-0690, RR-0670, RR-3780, RR-2426, RR-3315, RR-3433, RR-3969, RR-3294, RR-4233, RR-3598, RR-0410, RR-3618, RR-0489, RR-0715, RR-3154, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-0359, RR-0052, RR-3699, RR-2857, RR-0729, RR-1423, RR-2885, RR-3016, RR-2298, RR-3187, RR-3324, RR-4120, RR-2654, RR-4267, RR-3083, RR-0723, RR-0497, RR-3857, RR-3071, RR-2328, RR-4785, RR-1544, RR-4679, RR-3072, RR-1373, RR-1193, RR-0227, RR-1995, RR-3986, RR-4928, RR-3832, RR-3961, RR-0652, RR-1379, RR-1871, RR-2149,			Measures to manage decommissioning and reinstatement are set out in the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279], which has been submitted as part of the DCO Application. The Decommissioning Strategy will include robust methodologies and control points to avoid contamination of the underlying land with physical or chemical contaminants and will be applied in conjunction with the Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) in order to promote successful reinstatement. A detailed Decommissioning Strategy will be prepared substantially in accordance with the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] and must be submitted to and approved by the relevant local planning authority prior to the commencement of decommissioning. This is secured through Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016]. In addition, the Applicant is seeking a time-limited consent for the Scheme to have an operational period of 60 years, with decommissioning to take place following cessation of operation. This is also secured by Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016]. The Applicant confirms that none of the land will be considered brownfield after decommissioning. The expectation is that

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2953, RR-3497, RR-2490, RR-0953, RR-2011, RR-3976, RR-2665, RR-0219, RR-2621, RR-0640, RR-2465, RR-0469, RR-4177, RR-0540, RR-1657, RR-0301, RR-1000, RR-4480, RR-3385, RR-0628, RR-1162, RR-2195, RR-0965, RR-0415, RR-4565, RR-3266, RR-4379, RR-1720, RR-0196, RR-1029, RR-3213, RR-0334, RR-1809, RR-2425, RR-3094, RR-0106, RR-1917, RR-0891, RR-2683, RR-3899, RR-1082, RR-3375, RR-2052, RR-0152, RR-3704, RR-1890, RR-1185, RR-4672, RR-0149, RR-2852, RR-0677, RR-3802, RR-3280, RR-3924, RR-1199, RR-3622, RR-2624, RR-2468, RR-0697, RR-0033, RR-3085, RR-2090, RR-2842, RR-2977, RR-0363, RR-2976, RR-2693, RR-3683, RR-2113, RR-1906, RR-2740, RR-4713, RR-0606, RR-4497, RR-3577, RR-1537, RR-0665, RR-4211, RR-1705, RR-0790, RR-2294, RR-3476, RR-1826, RR-4875, RR-3926, RR-4623, RR-2176, RR-2123, RR-2089, RR-0264, RR-2077, RR-2500, RR-4055, RR-0086, RR-0774, RR-3650, RR-3098, RR-2840, RR-3866, RR-1938, RR-3306, RR-3708, PDA-016, RR-1711			the land will return to farming, but the Applicant cannot dictate what will happen to the land post-decommissioning when the Applicant no longer has an interest in the land.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CD-25	RR-0648, RR-3917, RR-4138, RR-3565, RR-1358, RR-2185, RR-3161, RR-4537, RR-2074, RR-0743, RR-0012, RR-3947, RR-0621, RR-3187, RR-1661, RR-0862, RR-2275, RR-3497, RR-0848, RR-4177, RR-3266, RR-4379, RR-3102, RR-3394, RR-0196, RR-1771, RR-4742, RR-4366, RR-1809, RR-4148, RR-3651, RR-1649, RR-0106, RR-3172, RR-2475, RR-1836, RR-3704, RR-1185, RR-4488, RR-2468, RR-0603, RR-2976, RR-3683, RR-2113, RR-1906, RR-2847, RR-1826, RR-2391, RR-3421, RR-4573, RR-2488, RR-2008, RR-1782, RR-0352, RR-4438, RR-2602, RR-2724, RR-3361, RR-4543	Land Restoration	Respondents expressed concerns that there will be lasting, residual, or permanent harm to the landscape after decommissioning.	The Scheme is not permanent. The application is for a period of up to 60 years operational life, at which point the development would be required to be decommissioned. At decommissioning, agricultural fields would be returned to the landowner. As infrastructure is removed, there would be an overall benefit to the character of the area with landscape proposals retained providing long term benefit towards the legacy landscape. Following decommissioning, the Site would benefit from the significantly enhanced tree and hedgerow planting that has been carried out and has matured to create a much stronger and robust landscape, retaining, and enhancing the overall character and providing considerable biodiversity benefits over the years. Due to the development, the landscape would be left in a better condition than at present. This betterment is established as a consequence of the landscape proposals resulting in greater species variety, greater age depth, enhanced structure, resilience to pest and disease and reinforcement of local landscape character across the Sites. The Applicant recognises the importance of restoring the land following decommissioning and is committed to ensuring that appropriate measures are secured to achieve this.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				At the end of the Scheme's operational life, all Solar PV Sites would be decommissioned and the land restored to its original use and condition as far as practicable. There will be opportunities for the retention of a range of biodiversity improvements, including established habitats, hedgerows and woodland, beyond the decommissioning of the Scheme, ultimately enhancing the ecological value of the area. Measures to manage decommissioning and reinstatement are set out in the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279], which has been submitted as part of the DCO Application. The Decommissioning Strategy will include robust methodologies and control points to avoid contamination of the underlying land with physical or chemical contaminants and will be applied in conjunction with the Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) in order to promote successful reinstatement. A detailed Decommissioning Strategy will be prepared substantially in accordance with the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] and must be submitted to and approved by the relevant local planning authority prior to the commencement of decommissioning. This is secured through Requirement 20 in

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. In addition, the Applicant is seeking a time-limited consent for the Scheme to have an operational period of 60 years, with decommissioning to take place following cessation of operation. This is also secured by Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016].
CD-26	RR-4304, RR-4043, RR-1476, RR-4748, RR-2294, RR-4933	Land Restoration	Respondents expressed general support for restoring the land to its original use and/or suggested land should be restored to its original use.	The Applicant recognises the importance of restoring the land following decommissioning and is committed to ensuring that appropriate measures are secured to achieve this. The Applicant welcomes the support received in this regard. At the end of the Scheme's operational life, all Solar PV Sites would be decommissioned and the land restored to its original use and condition as far as practicable. There will be opportunities for the retention of a range of biodiversity improvements, including established habitats, hedgerows and woodland, beyond the decommissioning of the Scheme, ultimately enhancing the ecological value of the area. Measures to manage decommissioning and reinstatement are set out in the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279], which has been submitted as part of the DCO Application. The

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Decommissioning Strategy will include robust methodologies and control points to avoid contamination of the underlying land with physical or chemical contaminants and will be applied in conjunction with the Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) in order to promote successful reinstatement. A detailed Decommissioning Strategy will be prepared substantially in accordance with the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] and must be submitted to and approved by the relevant local planning authority prior to the commencement of decommissioning. This is secured through Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. In addition, the Applicant is seeking a time-limited consent for the Scheme to have an operational period of 60 years, with decommissioning to take place following cessation of operation. This is secured by Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016].
CD-27	RR-2293, RR-0648, RR-0208, RR-3510, RR-2364, RR-3548, RR-0126, RR-3858, RR-2874, RR-2348, RR-1073, RR-2220, RR-4369, RR-3873, RR-1893, RR-3975, RR-0233, RR-3063, RR-3700, RR-3911, RR-3400, RR-0311, RR-0391, RR-0271,	Funding	Respondents request further information about how the Scheme's decommissioning will be funded and/or ask who will be responsible. Some respondents asked if money will be put aside for	A requirement to decommission the Scheme is found in Requirement 20 of the Draft DCO [APP-016]; failing to comply with that requirement would be a criminal offence. The requirement to decommission the Scheme would sit with the owner at the time of decommissioning. Decommissioning is also covered in

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4150, RR-4600, RR-3758, RR-2400, RR-1930, RR-0267, RR-2136, RR-4491, RR-1863, RR-1415, RR-2027, RR-3311, RR-2257, RR-4794, RR-3104, RR-2736, RR-1726, RR-2527, RR-0232, RR-2409, RR-2410, RR-2199, RR-1318, RR-0679, RR-0185, RR-2537, RR-4888, RR-0817, RR-0500, RR-2421, RR-1617, RR-3381, RR-1266, RR-1403, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-3699, RR-3187, RR-4267, RR-3083, RR-2200, RR-1721, RR-4469, RR-3629, RR-1646, RR-0064, RR-3497, RR-0435, RR-0768, RR-0664, RR-0640, RR-0540, RR-0301, RR-3384, RR-4001, RR-4379, RR-1360, RR-3394, RR-4012, RR-3213, RR-1809, RR-2425, RR-3094, RR-3066, RR-1601, RR-0152, RR-3704, RR-4539, RR-4825, RR-3085, RR-1476, RR-4458, RR-0121, RR-4748, RR-2976, RR-1892, RR-1906, RR-4291, RR-0606, RR-4007, RR-2738, RR-2141, RR-3581, RR-4857, RR-2847, RR-3421, RR-4875, RR-1500, RR-2142, RR-4623, RR-3408, RR-3650, RR-1938, RR-3001, RR-3633		decommissioning if IGP go bankrupt. Some respondents expressed concern that taxpayers will have to cover the cost of decommissioning. Some respondents suggested that money should be set aside for Wiltshire Council and parish councils to use to clean up the site at the end of the project.	agreements with landowners, which includes decommissioning securities to cover the cost of decommissioning in the event of any breach by the Applicant or in the event of the insolvency of the Applicant. The amount of such decommissioning security is regularly re-valued throughout the lifecycle of the Scheme and topped up if necessary. As set out in the Funding Statement [APP-019] submitted with the DCO Application, the Applicant is committed to ensuring that appropriate financial provisions are in place to cover decommissioning costs at the end of the operational life of the Scheme. These arrangements ensure that the costs associated with removing the infrastructure and restoring the land are met by the Scheme and not by local authorities or the public.
CD-28	RR-2366, RR-3355, RR-0579, RR-1458, RR-2419, RR-4128, RR-2990, RR-3292, RR-0604, RR-1317, RR-2205, RR-1477,	Recycling	Respondents expressed scepticism that panels and other components of the Scheme will be recycled.	The Outline Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278] , secured by Schedule 2, Requirement 14 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , sets out the commitment of the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0746, RR-0592, RR-2263, RR-3720, RR-2577, RR-0799, RR-4735, RR-2198, RR-0090, RR-1944, RR-1685, RR-1277, RR-3514, RR-3331, RR-1765, RR-2443, RR-0800, RR-4787, RR-4923, RR-1855, RR-3104, RR-3511, RR-2821, RR-1148, RR-0817, RR-0369, RR-4233, RR-3196, RR-3699, RR-2081, RR-1672, RR-2466, RR-1373, RR-1379, RR-1875, RR-2972, RR-2621, RR-3317, RR-2780, RR-0376, RR-3385, RR-1753, RR-4867, RR-3266, RR-1337, RR-4366, RR-0334, RR-3005, RR-3094, RR-4915, RR-0891, RR-1497, RR-2256, RR-3807, RR-0835, RR-1804, RR-4958, RR-1156, RR-0121, RR-1906, RR-2740, RR-0162, RR-0018, RR-1701, RR-4857, RR-1507, RR-3421, RR-1500, RR-4954, RR-1778, RR-3070, RR-0032, RR-4203, RR-2952, RR-1938, RR-0352, RR-3001, RR-0544, RR-3324, RR-2972, RR-4177, RR-1906, RR-3001, RR-2602		Some respondents requested further information what will happen to the panels at the end of their lifespan.	Applicant to maximise recycling and reuse of Scheme components at the end of their life. Section 4 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072] sets out that, due to the market and policy trends, it is assumed that specialist regional or national facilities would be in place at the time of component replacement (e.g. solar panels and batteries and decommissioning), and these would be developed in response to demand generated by the UK-wide solar PV panel industry and would be reused, recycled, or recovered and not disposed of to landfill. Details of how the panels and Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) will be disposed of are provided within Section 4 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072], which states that recycling routes are generally available for component replacement waste at present, and it is likely that there will be even greater opportunities for recycling in the future, not least because the recycling market will have expanded to meet demand as solar PV installations increase.
CD-29	RR-1003, RR-1046, RR-2242, RR-3497, RR-2425, RR-3650	Decommissioning Plans	Respondent requested a ringfenced decommissioning bond.	A requirement to decommission the Scheme is found in Requirement 20 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] ; failing to comply with that requirement would be a criminal offence. The Applicant has produced an

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] which was submitted with the DCO Application. A final decommissioning plan will be prepared and agreed with the relevant authorities at that time of decommissioning and will include detailed measures and timescales. Decommissioning is also covered in agreements with landowners, which includes decommissioning securities to cover the cost of decommissioning in the event of any breach by the Applicant or in the event of the insolvency of the Applicant. The amount of such decommissioning security is regularly re-valued throughout the lifecycle of the Scheme and topped up if necessary to ensure the Scheme can be decommissioned and the land restored back to its existing use. Therefore, the Applicant deems it unnecessary to hold a separate ringfenced bond.
CD-32	RR-4503, RR-4673	General Concern	Respondent expressed concern that the developer will switch to overhead cables upon consent being granted.	Schedule 1 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] sets out the Authorised Development for which the Applicant seeks development consent. Schedule 1 of the Draft DCO [APP-016] does not include a description overhead cables. Therefore, should development consent be granted the Applicant would not be able to use overhead cables to connect the Scheme to the existing National Grid substation at Melksham. The Applicant is proposing to construct the connection between the solar park, BESS

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				and the existing National Grid substation by installing underground cables, as set out within ES Volume 1 Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] . The Applicant is not proposing to use pylons or overhead lines or seeking to change this approach at any stage.
CD-33	RR-3400, RR-3213	Decommissioning Plans	Respondent expressed concern regarding the decommissioning of the cable corridor.	The Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] identifies the parameters used for the environmental impact assessment within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] , including decommissioning of the cable corridor. ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] sets out that the mode of removing the Interconnecting Cables and Grid Connection Cables upon decommissioning would be dependent upon government policy and good practice at that time. Currently, the most environmentally acceptable option is considered to be leaving the cables in situ, as this avoids disturbance to overlying land and habitats and to neighbouring communities. Alternatively, the cables can be removed by opening up the ground at regular intervals and pulling the cable through to the extraction point, leaving the ducting and jointing bays in place, avoiding the need to open up the entire length of the cable route. The decommissioning of the cable route been assessed by each technical ES chapter [APP-059 – APP-072] and concludes that there would be no likely significant effects following the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				implementation of mitigation measures. Where necessary and appropriate, the technical chapter also sets out mitigation measures that would be implemented as part of the Scheme to address the effects identified. Mitigation measures include leaving buried cables in situ or pulling the cable through to the extraction point, avoiding the need to open up the entire length of the cable route; good practice management measures to prevent pollution incidents, minimise effects from noise and vibration, and prevent and minimise dust creation and air pollution to limit effects on local residence and ecology; manage traffic associated with the Scheme; and management of interfaces with Public Rights of Way to minimise impacts. Measures to manage and minimise effects associated with the decommissioning phase are set out in the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279]. Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to the decommissioning of the Scheme, a decommissioning plan must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that decommissioning plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279]. The decommissioning plan must be implemented as approved.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CD-34	RR-1471, RR-2368, RR-3463, RR-4765	Decommissioning Plans	Respondents noted that the Scheme could introduce permanent infrastructure, access roads, grid connections and substations on undeveloped greenfield land. They state that this could risk the site becoming permanently developed, allowing other developers to build homes or other infrastructure in this area.	As set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055], when the operation and maintenance phase ends, the Solar PV Sites would be decommissioned and the land returned to its original use and condition as far as practicable and returned to the landowner. All Solar PV Panels, mounting piles, cabling, inverters, transformers, switchgear, BESS Area, substations and access tracks would be removed from within the Solar PV Sites and recycled or disposed of in accordance with good practice and market conditions at that time. The commitment to remove infrastructure associated with the Scheme is set out in the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279]. Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to the decommissioning of the Scheme, a decommissioning plan must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that decommissioning plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279]. The decommissioning plan must be implemented as approved.
CD-35	RR-1315, RR-3497	Decommissioning Plans	Respondent stated that the lack of decommissioning plans is contradictory to NPPF 13.	Part 13 of the NPPF relates to protecting Green Belt land. The Scheme does not include any Green Belt land and therefore Part 13 is not relevant.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				As to decommissioning, a requirement to decommission the Scheme is found in Requirement 20 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] ; failing to comply with that requirement would be a criminal offence. The Applicant has produced an Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] which was submitted with the DCO Application. A final decommissioning plan will be prepared and agreed with the relevant authorities at that time of decommissioning and will include detailed measures and timescales.
CD-36	RR-4008	Decommissioning Plans Recycling	Respondent expressed concern with the inadequately short life span of the project equipment and large volume of waste on decommissioning.	The Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] identifies the parameters used for the environmental impact assessment within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055], including in Section 3.5 the assumed design life and replacement of project equipment during the operation and maintenance phase. During the anticipated 60-year operational life of the Scheme, it is expected that there will be requirements for periodic replacement of some of the electrical infrastructure. The design life of Scheme components is drawn from industry information for components commonly used within the UK. The operation and maintenance phase has been assessed by each technical ES chapter [APP-059 – APP-072]. Where necessary and appropriate, the technical chapter also sets out mitigation measures

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				that would be implemented as part of the Scheme to address the effects identified. Waste produced by the Scheme is specifically assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072] which concludes that with the implementation of mitigation like waste management in accordance with the waste hierarchy, there would be no significant effects associated with the replacement of Scheme components or following decommissioning. Measures to manage and minimise effects associated with waste are set out in the Outline Site Waste Management Plan [APP-281]. Requirement 19 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to the operation of the Scheme, a site waste management plan must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that site waste management plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline Site Waste Management Plan [APP-281]. The site waste management plan must be implemented as approved.
CD-37	RR-2242	Decommissioning Plans	Respondent noted that rewilding or full land restored after decommissioning is unrealistic without binding conditions, noting that long-term soil damage and waste issues are likely.	As set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] , when the operation and maintenance phase ends, the Solar PV Sites would be decommissioned and the land returned to its original use and condition as far as practicable and returned to the landowner.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				All Solar PV Panels, mounting piles, cabling, inverters, transformers, switchgear, BESS Area, substations and access tracks would be removed from within the Solar PV Sites and recycled or disposed of in accordance with good practice and market conditions at that time. The commitment to remove infrastructure associated with the Scheme is set out in the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279]. Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to the decommissioning of the Scheme, a decommissioning plan must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that decommissioning plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279]. The decommissioning plan must be implemented as approved. Waste produced by the Scheme and the impact of the Scheme on soils are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 17 Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] respectively. No significant effects as a result of the Scheme are anticipated for waste following the implementation of mitigation such as waste management in accordance with the waste hierarchy. Effects on soils as a result of the Scheme construction are

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				anticipated to be Moderate adverse which is significant. Construction effects on soils will be addressed through the implementation of a Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) (see details below) which will include measures such as handling or tracking soils that are in a dry and friable condition and ensuring topsoil and subsoils are handled separately when being stripped, stored and reinstated. Following the decommissioning of the Scheme, improvements to soil health, quality and structure from taking land out of intensive arable production would result in a temporary, moderate beneficial effect on soil resources, which is significant. Measures to manage and minimise effects associated with waste are set out in the Outline Site Waste Management Plan [APP-281]. Requirement 19 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order [APP-016] secures that prior to the operation of the Scheme, a site waste management plan must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that site waste management plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline Site Waste Management Plan [APP-281]. The site waste management plan must be implemented as approved.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Measures to control soil handling, storage and reinstatement are set out in the Outline SRMP [APP-280] , which is secured via Requirement 17 of the Draft DCO [APP-016] . The SRMP provides the framework for detailed soil management methods to be implemented during construction, operation and decommissioning, ensuring that soil structure, quality and function are protected and restored.
CD-38	RR-4379	Decommissioning Plans	Respondent asked what will happen to concreted areas during decommissioning.	As set out within Environmental Statement (ES) Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] , when the operation and maintenance phase ends, the Solar PV Sites would be decommissioned and the land returned to its original use and condition as far as practicable and returned to the landowner. All Solar PV Panels, mounting piles, cabling, inverters, transformers, switchgear, BESS Area, substations and access tracks would be removed from within the Solar PV Sites and recycled or disposed of in accordance with good practice and market conditions at that time. This includes removal of concreted areas. Foundations and other below ground infrastructure will be cut to 1.2 m below the surface to enable future ploughing or removed in accordance with best practice at the time. Piled foundations will be cut 1.2 m below ground level and capped with a reinforced concrete cap. Complete removal of piled foundations will be

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				avoided if possible so as not to create potential pathways to underlying groundwater resources or create structural deficiencies in the surrounding soils. Where piled foundations are completely removed, the methodology will take into account the type of pile used and the properties of the surrounding soils, and the resultant void will be immediately backfilled with an appropriate material such as bentonite slurry. The commitment to remove infrastructure associated with the Scheme is set out in the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279]. Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order [APP-016] secures that prior to the decommissioning of the Scheme, a decommissioning plan must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that decommissioning plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279]. The decommissioning plan must be implemented as approved.
CD-39	RR-3213	Decommissioning Plans	Respondent expressed concern regarding the cable route being left in place after the Scheme is decommissioned.	The Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] identifies the parameters used for the environmental impact assessment within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055], including decommissioning of the cable corridor. ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] sets out that mode of removing the Interconnecting Cables and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Grid Connection Cables upon decommissioning would be dependent upon government policy and good practice at that time. Currently, the most environmentally acceptable option is considered to be leaving the cables in situ, as this avoids disturbance to overlying land and habitats and to neighbouring communities. Alternatively, the cables can be removed by opening up the ground at regular intervals and pulling the cable through to the extraction point, leaving the ducting and jointing bays in place, avoiding the need to open up the entire length of the cable route. The decommissioning of the cable route, including assuming that the cables ducting and jointing bays will be left in situ, been assessed by each technical ES chapter [APP-059 – APP-072] which conclude no likely significant effects. Where necessary and appropriate, the technical chapter also sets out mitigation measures that would be implemented as part of the Scheme to address the effects associated with decommissioning the Scheme. Mitigation measures include good practice management measures to prevent pollution incidents, minimise effects from noise and vibration, and prevent and minimise dust creation and air pollution to limit effects on local residence and ecology; manage traffic associated with the Scheme; and management of interfaces

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				with Public Rights of Way to minimise impacts. Measures to manage and minimise effects associated with the decommissioning phase are set out in the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279]. Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to the decommissioning of the Scheme, a decommissioning plan must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that decommissioning plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279]. The decommissioning plan must be implemented as approved.
CD-40	RR-0782	Decommissioning Plans	Respondent stated that no project of this scale has undergone decommissioning.	The Applicant notes and agrees with the statement made in relation to a Solar NSIP scale project. The Scheme is subject to ongoing legal obligations secured through the Requirements contained within Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. These Requirements are enforceable by the Secretary of State throughout the operational life of the Scheme. Any failure to comply would constitute a criminal offence, providing a clear and robust mechanism to ensure continued adherence to environmental and community commitments. There is, therefore, no scenario in which the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Scheme does not get decommissioned at the end of its operational life. Decommissioning is secured through the aforementioned Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016]. The Applicant has produced an Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] as part of the DCO Application. A final decommissioning strategy will be prepared and agreed with the relevant authorities at that time of decommissioning and will include detailed measures and timescales. Failing to comply with Requirement 20 would be a criminal offence. Decommissioning is also covered in agreements with landowners, which includes decommissioning securities to cover the cost of decommissioning in the event of any breach by the Applicant or in the event of the insolvency of the Applicant. The amount of such decommissioning security is regularly re-valued throughout the lifecycle of the Scheme and topped up if necessary to ensure the Scheme can be decommissioned and the land restored back to its existing use.
CD-41	RR-4542	BESS Construction	Respondent expressed concern with the BESS, particularly having seen the impact of burying electricity cables near Bishops Canning Devizes.	The Applicant assumes that the respondent is referring to the National Grid Visual Impact Provision project in the North Wessex Downs National Landscape to replace power lines with underground cables. The purpose of this project was to

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				reduce the visual impact of National Grid's existing overhead lines within the North Wessex Downs National Landscape near Devizes. The Scheme proposes to use buried underground cables to connect the Solar PV Sites with the existing National Grid substation near Melksham which avoids impacts associated with the operation of overhead lines as part of the operation of the Scheme. The Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] identifies the parameters used for the environmental impact assessment within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055], including those associated with burying cables. The cable route corridor has been assessed by each technical ES chapter [APP-059 to APP-072]. Following the implementation of mitigation, significant effects associated with impact to visual receptors, public rights of way, equestrian facilities, tree and hedgerows losses and soil resources are limited to the construction of the cable route corridor and considered temporary. Where necessary and appropriate, the technical chapter also sets out mitigation measures relevant to the cable route corridor that would be implemented as part of the Scheme to address the effects identified. These include optimally locating the cable

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				trenches during detailed design to minimise impacts to trees and hedgerows; good practice management measures to prevent pollution incidents, minimise effects from noise and vibration, and prevent and minimise dust creation and air pollution to limit effects on local residence and ecology; manage traffic associated with the Scheme; and management of interfaces with Public Rights of Way to minimise impacts. Measures to manage and minimise construction effects are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan [APP-277]. Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to construction, a CEMP must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that CEMP must be substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277]. All construction works will be carried out in accordance with the approved CEMP.
CD-42	RR-3597	Cable Corridor	Respondent objects to the creation of construction compounds.	Temporary Construction Compounds are necessary for the construction of the Scheme to store materials, house facilities for construction workers, and implement mitigation measures to manage construction work such as wheel washing facilities and storage bins for recyclables and other waste. Locating the Temporary Construction Compounds within the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Scheme Site also minimises movement of personnel, equipment and materials between the Site and from offsite facilities if these were used as an alternative. The Environmental Statement [APP-052 to APP-265] identifies the parameters used for the environmental impact assessment within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055], including the construction compounds. The construction phase has been assessed by each technical ES chapter [APP-059 to APP-072]. Of particular relevance are ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065], and ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. Potential effects arising from construction compounds on ecological features are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers impacts on habitats and species during construction and identifies measures to avoid or mitigate impacts on wildlife. The assessment concludes that no significant adverse effects on ecology and biodiversity are anticipated as a result of impacts associated with construction compounds. Construction compounds have been carefully sited to avoid sensitive

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				habitats/areas for wildlife. For instance, as set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] they will not be sited within any defined Wiltshire Impact Zones for Bats, which are areas considered to be of crucial importance for local populations of bats. The noise assessment makes the worst-case assumption that construction activities could take place in the compound areas. Even then, with best practice mitigation measures in place, as described in Section 14.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14 Noise and Vibration [APP-066], no significant adverse effects from construction noise or vibration are expected. Measures to manage and minimise construction effects are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]. Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to construction, a CEMP must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that CEMP must be substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277]. All construction works will be carried out in accordance with the approved CEMP.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CD-43	RR-0328	PV Component s	Respondent stated that data on the size and type of panels is insufficient.	The full extent or 'worst-case' effects arising from the Scheme identified and reported in the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265]. The ES has been undertaken adopting the principles set out in the Planning Inspectorate's Advice Note Nine: Rochdale Envelope. The advice note acknowledges there may be aspects of the Scheme design that are not yet fixed and, therefore, it may be necessary for the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) to assess likely worst-case variations to ensure all foreseeable significant environmental effects of the Scheme are considered. Considering a reasonable worst case is standard practice for EIA in the UK. The ES identifies the worst-case parameters for solar PV panels used for the environmental impact assessment within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055]. Each technical chapter of the ES [APP-059 to APP-072] has assessed the design of the solar PV panels identified to be the likely worst-case scenario for that discipline in order to determine effect significance. Where necessary and appropriate, the technical chapter also sets out mitigation measures that would be implemented as part of the Scheme to address the effects identified. Measures to manage and minimise effects are set out in the outline management

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				plans [APP-277 to APP-287] for the Scheme. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed management plans, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring that effects associated with the Scheme are appropriately controlled.

2.4 Climate Change and Energy Need

Table 2-4

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CC-01	RR-0465, RR-3475, RR-4514, RR-2476, RR-1255, RR-4715, RR-2032, RR-2074, RR-0993, RR-0193, RR-0405, RR-2207, RR-3796, RR-3750, RR-3646, RR-1046, RR-0321, RR-1967, RR-2778, RR-2262, RR-1111, RR-0050, RR-2961, RR-3263, RR-3310, RR-2733, RR-0507, RR-1617, RR-1019, RR-3730, RR-4017, RR-1373, RR-4595, RR-4824, RR-2475, RR-2113, RR-3308, RR-4203, RR-3468, PDA-018	Climate Change	Respondents expressed climate change scepticism and/or denial and/or general scepticism about the science behind climate change policies.	As a result of its commitments to the Paris Agreement, in June 2019 the UK became the first major economy to legislate for a 2050 net zero GHG emissions target through the Climate Change Act 2008 (2050 Target Amendment) Order 2019. Decarbonisation is therefore a UK legal requirement. The government's objectives are to ensure the supply of energy to the national energy system always remains secure, reliable, affordable, and consistent with meeting legally binding greenhouse emissions reductions. NPS EN-1 states that government has identified that meeting the UK's legal targets will require a step change in the decarbonisation of the UK's energy system [Para 2.3.3], and large-scale ground mounted solar has an important role to play in the UK. More information can be found in the Statement of Need [APP-266], which establishes the urgent and unprecedented need for the Scheme. Climate change is recognised globally as a serious environmental threat by recognised

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				scientific experts. The methods used in the assessment of climate change in ES Volume 1, Chapter 7: Climate Change [APP-059] are standard methods for quantification of the effects of Climate Change grounded in scientific evidence and based on information from UK government's Department for Security, Energy and Net Zero and applicable guidance such as the Institute of Sustainability and Environmental Professionals (formerly the Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment). For example, the ES Volume 1, Chapter 7: Climate Change [APP-059] follows the GHG Protocol guideline which is a globally recognised standard for measuring and managing GHG emissions.
CC-02	RR-0472, RR-4255, RR-2155, RR-0967, RR-4203, RR-4180	Manufacturing	Respondents expressed concerns about the environmental effects of manufacturing elements of the Scheme, including solar panels and the BESS.	The effects of emissions generated from embodied carbon within products to be used on site, as well as construction, operation and decommissioning emissions, are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 7: Climate Change [APP-059]. The CO₂e figures are included in detail within this ES chapter. Emissions from production of the required cables are included within the assessment. The chapter concludes that the emissions from these sources would be outweighed by the reduced emissions resulting from the Scheme contributing to decarbonisation of energy from the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				National Grid. Further, as outlined in the chapter, the emissions of replacing the solar panels, inclusive of the embodied carbon (manufacturing and transportation emissions), are considered in the assessment. The DESNZ conversion factors used for the assessment of embodied carbon cover the extraction, primary processing, manufacture and transportation of materials. Baseline and future conditions are set out in Section 7.7 of the assessment. Although it is expected there will be some baseline emissions from the Site's current use and some carbon sequestration from the grassland once the Scheme is in place, as a conservative approach the baseline emissions and the grassland sequestration were considered zero.
CC-03	RR-2073, RR-0350, RR-2155	Materials	Respondents expressed concern for the environmental impact of the use of rare earth and critical minerals.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 7: Climate Change [APP-059] assesses the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with the full life cycle of the Scheme, including the manufacture, transport, installation, operation, maintenance and decommissioning of Scheme components such as solar PV panels, inverters, transformers and battery storage infrastructure. This includes consideration of embodied carbon arising from the production of rare earth materials and components, which reflects the upstream greenhouse gas emission impacts associated with their manufacture.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The assessment recognises that the manufacture and transport of solar PV panels and batteries represent a notable proportion of the Scheme's lifecycle GHG emissions. These impacts are considered within the context of national carbon budgets and the UK's transition to net zero, with the assessment concluding that the Scheme would make a meaningful contribution to reducing greenhouse gas emissions over its operational lifetime when compared to a 'without Scheme' scenario. In addition, ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072] considers the use of materials and natural resources, including minerals, materials supply. This chapter confirms that the Scheme would not give rise to significant effects in relation to minerals, materials or waste, and that embedded mitigation measures and good practice would be applied throughout the construction, operation and decommissioning. The ES also identifies embedded mitigation measures across the Scheme, including the use of durable components with long operational lifespans, allowances for replacement over time, and the recyclability of key components such as solar panels, batteries and electrical equipment. These measures reduce the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				need for ongoing extraction of virgin materials and limit long-term environmental impacts.
CC-04	RR-1616, RR-3617, RR-3454, RR-1622, RR-4514, RR-0484, RR-0813, RR-2893, RR-2254, RR-1811, RR-3823, RR-4326, RR-1812, RR-0110, RR-0681, RR-1527, RR-3836, RR-3199, RR-2505, RR-1608, RR-1243, RR-1099, RR-0126, RR-2157, RR-0993, RR-0635, RR-0579, RR-1272, RR-1577, RR-0346, RR-2203, RR-1239, RR-3100, RR-4401, RR-0076, RR-2916, RR-0405, RR-3932, RR-0109, RR-3545, RR-3702, RR-3808, RR-4524, RR-4369, RR-4192, RR-2926, RR-4453, RR-4216, RR-0452, RR-4528, RR-0959, RR-2856, RR-3003, RR-3796, RR-2681, RR-2706, RR-4250, RR-4353, RR-4336, RR-3530, RR-1477, RR-0746, RR-0467, RR-4712, RR-3429, RR-1215, RR-4717, RR-4937, RR-4098, RR-0328, RR-2506, RR-1949, RR-3504, RR-2565, RR-4471, RR-1250, RR-2577, RR-1046, RR-4242, RR-3364, RR-0321, RR-1965, RR-0027, RR-4466, RR-3535, RR-2170, RR-3329, RR-3017, RR-3180, RR-4112, RR-4084, RR-1114, RR-1517, RR-3844, RR-3820, RR-3792,	Environmental Impact and Carbon Emissions	Respondents expressed concern that the Scheme would be more harmful to the environment than any environmental benefits and / or release more carbon emissions than it would save. This includes the cost of carbon constructing the project outweighing the carbon saved during its operation. Some respondents expressed scepticism that the Scheme is 'green'.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 7: Climate Change [APP-059] assesses greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions across the full life cycle of the Scheme, including the manufacture, transport, installation, operation, maintenance and decommissioning of Scheme components. This assessment includes embodied carbon associated with materials and components, as well as operational emissions savings arising from renewable electricity generation. The assessment concludes that, while emissions are associated with the manufacture and construction of the Scheme, these are outweighed over time by the carbon savings achieved through the displacement of fossil fuel-derived electricity generation. When considered over its operational lifetime, the Scheme would result in a net reduction in GHG emissions compared to a 'without Scheme' scenario and would make a meaningful contribution to the UK's legally binding carbon budgets and transition to net zero.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0859, RR-4259, RR-0016, RR-3544, RR-2467, RR-3783, RR-0796, RR-4583, RR-0280, RR-4183, RR-3538, RR-1694, RR-2403, RR-4073, RR-1707, RR-3281, RR-4283, RR-4851, RR-0765, RR-2073, RR-4320, RR-3725, RR-0088, RR-3856, RR-4178, RR-4422, RR-2536, RR-3261, RR-3677, RR-4231, RR-1471, RR-1060, RR-2579, RR-1087, RR-0610, RR-0964, RR-4669, RR-3089, RR-2702, RR-3814, RR-2707, RR-2807, RR-4605, RR-2961, RR-1415, RR-0820, RR-4841, RR-0893, RR-3116, RR-4787, RR-0808, RR-0161, RR-0006, RR-4800, RR-3137, RR-4141, RR-3282, RR-2243, RR-4572, RR-1834, RR-4736, RR-0238, RR-4485, RR-2272, RR-4527, RR-3809, RR-2446, RR-1388, RR-4302, RR-2736, RR-0042, RR-1225, RR-3445, RR-3395, RR-2087, RR-2047, RR-3049, RR-4061, RR-3689, RR-1229, RR-3392, RR-2527, RR-1139, RR-3573, RR-3511, RR-0831, RR-2879, RR-3755, RR-2065, RR-2821, RR-1013, RR-2733, RR-0449, RR-1852, RR-2100, RR-1140, RR-4518, RR-0507, RR-3044, RR-4708, RR-1617, RR-2189, RR-3551, RR-1695, RR-4087,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0080, RR-0650, RR-3730, RR-3196, RR-3923, RR-2081, RR-4860, RR-1423, RR-2996, RR-4368, RR-2835, RR-0475, RR-1397, RR-0142, RR-0885, RR-0057, RR-1542, RR-1386, RR-2872, RR-4364, RR-1141, RR-1367, RR-2466, RR-2695, RR-0536, RR-2058, RR-1373, RR-0625, RR-1830, RR-1268, RR-0545, RR-0139, RR-3815, RR-2239, RR-1104, RR-4207, RR-3976, RR-4297, RR-4153, RR-3317, RR-1017, RR-4159, RR-4177, RR-0540, RR-3600, RR-3685, RR-1647, RR-0301, RR-2238, RR-3569, RR-1074, RR-1747, RR-0938, RR-4266, RR-3176, RR-1992, RR-1162, RR-3713, RR-1753, RR-3729, RR-1636, RR-1231, RR-2709, RR-0415, RR-3743, RR-3213, RR-1809, RR-2221, RR-3094, RR-1940, RR-0453, RR-3172, RR-1425, RR-2729, RR-4058, RR-3143, RR-1380, RR-1497, RR-2475, RR-2562, RR-2622, RR-0798, RR-3704, RR-0596, RR-3816, RR-0089, RR-2155, RR-4325, RR-1214, RR-4408, RR-0014, RR-4025, RR-2685, RR-3766, RR-4703, RR-2842, RR-0144, RR-3805, RR-2115, RR-1906, RR-3665, RR-2740, RR-0111, RR-4713, RR-0967,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3821, RR-4680, RR-0660, RR-2805, RR-3901, RR-2720, RR-2684, RR-3839, RR-3605, RR-4659, RR-3014, RR-0614, RR-4083, RR-4857, RR-1129, RR-4904, RR-0234, RR-2117, RR-1507, RR-4875, RR-3421, RR-1778, RR-3926, RR-4623, RR-0423, RR-3613, RR-4030, RR-2488, RR-1345, RR-4409, RR-4203, RR-4131, RR-4566, RR-2663, RR-0833, RR-2784, RR-1938, RR-0908, RR-3452, RR-3001, RR-3468, RR-3979, RR-2845, PDA-018, RR-1706, RR-4310			
CC-05	RR-1398, RR-4111, RR-0050, RR-3104, RR-2319, RR-2573, RR-2544, RR-2862, RR-4409	Heat Island Effect	Respondents expressed concern that the Scheme would change local weather and/or cause heat island effect.	Evidence from published research indicates that large-scale solar arrays do not give rise to significant or widespread heat island effects. An 18-month study by Fthenakis and Yu (2013) found that solar arrays are typically fully cooled during night-time hours, meaning that sustained heat retention and associated heat island effects are unlikely to occur. Further research by Barron-Gafford et al. (2016) concluded that any temperature increases associated with solar PV installations are localised and do not extend beyond the immediate boundary of the solar farm. As such, any microclimatic effects are limited in extent and rapidly dissipate with distance from the panels.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				On this basis, the microclimate and heat island effects associated with the Scheme are not considered to be significant, and no meaningful thermal stress, discomfort or change in local weather conditions is anticipated for nearby residents or surrounding land uses.
CC-06	RR-4493, RR-4501, RR-4952, RR-1377, RR-1164, RR-2631, RR-1272, RR-252, RR-0346, RR-1239, RR-3869, RR-3911, RR-1121, RR-2682, RR-3783, RR-4592, RR-0092, RR-3916, RR-1632, RR-4164, RR-1388, RR-1726, RR-4255, RR-2187, RR-1372, RR-3207, RR-3923, RR-0057	Carbon Emissions	Respondents expressed that the project will not be net zero for 50 years.	The UK is legally committed to achieving Net Zero greenhouse gas emissions by 2050. This scheme forms part of that commitment to Net Zero by providing renewable energy. To prepare an indicative assessment of the emissions savings of the Scheme, a comparative assessment with a baseline scenario has been completed within ES Volume 1, Chapter 7: Climate Change [APP-059]. This assessment evaluates the estimated carbon emissions from electricity generation via the UK National Grid, which are considered "avoided" due to the energy being generated by the Scheme rather than baseline year grid emissions. However, it is important to note that the DESNZ grid carbon intensity used for comparison does not include GHG emissions from imported materials as part of the UK's national inventory, i.e. the grid emissions considered are UK only. In contrast, the Scheme's assessment accounts for global carbon emissions, including the embodied carbon of materials and components such as BESS (Battery

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Energy Storage Systems), PV arrays, transformers, and others. This discrepancy results in an asymmetrical comparison that underestimates the Scheme's GHG savings and provides a conservative assessment of the scheme's overall emissions saving.
CC-07	RR-1398	Adverse Weather	Respondent expressed concern for the Scheme's ability to resist hailstorms.	An assessment of Major Accidents and Disasters has been undertaken as part of ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters, Section 20.7 [APP-072]. As per ES Volume 3, Appendix 20-6: Long List of Major Accidents and Disasters [APP-263] the Scheme was assessed as being no more vulnerable to hailstorms and other meteorological disasters than any other development, and therefore it was not carried into the assessment presented in the main chapter. The long list of major accidents and disasters was reviewed and approved by the Planning Inspectorate at scoping stage and therefore the Applicant is content that no further assessment of hailstorms beyond that initial screening exercise presented in Appendix 20-6 is necessary. Moreover, this approach is also consistent with the Major Accident and Disaster assessments of other solar NSIPs of which the Applicant is not aware of another scheme whereby hail has been screened in. Modern solar panels are engineered and tested to withstand extreme weather

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				events and therefore would be largely unaffected by an extreme hail event.
CC-08	RR-4036, RR-1206	Net Zero Policy	Respondents do not believe that net zero is achievable and / or is flawed and / or will reduce energy costs.	Please refer to the Applicant's response to Reference ID CC-01 above. This representation goes to the merits of the NPS, which "<i>considers the large-scale infrastructure which will be required to ensure the UK can provide a secure, reliable, and affordable supply of energy, while also meeting our decarbonisation targets</i>" [NPS EN-1 (2023), Para 2.1.6], also [NPS EN-1 (2025), Para 2.1.1]. Therefore, to have regard to this representation would be directly in contradiction of NPS EN-1 (including the paragraphs cited in Reference ID CC-01 that make clear that the need is established and that there is no requirement to consider the contribution of individual projects). The determination of the Applicant's DCO Application is not the means by which to challenge the provisions of the NPS, and it is for this reason that the ExA and SoS are able to disregard this representation and any similar others, pursuant to sections 87, 94 and 106 of the Planning Act 2008 during the examination of the Application and when determining the Application. The Climate Change Committee published a Supplementary analysis of the Seventh Carbon Budget on March 2026 highlighting that for every pound spent on Net Zero, the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				benefits outweigh this by 2.2 to 4.1 times. Additionally, their analysis concludes that a decarbonised UK will provide greater energy security and be cheaper to operate and maintain than the system we have today. That said, the Applicant's Statement of Need [APP-266] describes how the Scheme will contribute to meeting government's objectives of reducing carbon emissions, enhancing the security of energy supplies, and delivering affordable energy.
CC-09	RR-4629, RR-2075	Environmental Impact and Carbon Emissions	Respondents stated that the proposed 500MW output is unlikely.	The Scheme has been designed to optimise use of the available land area and grid connection capacity. The BESS, included within the Scheme, will support the operation of the main solar scheme and will be able to store any abundant generation and export it when it is needed. The Scheme seeks to optimise the annual average generation over its operational life at its specified location through the selection of technologies which will make efficient use of the natural and grid resources available to the Scheme. At the detailed design stage, opportunities will be investigated to increase the lifetime generation output of the Scheme and the benefits arising from its development, within the envelope of development secured at consent.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CC-10	RR-1060, RR-2741, RR-3775, RR-3310, RR-3704, RR-2110, RR-1658	Environmental Impact and Carbon Emissions	Respondent stated that the Scheme would lead to loss of carbon sequestration ability.	Reducing soil disturbance (i.e. no tilling of fields) can lead to increased soil carbon accumulation. While some carbon sequestration would be lost in comparison to arable land, every effort would be made to maintain suitable vegetation and landscaping through native species. Ground beneath the panels can support native grasses, wildflowers and some managed vegetation. Notwithstanding the above, solar schemes play an important role in combatting Climate Change through clean energy transition.
CC-11	RR-2399, RR-2952	Adverse Weather	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of adverse weather on the panels.	An assessment of Major Accidents and Disasters has been undertaken as part of ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters, Section 20.7 [APP-072]. As per ES Volume 3, Appendix 20-6: Long List of Major Accidents and Disasters [APP-263] the Scheme was assessed as being no more vulnerable to meteorological disasters (including blizzard, droughts, hailstorms etc.) than any other development and it was therefore not carried into the assessment presented in the main chapter. The long list of major accidents and disasters was reviewed and approved by the Planning Inspectorate at scoping stage and therefore the Applicant is content that no further assessment of the meteorological disasters is necessary.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The assessment presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters, Section 20.7 [APP-072] does assess the risk of flooding on the Scheme. The assessment concludes that with the application of embedded mitigation, such as sequentially locating associated electrical infrastructure to areas with a 'Low' probability of flooding secured through the Outline CEMP [APP-277] , no likely significant major accident and disaster effects are predicted to arise.
CC-12	RR-0501	Net Zero Policy	Respondent stated that the proposals contravene NPS EN-1 and EN-3	Section 8 and Annex A: National Policy Accordance Tables of the Applicant's Planning Statement [APP-267] demonstrate the Scheme's compliance with the NPSs.
CC-13	RR-2519, RR-2185, RR-4560, RR-4482, RR-3223, RR-3783, RR-4578, RR-4164, RR-4476, RR-3666, RR-2385, RR-0702, RR-2148, RR-1540, RR-3921, RR-0690, RR-0729, RR-2861, RR-4001, RR-3235, RR-1364, RR-0491, RR-3345, RR-1826	Energy Need	Respondents expressed that Wiltshire is already doing its part to lower carbon emissions and / or generate renewable electricity.	The Applicant notes this comment and recognises the commitment of Wiltshire residents to addressing climate change and supporting renewable electricity generation. The Scheme would build on this existing progress by making a further contribution to renewable energy generation and carbon emissions reduction at both a local and national level.
CC-14	RR-4489, RR-3724, RR-1377, RR-0693, RR-4719, RR-4677, RR-1110, RR-2860, RR-3078, RR-4795, RR-3335, RR-0347, RR-4075, RR-3584, RR-2396, RR-3726, RR-1164, RR-1496, RR-3936, RR-2184, RR-0880, RR-2658, RR-3571, RR-0530,	Energy Need	Respondents expressed general support for renewable energy and / or solar or indicated that they are not opposed to solar or ground-mounted solar in principle.	The Applicant welcomes these comments recognising the importance of renewable energy and the role it can play in supporting the transition to a low-carbon energy system.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4678, RR-3733, RR-2185, RR-2631, RR-1779, RR-2393, RR-2061, RR-1828, RR-0110, RR-0450, RR-2970, RR-2481, RR-1350, RR-0680, RR-4806, RR-4407, RR-4559, RR-1088, RR-3548, RR-3762, RR-3561, RR-1037, RR-1272, RR-4784, RR-0346, RR-3219, RR-0973, RR-4685, RR-1408, RR-0580, RR-2219, RR-2232, RR-1847, RR-2759, RR-2904, RR-2283, RR-2620, RR-1003, RR-2786, RR-4696, RR-3687, RR-3545, RR-3808, RR-1928, RR-1132, RR-0805, RR-2590, RR-3540, RR-1090, RR-2009, RR-2794, RR-2768, RR-1055, RR-2174, RR-2979, RR-1803, RR-0039, RR-2302, RR-4692, RR-1249, RR-3946, RR-0112, RR-2681, RR-2311, RR-0725, RR-3007, RR-0199, RR-1488, RR-2463, RR-4262, RR-1477, RR-0746, RR-1455, RR-3063, RR-1459, RR-3076, RR-4712, RR-3429, RR-2514, RR-4152, RR-1215, RR-4098, RR-1802, RR-2127, RR-4799, RR-2112, RR-0945, RR-0391, RR-0216, RR-4466, RR-4877, RR-4478, RR-1103, RR-2571, RR-2924, RR-2831, RR-2555, RR-4248, RR-4143, RR-4150, RR-2553, RR-0636, RR-2412, RR-3042, RR-2991,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1384, RR-4922, RR-3333, RR-4301, RR-2269, RR-2831, RR-3844, RR-3820, RR-2949, RR-4151, RR-0958, RR-0749, RR-2746, RR-0537, RR-2289, RR-3962, RR-4644, RR-0871, RR-1434, RR-0676, RR-0118, RR-4899, RR-1068, RR-4916, RR-3837, RR-3789, RR-0473, RR-2646, RR-3383, RR-4873, RR-4212, RR-0816, RR-0280, RR-2322, RR-3515, RR-0909, RR-1481, RR-0068, RR-1180, RR-3121, RR-4592, RR-2227, RR-3291, RR-0131, RR-2073, RR-1962, RR-3269, RR-4766, RR-0448, RR-2091, RR-2801, RR-4666, RR-0226, RR-1071, RR-0069, RR-3768, RR-2460, RR-4840, RR-3492, RR-3221, RR-1155, RR-0430, RR-3157, RR-2595, RR-0382, RR-3415, RR-3709, RR-0716, RR-2474, RR-0964, RR-0868, RR-4347, RR-2389, RR-1715, RR-4011, RR-2702, RR-2707, RR-4772, RR-3667, RR-4605, RR-1791, RR-2961, RR-0830, RR-2793, RR-4841, RR-1444, RR-2652, RR-1959, RR-0418, RR-1899, RR-3197, RR-4344, RR-4362, RR-4096, RR-2204, RR-0136, RR-0274, RR-3793, RR-4923, RR-1883, RR-4380, RR-1109, RR-2150, RR-0350, RR-2938,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0937, RR-1563, RR-3950, RR-4622, RR-4164, RR-3299, RR-3201, RR-3307, RR-4736, RR-3233, RR-2848, RR-4485, RR-2272, RR-1043, RR-0160, RR-2606, RR-3666, RR-1319, RR-1728, RR-3788, RR-3969, RR-3049, RR-2464, RR-0870, RR-4160, RR-4021, RR-0691, RR-0288, RR-3099, RR-4759, RR-2828, RR-0739, RR-3439, RR-1428, RR-3974, RR-2180, RR-1342, RR-1251, RR-1612, RR-1106, RR-0169, RR-2785, RR-1852, RR-0615, RR-4788, RR-2601, RR-1916, RR-0706, RR-0755, RR-0634, RR-1864, RR-1086, RR-4723, RR-4888, RR-0500, RR-3156, RR-1540, RR-3205, RR-3044, RR-3635, RR-1925, RR-2049, RR-2456, RR-3921, RR-4558, RR-1662, RR-3780, RR-3298, RR-4907, RR-3313, RR-3674, RR-2752, RR-2331, RR-4117, RR-4850, RR-3215, RR-0733, RR-3834, RR-1950, RR-3862, RR-4222, RR-0729, RR-3084, RR-1393, RR-2633, RR-4267, RR-4277, RR-1661, RR-0668, RR-3262, RR-3309, RR-3407, RR-1542, RR-1386, RR-2059, RR-0718, RR-0557, RR-2314, RR-0227, RR-2796, RR-1830, RR-0254, RR-3986, RR-4928, RR-1659,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0248, RR-2056, RR-3582, RR-3864, RR-0187, RR-3170, RR-2942, RR-3876, RR-1112, RR-2472, RR-0134, RR-1640, RR-3337, RR-3376, RR-0220, RR-1522, RR-3855, RR-0750, RR-0365, RR-3641, RR-3641, RR-0664, RR-4462, RR-3317, RR-4853, RR-2573, RR-0241, RR-3103, RR-2405, RR-1000, RR-1887, RR-4792, RR-0965, RR-4565, RR-0561, RR-3102, RR-1360, RR-1915, RR-0501, RR-3952, RR-2691, RR-0491, RR-0815, RR-4043, RR-0960, RR-0519, RR-0600, RR-2191, RR-2284, RR-0798, RR-3075, RR-1800, RR-4341, RR-3647, RR-3893, RR-0656, RR-0666, RR-2169, RR-0177, RR-2852, RR-3934, RR-2624, RR-0838, RR-2737, RR-1816, RR-2333, RR-2980, RR-2685, RR-2428, RR-0363, RR-0704, RR-2693, RR-0075, RR-3436, RR-3255, RR-3683, RR-3498, RR-4426, RR-1587, RR-0087, RR-1681, RR-1008, RR-3803, RR-0954, RR-4832, RR-0992, RR-0457, RR-3428, RR-3839, RR-3605, RR-3277, RR-2397, RR-4285, RR-4041, RR-0138, RR-4292, RR-2931, RR-2343, RR-4289, RR-2810, RR-4623, RR-3214, RR-2584, RR-0032, RR-2714,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3589, RR-0854, RR-4520, RR-1498, RR-1697, RR-3533, RR-0833, RR-0189, RR-2784, RR-2612, RR-4438, AS-008, PDA-019, AS-003, RR-1523, RR-2602			
CC-15	RR-2365, RR-4784, RR-4626, RR-4506, RR-0404, RR-3590, RR-3911, RR-3783, RR-0392, RR-0313, RR-2739, RR-0309, RR-1435, RR-4783, RR-4985, RR-2743, RR-3853, RR-4364, RR-3497, RR-3164, RR-1450, RR-1940, RR-2475, RR-3375, RR-0056, RR-0727, RR-1030, RR-0586, RR-0230, RR-4640, RR-1701, RR-3308, RR-4599, RR-3868, RR-0717, RR-1378, RR-2286, RR-3361	Energy Need	Respondents questioned and / or doubted the need for the Scheme.	The Statement of Need [APP-266] describes that there is an urgent and unprecedented need for new low carbon generation in the UK to meet our climate change, energy security and affordability targets. Further, the Government considers that a secure, reliable, affordable, net zero consistent system in 2050 is likely to be composed predominantly of wind and solar (NPS EN-1, Para 3.3.20). Further, Section 2.9 of the Statement of Need [APP-266] describes that the government is seeking a large capacity of new low carbon schemes to come forwards to prioritise projects needed for 2030, while maintaining a robust pipeline of projects beyond 2030 towards meeting net zero by 2050. The Scheme has received notification that, as part of NESO's connection queue reprioritisation process, the solar component of the scheme has been re-prioritised as a Gate 2 Phase 1 connection (i.e. connecting in 2030 or earlier) and that the BESS component of the Scheme has been re-prioritised as a Gate 1 connection. The indicative connection date of the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				BESS has not yet been confirmed. National Policy Statement EN-1 also states that the Secretary of State should assess all applications for development consent for the types of infrastructure included by the NPS (including solar) on the basis that there is demonstrated urgent need for them, that substantial weight should be given to this need, and that the Secretary of State is not required to consider the specific contribution of any individual project to be satisfied that need is established (EN-1 Paras 3.2.6 - 3.2.8). This representation goes to the merits of the NPS, and to have regard to it would be directly in contradiction of NPS EN-1 (in particular the paragraphs cited above that make clear that the need is established and that there is no requirement to consider the contribution of individual projects). The determination of the Applicant's DCO Application is not the means by which to challenge the provisions of the NPS, and it is for this reason that the ExA and SoS are able to disregard this representation and any similar others, pursuant to sections 87, 94 and 106 of the Planning Act 2008 during the examination of the Application and when determining the Application.
CC-16	RR-4513, RR-3220, RR-1731, RR-0968, RR-2979, RR-0959, RR-2681, RR-3292, RR-0651, RR-1339, RR-0311, RR-3758,	Energy Use	Respondents expressed concern that electricity generated by the Scheme will not be used locally and / or in	Section 7.2 of the Statement of Need [APP-266] explains that the Scheme will connect to the National Electricity Transmission System (NETS) at

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4775, RR-1748, RR-1121, RR-3783, RR-4073, RR-0470, RR-1673, RR-4284, RR-0820, RR-4380, RR-3939, RR-1490, RR-4521, RR-4302, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-1726, RR-2764, RR-1728, RR-2627, RR-2633, RR-3155, RR-3927, RR-4867, RR-1385, RR-1154, RR-0121, RR-2428, RR-4416, RR-4210, RR-4659, RR-3625, RR-1701, RR-2847, RR-1826, RR-4623, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-2564, RR-2231, RR-0556, RR-4779		Wiltshire. Some respondents expressed that the electricity generated should go directly to local households. Some respondents expressed concern that the electricity generated would be used outside the UK.	Melksham. By connecting to the NETS, generation from the Scheme will be transmitted efficiently to both local consumers and across the country where it is required. This is a key benefit of the Scheme and helps the UK's electricity system operate efficiently. This is a benefit to all consumers, including local consumers, who, through their energy bills, pay for the operational costs of the UK's electricity networks. Interconnectors flow electricity between countries and are used to balance supply and demand. Government is supportive of increased interconnection for the economic and security of supply benefits they can bring. National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1, paragraph 3.3.32) recognises that interconnection between national borders has an essential role in delivering a secure, low carbon electricity system at low cost.
CC-17	RR-1037, RR-3762, RR-1122, RR-2514, RR-1180, RR-2460, RR-3099, RR-2049, RR-1260, RR-3749, RR-3309, RR-3660, RR-3254, RR-2344, RR-3642, AS-010	Energy Security	Respondents support and / or note the Scheme's contribution to UK energy security.	The Applicant takes note of this comment and welcomes the support expressed. Chapter 8 of the Applicant's Statement of Need [APP-266] describes the contribution of large-scale solar generally, and the Scheme specifically, to UK energy security.
CC-18	RR-4677, RR-3584, RR-0404, RR-3104, RR-3703, RR-1410, RR-3815, RR-4001, RR-3283, RR-2937	Energy Use	Respondent expressed concern that the Scheme could place undue pressure on local / national electricity networks.	The Applicant has accepted an offer from NESO to connect the Scheme to the existing Melksham National Grid Substation. Prior to issuing a connection offer, the Applicant understands that

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				NESO followed their due processes including conducting all necessary studies to confirm that the connection as proposed is technically feasible and compatible with well-established technical requirements including those related to system security, system stability and fault conditions. The Applicant has accepted an offer for a firm connection to an existing part of the NETS which has historically operated with a high degree of reliability and availability. The connection will be designed, built and operated to well-established industry standards to mitigate relevant foreseeable risks, in accordance with industry standards.
CC-19	RR-2949, RR-3155, RR-0953, RR-1400	Energy Use	Respondent expressed concern that the electricity produced could be used for data centres.	Section 7.2 of the Statement of Need [APP-266] explains that the Scheme will connect to the National Electricity Transmission System (NETS) at Melksham. By connecting to the NETS, generation from the Scheme will be transmitted efficiently to both local consumers and across the country where it is required. This is a key benefit of the Scheme and helps the UK's electricity system operate efficiently. This is a benefit to all consumers, including local consumers, who, through their energy bills, pay for the operational costs of the UK's electricity networks.
CC-20	RR-3749	Energy Need	Respondent stated that Wiltshire does not produce	The Applicant takes note of this comment and welcomes the support expressed.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			enough electricity, so the project should be sited there.	Please also refer to the Applicant's response to Reference ID CC-13 above.
CC-21	RR-1468	Energy Need	Respondent expressed concern that energy generated and sent to the grid would not be distributed locally.	Section 7.2 of the Statement of Need [APP-266] explains that the Scheme will connect to the National Electricity Transmission System (NETS) at Melksham. By connecting to the NETS, generation from the Scheme will be transmitted efficiently to both local consumers and across the country where it is required. This is a key benefit of the Scheme and helps the UK's electricity system operate efficiently. This is a benefit to all consumers, including local consumers, who, through their energy bills, pay for the operational costs of the UK's electricity networks.
CC-22	RR-3324	Energy Use	Respondent asked how many houses the Scheme will supply energy to.	Please refer to the Applicant's response to Reference ID CC-21 above. The Scheme will not directly or exclusively provide energy to homes; however, the Applicant continues to state on the project website (https://www.limedownsolar.co.uk/) that the Scheme is anticipated to provide "enough clean, affordable electricity to power approximately 115,000 homes every year".
CC-23	RR-0668, RR-2344, RR-3642	Environmental Impact and Carbon Emissions	Respondent noted that the Scheme will make a contribution to helping achieve Wiltshire's net zero carbon targets and contribute to the UK's 2035 target of 70 GW.	The Applicant takes note of this comment and welcomes the support expressed. Please also refer to the Applicant's response to Reference ID CC-13 above.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CC-24	RR-4364	Energy Policy	Respondent doubted the viability of the Government's net zero policy; believes it will fail to meet its timeframes.	The Statement of Need [APP-266] describes that there is an urgent and unprecedented need for new low carbon generation in the UK to meet our climate change, energy security and affordability targets. Further, the Government considers that a secure, reliable, affordable, net zero consistent system in 2050 is likely to be composed predominantly of wind and solar (NPS EN-1, Para 3.3.20). This representation goes to the merits of the NPS, and to have regard to it would be directly in contradiction of NPS EN-1 (in particular the paragraphs cited above that make clear that the need is established and that there is no requirement to consider the contribution of individual projects). The determination of the Applicant's DCO Application is not the means by which to challenge the provisions of the NPS, and it is for this reason that the ExA and SoS are able to disregard this representation and any similar others, pursuant to sections 87, 94 and 106 of the Planning Act 2008 during the examination of the Application and when determining the Application.
CC-25	RR-3660	Energy Policy	Respondent expressed support for the fact that the Scheme will help push fossil fuel sources off the grid, reducing energy bills for all.	The Applicant takes note of this comment and welcomes the support expressed.
CC-26	RR-2475	Energy Need	Respondent stated that there is no need for the Scheme because the UK and the world	The Statement of Need [APP-266] describes that there is an urgent and unprecedented need for new low carbon

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			as a whole produce too much energy, more than is actually required. Respondent suggested they would like to see UK energy output reduced rather than increased.	generation in the UK to meet our climate change, energy security and affordability targets. Further, the Government considers that a secure, reliable, affordable, net zero consistent system in 2050 is likely to be composed predominantly of wind and solar (NPS EN-1, Para 3.3.20). This representation goes to the merits of the NPS, and to have regard to it would be directly in contradiction of NPS EN-1 (in particular the paragraphs cited above that make clear that the need is established and that there is no requirement to consider the contribution of individual projects). The determination of the Applicant's DCO Application is not the means by which to challenge the provisions of the NPS, and it is for this reason that the ExA and SoS are able to disregard this representation and any similar others, pursuant to sections 87, 94 and 106 of the Planning Act 2008 during the examination of the Application and when determining the Application.
CC-27	RR-4914	Energy Policy	Respondent requests indication of minimum and typical output for the Scheme, and output and demand during each month/week/day/hour of the year.	Please refer to the Applicant's response to Reference ID CC-09 above. Solar energy is at the heart of the Clean Power 2030 Mission (NPS EN-3 (2025), Para 2.10.2). Sunlight, the input energy source for solar, is abundant, renewable (therefore low carbon) and free. The Scheme has a 500MW grid connection agreement with NESO, which

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				informs the maximum output of the Scheme (500MW). The minimum output of the Scheme would be 0MW, when it is offline. The solar panels would generate the most energy in any hour when solar irradiation is also high. During the summer, the solar panels may generate for up to c.16 hours each day. During the winter, the solar panels may generate for approximately seven hours each day. The BESS which is proposed as associated development to the Scheme would support the operation of the solar panels, including by storing energy generated by the solar panels (if it is not immediately needed on the grid) and exporting it to the grid when needs are greater. This would ensure that the energy generated by the panels can be made available to consumers when it is needed. In practice therefore, how the Scheme would operate at any time would depend on a large number of factors including national demand, the weather, and the evolving composition of the future GB generation fleet.
CC-28	RR-1584, RR-1377, RR-1503, RR-3074, RR-2860, RR-1353, RR-1293, RR-1169, RR-3531, RR-1031, RR-2184, RR-1255, RR-4560, RR-2134, RR-4439, RR-1160, RR-1730, RR-4823, RR-2107, RR-3340, RR-4674, RR-1263, RR-3796, RR-0195,	Solar Technology	Respondents expressed that solar PV cells / solar panels are inefficient. Some respondents expressed that the UK is not a suitable location for solar due to lack of sunlight / clouds.	Solar energy is at the heart of the Clean Power 2030 Mission (January 2026 revised NPS EN-3, Para 2.10.2) and it is the Government's view that a secure, reliable, affordable, net zero consistent system in 2050 is likely to be composed predominantly of wind and solar (NPS EN-1, Para 3.3.20). Sunlight, the input energy

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0404, RR-1477, RR-0746, RR-0467, RR-4111, RR-3869, RR-2244, RR-0215, RR-2553, RR-2991, RR-2815, RR-1152, RR-1121, RR-3682, RR-2901, RR-3567, RR-1036, RR-3983, RR-2646, RR-0978, RR-2260, RR-4519, RR-4766, RR-3856, RR-2603, RR-2732, RR-1435, RR-2770, RR-1547, RR-0092, RR-4357, RR-3173, RR-4347, RR-0882, RR-2484, RR-0050, RR-2443, RR-0917, RR-3000, RR-1388, RR-0042, RR-0900, RR-1475, RR-0910, RR-4902, RR-2338, RR-1381, RR-2132, RR-1314, RR-1916, RR-0239, RR-0507, RR-3152, RR-4487, RR-2675, RR-3348, RR-4233, RR-0410, RR-2108, RR-2020, RR-1596, RR-0729, RR-2885, RR-0475, RR-4039, RR-0933, RR-3262, RR-0081, RR-4174, RR-0545, RR-0712, RR-1234, RR-1104, RR-3170, RR-3522, RR-2280, RR-2490, RR-1433, RR-1522, RR-4721, RR-2621, RR-3317, RR-0301, RR-0301, RR-3384, RR-0977, RR-2634, RR-3438, RR-0758, RR-4929, RR-2323, RR-0242, RR-3094, RR-0005, RR-2518, RR-2622, RR-1890, RR-1154, RR-3647, RR-3807, RR-4003, RR-0230, RR-4539, RR-3769, RR-4914,		Some respondents expressed that solar is unreliable due to lack of sunlight.	source for solar, is abundant, predictable, renewable, low carbon and free. Government policy is clear that solar should be pursued in the UK with urgency because of the significant benefits it will bring to the UK energy system, consumers and to help meet UK clean power targets. Figure 16 of the Statement of Need [APP-266] and related text (Section 7.4) demonstrates that there are many viable areas of solar irradiation in the UK. Figure 19 of the Statement of Need shows that the efficiency of solar cell technology has increased over the last 40 years, and solar cell efficiency continues to improve Solar generation is variable, but forecastable. Figures 28 and 29 of the Statement of Need and related text (Section 8.5) demonstrate that a multi-technology approach to electricity supply, including solar, can reduce the effects of weather variability on output and is capable of closely matching a future projection of national electricity demand on a month-average level, i.e. contributing to the reliability of the electricity system. The inclusion of a Battery Energy Storage System as part of the Scheme will enable energy generated by the Scheme to be stored and exported to the grid when it is needed, which will provide flexibility to the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0429, RR-1585, RR-3516, RR-2395, RR-2989, RR-2094, RR-0403, RR-3308, RR-3579, RR-3041, RR-3909, RR-0705, RR-3719, RR-3421, RR-3926, RR-4535, RR-4779, RR-2500, RR-4055, RR-4917, RR-4203, RR-1938, RR-4657, PDA-018, RR-3463			
CC-29	RR-3718, RR-0722, RR-3656, RR-3640, RR-3499, RR-2062, RR-0406, RR-0669, RR-1250, RR-3132, RR-0271, RR-4143, RR-1012, RR-2815, RR-3983, RR-3108, RR-4073, RR-0765, RR-3856, RR-2316, RR-0353, RR-4047, RR-2807, RR-2027, RR-4092, RR-3111, RR-4902, RR-1011, RR-0809, RR-0702, RR-4022, RR-1540, RR-1596, RR-2081, RR-1234, RR-3600, RR-3685, RR-2861, RR-4938, RR-1337, RR-1936, RR-3066, RR-4067, RR-0463, RR-2977, RR-0075, RR-1621, RR-2738, RR-1537, RR-1826, RR-2110, RR-4653, RR-2952, RR-1711	Solar Technology	Respondents expressed that solar panels are outdated and / or likely to be superseded by more efficient technology.	Solar PV is a well-established and widely deployed form of renewable electricity generation that is capable of delivering reliable, large-scale low-carbon power over the lifetime of the Scheme. While technological efficiencies are expected to continue to improve over time, this does not reduce the effectiveness of solar PV as a means of reducing greenhouse gas emissions either now or in the future. The Scheme has been designed to allow for the replacement and upgrading of components during its operational life, enabling the use of more efficient technology as it becomes available, within the parameters assessed. This approach ensures that the Scheme remains effective and adaptable while continuing to deliver meaningful carbon savings.
CC-30	RR-2153, RR-1169, RR-1731, RR-4882, RR-4560, RR-1272, RR-0370, RR-3988, RR-2874, RR-4706, RR-4439, RR-0346, RR-1136, RR-1239, RR-2916, RR-0405, RR-0805, RR-4369,	Alternatives	Respondents expressed a preference for alternative renewable and / or non-renewable energy generation. Some respondents specified a	Chapter 6 of the Statement of Need [APP-266] provides an analysis of many different low carbon generation technologies which are being developed as part of the UK's fight against climate change. The Statement of Need

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1741, RR-4692, RR-2706, RR-4452, RR-2205, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-1455, RR-3258, RR-0483, RR-1643, RR-1250, RR-0048, RR-4472, RR-0036, RR-2553, RR-4700, RR-0642, RR-2991, RR-0927, RR-4348, RR-3962, RR-2646, RR-1004, RR-0909, RR-4777, RR-4019, RR-0765, RR-4766, RR-3764, RR-2416, RR-4727, RR-3768, RR-3756, RR-2341, RR-3157, RR-4456, RR-4047, RR-2807, RR-3944, RR-0917, RR-3892, RR-4031, RR-0820, RR-0800, RR-0190, RR-0874, RR-4821, RR-3950, RR-0922, RR-0876, RR-0400, RR-1869, RR-3111, RR-4046, RR-0588, RR-4476, RR-3113, RR-3528, RR-3670, RR-1229, RR-4530, RR-3393, RR-3880, RR-0368, RR-3310, RR-4518, RR-3529, RR-1540, RR-2051, RR-4708, RR-3799, RR-0613, RR-4329, RR-1295, RR-0650, RR-4017, RR-2020, RR-1423, RR-2885, RR-0475, RR-2633, RR-2154, RR-3262, RR-0164, RR-4060, RR-3422, RR-3751, RR-3615, RR-1268, RR-0903, RR-0712, RR-1421, RR-3815, RR-1234, RR-1104, RR-3170, RR-1640, RR-0846, RR-2507, RR-3376, RR-0486, RR-1059, RR-0301, RR-4570,		preference for: nuclear, wind, tidal, coal, liquefied natural gas alternatives, oil, hydrogen, fusion power, North Sea Oil, modular nuclear, and biogas generation.	concludes, in Section 6.12, that a large capacity of many low carbon technologies is required to meet the Government's energy objectives. While the need for unprecedented capacity growth is urgent, some technologies (e.g. nuclear, SMRs, tidal) are either unproven at scale, unconsented, unfunded or have long development timescales (and therefore do not meet the urgent need identified in EN-1 Para 3.1.1 and following). These technologies and indeed other technologies may not be suitable to connect to Melksham substation and therefore would not support the need to use existing and available infrastructure to minimise the cost and timescales associated with connecting to the grid, the large capacities of low carbon generation needed to meet net zero. Section 7.7 of the Statement of Need [APP-266] compares the annual energy generated per square kilometre from solar, from onshore wind and from crop-to-energy (biofuels) technology. The analysis shows that large-scale ground-mount solar schemes which maximise their annual output, are likely to produce a greater quantity of low carbon electricity per acre than the output from a crop-to-biogas application; and that the energy production

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0977, RR-2543, RR-3930, RR-1759, RR-4792, RR-1062, RR-1231, RR-4379, RR-0242, RR-3723, RR-0030, RR-1836, RR-1030, RR-4003, RR-4361, RR-1214, RR-1958, RR-4033, RR-0459, RR-0075, RR-3786, RR-0087, RR-0422, RR-0606, RR-2989, RR-0457, RR-2094, RR-3302, RR-3308, RR-1507, RR-1826, RR-4954, RR-2937, RR-1345, RR-2714, RR-0327, RR-3868, RR-4203, RR-0029, RR-2576, RR-3533, RR-3534, RR-0352, RR-0825			from land under solar is of a similar order of magnitude to the energy production from land under onshore wind, while the environmental effects of solar schemes may be significantly lower. The Applicant therefore agrees that other low carbon technologies are urgently needed in the UK to meet the UK's climate legal targets; however, this representation lists both low carbon and carbon intensive generation. Carbon intensive technologies (including liquefied natural gas alternatives, oil and North Sea Oil, as listed), will not help to deliver a Clean Power system on the way to net zero and are therefore not compatible with the government's energy strategy. None of the low carbon technologies listed in this representation are more suitable at the proposed location than the Scheme, and neither are they viable alternatives to the Scheme, because they may all be needed too.
CC-31	RR-1503	Solar Technology	Respondent expressed concern for the environmental cost of the solar panel components.	Within ES Volume 1, Chapter 7: Climate Change [APP-059], assessment of the different parts of the solar panel in relation to the embodied carbon has been completed. This is inclusive of: - Glass used in the panel - Silicon used in the panel - Steel used for the panel and mounting

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The assessment has concluded that the solar panel components account for around 41.5% of Scheme emissions during construction. The overall assessment concludes that the impact of embodied carbon from the Scheme during the construction phase is negligible and in line with the UK Carbon budget.
CC-32	RR-3074, RR-3747	Environmental Impact and Carbon Emissions	Respondents questioned the calculations used to determine the generation figures for the Proposed Development.	Please refer to Reference ID CC-27 above. Wherever possible, conservative assumptions have been used for the purpose of the Climate Change assessment for the point of comparison. Emissions assessment has also considered degradation of panels and the need for cleaning and maintenance.
CC-33	RR-2207	Alternatives	Respondent endorsed Japan's strategy of avoiding industrial scale ground mounted solar developments to protect the natural environment.	The Applicant notes this representation. Solar energy is at the heart of the Clean Power 2030 Mission (NPS EN-3 (2025), Para 2.10.2). Para 3.3.12 of both NPS EN-1 (2023) and NPS EN-1 (2025) explains that: <i>"Decentralised and community energy systems such as micro-generation contribute to our targets on reducing carbon emissions and increasing energy security. These technologies could also lead to some reduction in demand on the main generation and transmission system. However, the government does not believe [Decentralised and community energy systems] will replace the need for new large-scale electricity infrastructure to meet</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				<i>our energy objectives. This is because connection of large-scale, centralised electricity generating facilities via a high voltage transmission system enables the pooling of both generation and demand, which in turn offers a number of economic and other benefits, such as more efficient bulk transfer of power and enabling surplus generation capacity in one area to be used to cover shortfalls elsewhere".</i> It is therefore government's policy to support the development of large-scale schemes alongside the other smaller types of scheme listed, which include (under micro-generation) domestic rooftop schemes. This representation therefore goes to the merits of the NPS, and to have regard to it would be directly in contradiction of NPS EN-1 (in particular the paragraphs cited above that make clear that the need is established and that there is no requirement to consider the contribution of individual projects). The determination of the Applicant's DCO Application is not the means by which to challenge the provisions of the NPS, and it is for this reason that the ExA and SoS are able to disregard this representation and any similar others, pursuant to sections 87, 94 and 106 of the Planning Act 2008 during the examination of the Application and when determining the Application.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				With regard to the environmental effects of the Scheme, the Scheme has been developed through an iterative design process undertaken in response to consultation and in accordance with the mitigation hierarchy to avoid, reduce and mitigate adverse effects on the environment. The assessments set out in the various chapters of the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] detail what impacts are expected as a result of the Scheme based on the minimum and maximum parameters of the Scheme, and the mitigation and enhancement measures that are proposed to reduce these impacts. The Planning Statement [APP-267] considers the adverse and beneficial effects of the Scheme in the application of the planning balance and concludes that the benefits of the Scheme outweigh the residual adverse impacts identified. The benefits of the Scheme are set out in Section 5.3 of the Planning Statement [APP-267] and include the delivery of large amounts of affordable, secure, and low carbon electricity which will help the UK to achieve net zero by 2050, ecological and landscape enhancements, biodiversity net gain, permissive paths, employment generation, economic benefits and skills training.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CC-34	RR-3764	Alternatives	Respondent noted that the National Grid should be upgraded instead so that it can connect areas of generation to regions of high consumption.	Please refer to the Applicant's response to Reference ID CC-19 above which explains that by connecting to the NETS, generation from the Scheme will be transmitted efficiently to both local consumers and across the country where it is required. This is a key benefit of the Scheme. Further, government's strategy is to prioritise offers for projects such as the Scheme which align with NESO's advice on connections which are deliverable by 2030, and which can demonstrate that they have the means to deliver, while maintaining a robust pipeline of deliverable projects beyond 2030.
CC-35	RR-4046, RR-4039	Solar Technology	Respondent expressed concern with the capacity factor of solar, which is worse relative to land usage.	The Applicant's Statement of Need [APP-266] confirms, at Paragraph 6.7.1, that NPS EN-3 (2023) indicates that along with associated infrastructure, a solar farm typically requires between 2 to 4 acres for each MW output [Para 2.10.17]. Different configurations have different performance characteristics in terms of acres/MW(p). The illustrative design for the Scheme lies within that range. However, while MW(p) is an important measure in relation to the maximum power which can be generated from a scheme, it is not the only metric by which the decarbonisation and energy security benefits brought forwards by solar schemes can or should be measured. Please also refer to the Applicant's response to Reference ID CC-28 above.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CC-36	RR-3293	Alternatives	Respondent expressed a preference for nuclear (over solar) as a nuclear power station takes up less land and is tried and tested.	Please refer to the Applicant's response to Reference ID CC-30 above.
CC-37	RR-1234	Solar Technology	Respondent expressed concern that the use of 'unreliable' solar panels will make the grid more vulnerable to blackouts.	The Applicant respectfully disagrees with this representation. Please refer to Reference ID CC-18 above, for the Applicant's response on the stability of the grid connection. Further, government analysis "shows that a secure, reliable, affordable, net zero consistent system in 2050 is likely to be composed predominantly of wind and solar" (NPS EN-1 (2023), Para 3.3.20).
CC-38	RR-4291	Recycling BESS	Respondent expressed concern about the disposal of batteries, which leads to more pollution	The Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278] and Outline Decommissioning Strategy (DS) [APP-279] secured by Schedule 2, Requirement 14 and Requirement 20 of the Draft DCO [APP-016] , set out the commitment of the Applicant to maximise recycling and reuse of the Scheme components at the end of their life. Section 4 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072] sets out that due to the market and policy trends, it is assumed that specialist regional or national facilities would be in place at the time of component replacement e.g. solar panels and batteries and decommissioning, and these would be developed in response to demand generated by the UK-wide solar PV panel industry and would be reused,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				recycled, or recovered and not disposed of to landfill. Details of how the BESS will be disposed of are provided within paragraphs 4.3.17-4.3.21 of the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan [APP-286]. The Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE) Regulations 2013 (as amended) and The Waste Batteries and Accumulators Regulations 2009 (as amended) place obligations on companies who place solar PV panels and batteries on the market to finance the costs of collection, treatment, recovery and environmentally sound disposal; and the landfill tax strongly incentivises reuse, recycling and recovery. The preparation and implementation of the detailed Operational Environmental Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline OEMP [APP-278], is secured through Requirement 14 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016]. The preparation and implementation of the detailed Decommissioning Strategy, substantially in accordance with the Outline DS [APP-279], is secured through Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016].
CC-39	RR-2929	Energy Policy	Respondent stated that the proposals contradict the purpose of GB Energy.	Paragraph 2.9.6 of the Applicant's Statement of Need [APP-266] explains that the government set up Great British Energy to "drive clean energy deployment

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				to boost energy independence, create jobs, and ensure UK taxpayers, billpayers and communities reap the benefits of clean, homegrown energy" [Clean Power 2030 Action Plan, p70]. Further, NPS EN-1 (2023) states at Para 3.2.3 that "It is for industry to propose new energy infrastructure projects that they assess to be viable within the strategic framework set by government. This is the nature of a market-based energy system". It is clear that schemes coming forward from Great British Energy and schemes which are coming forward from industry both go towards supporting the Clean Power 2030 mission and the Applicant cannot see any related contradictions.
CC-40	RR-4612	Energy Policy	Respondent asked that, if green power is such a concern, why doesn't the Government legislate that panels on all commercial and residential properties is compulsory.	Please refer to the Applicant's response to Reference ID CC-33 above, which explains that it is the Government's policy to support the development of large-scale schemes alongside the other smaller types of scheme listed, which include rooftop schemes.
CC-41	RR-1049, RR-4248, RR-1277, RR-1181, RR-4271, AS-004, RR-1444, RR-1315, RR-1315, RR-4137, RR-0934, RR-3497, RR-2105, RR-3217, RR-3704	Energy Policy	Respondents stated that the proposal is in conflict with NPS EN-1(2024).	Section 8 and Annex A: National Policy Accordance Tables of the Applicant's Planning Statement [APP-267] demonstrate the Scheme's compliance with the NPSs.
CC-42	RR-3074, RR-2366, RR-2506, RR-3760, RR-4165, RR-4605, RR-4787, RR-0378, RR-0895, RR-2537, RR-4847, RR-3765,	Environmental Impact and Carbon Emissions	Respondents expressed concern for the loss of carbon storage provided by the	While some carbon sequestration would be lost in comparison to arable land, every effort would be made to maintain suitable vegetation and landscaping through native

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0733, RR-1713, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-3071, RR-0652, RR-2105, RR-4225, RR-1647, RR-1599, RR-0246, RR-0519, RR-0149, RR-4539, RR-4713, RR-0963, RR-1660, RR-3719, RR-2110, RR-3866, RR-2080, RR-2845		grassland underneath the panels.	species. Ground beneath the panels can support native grasses, wildflowers and some managed vegetation. Reducing soil disturbance (i.e. no tilling of fields) can lead to increased soil carbon accumulation. Notwithstanding the above, solar schemes play an important role in combatting Climate Change through clean energy transition.
CC-43	RR-3749	Energy Security	Respondent stated that solar farms do not pose a threat to energy security.	The Applicant takes note of this comment and welcomes the support expressed.

2.5 Landscape and Visual

Table 2-5

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
LV-01	RR-4817, RR-2324, RR-2998, RR-3955, RR-1756, RR-2293, RR-1842, RR-3568, RR-3417, RR-0478, RR-2892, RR-1693, RR-2455, RR-2806, RR-3599, RR-0811, RR-0245, RR-3542, RR-0648, RR-1462, RR-0822, RR-1584, RR-4944, RR-1736, RR-1377, RR-4221, RR-2849, RR-3220, RR-3114, RR-1218, RR-1589, RR-1076, RR-0693, RR-4719, RR-1426, RR-1329, RR-2489, RR-1582, RR-1581, RR-0488, RR-1240, RR-2723, RR-4677, RR-4631, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-3074, RR-3898, RR-2908, RR-0502, RR-4874, RR-0231, RR-3565, RR-2860, RR-4161, RR-0275, RR-2014, RR-1926, RR-0338, RR-2430, RR-3335, RR-4135, RR-0347, RR-1424, RR-2345, RR-1353, RR-2993, RR-3584, RR-0208, RR-4550, RR-2396, RR-3510, RR-3617, RR-3726, RR-0961, RR-1526, RR-0639, RR-2109, RR-2609, RR-0778,	General Concern	Respondents expressed general concern for the visual impact of the Scheme. Some respondents expressed general concern for the visual impact of the Scheme on private views / nearby properties. Some respondents expressed concern about the industrialisation of the landscape/countryside and / or impact to the landscape character.	An assessment of landscape and visual effects is presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060]. The Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) assesses effects on identified landscape and visual receptors, including residential properties, users of public rights of way and roads, and identifies where significant effects may occur. The LVIA found no significant long term visual effects to residential properties as a consequence of the Scheme. The visual effects of the Scheme are summarised in ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-3-3: Summary of Visual Effects [APP-192]. Temporary Major/Moderate and Moderate Adverse effects on visual receptors have been recorded across the Scheme during construction and at Year 1. However, for the majority of these receptors, the effects reduce to non-significant levels as a result of the embedded mitigation measures which are set out in Section 8.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] and include landscape buffers,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3886, RR-4607, RR-1164, RR-1169, RR-2963, RR-1496, RR-3531, RR-2936, RR-2751, RR-0879, RR-3936, RR-1120, RR-4149, RR-2184, RR-0129, RR-1031, RR-0170, RR-1358, RR-1986, RR-2254, RR-0001, RR-0530, RR-4069, RR-3900, RR-2868, RR-2202, RR-1255, RR-4639, RR-2185, RR-3673, RR-2504, RR-4715, RR-1417, RR-0228, RR-2061, RR-1040, RR-2913, RR-1828, RR-3161, RR-2578, RR-4844, RR-0428, RR-1979, RR-0681, RR-4648, RR-0878, RR-4346, RR-1829, RR-4560, RR-4074, RR-4482, RR-1527, RR-2983, RR-4318, RR-4773, RR-3129, RR-2211, RR-4797, RR-1528, RR-4687, RR-0474, RR-0901, RR-3836, RR-1219, RR-1966, RR-4407, RR-4559, RR-3779, RR-0416, RR-3656, RR-0332, RR-2251, RR-1147, RR-1637, RR-3548, RR-3574, RR-1094, RR-0126, RR-0384, RR-2157, RR-1845, RR-4088, RR-0250, RR-1432, RR-3355, RR-0579, RR-3253, RR-2018, RR-3130, RR-1788, RR-0370, RR-2134, RR-4784, RR-0925, RR-1577, RR-1851, RR-4706, RR-4725, RR-4241, RR-1207, RR-4447, RR-2647, RR-3414, RR-3928, RR-3228,			retention of existing hedgerows and woodland, and new planting to provide screening and integration over time. The assessment also identifies that there would be some long-term significant effects on the visual amenity of users of some localised footpaths, as a result of the Scheme. This predominantly relates to locations where footpaths diagonally cross fields and mitigation planting would be inappropriate to landscape character and the legacy landscape. Those receptors which would experience Significant Long Term Visual Effects are listed in Table 8-30 of the LVIA within ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060]. The scale of development within the Order Limits has been significantly reduced from the layout assessed in the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) through an iterative design process undertaken in response to consultation and in accordance with the mitigation hierarchy to avoid, reduce and mitigate adverse effects. As part of this design evolution, panels along the edge of the Cotswolds National Landscape and along the Fosse Way have been removed. Mitigation measures to address landscape and visual effects are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060], ES Volume 2, Figure

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2457, RR-0346, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-3248, RR-4389, RR-0420, RR-2084, RR-2346, RR-3219, RR-0499, RR-0743, RR-3067, RR-2790, RR-4441, RR-4441, RR-4441, RR-1028, RR-0939, RR-0616, RR-0973, RR-2960, RR-0012, RR-1096, RR-1095, RR-0284, RR-3710, RR-4195, RR-2803, RR-1052, RR-3874, RR-4801, RR-3549, RR-4685, RR-1408, RR-1239, RR-0955, RR-2944, RR-3040, RR-1446, RR-2447, RR-3640, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-3745, RR-4236, RR-0828, RR-3100, RR-2219, RR-2686, RR-2895, RR-1457, RR-0887, RR-3947, RR-1847, RR-1847, RR-4823, RR-3009, RR-1627, RR-2904, RR-2783, RR-3399, RR-2062, RR-2283, RR-3996, RR-4093, RR-4257, RR-2927, RR-1722, RR-4598, RR-1325, RR-0574, RR-2511, RR-0109, RR-4879, RR-0558, RR-4548, RR-4503, RR-4912, RR-0904, RR-4014, RR-4540, RR-3872, RR-1316, RR-2640, RR-0102, RR-2661, RR-0753, RR-2236, RR-1486, RR-0074, RR-4590, RR-0225, RR-3654, RR-3808, RR-3631, RR-1606, RR-1999, RR-1594, RR-3297, RR-0004, RR-2798, RR-4184, RR-1132,			3-4-1 to 3-4-5.2 Landscape and Ecology Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and secured within the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] and the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. These documents include proposals for new and enhanced planting, retention of existing hedgerows and woodland, and landscape integration measures to reduce visual effects over time. In addition, the Scheme design incorporates minimum offsets from existing landscape features, including residential properties, ancient woodland, woodland, hedgerows, public rights of way and watercourses, to further limit visual impacts. Although these measures reduce the effects of the Scheme on nearly all receptors, as noted above there are 10 receptors which would experience Significant Visual Effects for the duration of the Scheme as set out in Table 8-30 of the LVIA set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060]. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed landscape and ecological management plans, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283] and Outline EPMS [APP-284], are secured through requirements in Schedule 2 of the Draft

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2450, RR-0372, RR-3690, RR-2287, RR-2124, RR-4524, RR-1969, RR-0805, RR-2590, RR-1610, RR-4758, RR-4286, RR-0583, RR-1090, RR-2114, RR-3707, RR-3707, RR-4317, RR-2816, RR-1575, RR-2926, RR-0769, RR-2794, RR-4194, RR-4453, RR-1055, RR-2419, RR-2818, RR-0564, RR-4796, RR-0452, RR-2207, RR-2678, RR-2207, RR-3873, RR-1712, RR-1784, RR-0406, RR-2979, RR-2854, RR-1872, RR-1872, RR-4674, RR-1872, RR-1803, RR-3165, RR-1574, RR-2119, RR-4699, RR-2182, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-1741, RR-2669, RR-0959, RR-0331, RR-1163, RR-2302, RR-2856, RR-3003, RR-2626, RR-0762, RR-4316, RR-4116, RR-4473, RR-3796, RR-1235, RR-1806, RR-0659, RR-3655, RR-1820, RR-2681, RR-1465, RR-2311, RR-3847, RR-0195, RR-0725, RR-1644, RR-3007, RR-2706, RR-2689, RR-1633, RR-0962, RR-2582, RR-3616, RR-0604, RR-1668, RR-3785, RR-1317, RR-0546, RR-1573, RR-1786, RR-2463, RR-4353, RR-0302, RR-0186, RR-3694, RR-4336, RR-2902, RR-1650, RR-0281, RR-2306, RR-0974, RR-4925, RR-4728,			Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring that the identified mitigation measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4603, RR-2131, RR-2205, RR-3721, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-0289, RR-4262, RR-1477, RR-0746, RR-2126, RR-0233, RR-1455, RR-0255, RR-1572, RR-0651, RR-0467, RR-0324, RR-1571, RR-2907, RR-2263, RR-3076, RR-3553, RR-4712, RR-1570, RR-3791, RR-1569, RR-1215, RR-4111, RR-4937, RR-4098, RR-0328, RR-1568, RR-3888, RR-2127, RR-4799, RR-4200, RR-1908, RR-2572, RR-2668, RR-4393, RR-0383, RR-0483, RR-3471, RR-1413, RR-3646, RR-1853, RR-2882, RR-1991, RR-4126, RR-2162, RR-2347, RR-4130, RR-4204, RR-3237, RR-0360, RR-2139, RR-4185, RR-0071, RR-1567, RR-3714, RR-1115, RR-2506, RR-1643, RR-3653, RR-3256, RR-1643, RR-4162, RR-3400, RR-3504, RR-2929, RR-0919, RR-0445, RR-2144, RR-2565, RR-2958, RR-4471, RR-1250, RR-3064, RR-1613, RR-2577, RR-1107, RR-0048, RR-3364, RR-0412, RR-3132, RR-1518, RR-1965, RR-0311, RR-3163, RR-4466, RR-0271, RR-3535, RR-0598, RR-0130, RR-4877, RR-2819, RR-4828, RR-1967, RR-4551, RR-2170, RR-4478, RR-4584, RR-1126, RR-2570,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2486, RR-1103, RR-2571, RR-2924, RR-2831, RR-4179, RR-2639, RR-4248, RR-2884, RR-3604, RR-4143, RR-0011, RR-2553, RR-2369, RR-1690, RR-3479, RR-0988, RR-0636, RR-0744, RR-0956, RR-2340, RR-2664, RR-1750, RR-2151, RR-1464, RR-3914, RR-1012, RR-4911, RR-2029, RR-0568, RR-2411, RR-3758, RR-4112, RR-0388, RR-1396, RR-2866, RR-3222, RR-3913, RR-4084, RR-1752, RR-1384, RR-1244, RR-4922, RR-1114, RR-2765, RR-1517, RR-4097, RR-2269, RR-0277, RR-1298, RR-2400, RR-3610, RR-1994, RR-2441, RR-3844, RR-2815, RR-1516, RR-3820, RR-2217, RR-0411, RR-0689, RR-3095, RR-0396, RR-1041, RR-4775, RR-2636, RR-1748, RR-4151, RR-0958, RR-3117, RR-1152, RR-2377, RR-0267, RR-2285, RR-0582, RR-4831, RR-2554, RR-0749, RR-2746, RR-3682, RR-1903, RR-2901, RR-3046, RR-1061, RR-3760, RR-0481, RR-4187, RR-0537, RR-3465, RR-4054, RR-3477, RR-0871, RR-1434, RR-0676, RR-0845, RR-0200, RR-0016, RR-0118, RR-1777, RR-3567, RR-3966, RR-4956, RR-4235, RR-2467, RR-3544,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0171, RR-4145, RR-1036, RR-4690, RR-3109, RR-2520, RR-3547, RR-3748, RR-1068, RR-4682, RR-3081, RR-3391, RR-4342, RR-3101, RR-4327, RR-4916, RR-2587, RR-2988, RR-3151, RR-2911, RR-3232, RR-0437, RR-1968, RR-3783, RR-3626, RR-2755, RR-4834, RR-1996, RR-1179, RR-1242, RR-1656, RR-0571, RR-4349, RR-2969, RR-0767, RR-3643, RR-2270, RR-1886, RR-1972, RR-3108, RR-1344, RR-1026, RR-3015, RR-0816, RR-2708, RR-1430, RR-0889, RR-2877, RR-2190, RR-4404, RR-2035, RR-1101, RR-4583, RR-1484, RR-4384, RR-1004, RR-0280, RR-0392, RR-0222, RR-3906, RR-2814, RR-2322, RR-0280, RR-3597, RR-4183, RR-0909, RR-4252, RR-0419, RR-4694, RR-0494, RR-0978, RR-0090, RR-3206, RR-1694, RR-3933, RR-2317, RR-2403, RR-3915, RR-2483, RR-3630, RR-4073, RR-1859, RR-4777, RR-3121, RR-4658, RR-2538, RR-1785, RR-3210, RR-4826, RR-1707, RR-4580, RR-0077, RR-0002, RR-4519, RR-3488, RR-4019, RR-3281, RR-4851, RR-1989, RR-2680, RR-4338, RR-3904, RR-2859, RR-0765, RR-0132,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2073, RR-4684, RR-3931, RR-1257, RR-3536, RR-2208, RR-4165, RR-4625, RR-2034, RR-0591, RR-0553, RR-0088, RR-2296, RR-4876, RR-3856, RR-2079, RR-2371, RR-2603, RR-1299, RR-3945, RR-0351, RR-1673, RR-0413, RR-2492, RR-4509, RR-2523, RR-0127, RR-1768, RR-2732, RR-4753, RR-2833, RR-4949, RR-1246, RR-1105, RR-2408, RR-3717, RR-1205, RR-2416, RR-1422, RR-1111, RR-1997, RR-0168, RR-0021, RR-3512, RR-4231, RR-1071, RR-0069, RR-3768, RR-2249, RR-3907, RR-3990, RR-2316, RR-2048, RR-3756, RR-1033, RR-1471, RR-1060, RR-1546, RR-1418, RR-1547, RR-3115, RR-2579, RR-1603, RR-1081, RR-4057, RR-1954, RR-3557, RR-2607, RR-1914, RR-3848, RR-2607, RR-1805, RR-0024, RR-4357, RR-3916, RR-1822, RR-4271, RR-3897, RR-1993, RR-0079, RR-0425, RR-4840, RR-3331, RR-2036, RR-3221, RR-1155, RR-2307, RR-3157, RR-3173, RR-2766, RR-2147, RR-0851, RR-0083, RR-4435, RR-2595, RR-2753, RR-3028, RR-3885, RR-1045, RR-0374, RR-1087, RR-4264, RR-4811, RR-4078, RR-4078,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2177, RR-0716, RR-3179, RR-2717, RR-0610, RR-1195, RR-3051, RR-1211, RR-4367, RR-0964, RR-4300, RR-0040, RR-2026, RR-4347, RR-3211, RR-2734, RR-1355, RR-2290, RR-3257, RR-2484, RR-1715, RR-1100, RR-3456, RR-3089, RR-0807, RR-0996, RR-4587, RR-1971, RR-1118, RR-0786, RR-0050, RR-2098, RR-1084, RR-2702, RR-3519, RR-0353, RR-3478, RR-3182, RR-2645, RR-0043, RR-2145, RR-3814, RR-2707, RR-4284, RR-2807, RR-4772, RR-0468, RR-1202, RR-2767, RR-3667, RR-4173, RR-2919, RR-0054, RR-2443, RR-0008, RR-4931, RR-1305, RR-4920, RR-2961, RR-3343, RR-0917, RR-2384, RR-4569, RR-1173, RR-1436, RR-4031, RR-1755, RR-1749, RR-3441, RR-0830, RR-2793, RR-1415, RR-2965, RR-4132, RR-1085, RR-0401, RR-4841, RR-1444, RR-3263, RR-1070, RR-0893, RR-1117, RR-0046, RR-1941, RR-1607, RR-4028, RR-0864, RR-3442, RR-2550, RR-4121, RR-4681, RR-4854, RR-3819, RR-4813, RR-3861, RR-2209, RR-0418, RR-4196, RR-4418, RR-1899, RR-2024, RR-1309, RR-0863, RR-4358, RR-0858,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4344, RR-3092, RR-4362, RR-3846, RR-0785, RR-4940, RR-3472, RR-4663, RR-3116, RR-4787, RR-2318, RR-0136, RR-1271, RR-4737, RR-2027, RR-0555, RR-0274, RR-4205, RR-2485, RR-4363, RR-3793, RR-0433, RR-2399, RR-2103, RR-4197, RR-0161, RR-1883, RR-0940, RR-0672, RR-0971, RR-1441, RR-1671, RR-4800, RR-4673, RR-0291, RR-2502, RR-0477, RR-1452, RR-1361, RR-1109, RR-1146, RR-1808, RR-0350, RR-2243, RR-2938, RR-1563, RR-4531, RR-4092, RR-3326, RR-0179, RR-4137, RR-4622, RR-4080, RR-4164, RR-2382, RR-1879, RR-1625, RR-0922, RR-2312, RR-1789, RR-1010, RR-1834, RR-3737, RR-1856, RR-3134, RR-4805, RR-1951, RR-0986, RR-4736, RR-3307, RR-2848, RR-3698, RR-1490, RR-1869, RR-4485, RR-3440, RR-2063, RR-2272, RR-2973, RR-4527, RR-3111, RR-4526, RR-4689, RR-4382, RR-0771, RR-4521, RR-2665, RR-0314, RR-1942, RR-4827, RR-0263, RR-3680, RR-1946, RR-1479, RR-3809, RR-4356, RR-0942, RR-2446, RR-4477, RR-1884, RR-3889, RR-1254, RR-1485, RR-4302, RR-1485,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0602, RR-1485, RR-2130, RR-4794, RR-2736, RR-0160, RR-1269, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-1225, RR-0728, RR-1427, RR-2606, RR-2368, RR-2417, RR-1726, RR-4884, RR-2605, RR-3445, RR-3666, RR-2764, RR-3395, RR-1319, RR-3395, RR-0735, RR-3734, RR-4319, RR-1728, RR-4049, RR-0621, RR-4555, RR-1439, RR-3528, RR-3670, RR-4061, RR-3328, RR-0999, RR-2641, RR-0834, RR-1463, RR-0775, RR-3689, RR-3451, RR-0870, RR-1208, RR-4662, RR-2524, RR-2527, RR-4530, RR-0232, RR-3878, RR-4780, RR-2939, RR-4021, RR-1139, RR-2747, RR-0471, RR-1982, RR-3380, RR-1660, RR-0818, RR-1716, RR-0148, RR-3332, RR-2617, RR-1554, RR-4445, RR-0910, RR-0385, RR-1970, RR-4855, RR-3573, RR-3963, RR-3505, RR-2672, RR-0288, RR-2274, RR-2214, RR-1038, RR-0831, RR-0025, RR-4902, RR-0836, RR-3177, RR-0527, RR-3342, RR-1858, RR-2016, RR-3208, RR-3784, RR-3790, RR-3880, RR-2351, RR-2338, RR-0082, RR-0809, RR-2879, RR-4632, RR-0506, RR-2703, RR-4333, RR-4759, RR-0490, RR-4872, RR-0330,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1492, RR-2598, RR-0934, RR-4035, RR-2305, RR-2828, RR-0739, RR-4561, RR-1719, RR-0296, RR-4050, RR-1035, RR-0674, RR-3564, RR-3351, RR-3703, RR-1767, RR-4459, RR-1342, RR-1251, RR-2180, RR-4309, RR-2101, RR-3352, RR-1294, RR-0184, RR-1381, RR-2923, RR-4667, RR-3193, RR-3664, RR-2533, RR-3133, RR-1013, RR-3310, RR-4343, RR-2771, RR-1451, RR-4634, RR-0702, RR-1612, RR-2132, RR-2179, RR-3339, RR-3448, RR-1106, RR-3524, RR-3026, RR-3905, RR-0523, RR-4762, RR-1698, RR-0449, RR-2891, RR-1550, RR-0567, RR-2121, RR-4392, RR-2300, RR-3884, RR-3727, RR-1148, RR-3663, RR-2278, RR-0634, RR-0617, RR-2046, RR-1140, RR-1328, RR-1864, RR-2905, RR-1023, RR-0685, RR-1288, RR-2407, RR-1265, RR-2379, RR-3693, RR-4518, RR-4878, RR-0256, RR-2727, RR-4518, RR-4888, RR-0926, RR-3937, RR-2163, RR-2839, RR-3943, RR-0817, RR-0500, RR-0084, RR-0038, RR-3156, RR-2477, RR-3156, RR-1280, RR-2843, RR-4008, RR-3044, RR-3021, RR-2051, RR-0675, RR-4638, RR-1382,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1925, RR-2233, RR-0158, RR-3799, RR-0752, RR-0951, RR-1703, RR-2242, RR-3490, RR-2534, RR-1617, RR-1664, RR-0258, RR-2189, RR-4487, RR-0178, RR-3551, RR-3238, RR-0417, RR-2675, RR-3985, RR-2809, RR-0894, RR-3120, RR-3877, RR-0690, RR-0924, RR-1371, RR-0223, RR-0670, RR-4405, RR-1196, RR-2435, RR-3298, RR-3315, RR-2546, RR-3433, RR-4270, RR-2060, RR-2865, RR-0094, RR-1001, RR-4233, RR-4094, RR-3598, RR-4290, RR-0410, RR-3378, RR-2380, RR-3674, RR-2752, RR-2985, RR-3618, RR-4218, RR-4633, RR-4885, RR-0804, RR-0010, RR-1796, RR-1252, RR-3973, RR-4614, RR-1525, RR-3250, RR-4171, RR-4038, RR-0510, RR-1018, RR-0221, RR-2108, RR-1688, RR-0191, RR-4850, RR-4109, RR-3594, RR-3202, RR-0779, RR-0059, RR-2525, RR-0650, RR-0584, RR-0715, RR-3730, RR-0829, RR-3845, RR-4451, RR-0733, RR-3397, RR-3030, RR-0578, RR-4705, RR-1948, RR-0072, RR-3834, RR-1270, RR-0932, RR-0521, RR-3781, RR-0514, RR-4002, RR-2722, RR-1713, RR-4510, RR-2974, RR-0678,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4507, RR-3196, RR-0063, RR-3154, RR-1375, RR-1850, RR-0589, RR-2020, RR-0359, RR-1174, RR-2496, RR-1950, RR-2522, RR-3862, RR-0052, RR-1666, RR-1499, RR-3851, RR-1614, RR-2017, RR-1197, RR-4222, RR-1867, RR-4388, RR-4321, RR-3285, RR-4860, RR-2996, RR-4950, RR-0454, RR-1212, RR-4368, RR-2298, RR-2835, RR-1461, RR-0475, RR-0414, RR-1723, RR-0310, RR-3431, RR-4044, RR-0837, RR-4251, RR-3324, RR-1393, RR-1397, RR-1393, RR-2967, RR-0663, RR-3949, RR-0142, RR-4903, RR-0885, RR-2188, RR-2743, RR-1901,RR-3521, RR-4525, RR-1661, RR-3083, RR-0261, RR-1626, RR-0125, RR-0933, RR-3158, RR-0497, RR-2673, RR-3857, RR-4268, RR-3071, RR-0995, RR-4756, RR-2133, RR-0049,RR-0057, RR-4504, RR-1510, RR-3262, RR-0740, RR-0427, RR-1881, RR-1729, RR-2328, RR-4890, RR-1542, RR-3061, RR-1386, RR-1336, RR-3404, RR-3496, RR-3325, RR-3865,RR-0287, RR-2897, RR-4577, RR-1781, RR-1321, RR-4785, RR-1934, RR-0432, RR-1322, RR-4214, RR-1141, RR-3870, RR-0560,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1937, RR-4355, RR-2695, RR-1543, RR-1223, RR-4679, RR-1544, RR-0479, RR-3746, RR-2436, RR-2218, RR-4060, RR-0701, RR-4532, RR-4253, RR-0240, RR-4786, RR-1137, RR-0812, RR-0970, RR-3398, RR-3006, RR-1988, RR-3278, RR-2275, RR-3268, RR-4538, RR-0528, RR-3956, RR-1721, RR-4499, RR-2072, RR-3338, RR-3629, RR-2437, RR-2635, RR-1159, RR-3852, RR-3879, RR-4683, RR-0081, RR-3160, RR-1373, RR-2795, RR-4460, RR-1193, RR-0625, RR-2314, RR-0227, RR-1635, RR-1995, RR-4174, RR-1131, RR-3701, RR-0788, RR-4079, RR-4016, RR-1646, RR-2699, RR-4596, RR-4928, RR-2234, RR-3284, RR-3697, RR-3832, RR-1495, RR-3761, RR-0903, RR-0539, RR-3367, RR-0545, RR-1379, RR-0520, RR-0721, RR-1659, RR-0248, RR-4129, RR-1182, RR-3347, RR-2056, RR-1871, RR-4201, RR-3960, RR-2549, RR-3155, RR-2813, RR-3864, RR-3087, RR-0187, RR-2378, RR-3525, RR-4861, RR-1421, RR-0806, RR-2650, RR-1419, RR-2149, RR-1935, RR-3815, RR-4226, RR-1921, RR-0064, RR-3170, RR-2942, RR-1168,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3876, RR-3096, RR-2239, RR-3497, RR-4331, RR-1104, RR-1112, RR-2383, RR-2472, RR-4554, RR-3777, RR-0134, RR-3999, RR-4836, RR-1363, RR-3239, RR-3976, RR-3416, RR-1433, RR-0912, RR-4830, RR-4387, RR-3271, RR-3927, RR-2880, RR-2105, RR-0220, RR-2642, RR-2247, RR-2453, RR-1846, RR-0865, RR-1522, RR-1634, RR-4930, RR-3159, RR-3855, RR-3231, RR-4695, RR-2482, RR-1047, RR-0793, RR-0460, RR-4413, RR-2789, RR-0750, RR-2955, RR-2513, RR-4225, RR-0534, RR-2583, RR-3022, RR-2656, RR-3641, RR-3641, RR-2621, RR-4153, RR-4760, RR-0768, RR-0549, RR-3738, RR-0664, RR-4853, RR-3317, RR-2465, RR-0709, RR-1017, RR-4159, RR-0469, RR-1330, RR-1414, RR-0241, RR-4816, RR-3473, RR-3742, RR-3055, RR-4628, RR-0540, RR-3103, RR-2216, RR-3227, RR-3227, RR-3575, RR-1876, RR-4547, RR-2780, RR-4425, RR-2405, RR-3798, RR-4446, RR-1657, RR-1647, RR-0301, RR-1599, RR-2238, RR-0688, RR-4889, RR-3569, RR-1400, RR-3384, RR-0101, RR-3384, RR-2252, RR-0866, RR-3147,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2832, RR-3379, RR-4693, RR-1747, RR-3860, RR-4480, RR-2580, RR-2258, RR-0246, RR-0977, RR-2634, RR-3942, RR-3520, RR-1652, RR-0938, RR-2543, RR-3951, RR-3810, RR-3357, RR-4515, RR-3176, RR-2156, RR-1056, RR-1897, RR-1738, RR-4330, RR-4266, RR-2861, RR-2066, RR-0918, RR-3413, RR-3606, RR-3053, RR-0792, RR-0192, RR-1356, RR-2213, RR-3586, RR-4166, RR-3438, RR-2088, RR-3930, RR-4624, RR-0085, RR-3296, RR-3032, RR-1992, RR-3713, RR-4190, RR-0662, RR-1284, RR-2775, RR-4337, RR-2841, RR-1245, RR-3729, RR-0066, RR-1636, RR-1759, RR-2697, RR-4867, RR-4009, RR-4001, RR-1062, RR-4938, RR-1708, RR-0508, RR-0037, RR-0577, RR-2887, RR-1198, RR-2709, RR-1691, RR-4556, RR-4729, RR-0399, RR-4565, RR-3678, RR-3235, RR-2959, RR-3461, RR-3554, RR-3825, RR-4306, RR-3390, RR-3509, RR-4379, RR-3728, RR-4305, RR-3743, RR-3743, RR-3097, RR-3102, RR-1813, RR-4208, RR-3506, RR-1534, RR-0966, RR-2802, RR-2776, RR-3668, RR-4461, RR-1450, RR-2406, RR-4642,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0336, RR-1771, RR-4440, RR-4742, RR-4824, RR-4215, RR-1340, RR-1981, RR-0451, RR-1521, RR-0911, RR-2763, RR-4929, RR-4366, RR-1849, RR-1981, RR-1364, RR-2297, RR-3213, RR-4148, RR-3321, RR-0334, RR-3060, RR-4148, RR-4228, RR-2323, RR-3005, RR-4228, RR-1602, RR-1649, RR-0501, RR-3651, RR-2221, RR-0242, RR-2221, RR-1639, RR-0491, RR-1936, RR-1831, RR-2691, RR-3578, RR-0783, RR-1839, RR-4172, RR-0106, RR-1940, RR-4732, RR-2449, RR-0453, RR-2473, RR-3066, RR-3172, RR-0622, RR-1745, RR-0839, RR-3723, RR-4043, RR-2591, RR-0655, RR-4915, RR-4508, RR-1598, RR-1922, RR-1917, RR-2610, RR-0303, RR-3487, RR-0756, RR-1898, RR-3217, RR-1595, RR-1102, RR-3495, RR-1258, RR-4433, RR-0886, RR-2912, RR-2729, RR-0891, RR-0559, RR-4004, RR-0167, RR-0600, RR-1448, RR-2229, RR-1149, RR-4058, RR-0377, RR-2191, RR-2683, RR-2518, RR-4661, RR-0686, RR-2556, RR-1380, RR-2475, RR-1497, RR-1385, RR-2166, RR-1082, RR-2562, RR-2698, RR-2544, RR-1836, RR-3375,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2052, RR-2284, RR-0152, RR-0798, RR-0056, RR-2373, RR-1794, RR-1530, RR-3704, RR-1890, RR-0269, RR-1800, RR-1154, RR-2159, RR-0596, RR-2556, RR-2256, RR-4124, RR-0089, RR-4341, RR-1185, RR-2228, RR-1531, RR-2648, RR-4085, RR-3647, RR-3912, RR-3912, RR-1002, RR-0573, RR-2886, RR-3893, RR-4118, RR-2822, RR-1609, RR-3275, RR-4051, RR-4260, RR-4056, RR-1909, RR-1734, RR-4754, RR-1615, RR-2155, RR-4672, RR-4488, RR-1821, RR-0533, RR-2210, RR-3459, RR-2267, RR-0251, RR-0835, RR-0177, RR-0929, RR-3327, RR-2852, RR-4630, RR-0619, RR-2667, RR-1804, RR-0677, RR-3280, RR-3934, RR-0619, RR-1445, RR-4449, RR-1774, RR-4325, RR-4802, RR-4958, RR-2152, RR-1116, RR-0438, RR-1199, RR-4802, RR-4539, RR-0028, RR-3622, RR-3419, RR-4640, RR-1823, RR-1532, RR-1362, RR-0838, RR-1261, RR-3345, RR-4119, RR-4067, RR-3998, RR-0459, RR-2630, RR-2966, RR-4025, RR-0033, RR-0697, RR-0737, RR-3085, RR-3527, RR-1296, RR-0989, RR-3241, RR-3420, RR-4399, RR-0466,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2685, RR-3508, RR-0562, RR-2710, RR-3766, RR-1631, RR-1648, RR-2386, RR-4104, RR-2497, RR-3532, RR-2495, RR-4104, RR-3767, RR-3552, RR-2782, RR-1889, RR-1857, RR-2842, RR-0144, RR-2480, RR-2585, RR-3607, RR-0349, RR-0463, RR-2977, RR-3805, RR-3139, RR-3406, RR-2299, RR-2508, RR-2235, RR-4664, RR-0253, RR-1586, RR-3539, RR-0704, RR-0667, RR-2693, RR-0075, RR-0354, RR-4743, RR-2067, RR-2448, RR-3436, RR-1952, RR-1233, RR-4502, RR-3769, RR-3786, RR-3255, RR-3826, RR-3058, RR-0782, RR-1681, RR-4881, RR-3984, RR-4864, RR-3188, RR-0286, RR-4858, RR-0653, RR-1067, RR-0422, RR-0111, RR-0229, RR-0166, RR-0229, RR-0394, RR-4062, RR-3803, RR-3871, RR-1194, RR-4416, RR-2869, RR-3200, RR-3601, RR-3588, RR-1714, RR-0694, RR-0566, RR-1536, RR-1621, RR-4007, RR-0031, RR-2542, RR-2395, RR-4832, RR-2864, RR-1405, RR-1902, RR-0720, RR-2141, RR-0593, RR-1236, RR-0660, RR-0015, RR-4042, RR-3922, RR-0963, RR-4095, RR-2805, RR-3008, RR-0566, RR-0703,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4091, RR-4427, RR-1854, RR-4091, RR-4372, RR-1939, RR-0950, RR-2989, RR-0522, RR-2589, RR-4295, RR-0201, RR-2094, RR-4311, RR-4497, RR-4023, RR-4220, RR-0797, RR-3839, RR-2797, RR-3577, RR-3581, RR-3428, RR-1537, RR-1684, RR-3605, RR-0665, RR-0892, RR-4354, RR-0810, RR-4791, RR-3302, RR-3014, RR-3644, RR-2491, RR-3466, RR-0614, RR-4371, RR-2397, RR-3396, RR-3360, RR-1660, RR-3513, RR-1368, RR-2165, RR-2433, RR-3920, RR-2429, RR-1758, RR-2725, RR-4134, RR-2175, RR-0790, RR-3374, RR-1638, RR-0821, RR-4227, RR-4857, RR-2637, RR-1359, RR-4770, RR-1283, RR-1129, RR-3637, RR-0156, RR-0105, RR-3579, RR-2294, RR-4191, RR-4904, RR-4115, RR-3838, RR-4904, RR-1931, RR-3476, RR-0518, RR-3909, RR-4375, RR-4545, RR-2847, RR-1387, RR-4374, RR-4182, RR-3192, RR-3036, RR-4276, RR-0247, RR-4875, RR-1797, RR-4322, RR-3719, RR-2825, RR-1500, RR-1539, RR-4954, RR-1778, RR-4771, RR-2359, RR-4862, RR-4289, RR-0525, RR-4623, RR-2264, RR-4505, RR-3657,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1868, RR-3214, RR-2883, RR-4571, RR-0423, RR-2696, RR-1201, RR-1896, RR-1900, RR-1161, RR-2325, RR-3091, RR-4365, RR-2167, RR-3023, RR-0408, RR-1390,RR-2937, RR-1020, RR-3649, RR-0556, RR-3859, RR-2557, RR-3319, RR-2304, RR-4535, RR-4582, RR-0609, RR-3162, RR-3563, RR-4943, RR-2077, RR-0860, RR-2110, RR-0671, RR-0682, RR-2301, RR-4779, RR-0207, RR-3613, RR-4030, RR-3450, RR-3105, RR-2777, RR-0745, RR-2488, RR-0789, RR-3070, RR-2201, RR-2788, RR-2788, RR-2246, RR-2871, RR-3502, RR-4589, RR-2116, RR-0512, RR-2608, RR-0333, RR-0211, RR-4421, RR-1692, RR-4409, RR-3198, RR-1345, RR-0774, RR-1016, RR-3589, RR-4653, RR-4599, RR-2940, RR-0327, RR-3314, RR-3648, RR-0794, RR-0684, RR-0854, RR-4722, RR-4566, RR-0317, RR-3533, RR-3098, RR-2997, RR-2952, RR-0611, RR-3401, RR-2840, RR-0833, RR-1590, RR-2320, RR-0117, RR-0770, RR-4045, RR-4037, RR-2612, RR-2082, RR-4716, RR-3485, RR-4948, RR-1324, RR-0661, RR-3958, RR-3452, RR-2053, RR-1287,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3306, RR-0352, RR-1783, RR-0719, RR-2649, RR-3708, RR-0390, RR-1623, RR-0890, RR-3001, RR-0754, RR-4438, RR-3468, RR-1366, RR-4107, RR-2192, RR-4142, RR-4620, RR-4593, RR-4835, RR-2845, RR-3979, RR-2494, RR-3107, RR-0730, RR-1098, AS-008, AS-012, PDA-016, AS-003, RR-0623, RR-0717, RR-0984, RR-1204, RR-1378, RR-1523, RR-1604, RR-1651, RR-1658, RR-1696, RR-1711, RR-1775, RR-1861, RR-1947, RR-2286, RR-2618, RR-2724, RR-2724, RR-3279, RR-3361, RR-3361, RR-3435, RR-3463, RR-3467, RR-3592, RR-3602, RR-3995, RR-4180, RR-4189, RR-4310, RR-4310, RR-4765, RR-4645, RR-4765, RR-4901			
LV-02	RR-1756, RR-3417, RR-0440, RR-2892, RR-4944, RR-2519, RR-1240, RR-1398, RR-4550, RR-2396, RR-0778, RR-4069, RR-2202, RR-0379, RR-2631, RR-4829, RR-1829, RR-4482, RR-4318, RR-2560, RR-1350, RR-4797, RR-1528, RR-4687, RR-0680, RR-4806, RR-4407, RR-3199, RR-2157, RR-4819, RR-4088, RR-4423, RR-3928, RR-2600, RR-0973, RR-2499, RR-3745, RR-1730, RR-4401,	Panel Height	Respondents expressed concern about the height of panels and / or that the panel heights proposed were too high / tall. Some respondents specified 4.5m is too high.	The height of the solar PV panels has been assessed using clearly defined design parameters, including a maximum height of 4.5 metres above ground level at maximum tilt, as set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055]. These parameters represent a realistic worst-case scenario for the purposes of the Environmental Impact Assessment. Mitigation measures to address landscape and visual effects, including measures that reduce the perceived height and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4823, RR-4598, RR-0225, RR-3654, RR-3808, RR-1594, RR-2356, RR-2394, RR-1969, RR-0805, RR-4758, RR-2114, RR-1591, RR-1769, RR-4089, RR-2419, RR-2207, RR-2174, RR-3873, RR-0406, RR-2979, RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-1741, RR-1163, RR-2302, RR-2626, RR-1263, RR-0725, RR-3007, RR-2990, RR-4618, RR-1317, RR-1817, RR-2276, RR-1650, RR-4728, RR-2131, RR-0404, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-1893, RR-0233, RR-3063, RR-3700, RR-2452, RR-2509, RR-1568, RR-1413, RR-2882, RR-4655, RR-4126, RR-4130, RR-0071, RR-3714, RR-3653, RR-1107, RR-3364, RR-0311, RR-1051, RR-4478, RR-1103, RR-2571, RR-2831, RR-4179, RR-2555, RR-0636, RR-2029, RR-2411, RR-3913, RR-4084, RR-1752, RR-1517, RR-2400, RR-1994, RR-3844, RR-3997, RR-4544, RR-1930, RR-4151, RR-0958, RR-1152, RR-2901, RR-3760, RR-2136, RR-0845, RR-3544, RR-1036, RR-4327, RR-1078, RR-3789, RR-2587, RR-0437, RR-0767, RR-4873, RR-3108, RR-3842, RR-4404, RR-4583, RR-3597, RR-0978, RR-0068, RR-0801, RR-0090, RR-1694,			prominence of panels in views, are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060], ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4-1 to 3-4-5.2 Landscape and Ecology Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and secured within the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. These include new and enhanced planting, retention of existing hedgerows and woodland, and landscape integration measures to reduce visual effects over time. ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] assesses the effectiveness of the mitigation measures over time and concludes that, in the majority of locations, where significant adverse visual effects are recorded, the visual effects would reduce to non-significant as mitigation planting establishes and matures. This demonstrates that the proposed mitigation is effective in reducing the visual effects of the Scheme. On this basis, a maximum panel height of 4.5m is not considered excessive. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed LEMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283], are secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2403, RR-4519, RR-4019, RR-2227, RR-4851, RR-1480, RR-2859, RR-0132, RR-3269, RR-2230, RR-0088, RR-4876, RR-3945, RR-4381, RR-0351, RR-1673, RR-2523, RR-2536, RR-1105, RR-1557, RR-4278, RR-2579, RR-1603, RR-0092, RR-1181, RR-3331, RR-0430, RR-3173, RR-0374, RR-1211, RR-2026, RR-2098, RR-4284, RR-4125, RR-4173, RR-2548, RR-1305, RR-1262, RR-2961, RR-3136, RR-1173, RR-1436, RR-1755, RR-3441, RR-0780, RR-2793, RR-4132, RR-1980, RR-1070, RR-1444, RR-4114, RR-1941, RR-2550, RR-4121, RR-4813, RR-4344, RR-4362, RR-3472, RR-1022, RR-0136, RR-2027, RR-2399, RR-2103, RR-0477, RR-0350, RR-3326, RR-4164, RR-3111, RR-3243, RR-0588, RR-1942, RR-3112, RR-3809, RR-3889, RR-4302, RR-0602, RR-1485, RR-4476, RR-2130, RR-2736, RR-1556, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-1225, RR-2736, RR-2368, RR-1726, RR-3666, RR-1319, RR-1728, RR-4778, RR-4842, RR-2526, RR-2524, RR-3392, RR-4621, RR-0969, RR-1970, RR-2214, RR-4902, RR-2351, RR-0809, RR-1492, RR-0934, RR-2305,			identified mitigation measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0128, RR-3974, RR-2187, RR-4309, RR-1294, RR-1870, RR-2994, RR-2533, RR-1013, RR-2132, RR-1678, RR-1106, RR-0523, RR-0449, RR-2121, RR-1372, RR-2601, RR-1148, RR-0634, RR-3663, RR-0617, RR-0044, RR-4518, RR-2163, RR-0038, RR-4022, RR-1540, RR-3739, RR-4081, RR-4008, RR-0675, RR-0752, RR-2811, RR-1703, RR-4820, RR-1617, RR-1664, RR-4483, RR-0178, RR-3238, RR-2675,RR-3985, RR-3877, RR-3348, RR-1371, RR-0223, RR-1431, RR-2435, RR-2546, RR-2946, RR-4270, RR-3313, RR-3598, RR-4290, RR-0410, RR-4087, RR-2372, RR-1252, RR-3250, RR-0489, RR-3202, RR-0584, RR-3781, RR-1375, RR-3923, RR-1499, RR-0729, RR-4321, RR-4950, RR-2291, RR-3353, RR-1397, RR-4903, RR-2673, RR-0995, RR-0049, RR-3262, RR-3407, RR-1175, RR-3061, RR-3496, RR-3325, RR-1781, RR-0479, RR-0240, RR-4597, RR-3852, RR-4683, RR-1373, RR-2314, RR-0227, RR-1830, RR-3676, RR-0657, RR-0520, RR-4129, RR-2056, RR-1871, RR-2549, RR-3864, RR-0139, RR-3525, RR-0203, RR-3876, RR-2953,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0306, RR-3999, RR-3164, RR-2453, RR-0865, RR-1522, RR-1770, RR-2955, RR-1059, RR-0549, RR-4853, RR-3317, RR-4619, RR-1647, RR-0301, RR-1599, RR-2238, RR-3867, RR-1400, RR-1747, RR-2258, RR-1652, RR-3357, RR-3517, RR-2156, RR-1056, RR-3606, RR-3176, RR-3176, RR-0595, RR-4337, RR-3992, RR-4792, RR-4938, RR-1691, RR-4565, RR-3097, RR-4029, RR-1360, RR-4461, RR-4742, RR-2297, RR-3213, RR-1809, RR-1915, RR-4394, RR-3651, RR-0491, RR-1936, RR-2691, RR-2473, RR-3172, RR-1745, RR-0655, RR-4915, RR-1917, RR-3487, RR-1898, RR-3217, RR-2867, RR-2921, RR-0886, RR-2912, RR-2729, RR-4004, RR-1149, RR-2683, RR-0515, RR-0686, RR-1380, RR-0152, RR-0798, RR-1794, RR-4064, RR-4511, RR-0596, RR-0089, RR-4341, RR-0844, RR-3647, RR-2886, RR-2822, RR-4056, RR-3633, RR-1821, RR-0533, RR-4946, RR-2210, RR-2007, RR-0929, RR-2852, RR-4003, RR-0619, RR-0677, RR-3934, RR-4697, RR-4325, RR-1116, RR-0438, RR-4802, RR-1199, RR-0028, RR-4408, RR-2644, RR-0838,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0033, RR-0466, RR-3508, RR-1648, RR-2386, RR-4104, RR-3532, RR-4104, RR-3767, RR-0144, RR-2585, RR-3607, RR-0121, RR-2086, RR-4245, RR-1178, RR-4502, RR-3255, RR-3058, RR-3817, RR-0218, RR-0409, RR-1906, RR-4864, RR-0286, RR-1067, RR-0422, RR-0229, RR-4062, RR-3871, RR-0606, RR-0967, RR-1338, RR-3200, RR-2792, RR-2542, RR-1727, RR-1854, RR-3901, RR-2720, RR-4372, RR-1483, RR-0522, RR-0201, RR-0898, RR-0329, RR-3045, RR-0340, RR-2545, RR-3712, RR-3839, RR-3577, RR-1537, RR-3605, RR-0810, RR-3466, RR-3513, RR-2429, RR-1758, RR-2725, RR-3374, RR-2637, RR-3637, RR-1283, RR-4292, RR-2310, RR-3838, RR-3909, RR-4182, RR-3192, RR-4875, RR-1797, RR-4875, RR-1778, RR-4623, RR-1868, RR-2696, RR-4230, RR-2167, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-3460, RR-2304, RR-3829, RR-3162, RR-2077, RR-2110, RR-0207, RR-2488, RR-0789, RR-4779, RR-2201, RR-4070, RR-2788, RR-1016, RR-3589, RR-4653, RR-4566, RR-3650, RR-4608, RR-0833, RR-3603, RR-0189, RR-4037, RR-4710,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0661, RR-3001, RR-4835, RR-2845, AS-012, RR-1604, RR-1947, RR-2602, RR-4189			
LV-03	RR-3724, RR-1398, RR-0347, RR-2184, RR-0379, RR-4639, RR-1637, RR-0635, RR-2457, RR-1090, RR-3873, RR-1784, RR-2681, RR-2131, RR-1477, RR-0746, RR-0328, RR-3888, RR-0279, RR-1967, RR-2486, RR-4700, RR-4922, RR-3844, RR-3820, RR-2949, RR-2136, RR-0118, RR-3567, RR-2467, RR-2680, RR-4567, RR-0088, RR-2536, RR-1105, RR-1603, RR-0092, RR-1087, RR-2389, RR-2702, RR-4125, RR-0917, RR-1070, RR-4114, RR-4681, RR-2027, RR-4737, RR-2103, RR-4622, RR-1856, RR-4574, RR-0602, RR-1492, RR-0674, RR-4309, RR-1294, RR-1013, RR-1678, RR-1106, RR-2121, RR-1540, RR-3635, RR-1617, RR-2675, RR-1431, RR-3298, RR-4232, RR-0933, RR-3857, RR-0287, RR-0479, RR-0240, RR-4499, RR-0227, RR-3832, RR-3864, RR-3497, RR-1522, RR-0664, RR-0640, RR-4853, RR-1400, RR-1652, RR-2156, RR-4792, RR-1708, RR-4306, RR-3825, RR-4642, RR-1809, RR-3172, RR-1601, RR-2284, RR-1185, RR-4341, RR-4003,	Mitigation Measures	Respondents expressed general scepticism towards the effectiveness of the visual mitigation measures proposed. Some respondents expressed no amount of visual mitigation would be sufficient.	The Scheme design has been informed by an iterative design process and incorporates a comprehensive package of embedded mitigation measures to avoid, reduce and mitigate landscape and visual effects. These measures include sensitive siting, reductions to the Scheme boundary, minimum offsets from key receptors, retention of existing vegetation, and the provision of new landscape planting and enhancement. ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] assesses the effectiveness of the mitigation measures over time and concludes that, in the majority of locations, where significant adverse visual effects are recorded, the visual effects would reduce to non-significant as mitigation planting establishes and matures. This demonstrates that the proposed mitigation is effective in reducing the visual effects of the Scheme. Visualisations of the Scheme have been undertaken to show the effect of mitigation at Year 1 and Year 15. Refer to ES Volume 2, Figure 8-14 Baseline Photography and Photomontages [APP-103 – APP-105] and revision ES Volume 2, Figure 8-14 Baseline Photography and Photomontages [AS-001].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3622, RR-0599, RR-0253, RR-2086, RR-4502, RR-3255, RR-1906, RR-0262, RR-3839, RR-3577, RR-3428, RR-1537, RR-3277, RR-0810, RR-3513, RR-2310, RR-1826, RR-4505, RR-2176, RR-3650, RR-3098, RR-1783			NPS EN-1 recognises at para 5.10.5 that <i>“Virtually all nationally significant energy infrastructure projects will have adverse effects on the landscape, but there may also be beneficial landscape character impacts arising from mitigation.”</i> The LVIA has identified that there would be some long-term significant effects on the visual amenity of users of some localised footpaths, as a result of the Scheme. This predominantly relates to locations where footpaths diagonally cross fields and mitigation planting would be inappropriate to landscape character and the legacy landscape. There are 10 receptors which would experience Significant Long Term Visual Effects for the duration of the Scheme as set out in Table 8-30 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060]. Details of the mitigation measures and their long-term management are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060], ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4-1 to 3-4-5.2 Landscape and Ecology Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and secured within the Outline LEMP [APP-283]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed LEMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283], are secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring that the identified mitigation measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
LV-04	RR-3762, RR-2219, RR-2768, RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-2514, RR-4152, RR-3178, RR-2460, RR-0529, RR-2690, RR-4437, RR-2344	Mitigation Measures	Respondents expressed support and / acknowledge the need for the proposed visual mitigation measures.	The Applicant notes this comment and welcomes the support for the visual mitigation measures proposed.
LV-05	RR-1377, RR-2184, RR-1637, RR-2457, RR-4912, RR-4098, RR-3820, RR-2136, RR-3906, RR-2702, RR-4737, RR-4302, RR-0870, RR-4021, RR-1013, RR-2121, RR-0933, RR-2314, RR-0640, RR-3172, RR-2284, RR-1185, RR-2644, RR-4546, RR-0599, RR-0810, RR-4623, RR-4505, RR-3098	Screening	Respondents expressed that screening will take too long to grow and / or will not be effective during the winter months.	The landscape and visual effects of the Scheme, including the effectiveness and phasing of proposed screening and planting, are assessed in the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060]. The LVIA considers landscape and visual effects over time, including during the early years of the Scheme before planting is fully established, and identifies where significant effects may occur. The LVIA acknowledges that it takes time for proposed new planting to establish and mature to provide the screening required to reduce the visual effect of the Scheme. The effects of the mitigation measures are assessed at Year 1 in winter when vegetation is not in leaf, representing the worst-case scenario in terms of screening and at Year 15 in summer to demonstrate the screening effects of the Embedded Mitigation. Refer to ES Volume 2, Figure 8-14 Baseline Photography and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Photomontages [APP-103 – APP-105] and revision ES Volume 2, Figure 8-14 Baseline Photography and Photomontages [AS-001]. The proposed change in management of existing hedgerows to allow them to grow out and be maintained at 4.5m provides a quicker method of screening of the Scheme. The LVIA recognises that there would be Adverse effects on visual amenity in the early years of operation. The visual effects of the Scheme are summarised in ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-3-3: Summary of Visual Effects [APP-192]. For the majority of the receptors where significant adverse visual effects are recorded, the visual effects would reduce to non-significant as mitigation planting establishes and matures. NPS EN-1 recognises at para 5.10.5 that <i>“Virtually all nationally significant energy infrastructure projects will have adverse effects on the landscape, but there may also be beneficial landscape character impacts arising from mitigation.”</i> Mitigation measures for such effects are detailed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060], ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4-1 to 3-4-5.2 Landscape and Ecology Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and secured within the Outline Landscape and Ecological Mitigation

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. Proposed planting comprises a mix of native species designed to enhance biodiversity and resilience, with planting design prioritising long-term effectiveness and landscape integration. The Outline LEMP [APP-283] sets out the approach to establishment, maintenance and management of planting to ensure its effectiveness over the lifetime of the Scheme, including in the early years of operation. The assessment of the effects of the Embedded Mitigation (proposed planting) has assumed a conservative, uniform rate of growth is allowed for trees, shelterbelts, and woodland mitigation planting of 0.4m every 1 year. At Year 15 this will result in new trees, shelterbelts, and woodland plantings having reached a minimum height of 7.5m. A uniform growth rate is allowed for new hedgerows of 0.4m every 1 year. This would result in hedgerows being able to be maintained at a height of 4.5m by Year 15. The LVIA includes verified viewpoints with both summer and winter photography to demonstrate year-round changes to the landscape. Photomontages at Year 15 illustrate the effectiveness of established planting, while also demonstrating the intended retention of openness across the receiving landscape. Hedgerows on the western edge of fields within Lime Down C,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				which border the Cotswolds National Landscape, are proposed to be maintained at approximately 1.5 metres (or as existing, if greater) to retain open views within the setting of the Cotswolds National Landscape. This management approach is set out in the Outline LEMP [APP-283]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283], are secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that mitigation planting and screening measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
LV-06	RR-3135, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-3460	Buffer Zones	Respondents expressed that the proposed buffer zones are insufficient and / or should be increased.	The location and extent of buffer zones and minimum offsets have been informed by an iterative design process and are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060]. The LVIA considers minimum offsets from residential properties, public rights of way, landscape features and designated areas, and assesses the resulting landscape and visual effects using realistic worst-case design parameters. NPS EN-1 recognises at para 5.10.5 that <i>"Virtually all nationally significant energy infrastructure projects will have adverse effects on the landscape, but there may also be beneficial landscape character impacts arising from mitigation."</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The LVIA concludes that, with the proposed buffers and embedded mitigation in place, landscape and visual effects are appropriately mitigated. The effectiveness of these measures over time is also assessed, including the role of planting, retained vegetation and landscape integration in reducing visual effects. However as noted above, although these measures reduce the effects of the Scheme on nearly all receptors, there are 10 visual receptors which would experience Significant Visual Effects for the duration of the Scheme as set out in Table 8-30 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060]. These effects predominantly relate to locations where footpaths diagonally cross fields and mitigation planting would be inappropriate to landscape character and the legacy landscape. Details of the buffer zones, landscape mitigation measures and their long-term management are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060], ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4-1 to 3-4-5.2 Landscape and Ecology Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and secured within the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283] , are secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring that the identified mitigation measures, including buffers and planting, are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
LV-07	RR-4817, RR-3955, RR-4493, RR-4501, RR-4952, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-0502, RR-3726, RR-1877, RR-2658, RR-1828, RR-3779, RR-4537, RR-0126, RR-4088, RR-2457, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-3540, RR-1090, RR-1055, RR-0959, RR-1820, RR-0604, RR-1668, RR-3175, RR-4478, RR-0636, RR-1384, RR-3844, RR-3820, RR-3760, RR-2136, RR-2031, RR-3544, RR-0816, RR-1768, RR-1435, RR-1471, RR-0425, RR-1560, RR-1155, RR-3257, RR-1142, RR-2961, RR-1085, RR-4681, RR-1389, RR-1899, RR-0555, RR-0672, RR-2374, RR-1563, RR-1951, RR-4382, RR-1427, RR-1726, RR-0735, RR-3670, RR-4335, RR-0900, RR-1475, RR-4872, RR-0169,	Light Pollution	Respondents expressed concern regarding lighting and / or that the Scheme will cause light pollution.	Potential effects relating to lighting are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060], which considers the implications of construction, operational and decommissioning lighting on landscape and visual receptors. The LVIA has considered the construction, operational and decommissioning lighting proposals for the Scheme, with details of lighting design, directionality and intermittent lighting set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] and further discussed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072]. The Scheme has been designed to minimise the need for external lighting. Lighting is not required within the Solar PV Arrays during the operational phase. Motion-sensing security lighting will be provided within substations and the BESS Area and will be used only for maintenance and security purposes.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1698, RR-1703, RR-1894, RR-4213, RR-0589, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-2496, RR-1723, RR-2188, RR-3857, RR-3852, RR-4928, RR-3497, RR-2383, RR-2490, RR-4207, RR-3976, RR-0768, RR-3384, RR-1166, RR-1771, RR-2221, RR-2698, RR-1821, RR-2977, RR-2141, RR-3513, RR-0790, RR-4374, RR-3546, RR-4623, RR-3023, RR-3460, RR-3162, RR-2077, RR-2110, RR-2840, RR-4533, RR-4258, RR-2080, RR-0717, RR-1861, RR-3467, RR-3592, RR-4765			Temporary site lighting during construction and decommissioning will be required to enable safe working during hours of darkness. This lighting will be designed, as far as reasonably practicable, to minimise light spill outside the Site and Cable Route Corridor, particularly towards residential properties, highways and ecological receptors. Standard good practice measures will be employed to minimise glare and light spill during construction, operation and decommissioning. There will be no lighting on perimeter fencing. Measures to control construction lighting are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], and operational lighting controls form part of the Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278]. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed management plans, substantially in accordance with these outline plans, are secured through requirements in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring lighting impacts are appropriately controlled throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
LV-08	RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-2958, RR-3781, RR-0536, RR-4552, RR-3262	Pylons	Respondents expressed support for the use of underground cables and / or opposition towards the use of	The Applicant is proposing to construct the connection between the Solar PV Sites, and the existing National Grid substation by installing underground cables, as set

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			pylons. Respondent expressed that they would like guarantees that pylons will not be used for the project.	out within ES Volume 1 Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] so the Applicant welcomes the support for this method. The Applicant is not proposing to use pylons or overhead lines.
LV-09	RR-2293, RR-1910, RR-1243, RR-0267, RR-2136, RR-4012, RR-3651	CCTV	Respondent expressed concern about CCTV and intrusion of privacy.	CCTV cameras for site security are only proposed to be located on the fenced boundary of the Solar PV Arrays and directed to look along the boundary or into the Site, not at users of public rights of way or residential properties.
LV-10	RR-3568	Site Specific Visual Impact	Respondent stated that the proposed development will impact the views leading up to and from Bradfield Wood.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] assesses the visual effects of the Scheme on Public Rights of Way leading to and from Bradfield Wood which pass through Lime Down Site D. Receptors TP128 (Footpath WT HULL 2), TP130 (Footpath WT HULL 4) and TP131 (Footpath WT HULL 5) are fully assessed in ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-3-2-2 Landscape and Visual Assessment Sheets (Significant) [APP-191] . The assessment for these receptors concluded that there would be long term Major / Moderate (Significant) visual effects as a result of the Scheme. This predominantly relates to the fact that these footpaths diagonally cross fields and mitigation planting would be inappropriate to landscape character and the legacy landscape. NPS EN-1 recognises at para 5.10.5 that <i>"Virtually all nationally significant energy</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				<i>infrastructure projects will have adverse effects on the landscape, but there may also be beneficial landscape character impacts arising from mitigation."</i>
LV-11	RR-1616, RR-1910, RR-1240, RR-1186, RR-1496, RR-0428, RR-4346, RR-4560, RR-4482, RR-4797, RR-1528, RR-4687, RR-0680, RR-4407, RR-3199, RR-1243, RR-0126, RR-4819, RR-4423, RR-3414, RR-2348, RR-2600, RR-2930, RR-2511, RR-0109, RR-4912, RR-3260, RR-3540, RR-0583, RR-1055, RR-2419, RR-0452, RR-0406, RR-1872, RR-1803, RR-4699, RR-1163, RR-0776, RR-2681, RR-0725, RR-2131, RR-1477, RR-0746, RR-0467, RR-2509, RR-4111, RR-4937, RR-4098, RR-0328, RR-0328, RR-1115, RR-0212, RR-3364, RR-0311, RR-3252, RR-4466, RR-2570, RR-2486, RR-4911, RR-3758, RR-1384, RR-4922, RR-2400, RR-3844, RR-3820, RR-1152, RR-3760, RR-0118, RR-3108, RR-3842, RR-4583, RR-4252, RR-3621, RR-2317, RR-0002, RR-4283, RR-0765, RR-2230, RR-0088, RR-4013, RR-3945, RR-2523, RR-2408, RR-0069, RR-3990, RR-1603, RR-0425, RR-1087, RR-4078, RR-2717, RR-4347, RR-2389, RR-2702,	CCTV	Respondents expressed general concern for the visual impact of security fencing and associated security infrastructure such as CCTV.	Fencing is not proposed within 15 m of the centreline of existing public rights of way (PROWs). The majority of site fencing is to consist of 2 m deer stock fencing as per the descriptions provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] . This is to control large mammalian movements and provide a visual deterrent to entering the Solar PV Array areas, whilst maintaining a more open aspect for PROW users. CCTV cameras for site security are only proposed to be located on the fenced boundary of the Solar PV Arrays and directed to look along the boundary or into the Site, not at PROW users.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4284, RR-2807, RR-1305, RR-3136, RR-2793, RR-1085, RR-0820, RR-4681, RR-1899, RR-3197, RR-4940, RR-1022, RR-0555, RR-0672, RR-0350, RR-4052, RR-0937, RR-1563, RR-2025, RR-4736, RR-4574, RR-4302, RR-4476, RR-2736, RR-1269, RR-0728, RR-1427, RR-2368, RR-2417, RR-1726, RR-0735, RR-3788, RR-0870, RR-4662, RR-1311, RR-0506, RR-1013, RR-1612, RR-1106, RR-1698, RR-2121, RR-2601, RR-1140, RR-4518, RR-0500, RR-0675, RR-4708, RR-0752, RR-1617, RR-1266, RR-1431, RR-1252, RR-1511, RR-0715, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-0589, RR-0359, RR-1950, RR-3923, RR-3699, RR-4232, RR-0414, RR-3083, RR-0933, RR-3857, RR-2154, RR-1175, RR-0287, RR-4214, RR-0479, RR-1895, RR-4253, RR-4499, RR-2072, RR-1159, RR-1373, RR-0227, RR-1635, RR-1830, RR-4928, RR-1919, RR-2004, RR-0539, RR-0248, RR-3864, RR-3497, RR-2953, RR-3170, RR-2011, RR-3164, RR-0865, RR-1846, RR-1634, RR-3855, RR-0768, RR-0664, RR-0640, RR-0348, RR-3569, RR-1166, RR-2670, RR-2088, RR-4938, RR-1231,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4379, RR-0758, RR-1360, RR-0966, RR-4012, RR-3321, RR-1809, RR-4228, RR-3651, RR-3172, RR-0891, RR-0167, RR-2683, RR-2052, RR-2284, RR-2373, RR-3075, RR-2749, RR-2159, RR-1185, RR-0573, RR-4672, RR-0619, RR-2667, RR-4697, RR-3934, RR-0438, RR-0028, RR-3622, RR-0033, RR-0599, RR-1648, RR-4104, RR-2497, RR-4104, RR-3767, RR-4664, RR-0253, RR-2428, RR-2693, RR-3255, RR-3058, RR-4864, RR-4858, RR-3356, RR-1067, RR-0422, RR-0111, RR-4713, RR-0606, RR-3588, RR-4007, RR-4372, RR-3045, RR-2545, RR-3712, RR-1537, RR-3625, RR-3513, RR-0790, RR-4285, RR-4770, RR-0209, RR-1500, RR-2810, RR-0525, RR-4623, RR-1868, RR-2176, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-2304, RR-3162, RR-3563, RR-2077, RR-2110, RR-3450, RR-0745, RR-2488, RR-4070, RR-0794, RR-4566, RR-0317, RR-3650, RR-0611, RR-2840, RR-3603, RR-2515, RR-4258, AS-008, RR-1861			
LV-12	RR-1024	Site Specific Visual Impact	Respondent expressed concern regarding the visual impact of the proposed development on Sherston.	The Scheme has been designed sensitively to avoid harm to the Cotswold National Landscape (CNL) and towns and villages within it. Following the mitigation

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				hierarchy, avoidance measures have been the primary way of avoiding adverse effects. These measures are set out in Section 8.9 of the LVIA as presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] and include: - the removal of panels within the setting of the CNL in Sites A, B and C where there is a strong visual relationship between the CNL and the Scheme. This includes: - Site A: The northern part of fields A1, A11 and A12; - Site B: B12; and - Site C: C1, C6, C8, part of C9 and the majority of C10. As a result of the above avoidance measures as well as distance and limited intervisibility between the Scheme and the settlement of Sherston, identified as Receptor RS004, there would be no effects as a result of the Scheme.
LV-13	RR-2309, RR-0095, RR-3816	Local Government Policy	Respondent stated that the project is in conflict with Wiltshire Core Strategy Policy CP2	Core Policy 2 of Wiltshire's Core Strategy (2015) relates to delivery strategy and provides that the delivery strategy seeks to deliver development in Wiltshire between 2006 and 2026 in the most sustainable manner by making provision of at least 178 ha of new employment land and at least 42,000 homes. The Order Limits do not incorporate any areas covered by housing or employment land allocations and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				therefore the Scheme does not conflict with Core Policy 2. The Scheme is also in compliance with the relevant national policy statements, in particular NPS EN-1 and NPS EN-3, which set out the key planning policies in relation to nationally significant solar projects such as the Scheme.
LV-14	RR-0321, RR-1269, RR-1723, RR-1397, RR-0301, RR-3384, RR-3379, RR-4570, RR-2670, RR-1231, RR-3678, RR-3651, RR-4104	BESS	Respondents expressed concern regarding the visual impact of the BESS on Hullavington.	The visual effects of the Scheme and the BESS have been fully assessed in the LVIA presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] . The BESS is located approximately 1.2 km to the northwest of Hullavington. It is beyond the railway line in Field D1 and has limited intervisibility with Hullavington due to vegetation along the railway line and distance.
LV-15	RR-2460, RR-3749, RR-0020	Residential Impact	Respondent notes that there will be little visual impact from the Scheme due to the small number of residential properties nearby, and that additional landscaping and screening will minimise the impact on these homes.	The Applicant notes this comments and agrees there would be limited visual impact from the Scheme due to the small number of residential properties nearby, the dispersed nature of the Scheme and the embedded buffers to residential properties as set out in Table 8-11 of the LVIA in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] . Mitigation measures including enhancement of existing hedgerows and new tree and hedgerow planting as set out in Table 8-12 of the LVIA in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] will reduce any adverse effects by Year 15.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
LV-16	RR-1471, RR-0735, RR-1378, RR-4765	Light Pollution	Respondents expressed concern regarding the impact of the Scheme on dark skies.	The effects of the Scheme on dark skies are particularly relevant to the Cotswolds National Landscape and the effect of lighting on the CNL Special Quality 10 - Dark skies has been assessed in ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-6 Assessment of Effects on the Cotswolds National Landscape and its Special Qualities [APP-197]. The assessment concluded there would be no direct effects on the CNL itself. Any effects would be temporary in nature and confined to the construction phase and would have a Very Low magnitude of change. From the outset, the dark-sky-compliant lighting strategy would be fully implemented. Lighting is not required within the Solar PV Sites during the operation and maintenance phase of the Scheme. All routine maintenance activities would be scheduled for daylight hours as far as is practicable. Focussed task specific lighting would only be required in the event of emergency works or equipment failure requiring night-time working. Motion sensing security lighting would be provided within substations and within the BESS Area to maintain safe working conditions in winter months, for security purposes, and for maintenance activities. The lighting commitments for the operation and maintenance phase are set out in the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278], including details on lighting design to minimise light spill. These brief activations would be imperceptible from the CNL due to distance and directional shielding. Magnitude at Year 1 is assessed as Very Low. Temporary site lighting during construction will be required to enable safe working during construction and decommissioning during hours of darkness and will be designed as far as reasonably practicable to minimise potential for light spillage outside the Sites and Cable Route Corridor, particularly towards houses, traffic and ecological habitats. Standard good practice measures would be employed to minimise light spill, including glare during construction, operation and decommissioning.
LV-17	RR-1603, RR-4091	Residential Impact	Respondent stated that panels in fields such as B6 and B9 will be clearly visible from properties in Easton Grey and from long-distance views.	Easton Grey is a small village approximately 1.5 km from field parcel B6 and 2 km from field parcel B9. The village is located close to the River Avon which curves through a narrow, wooded valley. Parcels B6 and B9 are located on higher ground at approximately 95 m AOD. Due to the intervening rolling landscape and distance, the intervisibility is limited.
LV-18	RR-1603, RR-1225, RR-1427, RR-2417, RR-0735, RR-1397,	Panel Height	Respondents expressed concern regarding the height and size of other	Full details of how the EIA has been undertaken adopting the principles of Rochdale Envelope and the Design

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0966, RR-0619, RR-4325, RR-0033		infrastructure, including transformers, project substations and inverters. Some respondents noted the parameters of infrastructure and expressed concern regarding the visibility of 13m high 400kV transformer and 7m high 132kV transformers.	Parameters (Table 3-1) used for the ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055]. ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] has undertaken a worst-case scenario assessment based on the Design Parameters. The 400kV and 132kV transformers have been modelled in the photomontages in ES Volume 2, Figure 8-14 Baseline Photography and Photomontages [APP-104, 105 and AS-001] which demonstrate the visibility of the transformers. The maximum parameters of the transformers have been used which show the full extent of the massing whilst only the buzz bars are at the height of 13 m or 7 m respectively
LV-19	AS-005	Mitigation Measures	Respondent expressed support for the scheme and requested that plans for enhanced planting, specifically around the fields between Sherston, Luckington and Stanton St Quintin and footpaths LUCK35 and SHER17. Planting should be secured in the final consent.	The Applicant makes note of this Representation and welcomes the support for the Scheme's planting proposals. Proposed planting is shown in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and details of creation and ongoing management/monitoring of all new and retained habitats are set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283], is secured

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] .
LV-20	RR-0735, RR-1378	General Concern	Respondent stated that the proposals conflict with the principle of landscape-led planning.	The Scheme has been landscape-led placing the Scheme's existing landscape, ecology, and environmental context at the forefront of the design. The LVIA process as presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] has been iterative and as a result, the design of the Scheme has changed to respond to the findings of the assessment to ensure that landscape mitigation is fully considered as part of the process within the environmental masterplan. This has involved setting out the key elements of constraint within parameter plans and adopting the mitigation hierarchy in accordance with GLVIA3. This has taken account of the context and features of the land within the Order Limits, nearby sensitive receptors and assets, information emerging from environmental surveys, feedback from stakeholders, and opportunities and constraints in order to develop a good design that balances the need to maximise the energy generation capacity of the Scheme, with the avoidance and mitigation of impacts, and provision of environmental and other enhancements, where practicable. With regards to the Cotswolds National Landscape (CNL), the LVIA presented within ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-6:

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Assessment of Effects on the CNL and its Special Qualities [APP-197] sets out specific avoidance measures to protect the CNL. This includes the avoidance of infrastructure to the boundary of the CNL as well as further removal of panels following Consultation, within the setting of the CNL in Sites A, B and C where there is a strong visual relationship between the CNL and the Scheme. This includes: • Site A: The northern part of fields A1, A11 and A12. • Site B: B12. • Site C: C1, C6, C8, part of C9 and the majority of C10. Panels in C2, C3 and C4, where significant visual effects on receptors within the CNL were recorded at PEIR were subsequently removed from the Scheme following Statutory Consultation with the CNL. Furthermore, the CNL Management Plan as well as its and Wiltshire's Nature Recovery Strategy have informed the approach to mitigation and positive enhancement.
LV-21	RR-0621, RR-0143, RR-2887, RR-4742, RR-4412, RR-4505, RR-1868, RR-1390, RR-0007	Visualisations	Respondents stated that the visualisations are misleading and do not show realistic or worst-case (winter) scenarios.	The visualisations / photomontages presented within ES Volume 2, Figure 8-14 Baseline Photography and Photomontages [APP-103 – APP-105] and revision ES Volume 2, Figure 8-14 Baseline Photography and Photomontages [AS-001] show summer and winter photography and photomontages in summer and winter.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
LV-22	RR-0621, RR-0143, RR-2887, RR-4742, RR-4412, RR-4664, RR-4505	Visualisations	Respondents stated that the visualisations do not show the visual impact of the Scheme from footpaths.	Table 8-20 of the LVIA presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] summarises the significant visual effects on Public Receptors. Major/Moderate and Moderate Adverse effects were recorded for 27 Public Rights of Way. It is not considered to be proportionate to provide photography and photomontages for every receptor and it is considered that the submitted photography is representative of the Scheme and the photography provided from the agreed viewpoints is suitable to assist the reviewer's and decision maker's understanding of the visual changes to the landscape as a result of the Scheme.
LV-23	RR-0775, RR-0702, RR-1371	Site Specific Visual Impact	Respondent expressed concerns regarding the visual impact of the Scheme on the area of Rodbourne.	The visual effects of the Scheme on all settlements within the 1km Study Area including Rodbourne have been assessed in the LVIA presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060]. The visual effects of the Scheme are summarised in ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-3-3 Summary of Visual Effects [APP-192]. The assessment concluded there would be no long-term Adverse effects on the settlement of Rodbourne, identified as receptor RS016 due to the limited visibility of the Scheme. The LVIA recognises that there would be some visual impact on views from footpaths in the surrounding landscape.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
LV-24	RR-1038	Site Specific Visual Impact	Respondent expressed concerns regarding the visual impact of the Scheme on the area of Corston	The visual effects of the Scheme on all settlements within the 1km Study Area including Corston have been assessed in the LVIA presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] . The visual effects of the Scheme are summarised in ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-3-3 Summary of Visual Effects [APP-192] . The assessment concluded there would be Minor Adverse effects for the duration of the Scheme on Corston, identified as receptor RS015 due to the limited visibility of the Scheme. The LVIA recognises that there would be some visual impact on views from footpaths in the surrounding landscape.
LV-25	RR-4790	General Concern	Respondent expressed concern that the application site does not sit comfortably within the existing area and is out of character	The effect of the Scheme on the character of the landscape is assessed in the LVIA presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] . It is fully acknowledged that at the Site level, the character of the Site itself (where there is infrastructure) would be Significantly Adversely affected with the land now presenting as a large-scale solar scheme, as with the development of any green field Site. At the point the Scheme is decommissioned the landscape proposals would help provide the long-term legacy landscape benefits as set out within the LVIA in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] . The proposed planting has been designed to provide greater enclosure across the individual Sites to help minimise the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				appreciation of the Scheme and to mitigate wider ranging adverse effects of the infrastructure on the character of the receiving landscape. This enclosure helps mitigate and therefore reduces the level of effect associated with the Scheme. However, the LVIA recognises that despite this, as a consequence of the development adverse effects would remain until the Scheme was decommissioned. It is acknowledged that the character of the Site itself, and its immediate surroundings would be adversely affected, with the land now presenting as a large-scale solar scheme. The LVIA also assesses Beneficial effects on the Landscape Fabric of the Sites, as a result of the extensive landscape mitigation measures, which would reduce the adverse effects of the Scheme on the character or the wider landscape. The proposed mitigation is sensitive to the existing landscape and has been informed by the Landscape Character Assessment Guidelines set out in ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-4: Landscape Character Area Descriptions [APP195] and Wiltshire's Nature Recovery Strategy to protect and enhance the landscape through the landscape-led design.
LV-26	RR-1406	Mitigation Measures	Respondent stated that photomontages provided by the Applicant are insufficient	As no records of a Collingwood Lane in Wiltshire can be found, it is assumed the reference made is to Commonwood Lane. Although a representative view from

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			particularly regarding Collingwood Lane.	Commonwood Lane at VP 9 is provided in ES Volume 2, Figure 8-14 Baseline Photography and Photomontages [APP-103], photomontages have not been provided for all viewpoints. The selected photomontages were agreed with Wiltshire County Council and the Cotswolds National Landscape Board. Commonwood Lane is identified as receptor TR143 and is fully assessed in ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-3-2-2 Landscape and Visual Assessment Sheets (Significant) [APP-191]. The assessment concluded there would be Moderate Adverse (Significant) effects during construction and Year 1 which would reduce to Minor Neutral by Year 15 as mitigation planting matures and No Effect at decommissioning. Photomontages have been prepared for Viewpoint WC1 from slightly higher ground near Widley's Farm to the east of Commonwood Lane which provides a similar view of the Scheme. Refer to ES Volume 2, Figure 8-14 Baseline Photography and Photomontages – Viewpoint 45- CNL G (Part 3) (Revision 2) [AS-001].
LV-27	RR-4029	General Concern	Respondent stated that the area is too flat for solar PV panels.	The character of the landscape in which the Scheme is located, is described in the North Wiltshire Landscape Character Assessment from p48 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-4 Landscape Character

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Area Descriptions [APP-195] for Character Area 8: Hullavington Rolling Lowland. The landscape is described as <i>“an area of gently rolling hills and shallow valleys, based on a number of geological formations, predominantly Forest Marble limestone, Oxford Clay and Cornbrash. The landform rises from approximately 60mAOD in the east of the area close to the Avon valley, to over 120m with some localised high points of up to 139m AOD.”</i> The landscape is not considered to be flat and is not considered to be inappropriate for solar PV panels.
LV-28	RR-2584	Visual Impact	Respondent expressed concern that the visual impact on sites more than 5km from the Scheme has been scoped out of the EIA.	It is assumed this comment refers to Cumulative Sites. The Study Area for the Cumulative Landscape Assessment was extended to 10km at the request of Wiltshire County Council. The Cumulative Assessment of the Scheme (which includes the CRC) is set out in Section 4 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-3-2-2 [APP-191]. The LVIA includes an assessment of the cumulative effects of the five Solar Sites making up the Scheme (intra-project cumulative effects) to ensure the effects of the Scheme as a whole are understood. It also assesses the cumulative effects of the Scheme in combination with other similar developments in the area. The full detailed LVIA methodology is set out in ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-1: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Methodology [APP-187] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 6:

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Environmental Impact Assessment Methodology [APP-058].
LV-29	RR-2237, RR-2892, RR-1502, RR-2427, RR-1462, RR-4489, RR-4944, RR-1736, RR-4138, RR-3220, RR-1076, RR-2519, RR-3225, RR-2908, RR-4874, RR-4795, RR-4075, RR-4550, RR-3617, RR-3454, RR-0465, RR-1862, RR-3389, RR-2963, RR-1731, RR-1303, RR-4896, RR-2184, RR-2893, RR-1904, RR-0544, RR-2836, RR-2658, RR-2366, RR-4069, RR-0379, RR-0738, RR-0379, RR-1255, RR-2631, RR-2226, RR-2586, RR-4326, RR-0373, RR-3827, RR-4609, RR-2173, RR-2578, RR-0450, RR-1979, RR-2970, RR-4074, RR-2481, RR-1527, RR-3129, RR-0474, RR-4908, RR-4747, RR-1746, RR-4407, RR-1487, RR-4559, RR-3199, RR-4147, RR-1670, RR-3548, RR-3574, RR-4537, RR-1243, RR-4819, RR-4088, RR-0993, RR-2018, RR-2348, RR-3248, RR-2084, RR-0743, RR-3149, RR-1096, RR-0284, RR-2728, RR-2220, RR-0493, RR-3368, RR-0076, RR-0153, RR-0405, RR-2930, RR-2511, RR-1290, RR-4879, RR-0295, RR-0074, RR-0225, RR-3631, RR-0004,	Cotswolds National Landscape	Respondents expressed concern for the Scheme's proximity to the Cotswolds National Landscape (CNL) and/or an area of outstanding natural beauty (AONB).	The Cotswolds National Landscape (CNL) is fully assessed as a standalone receptor within ES Volume 3, Appendix 8.6: Assessment of Effects on the Special Qualities of the Cotswolds National Landscape [APP-197]. This assessment considers the effects of the Scheme on landscape character, visual amenity and the special qualities of the CNL, including tranquillity. The assessment found that there would be some short-term impact to landscape character within the setting of the CNL but due to the avoidance measures embedded within the Scheme, harm to the CNL itself would be minimal with beneficial effects in the long term which would further the purposes of the designation. In respect of visual amenity, some short-term adverse effects would be experienced by a small number of visual receptors with views to and from the CNL. However, these would reduce over time as a result of the proposed mitigation. The assessment of effects on the special qualities of the CNL scoped out 3 Special Qualities (SQs) as there is no association with the Site. The assessment found that there are no direct effects on the remaining SQs. There are some Minor/ Negligible

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1132, RR-2450, RR-0395, RR-2394, RR-3690, RR-2124, RR-1458, RR-1969, RR-3190, RR-2773, RR-0583, RR-4192, RR-4317, RR-2413, RR-4453, RR-4453, RR-4263, RR-0366, RR-4128, RR-2419, RR-2207, RR-3873, RR-4887, RR-3340, RR-2854, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-2669, RR-2856, RR-3003, RR-0762, RR-2277, RR-4473, RR-3796, RR-3185, RR-2681, RR-4293, RR-3847, RR-0725, RR-2689, RR-1633, RR-0199, RR-3616, RR-3175, RR-2276, RR-3694, RR-4336, RR-0364, RR-0281, RR-0974, RR-0535, RR-2131, RR-2205, RR-0404, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-0289, RR-1893, RR-3975, RR-0592, RR-3700, RR-1459, RR-0324, RR-1798, RR-1215, RR-4098, RR-0328, RR-3869, RR-1908, RR-4393, RR-1984, RR-1049, RR-1519, RR-1991, RR-4655, RR-3911, RR-0509, RR-2347, RR-4130, RR-0360, RR-3714, RR-3818, RR-3358, RR-3887, RR-0919, RR-0445, RR-2144, RR-0212, RR-1792, RR-4443, RR-3364, RR-2006, RR-2643, RR-4294, RR-0391, RR-3252, RR-0027, RR-0271, RR-3535, RR-0598, RR-4877, RR-2819, RR-4828, RR-1967, RR-1051,			short-term effects on a small number of visual receptors within the setting of the CNL which affect SQ7 - Tranquillity and SQ13 - Archaeology and historic associations. However, these effects are mitigated by Year 15 when proposed vegetation has matured. The assessment also found that there would be beneficial effects on SQ 6 - Biodiversity and nature recovery as a result of the delivery of approximately 119.7 hectares of flower-rich neutral grassland (as defined in the BNG assessment) and SQ 12 - An accessible landscape as a result of the delivery of approximately 12.8km of new permissive paths within the setting of the CNL. The remaining SQs would remain entirely intact. The Scheme was refined through an iterative design process to avoid and reduce effects on the CNL and its setting. Panels along the edge of the CNL were removed from the Order Limits in fields C2, C3 and C4 where significant visual effects on receptors within the CNL were identified. Panels were also removed from fields C1, C6, C8, the western section of C9 and the majority of C10, with this land now proposed for ecological mitigation to protect the setting of the CNL. In addition, panels within fields A11 and A12 were removed and the land repurposed for ecological mitigation to protect the setting of the CNL towards Sherston.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2570, RR-2831, RR-2555, RR-3604, RR-0011, RR-2388, RR-1690, RR-3479, RR-0988, RR-3914, RR-2411, RR-4112, RR-2677, RR-0642, RR-3222, RR-3913, RR-1244, RR-1298, RR-3610, RR-1994, RR-3844, RR-3820, RR-1151, RR-3997, RR-4151, RR-0958, RR-1121, RR-3682, RR-1903, RR-4428, RR-0380, RR-2031, RR-0871, RR-1434, RR-0200, RR-3966, RR-4956, RR-1300, RR-3544, RR-4735, RR-1078, RR-3837, RR-3789, RR-2587, RR-3151, RR-0473, RR-1968, RR-3783, RR-1592, RR-1179, RR-1242, RR-3027, RR-0767, RR-1972, RR-2708, RR-1430, RR-3842, RR-2190, RR-4404, RR-2035, RR-4146, RR-4384, RR-3128, RR-3906, RR-3597, RR-0909, RR-2295, RR-3630, RR-4777, RR-2538, RR-1253, RR-3570, RR-3488, RR-1489, RR-1989, RR-2680, RR-3787, RR-0132, RR-4568, RR-3536, RR-2230, RR-2034, RR-0424, RR-3931, RR-4567, RR-0448, RR-2603, RR-4013, RR-3620, RR-2523, RR-1213, RR-2536, RR-2408, RR-3717, RR-1009, RR-3883, RR-1111, RR-4727, RR-1435, RR-1997, RR-3377, RR-4231, RR-1071, RR-2249, RR-3907,			All land parcels adjacent to the CNL are proposed to deliver habitat enhancement, informed by the CNL Management Plan and the Cotswolds National Landscape Strategy and Guidelines, to further the purposes of the designation. Details of the proposed landscape and ecological mitigation and enhancement measures such as the avoidance measures to remove panels from the boundary of CNL are provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060], ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4-1 to 3-4-5.2 Landscape and Ecology Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and secured within the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283], and the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283], are secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy, substantially in accordance with the Outline EPMS [APP-

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0320, RR-3316, RR-3756, RR-1033, RR-1471, RR-1060, RR-4278, RR-1603, RR-1081, RR-0092, RR-2607, RR-1914, RR-1805, RR-1993, RR-4435, RR-3415, RR-2418, RR-3555, RR-3446, RR-1765, RR-4669, RR-2734, RR-0807, RR-1971, RR-2779, RR-3182, RR-2145, RR-2707, RR-1863, RR-4209, RR-2443, RR-4931, RR-2420, RR-1791, RR-1305, RR-4920, RR-2961, RR-0917, RR-4569, RR-1755, RR-0780, RR-4900, RR-2838, RR-0554, RR-4132, RR-0820, RR-0401, RR-1444, RR-1070, RR-0046, RR-0003, RR-2550, RR-4121, RR-4854, RR-3819, RR-4813, RR-0418, RR-1959, RR-4196, RR-4418, RR-1899, RR-1835, RR-1333, RR-1920, RR-1460, RR-2593, RR-2027, RR-2597, RR-0707, RR-2399, RR-2103, RR-4673, RR-0291, RR-0477, RR-1361, RR-3137, RR-1315, RR-4137, RR-2382, RR-1879, RR-1834, RR-3134, RR-3938, RR-2025, RR-3307, RR-2848, RR-3698, RR-3638, RR-1143, RR-4526, RR-4689, RR-4574, RR-0588, RR-2106, RR-3680, RR-1946, RR-3889, RR-2471, RR-1254, RR-4302, RR-4476, RR-2130, RR-4794, RR-2736, RR-0042,			284] , are secured through Requirement 8 in Schedule 2 to the Draft DCO [APP-016] . These requirements ensure that the identified mitigation and enhancement measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-2368, RR-2417, RR-4884, RR-4465, RR-2171, RR-4924, RR-2057, RR-2605, RR-3445, RR-3395, RR-2888, RR-1319, RR-0735, RR-3734, RR-2047, RR-4778, RR-3788, RR-0621, RR-4555, RR-3328, RR-1209, RR-4842, RR-4852, RR-2526, RR-1208, RR-0900, RR-1475, RR-0232, RR-1025, RR-3878, RR-4780, RR-3366, RR-2747, RR-3380, RR-2339, RR-2617, RR-0910, RR-0385, RR-3393, RR-1970, RR-4855, RR-3736, RR-2741, RR-3511, RR-2274, RR-4059, RR-0831, RR-0025, RR-4902, RR-2410, RR-3775, RR-0731, RR-0836, RR-1725, RR-1858, RR-2016, RR-3784, RR-3790, RR-3880, RR-2351, RR-2879, RR-0987, RR-2703, RR-3249, RR-3439, RR-1428, RR-2679, RR-3696, RR-1767, RR-3020, RR-2821, RR-3352, RR-1294, RR-2627, RR-1702, RR-4667, RR-3193, RR-3664, RR-3686, RR-2533, RR-1912, RR-3133, RR-0679, RR-0185, RR-0895, RR-2132, RR-2179, RR-3339, RR-1678, RR-3524, RR-4762, RR-2785, RR-0316, RR-2537, RR-1916, RR-2278, RR-3363, RR-4847, RR-2148, RR-1328, RR-0044, RR-0159, RR-4518,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0239, RR-0817, RR-4022, RR-1280, RR-3739, RR-3044, RR-2791, RR-3171, RR-0034, RR-2928, RR-1925, RR-3645, RR-3799, RR-3921, RR-2811, RR-2687, RR-3229, RR-0852, RR-1617, RR-0258, RR-0178, RR-0417, RR-2675, RR-3877, RR-0894, RR-1266, RR-1695, RR-1447, RR-2000, RR-2426, RR-0670, RR-3780, RR-3765, RR-0120, RR-2539, RR-4087, RR-0410, RR-2240, RR-1252, RR-4614, RR-0510, RR-1018, RR-0489, RR-2108, RR-0191, RR-4109, RR-3594, RR-3202, RR-2525, RR-0715, RR-3730, RR-1403, RR-0829, RR-4420, RR-0072, RR-0521, RR-3781, RR-4002, RR-1713, RR-4510, RR-2974, RR-0063, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-0589, RR-2020, RR-1174, RR-3923, RR-0155, RR-3851, RR-4232, RR-0729, RR-0414, RR-4039, RR-0310, RR-0060, RR-1393, RR-2033, RR-0885, RR-4267, RR-3158, RR-0933, RR-3071, RR-4756, RR-2956, RR-0049, RR-1510, RR-0427, RR-2328, RR-4890, RR-3407, RR-1175, RR-3496, RR-3325, RR-0164, RR-1322, RR-1141, RR-4679, RR-0479, RR-3746, RR-4253, RR-4786, RR-0812, RR-3278, RR-2275,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4499, RR-3629, RR-2635, RR-3879, RR-3160, RR-1373, RR-0625, RR-2314, RR-4174, RR-0788, RR-4079, RR-4016, RR-2699, RR-2935, RR-4928, RR-3697, RR-1919, RR-3697, RR-3676, RR-3961, RR-1495, RR-0840, RR-0903, RR-3367, RR-0652, RR-0520, RR-0721, RR-1182, RR-1871, RR-3636, RR-3582, RR-3960, RR-2135, RR-2549, RR-3087, RR-0139, RR-0806, RR-1419, RR-1104, RR-4207, RR-3999, RR-3239, RR-2011, RR-3271, RR-2665, RR-2642, RR-2247, RR-2453, RR-3855, RR-1770, RR-4385, RR-0319, RR-4843, RR-0534, RR-2656, RR-1998, RR-2621, RR-4153, RR-0549, RR-0709, RR-1955, RR-3304, RR-2573, RR-0019, RR-0469, RR-4177, RR-3473, RR-3055, RR-0540, RR-4628, RR-3344, RR-4547, RR-3600, RR-4425, RR-3685, RR-0376, RR-1657, RR-1647, RR-1599, RR-3082, RR-0688, RR-3569, RR-0194, RR-0246, RR-3942, RR-0938, RR-3810, RR-3517, RR-3176, RR-3811, RR-3385, RR-0784, RR-0143, RR-4238, RR-0595, RR-3296, RR-3032, RR-0662, RR-2775, RR-4337, RR-3729,RR-4279, RR-0066, RR-3992, RR-1759,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2551, RR-4792, RR-2697, RR-2551, RR-4938, RR-3576, RR-2887, RR-1198, RR-0399, RR-4565, RR-4306, RR-3825, RR-3743, RR-4029, RR-3903, RR-1167, RR-4090, RR-1891, RR-1720, RR-2802, RR-4012, RR-1771, RR-4307, RR-4824, RR-4742, RR-1981, RR-2297, RR-3213, RR-4148, RR-3321, RR-1809, RR-1915, RR-2323, RR-3005, RR-4412, RR-3651, RR-0242, RR-2221, RR-1639, RR-0491, RR-2691, RR-0783, RR-1940, RR-4732, RR-3066, RR-2019, RR-3723, RR-0839, RR-4043, RR-3723, RR-0655, RR-2941, RR-4915, RR-2575, RR-4508, RR-1917, RR-0713, RR-3487, RR-3495, RR-2867, RR-0600, RR-1491, RR-2683, RR-0515, RR-2556, RR-1497, RR-2475, RR-1385, RR-4106, RR-2284, RR-1794, RR-3704, RR-1154, RR-2556, RR-0596, RR-4124, RR-0089, RR-3647, RR-0573, RR-0656, RR-1909, RR-3807, RR-0149, RR-1909, RR-1289, RR-1091, RR-4003, RR-2852, RR-0677, RR-0980, RR-4868, RR-4539, RR-1199, RR-2045, RR-2644, RR-2468, RR-2390, RR-0697, RR-0599, RR-0737, RR-2685, RR-3766, RR-1631, RR-2386, RR-4104,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2497, RR-3552, RR-1857, RR-0144, RR-3406, RR-2299, RR-4458, RR-0121, RR-1586, RR-2976, RR-2067, RR-3826, RR-3817, RR-3797, RR-2855, RR-4193, RR-0782, RR-1892, RR-0087, RR-4881, RR-4858, RR-1067, RR-2003, RR-0166, RR-4713, RR-0394, RR-4062, RR-1472, RR-4291, RR-4403, RR-0606, RR-0967, RR-2337, RR-4416, RR-2501, RR-3601, RR-4818, RR-0162, RR-2792, RR-0963, RR-1854, RR-1307, RR-0201, RR-1878, RR-3494, RR-2013, RR-0340, RR-0457, RR-4023, RR-3577, RR-2797, RR-1537, RR-4659, RR-4791, RR-3277, RR-3625, RR-1323, RR-3513, RR-2725, RR-2175, RR-4484, RR-0821, RR-1943, RR-4857, RR-2637, RR-1283, RR-2022, RR-0948, RR-2310, RR-3891, RR-3909, RR-2071, RR-2847, RR-4182, RR-4875, RR-1797, RR-3719, RR-4875, RR-2359, RR-3926, RR-4289, RR-0525, RR-4623, RR-3371, RR-4505, RR-3657, RR-3214, RR-2696, RR-4230, RR-2176, RR-1201, RR-2325, RR-3091, RR-2167, RR-3023, RR-3460, RR-3649, RR-0612, RR-3587, RR-1923, RR-2077, RR-2110, RR-0671, RR-2301, RR-4779,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1932, RR-3613, RR-3450, RR-0789, RR-2201, RR-2500, RR-4055, RR-2871, RR-3502, RR-0086, RR-0333, RR-0294, RR-4409, RR-0305, RR-4917, RR-1345, RR-3408, RR-4653, RR-3562, RR-3314, RR-1075, RR-4131, RR-4722, RR-4566, RR-1247, RR-1308, RR-2952, RR-0833, RR-3480, RR-2082, RR-3306, RR-0352, RR-2649, RR-3708, RR-0748, RR-2444, RR-0890, RR-0754, RR-1366, RR-4142, RR-2845, RR-1098, AS-010, AS-012, RR-0717, RR-0984, RR-1145, RR-1378, RR-1658, RR-3467, RR-4180, RR-4189, RR-4543, RR-4645, RR-4765			
LV-30	RR-2417, RR-0025, RR-0095, RR-3213, RR-3217, RR-0089, RR-4104, RR-0340, RR-3360, RR-1660	National Planning Policy	Respondent stated that NPPF paragraphs 174 and 176 give weight to conserving National Landscapes, and that this could be affected by the Scheme.	The Applicant notes the comment regarding NPPF paragraphs 174 and 176. As noted above, the Cotswolds National Landscape (CNL) is fully assessed as a standalone receptor within ES Volume 3, Appendix 8.6: Assessment of Effects on the Special Qualities of the Cotswolds National Landscape [APP-197] . This assessment considers the effects of the Scheme on landscape character, visual amenity and the special qualities of the CNL, including tranquillity.
LV-31	RR-1252	National Planning Policy	Respondent stated that photomontages provided by the Applicant underestimate	The photomontages presented within ES Volume 2, Figure 8-14 Baseline Photography and Photomontages [APP-104, 105 and AS-001] have been

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			the effects of the proposals on AONB.	prepared in accordance with the Landscape Institute Technical Guidance Note 06/19 Visual Representation of Development Proposals and provide an accurate visual representation of the Scheme which has informed the LVIA presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] .
LV-32	RR-4843, RR-3473, RR-4337	National Planning Policy	Respondents stated that residents, as a result of living in / near to an AONB, have to abide by strict regulations to property alterations / extensions / renovations, often losing money in the planning application process. Respondents stated this is unfair on local planners and residents considering the Scheme is proposed in the same area where property aesthetic regulations apply.	The Scheme is subject to National Policy as set out in NPS EN-1 and the duty to further the purposes of the CNL as set out in paragraphs 5.10.7 to 5.10.10. The Cotswolds National Landscape (CNL) is fully assessed as a standalone receptor within ES Volume 3, Appendix 8.6: Assessment of Effects on the Special Qualities of the Cotswolds National Landscape [APP-197]. This assessment considers the effects of the Scheme on landscape character, visual amenity and the special qualities of the CNL, including tranquillity. The Scheme includes specific avoidance measures to protect the CNL. This includes the avoidance of infrastructure to the boundary of the CNL as well as further removal of panels following Consultation, within the setting of the CNL in Sites A, B and C where there is a strong visual relationship between the CNL and the Scheme. This includes: • Site A: The northern part of fields A1, A11 and A12.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				• Site B: B12. • Site C: C1, C6, C8, part of C9 and the majority of C10. Panels in C2, C3 and C4, where significant visual effects on receptors within the CNL were recorded at PEIR were subsequently removed from the Scheme following Statutory Consultation with the CNL. Furthermore, field parcels where panels have been removed provide opportunities to provide positive enhancement which has been informed by the CNL Management Plan as well as its and Wiltshire's Nature Recovery Strategy.
LV-33	RR-2725	National Planning Policy	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the proposals on the Cotswolds Way and Macmillan Way in their relationship to the Cotswolds.	The Cotswolds Way and Macmillan Way have been identified and assessed as long-distance recreational routes falling within 5 km of the Scheme, and set out in detail in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241]. The Cotswolds Way National Trail is located at its nearest point approximately 7.3 km from the Solar PV Array Sites, and 600 m from a Highways Improvement Area on the A46. Impacts are likely to be limited to potential distant views towards the Scheme, albeit largely occluded by topography and vegetation, and a negligible increase in HGV traffic at the road crossing of A46 during construction, scheduled replacement events, and decommissioning only. As such, impacts

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				are anticipated to be no more than negligible, and only at any phase of the Scheme where there are increased HGV movements, resulting in no greater than temporary moderate-minor adverse effects, which are not significant. The Macmillan Way is located at its nearest point approximately 500 m northwest of Lime Down A (near Sherston), however only directly interacts with the Scheme due to HGV movements on the B4039 between Grittleton and Nettleton. Impacts are likely to be limited to potential distant views towards the Scheme, albeit largely occluded by topography and vegetation, and a negligible increase in HGV traffic at the road crossing of B4039. As such, impacts are anticipated to be no more than negligible, and only at any phase of the Scheme where there are increased HGV movements, resulting in no greater than temporary moderate-minor adverse effects, which are not significant. The Cotswolds Way and Macmillan Way were scoped out of the LVIA presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] due to their limited intervisibility with the Scheme provided by the rolling topography of the landscape and its distance.
LV-34	RR-2460	Mitigation Measures	Respondent noted that the inclusion of buffer zones	The Applicant welcomes this comment. The buffer zones and embedded mitigation

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			between infrastructure and existing homes, together with enhanced tree, hedgerow and ground cover planting, demonstrates a considered approach to mitigating visual and landscape effects.	measures have been key to the landscaped approach to the Scheme to reduce the visual effects of the Scheme on the identified visual receptors (including private residential views, and public views from roads and Public Rights of Way). The embedded mitigation measures also reduce the impact of the Scheme on Landscape Character within the 1 km Study Area whilst providing long-term beneficial effects to the fabric of the Solar PV Sites and the legacy landscape.
LV-35	AS-010	Hedgerow Mitigation	Respondent stated that they would like to see strengthening of hedgerows along public paths LUCK35 and SHER17 and see this secured in the final consent.	Footpath LUCK 35 is located to the north of Site C and is not within the order limits. SHER 17 connects from the Lordswood Farm to Lordswood House passing through a short section of Field A10 where panels infrastructure is not proposed. All hedgerows within the Scheme are proposed to be strengthened by gapping up and allowing them to grow taller. These mitigation measures are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060], ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4-1 to 3-4-5.2 Landscape and Ecology Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed within the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283] , are secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring that the identified mitigation measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
LV-36	PDA-019	Visual Impact Support	Respondent stated that the visual impacts will be an acceptable cost in comparison to the long-term benefits of the Scheme.	The Applicant welcomes support for the Scheme and notes the comments made. The Applicant has committed to providing long-term benefits as part of the Scheme through: • Long-term low-carbon energy to meet national commitments for energy security and net zero; • Landscape planting and biodiversity enhancements through the measures set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] and Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]; • Employment and economic benefits through direct, indirect, and induced economic uplifts throughout the Scheme, supported by enhancement measures to support local supply chains, skills uplifting and employment

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				opportunities as set out in the Outline Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan (SSCEP) [APP-285]; and Supporting owner-occupier landowners and farmers who are option holders for land on the Scheme, through providing long-term financial security on their farm holdings and associated businesses. The Outline LEMP [APP-283], Outline EPMS [APP-284], and the Outline SSCEP [APP-285] are each secured respectively by Requirements 7, 8, and 18 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], demonstrating the Applicant's commitment to these benefits. Outside the scope of the DCO, the Applicant is also committed to the provision of a Community Benefit Fund, which will be provided to enhance local community infrastructure or development as decided by representatives of the community, parish councils, and Wiltshire Council as host authority.

2.6 Ecology and Biodiversity

Table 2-6

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
EB-01	RR-4817, RR-2324, RR-2998, RR-1756, RR-2293, RR-1842, RR-2308, RR-4493, RR-0478, RR-1616, RR-4501, RR-4952, RR-4604, RR-1910, RR-2806, RR-3542, RR-0648, RR-1462, RR-2829, RR-4489, RR-4513, RR-4944, RR-1736, RR-4138, RR-1377, RR-2849, RR-3220, RR-3114, RR-0017, RR-1589, RR-1329, RR-4764, RR-3437, RR-0644, RR-1123, RR-1240, RR-4677, RR-2723, RR-1398, RR-4709, RR-3483, RR-0231, RR-3565, RR-1655, RR-2860, RR-4351, RR-4795, RR-2014, RR-0338, RR-2430, RR-3335, RR-0347, RR-3584, RR-3510, RR-0290, RR-3236, RR-3726, RR-0639, RR-3341, RR-3454, RR-3354, RR-4492, RR-0150, RR-3389, RR-1877, RR-1164, RR-1332, RR-1169, RR-2521, RR-2963, RR-1731, RR-0484, RR-2936, RR-3936, RR-4896, RR-1986, RR-3882, RR-2893, RR-2254, RR-0001, RR-2658, RR-2266, RR-4951, RR-4678, RR-0379, RR-4639, RR-2631,	General Concern	Respondents expressed concern for the Scheme's impact on the local environment, wildlife, biodiversity and ecology. Some respondents expressed concern that the Scheme would displace wildlife and / or reduce habitats. Some respondents expressed particular concerns for wildlife during the construction phase.	Potential impacts on ecology and biodiversity are fully assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061]. This assessment considers baseline ecological conditions and evaluates likely significant effects arising during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Scheme. It identifies avoidance, mitigation and enhancement measures to address potential impacts on habitats and protected and notable species. It also identifies opportunities for ecological enhancement, including the creation and long-term management of habitats, and delivery of biodiversity net gain. The assessment identifies embedded additional mitigation measures and concludes that, with these measures in place, significant adverse effects on ecological features are anticipated for hedgerows (in the short term only) as well as ground nesting breeding birds. Such residual effects will be at the Site to Local level of importance for these features. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to ecology and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4715, RR-2586, RR-0421, RR-4326, RR-1812, RR-0607, RR-1040, RR-1828, RR-1504, RR-1673, RR-3161, RR-2578, RR-1687, RR-0681, RR-4648, RR-4312, RR-0878, RR-4074, RR-1527, RR-4773, RR-3129, RR-4318, RR-0099, RR-0722, RR-0474, RR-4908, RR-4747, RR-0901, RR-2748, RR-3836, RR-2365, RR-3779, RR-4147, RR-1088, RR-2505, RR-4188, RR-3656, RR-1670, RR-1637, RR-3548, RR-4537, RR-1243, RR-0119, RR-0126, RR-0386, RR-2157, RR-1845, RR-4088, RR-2074, RR-1432, RR-0635, RR-1272, RR-2018, RR-3031, RR-1788, RR-3194, RR-0370, RR-2700, RR-3988, RR-4784, RR-0925, RR-1577, RR-1851, RR-2874, RR-4108, RR-4241, RR-4447, RR-2647, RR-3928, RR-3414, RR-3228, RR-2457, RR-4447, RR-0346, RR-3002, RR-2348, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-1136, RR-4833, RR-2659, RR-4553, RR-3219, RR-2600, RR-0743, RR-2790, RR-4441, RR-4441, RR-0575, RR-4373, RR-0616, RR-0115, RR-2960, RR-2837, RR-1096, RR-1095, RR-3710, RR-4040, RR-2803, RR-1052, RR-0565, RR-1408, RR-0857, RR-2944,			biodiversity in general is under discussion with Wiltshire Council and Natural England and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. During construction, specific measures are proposed to avoid disturbance and displacement of wildlife. These include protective buffers around sensitive habitats and features, timing restrictions on vegetation clearance, pre-construction ecological surveys, species-specific mitigation, and pollution prevention controls which are set out in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. Measures to protect trees and hedgerows are also set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 10: Arboriculture [APP-062]. The Scheme will also result in the cessation of intensive arable farming within the Solar PV Sites. As identified in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], this is expected to reduce the ongoing application of herbicides and pesticides, supporting improvements in habitat quality over the operational lifetime of the Scheme. The reversion of existing arable land within the Solar PV Sites to predominantly grassland habitats can be expected to benefit a wide range protected and notable species, as

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2728, RR-3640, RR-1667, RR-0828, RR-2219, RR-2292, RR-2686, RR-1351, RR-1730, RR-1576, RR-2232, RR-1089, RR-3499, RR-1183, RR-2220, RR-2957, RR-3947, RR-1847, RR-4823, RR-3368, RR-1627, RR-0153, RR-0405, RR-3399, RR-3996, RR-4257, RR-2927, RR-4636, RR-4598, RR-0362, RR-0109, RR-0051, RR-4548, RR-4503, RR-4912, RR-0904, RR-4014, RR-3872, RR-1316, RR-3687, RR-2661, RR-0905, RR-1486, RR-4590, RR-3702, RR-2037, RR-0097, RR-3808, RR-4282, RR-1999, RR-2450, RR-3039, RR-4175, RR-2287, RR-2124, RR-1458, RR-1969, RR-3190, RR-0805, RR-2590, RR-4286, RR-2773, RR-3540, RR-3722, RR-0583, RR-4369, RR-1090, RR-2009, RR-3707, RR-4317, RR-1575, RR-4169, RR-0769, RR-0931, RR-2794, RR-1352, RR-2413, RR-4453, RR-3246, RR-1473, RR-2419, RR-0564, RR-2563, RR-2678, RR-2207, RR-4869, RR-3873, RR-0198, RR-1784, RR-4887, RR-0406, RR-2979, RR-2854, RR-1872, RR-1803, RR-1574, RR-2119, RR-4699, RR-2182, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-0039, RR-2302, RR-2856, RR-3003,			identified in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061]. Mitigation and enhancement measures are detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] and the Outline EPMS [APP-284], which set out habitat creation, species protection measures, buffer zones and long-term ecological management. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283], are secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy, substantially in accordance with the Outline EPMS [APP-284], are secured through Requirement 8 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016]. These requirements ensure that ecological protection and enhancement measures are delivered and maintained throughout construction and operation of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2140, RR-0762, RR-4316, RR-0013, RR-0776, RR-0402, RR-4692, RR-0669, RR-2255, RR-1249, RR-4606, RR-0659, RR-3655, RR-3691, RR-1820, RR-3795, RR-1465, RR-4293, RR-0724, RR-2311, RR-0195, RR-0725, RR-1644, RR-3007, RR-2990, RR-3292, RR-2689, RR-4866, RR-2582, RR-0199, RR-1668, RR-3175, RR-1317, RR-0546, RR-1573, RR-4250, RR-2463, RR-1786, RR-0186, RR-3694, RR-2978, RR-2902, RR-4281, RR-3530, RR-2306, RR-4603, RR-2205, RR-0404, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-4262, RR-1477, RR-0746, RR-2126, RR-0592, RR-1455, RR-3063, RR-0699, RR-1572, RR-1459, RR-0324, RR-1571, RR-2907, RR-3076, RR-3553, RR-4712, RR-3429, RR-2452, RR-1570, RR-2894, RR-3144, RR-3791, RR-2509, RR-1798, RR-0344, RR-1278, RR-1569, RR-1215, RR-0237, RR-4111, RR-0123, RR-4937, RR-0328, RR-4098, RR-2127, RR-4200, RR-0633, RR-3590, RR-3471, RR-3646, RR-2882, RR-2347, RR-4204, RR-4130, RR-0624, RR-2139, RR-4185, RR-0526, RR-1567, RR-3714, RR-1349, RR-3358, RR-4563, RR-4516, RR-1264,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3653, RR-3256, RR-1597, RR-2547, RR-4162, RR-3504, RR-2144, RR-2565, RR-4168, RR-3288, RR-3402, RR-3069, RR-2577, RR-1046, RR-1107, RR-0048, RR-3364, RR-3132, RR-2643, RR-1518, RR-4472, RR-4294, RR-0311, RR-3252, RR-4466, RR-0027, RR-0271, RR-3535, RR-0598, RR-4822, RR-4877, RR-2819, RR-2570, RR-2486, RR-2571, RR-2924, RR-2831, RR-2639, RR-4248, RR-1285, RR-3604, RR-4143, RR-1383, RR-4150, RR-2553, RR-3329, RR-4600, RR-1228, RR-2388, RR-2369, RR-1690, RR-3479, RR-0988, RR-0744, RR-1464, RR-1600, RR-0568, RR-2411, RR-3758, RR-4112, RR-0642, RR-3222, RR-0009, RR-1478, RR-2991, RR-1346, RR-3010, RR-2870, RR-1752, RR-1384, RR-4922, RR-1114, RR-4301, RR-0799, RR-2269, RR-2400, RR-3610, RR-2831, RR-2441, RR-1150, RR-3844, RR-2815, RR-1516, RR-3820, RR-2949, RR-1151, RR-3095, RR-3997, RR-1041, RR-4775, RR-4544, RR-2636, RR-1748, RR-1930, RR-2377, RR-0749, RR-1903, RR-3682, RR-3760, RR-4259, RR-0481, RR-2136, RR-4187, RR-2289, RR-4428,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0380, RR-2031, RR-3962, RR-0871, RR-0200, RR-0016, RR-0118, RR-3567, RR-4899, RR-3544, RR-4145, RR-4690, RR-2520, RR-3547, RR-2682, RR-1068, RR-4735, RR-3101, RR-4327, RR-4916, RR-3837, RR-4101, RR-0437, RR-1968, RR-2975, RR-3783, RR-3626, RR-0796, RR-1996, RR-1053, RR-1656, RR-3027, RR-4349, RR-3643, RR-4731, RR-3108, RR-3842, RR-4404, RR-2035, RR-4146, RR-4583, RR-3128, RR-4491, RR-0280, RR-0392, RR-3906, RR-2322, RR-4470, RR-3597, RR-3515, RR-1481, RR-0381, RR-0909, RR-4252, RR-0419, RR-3538, RR-1188, RR-0068, RR-1515, RR-3621, RR-0801, RR-0090, RR-3759, RR-3933, RR-4744, RR-2317, RR-3915, RR-2483, RR-0856, RR-3744, RR-4757, RR-4777, RR-2260, RR-3121, RR-1566, RR-2538, RR-1253, RR-3210, RR-1707, RR-2962, RR-3830, RR-0077, RR-0002, RR-4519, RR-3488, RR-0899, RR-0073, RR-1392, RR-4592, RR-3281, RR-2227, RR-4851, RR-4283, RR-2680, RR-4338, RR-3904, RR-2817, RR-2756, RR-2859, RR-4102, RR-0551, RR-0765, RR-4567, RR-3778, RR-0132,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1128, RR-2073, RR-4320, RR-4568, RR-2208, RR-2076, RR-3757, RR-3269, RR-2230, RR-4239, RR-3967, RR-0591, RR-0553, RR-0424, RR-0088, RR-4313, RR-4876, RR-0448, RR-1281, RR-4013, RR-4178, RR-0135, RR-4629, RR-4581, RR-4509, RR-4269, RR-2091, RR-0154, RR-3580, RR-0091, RR-4422, RR-2732, RR-4753, RR-2833, RR-4949, RR-4481, RR-1422, RR-3359, RR-4666, RR-0781, RR-3512, RR-0226, RR-4231, RR-1071, RR-2249, RR-1953, RR-2316, RR-0320, RR-1471, RR-1557, RR-1060, RR-1546, RR-1418, RR-1547, RR-1021, RR-1603, RR-1081, RR-4057, RR-0092, RR-1954, RR-3848, RR-2607, RR-0024, RR-4357, RR-3916, RR-0357, RR-1822, RR-0079, RR-3972, RR-1560, RR-3331, RR-2036, RR-3221, RR-1155, RR-2307, RR-3173, RR-0851, RR-4656, RR-3028, RR-3415, RR-1087, RR-3709, RR-4264, RR-4078, RR-0716, RR-3455, RR-1195, RR-4454, RR-3446, RR-1780, RR-0964, RR-4456, RR-1765, RR-4669, RR-2026, RR-0040, RR-0868, RR-0293, RR-4347, RR-3550, RR-3211, RR-3257, RR-4610, RR-1715, RR-4011,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3989, RR-4587, RR-0786, RR-1370, RR-4414, RR-4733, RR-1326, RR-2702, RR-0353, RR-3182, RR-0458, RR-0043, RR-2707, RR-0975, RR-4284, RR-2807, RR-4125, RR-4772, RR-0468, RR-4871, RR-2767, RR-3667, RR-4173, RR-4605, RR-0008, RR-1305, RR-1262, RR-2961, RR-3136, RR-4569, RR-1436, RR-4031, RR-3365, RR-1755, RR-1749, RR-3441, RR-0830, RR-2838, RR-2096, RR-2793, RR-1415, RR-2099, RR-2604, RR-0496, RR-4132, RR-1085, RR-0820, RR-1632, RR-1444, RR-0893, RR-1117, RR-0046, RR-4114, RR-1941, RR-4028, RR-2550, RR-4121, RR-4681, RR-0646, RR-2652, RR-3705, RR-1389, RR-4813, RR-3819, RR-3861, RR-2209, RR-1959, RR-1945, RR-1899, RR-3894, RR-0472, RR-0863, RR-4358, RR-0858, RR-3092, RR-4344, RR-4362, RR-2259, RR-4940, RR-1835, RR-3472, RR-1333, RR-4787, RR-1987, RR-2909, RR-2318, RR-1022, RR-2204, RR-1911, RR-0136, RR-1271, RR-1460, RR-3566, RR-2593, RR-0555, RR-0190, RR-0274, RR-2103, RR-4197, RR-0161, RR-4923, RR-2399, RR-1883, RR-0480, RR-0672,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1441, RR-1671, RR-0477, RR-2374, RR-1814, RR-0874, RR-1109, RR-3311, RR-4141, RR-3137, RR-3282, RR-1562, RR-4821, RR-2150, RR-1808, RR-0350, RR-2938, RR-4463, RR-1563, RR-4092, RR-4137, RR-4622, RR-4164, RR-2382, RR-1625, RR-0876, RR-3000, RR-3299, RR-0876, RR-1558, RR-1010, RR-3201, RR-3134, RR-3659, RR-1951, RR-2025, RR-4736, RR-3307, RR-3233, RR-2848, RR-1490, RR-3638, RR-4485, RR-1564, RR-4526, RR-4382, RR-4574, RR-2257, RR-3243, RR-1855, RR-4827, RR-0802, RR-1479, RR-4356, RR-0942, RR-1884, RR-1254, RR-1388, RR-4302, RR-4476, RR-0602, RR-3104, RR-2736, RR-0160, RR-0042, RR-1556, RR-1269, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-1427, RR-2368, RR-2417, RR-4465, RR-1726, RR-4924, RR-2057, RR-2605, RR-3445, RR-3666, RR-2764, RR-3395, RR-1548, RR-1319, RR-2087, RR-1728, RR-3113, RR-3788, RR-0621, RR-4778, RR-4555, RR-3049, RR-1439, RR-1824, RR-4061, RR-3670, RR-2641, RR-0834, RR-1740, RR-1463, RR-0982, RR-1513, RR-0881, RR-4842, RR-4335, RR-0775,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3689, RR-1229, RR-2161, RR-4852, RR-1983, RR-3451, RR-2526, RR-0870, RR-3392, RR-4621, RR-2527, RR-4530, RR-0969, RR-0232, RR-1025, RR-3878, RR-4160, RR-2939, RR-4021, RR-0773, RR-1976, RR-2747, RR-1555, RR-2215, RR-0148, RR-0708, RR-3716, RR-3332, RR-2409, RR-2268, RR-4445, RR-3393, RR-1970, RR-4855, RR-1860, RR-2741, RR-3505, RR-4059, RR-0831, RR-4734, RR-3349, RR-3775, RR-2199, RR-0731, RR-1335, RR-0527, RR-1725, RR-2947, RR-3790, RR-3880, RR-2879, RR-4632, RR-2528, RR-3755, RR-2629, RR-0506, RR-2703, RR-4333, RR-0987, RR-0041, RR-4872, RR-0990, RR-4702, RR-1787, RR-1007, RR-2598, RR-0934, RR-2305, RR-2828, RR-3439, RR-1428, RR-0128, RR-3974, RR-2679, RR-3890, RR-4050, RR-2187, RR-0674, RR-1035, RR-3020, RR-1342, RR-1251, RR-2821, RR-1553, RR-2180, RR-0184, RR-1381, RR-4905, RR-3140, RR-1702, RR-2994, RR-3193, RR-3664, RR-3686, RR-2533, RR-3133, RR-1013, RR-4343, RR-0679, RR-0185, RR-0895, RR-1612, RR-2179, RR-3339, RR-1106,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3524, RR-0169, RR-2733, RR-3905, RR-0972, RR-4762, RR-1698, RR-0449, RR-2891, RR-2785, RR-2206, RR-0567, RR-1549, RR-4020, RR-2121, RR-1852, RR-2537, RR-1314, RR-2100, RR-1372, RR-3991, RR-4957, RR-2601, RR-1916, RR-1148, RR-2278, RR-0755, RR-1304, RR-4847, RR-2046, RR-4738, RR-1140, RR-1328, RR-1832, RR-1864, RR-2407, RR-0356, RR-0827, RR-0044, RR-0159, RR-4878, RR-0256, RR-4518, RR-0308, RR-2839, RR-2876, RR-0817, RR-0500, RR-4022, RR-3156, RR-1540, RR-3739, RR-2459, RR-4008, RR-0920, RR-1469, RR-1468, RR-1925, RR-0158, RR-3019, RR-0206, RR-4808, RR-2421, RR-2811, RR-1505, RR-2242, RR-2456, RR-3229, RR-0613, RR-2918, RR-3386, RR-4790, RR-1617, RR-1664, RR-0258, RR-4812, RR-2189, RR-4483, RR-4487, RR-0266, RR-3238, RR-2675, RR-3985, RR-2809, RR-3877, RR-1266, RR-1695, RR-1512, RR-0690, RR-0647, RR-3348, RR-0204, RR-1447, RR-2000, RR-0670, RR-3765, RR-3240, RR-1894, RR-2546, RR-4270, RR-3969, RR-2060, RR-4213, RR-4270, RR-1124,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3050, RR-3294, RR-4233, RR-2539, RR-2719, RR-4094, RR-4290, RR-3598, RR-0410, RR-4087, RR-1683, RR-2372, RR-2380, RR-0736, RR-3674, RR-2752, RR-4218, RR-4885, RR-4643, RR-2331, RR-1796, RR-1525, RR-1525, RR-2381, RR-1176, RR-4171, RR-0080, RR-0489, RR-3336, RR-0221, RR-1295, RR-3935, RR-2108, RR-0994, RR-0387, RR-1019, RR-4109, RR-3202, RR-4850, RR-0059, RR-2525, RR-4378, RR-0715, RR-3730, RR-1403, RR-3572, RR-2903, RR-3845, RR-0733, RR-3397, RR-3030, RR-0578, RR-4420, RR-4705, RR-3491, RR-1948, RR-0072, RR-3834, RR-1679, RR-4641, RR-0932, RR-1665, RR-0521, RR-1754, RR-3781, RR-4002, RR-2722, RR-1713, RR-3145, RR-4510, RR-2974, RR-0678, RR-0063, RR-0569, RR-1850, RR-0589, RR-0359, RR-1174, RR-2496, RR-3923, RR-3699, RR-0155, RR-1499, RR-3851, RR-3372, RR-4222, RR-0055, RR-4541, RR-1867, RR-0729, RR-4860, RR-1423, RR-4950, RR-2704, RR-4368, RR-3016, RR-2298, RR-3434, RR-1723, RR-3431, RR-4044, RR-4251, RR-2712, RR-1393, RR-1397,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4809, RR-2967, RR-2633, RR-4903, RR-2188, RR-3828, RR-3521, RR-1626, RR-0125, RR-3853, RR-0723, RR-0933, RR-1977, RR-2516, RR-3857, RR-3071, RR-2470, RR-3854, RR-2154, RR-2133, RR-2956, RR-0057, RR-1510, RR-0427, RR-1881, RR-1729, RR-1729, RR-2762, RR-2328, RR-3272, RR-4890, RR-3184, RR-1605, RR-1542, RR-3639, RR-1175, RR-1386, RR-2657, RR-1237, RR-4442, RR-4577, RR-4785, RR-0164, RR-0432, RR-0498, RR-4214, RR-2248, RR-0188, RR-3870, RR-1313, RR-0803, RR-1937, RR-1672, RR-2695, RR-1543, RR-1369, RR-1545, RR-0718, RR-2218, RR-1093, RR-0701, RR-1137, RR-3006, RR-3410, RR-2574, RR-2275, RR-1841, RR-3268, RR-4635, RR-1721, RR-4499, RR-3629, RR-2437, RR-3059, RR-1159, RR-4328, RR-3852, RR-3879, RR-3125, RR-1034, RR-1373, RR-2795, RR-4243, RR-1635, RR-0227, RR-2512, RR-2796, RR-1635, RR-1995, RR-1830, RR-1131, RR-0714, RR-4174, RR-3701, RR-4079, RR-2039, RR-1210, RR-4016, RR-1646, RR-4596, RR-2935, RR-3986, RR-1524, RR-4596, RR-3284,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3138, RR-0760, RR-3832, RR-3676, RR-0443, RR-1495, RR-0657, RR-3761, RR-2137, RR-2531, RR-0652, RR-3367, RR-0921, RR-1379, RR-0520, RR-0721, RR-1659, RR-0248, RR-1182, RR-2056, RR-3347, RR-1871, RR-4201, RR-3636, RR-3582, RR-2135, RR-3960, RR-2813, RR-3155, RR-3864, RR-0712, RR-1421, RR-0806, RR-2149, RR-1935, RR-1921, RR-4226, RR-0203, RR-2942, RR-2239, RR-2760, RR-4331, RR-2953, RR-1104, RR-1438, RR-2054, RR-1112, RR-3216, RR-2383, RR-2490, RR-0134, RR-3290, RR-1640, RR-1628, RR-2011, RR-3239, RR-3994, RR-3507, RR-0846, RR-1875, RR-2507, RR-1799, RR-3362, RR-0912, RR-1292, RR-3376, RR-3927, RR-3271, RR-1799, RR-4297, RR-2105, RR-2665, RR-2642, RR-3025, RR-2247, RR-2453, RR-0865, RR-3458, RR-0444, RR-4930, RR-0219, RR-3159, RR-1493, RR-4413, RR-2955, RR-0750, RR-0486, RR-4843, RR-1059, RR-4225, RR-2972, RR-2656, RR-3641, RR-3641, RR-2621, RR-4153, RR-4760, RR-0768, RR-0549, RR-0664, RR-4462, RR-0640, RR-3317, RR-2465, RR-1955,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2573, RR-1017, RR-1330, RR-4159, RR-4177, RR-0241, RR-4816, RR-0540, RR-3227, RR-4547, RR-3600, RR-2780, RR-3029, RR-4425, RR-3685, RR-4122, RR-1956, RR-4026, RR-1657, RR-1647, RR-0301, RR-2129, RR-0348, RR-0101, RR-3526, RR-4693, RR-3346, RR-0850, RR-1760, RR-4730, RR-2258, RR-1166, RR-0977, RR-0246, RR-2634, RR-0819, RR-3520, RR-3810, RR-4515, RR-3176, RR-3811, RR-2156, RR-3135, RR-0784, RR-4330, RR-2861, RR-2670, RR-3053, RR-0143, RR-0792, RR-0192, RR-3612, RR-3586, RR-4166, RR-3470, RR-2088, RR-2863, RR-2986, RR-3930, RR-4624, RR-0595, RR-1162, RR-3296, RR-4769, RR-4190, RR-0662, RR-1753, RR-1284, RR-0182, RR-1636, RR-1357, RR-0066, RR-4001, RR-2195, RR-2614, RR-0965, RR-1708, RR-1231, RR-0464, RR-2709, RR-1691, RR-4556, RR-4729, RR-0399, RR-3235, RR-2959, RR-3461, RR-3266, RR-4379, RR-4306, RR-3825, RR-4379, RR-3390, RR-4305, RR-3097, RR-0758, RR-3102, RR-1533, RR-1720, RR-2802, RR-3668, RR-4602, RR-0196, RR-4461, RR-4012,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0172, RR-4215, RR-4199, RR-4440, RR-1340, RR-1337, RR-4742, RR-2763, RR-1981, RR-4929, RR-4824, RR-1029, RR-4366, RR-1849, RR-1364, RR-3213, RR-1807, RR-0334, RR-3321, RR-3204, RR-0618, RR-1809, RR-3060, RR-4148, RR-4395, RR-3080, RR-3005, RR-4228, RR-0501, RR-3651, RR-1639, RR-0491, RR-1831, RR-0791, RR-2691, RR-1839, RR-4172, RR-0106, RR-1940, RR-4732, RR-0687, RR-0453, RR-2473, RR-0815, RR-2019, RR-3723, RR-4043, RR-0655, RR-2941, RR-0960, RR-0519, RR-1535, RR-2619, RR-3489, RR-1917, RR-3487, RR-3217, RR-1102, RR-1412, RR-3495, RR-1425, RR-2867, RR-1258, RR-1757, RR-4433, RR-2912, RR-2729, RR-0559, RR-0167, RR-0600, RR-2120, RR-0532, RR-2683, RR-2191, RR-2518, RR-4661, RR-1380, RR-2475, RR-3283, RR-1006, RR-1385, RR-1097, RR-1082, RR-2566, RR-2698, RR-2544, RR-1442, RR-3375, RR-1836, RR-2284, RR-0152, RR-0798, RR-0056, RR-2373, RR-1530, RR-4064, RR-1030, RR-3704, RR-1890, RR-0269, RR-1800, RR-1154, RR-2858, RR-0596, RR-2159,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2256, RR-3189, RR-2862, RR-0089, RR-4341, RR-2228, RR-1531, RR-2648, RR-3912, RR-4918, RR-2799, RR-3647, RR-3334, RR-2886, RR-3893, RR-4118, RR-1766, RR-2155, RR-4056, RR-3807, RR-2596, RR-0149, RR-1289, RR-1909, RR-2267, RR-2660, RR-2007, RR-0929, RR-3327, RR-4630, RR-2852, RR-2535, RR-3186, RR-2667, RR-1804, RR-0677, RR-0980, RR-4868, RR-4697, RR-2934, RR-4325, RR-3924, RR-4539, RR-1199, RR-0265, RR-2881, RR-0028, RR-4640, RR-2002, RR-0116, RR-1823, RR-4408, RR-2468, RR-0014, RR-3345, RR-3998, RR-2737, RR-0459, RR-2966, RR-2630, RR-4546, RR-2333, RR-0697, RR-0599, RR-3318, RR-2478, RR-0268, RR-3420, RR-3330, RR-0603, RR-2980, RR-1689, RR-1631, RR-3766, RR-2386, RR-4703, RR-1648, RR-2497, RR-4104, RR-2090, RR-1156, RR-3767, RR-1974, RR-3552, RR-2782, RR-2541, RR-2480, RR-2585, RR-3607, RR-0349, RR-2977, RR-3805, RR-3139, RR-3965, RR-3406, RR-2508, RR-4458, RR-0121, RR-1586, RR-2976, RR-3139, RR-4245, RR-4743, RR-3436, RR-2067,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4502, RR-3255, RR-0218, RR-4193, RR-0782, RR-1681, RR-0087, RR-0441, RR-4219, RR-2740, RR-4858, RR-0653, RR-3356, RR-0653, RR-1669, RR-2003, RR-4713, RR-4062, RR-1472, RR-4291, RR-3871, RR-1194, RR-4416, RR-0967, RR-1338, RR-1301, RR-1233, RR-2501, RR-0967, RR-3516, RR-2010, RR-1536, RR-0694, RR-3821, RR-0162, RR-0566, RR-2064, RR-2738, RR-0954, RR-4832, RR-2864, RR-1470, RR-2141, RR-0572, RR-0963, RR-4095, RR-2705, RR-3901, RR-3388, RR-2853, RR-0991, RR-0703, RR-2720, RR-4427, RR-4372, RR-1307, RR-3068, RR-2989, RR-0201, RR-4210, RR-1878, RR-3494, RR-3045, RR-0992, RR-0457, RR-3712, RR-2094, RR-3839, RR-4488, RR-2797, RR-3581, RR-1684, RR-0665, RR-4659, RR-3302, RR-3014, RR-2491, RR-3466, RR-3625, RR-0630, RR-1323, RR-3259, RR-1660, RR-1368, RR-3513, RR-4066, RR-2165, RR-2725, RR-3920, RR-2725, RR-0826, RR-4484, RR-1701, RR-0790, RR-2745, RR-4227, RR-1359, RR-3308, RR-1129, RR-3637, RR-0209, RR-2022, RR-2294, RR-3322, RR-4191,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2310, RR-3838, RR-0518, RR-3909, RR-4545, RR-2847, RR-3835, RR-1538, RR-4182, RR-2117, RR-3036, RR-3192, RR-4276, RR-4875, RR-0210, RR-2391, RR-2825, RR-3421, RR-1539, RR-3813, RR-2104, RR-4771, RR-4862, RR-0543, RR-2142, RR-4289, RR-3371, RR-4623, RR-2264, RR-1057, RR-4505, RR-2282, RR-4571, RR-2696, RR-0423, RR-1896, RR-1161, RR-4365, RR-2167, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-3023, RR-3460, RR-2564, RR-2231, RR-3649, RR-3859, RR-0612, RR-3587, RR-2089, RR-3829, RR-0643, RR-1923, RR-4582, RR-0264, RR-4943, RR-0671, RR-1865, RR-3183, RR-0207, RR-4030, RR-0789, RR-0397, RR-2201, RR-2488, RR-2871, RR-3502, RR-4589, RR-0333, RR-0086, RR-0294, RR-4421, RR-1692, RR-4409, RR-0305, RR-3198, RR-4917, RR-0774, RR-3562, RR-4599, RR-4653, RR-2940, RR-0327, RR-1440, RR-3314, RR-1075, RR-4203, RR-0695, RR-0854, RR-1308, RR-1247, RR-1782, RR-2576, RR-1498, RR-0317, RR-1456, RR-1697, RR-4608, RR-2997, RR-3401, RR-4323, RR-2840, RR-1590, RR-0117, RR-2920,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2784, RR-4533, RR-4906, RR-0770, RR-3480, RR-0307, RR-3866, RR-4710, RR-3485, RR-0661, RR-3614, RR-2053, RR-3079, RR-3306, RR-0352, RR-3708, RR-2102, RR-1623, RR-0890, RR-2080, RR-0754, RR-1366, RR-4142, RR-1032, RR-2192, RR-2845, RR-4479, RR-2494, RR-0730, RR-1098, RR-3908, AS-003, RR-0623, RR-0717, RR-0984, RR-1145, RR-1204, RR-1523, RR-1523, RR-1604, RR-1658, RR-1711, RR-1775, RR-1840, RR-1947, RR-2286, RR-2602, RR-2618, RR-2724, RR-3279, AS-004, RR-3361, RR-3592, RR-3632, RR-3995, RR-4189, RR-4237, RR-4310, RR-4543, RR-4765, RR-4645			
EB-02	RR-2655, RR-4453, RR-0328, RR-3535, RR-2189, RR-0116	Ponds	Respondents expressed concern for the Scheme's impact to ponds.	All existing ponds within the Order Limits will be retained and protected, as set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] . Sections 9.10.127 to 9.10.132 confirm that no ponds are anticipated to be directly impacted through habitat loss or fragmentation as a result of the Scheme, and that a minimum 10 metre development-free buffer from all ponds will be maintained to ensure ponds and their immediate surrounding habitats remain unimpacted. With embedded mitigation in place, no significant effects on

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				ponds are anticipated during construction or operation. In addition, opportunities to create new areas of standing water have been explored, including the restoration of historic farm ponds previously lost to agriculture (also known as 'ghost ponds'). Sections 9.10.133 to 9.10.134 confirm that nine historic ponds will be restored and new ponds created, managed for wildlife benefit over the lifetime of the Scheme. These proposed enhancements are shown in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. As a result, the ES concludes that the Scheme is expected to deliver a significant beneficial effect on standing water habitats and associated species. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan and Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283] and Outline EPMS [APP-284], are secured through Requirements 7 and 8 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that pond protection and enhancement measures are

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to ponds has been discussed with Wiltshire Council and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.
EB-03	RR-1756, RR-0648, RR-1377, RR-1582, RR-4709, RR-0347, RR-3747, RR-0150, RR-3936, RR-2631, RR-4318, RR-4747, RR-1243, RR-2457, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-0743, RR-4441, RR-4441, RR-1847, RR-0076, RR-1969, RR-1090, RR-1784, RR-2979, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-2140, RR-2463, RR-1477, RR-0746, RR-0324, RR-4712, RR-3429, RR-4098, RR-0328, RR-4200, RR-0311, RR-4466, RR-0130, RR-2570, RR-2486, RR-2571, RR-2555, RR-4248, RR-2553, RR-2388, RR-3222, RR-4922, RR-3783, RR-3128, RR-3597, RR-3148, RR-1253, RR-0002, RR-4568, RR-2230, RR-0088, RR-4509, RR-4666, RR-1418, RR-1603, RR-0024, RR-4357, RR-1087, RR-3446, RR-4347, RR-0807, RR-1085, RR-0003, RR-4681, RR-2103, RR-2399, RR-0350, RR-4622, RR-3307, RR-4736,	Mitigation Measures	Respondents expressed concern that the ecological mitigation measures are insufficient and/or will be ineffective. Some respondents expressed scepticism that the Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) will be achieved.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on ecology and biodiversity are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies embedded and additional mitigation measures and concludes that, with these measures in place, no unacceptable significant adverse effects on ecology and biodiversity are anticipated. The Applicant notes that the approach to identifying and securing mitigation measures is under discussion with Wiltshire Council, Natural England and the Environment Agency and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. The Scheme is expected to deliver an overall net gain for biodiversity as a result of the cessation of agricultural practices combined with delivery of considerable habitat creation and enhancement measures. This is quantified through the use of the DEFRA

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2848, RR-2368, RR-2417, RR-1319, RR-0870, RR-4021, RR-2215, RR-0128, RR-3890, RR-1870, RR-3686, RR-2533, RR-1612, RR-1698, RR-0449, RR-3635, RR-3645, RR-2242, RR-1894, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-3923, RR-1499, RR-4222, RR-1175, RR-0287, RR-4499, RR-1635, RR-0227, RR-1830, RR-4174, RR-3497, RR-1104, RR-1438, RR-4760, RR-0768, RR-0640, RR-3135, RR-0143, RR-0192, RR-4190, RR-3992, RR-1708, RR-0491, RR-0106, RR-2019, RR-2941, RR-2475, RR-2284, RR-3704, RR-1154, RR-4118, RR-2155, RR-4672, RR-0599, RR-3767, RR-0253, RR-2693, RR-4502, RR-3255, RR-4713, RR-2141, RR-0992, RR-3839, RR-3428, RR-0810, RR-1701, RR-2847, RR-1826, RR-4875, RR-4623, RR-2176, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-2231, RR-2110, RR-4779, RR-3098, RR-2840, RR-3485, RR-3306, PDA-016, RR-0717			published Statutory Biodiversity Metric [APP-274] with further details provided with the Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment Report [APP-273] which demonstrates in excess of 10% BNG will result from the Scheme. A comprehensive package of habitat retention, creation, enhancement and long-term management measures is proposed to avoid, reduce and mitigate impacts and to deliver Biodiversity Net Gain. These include the protection of existing habitats, restoration of lost features such as historic ponds, creation of new habitats, and long-term ecological management to improve habitat quality over the lifetime of the Scheme. The ecological mitigation and enhancement proposals, including BNG measures, are illustrated in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] and the Outline Ecological Protection Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. The Outline LEMP [APP-283] includes provisions for habitat establishment, maintenance and ecological monitoring to confirm that mitigation and enhancement measures are functioning as intended and to identify and implement remedial measures where required.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan and Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283] and Outline EPMS [APP-284], are secured through Requirements 7 and 8 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that ecological mitigation and enhancement measures are delivered, monitored and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
EB-04	RR-4817, RR-3955, RR-4493, RR-4501, RR-4952, RR-2829, RR-0361, RR-4513, RR-0017, RR-1589, RR-4677, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-0502, RR-0231, RR-2860, RR-3584, RR-3726, RR-1496, RR-0379, RR-4261, RR-3762, RR-4088, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-2600, RR-0743, RR-1529, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-4626, RR-2721, RR-3687, RR-1055, RR-2419, RR-2954, RR-2563, RR-3873, RR-2854, RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-3691, RR-1820, RR-3007, RR-2582, RR-1668, RR-3175, RR-1317, RR-0546, RR-1786, RR-1477, RR-0746, RR-3429, RR-1570, RR-1278,	Fencing	Respondents express concern that fencing will reduce habitats and wildlife movement.	Potential effects of boundary treatments and fencing on ecology and wildlife movement are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers impacts on habitats and species during construction, operation and decommissioning and identifies measures to avoid or mitigate barriers to wildlife movement. The assessment concludes that no significant adverse effects on ecology and biodiversity are anticipated as a result of boundary treatments and fencing. The Scheme design incorporates fencing around the Solar PV Arrays that is permeable to wildlife, with the exception of deer. This means animals (excluding deer only) will be able to freely access habitats within the Solar PV Sites without the need for wildlife gates. In addition, wide buffers

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4111, RR-2127, RR-4200, RR-0633, RR-2506, RR-3400, RR-4168, RR-3288, RR-3252, RR-4466, RR-4822, RR-2486, RR-2571, RR-3604, RR-3479, RR-2411, RR-4112, RR-0009, RR-1384, RR-4922, RR-1114, RR-1517, RR-2400, RR-3820, RR-3682, RR-2901, RR-2031, RR-3962, RR-0845, RR-0118, RR-4956, RR-3906, RR-3515, RR-0978, RR-0068, RR-4744, RR-0002, RR-4519, RR-4283, RR-1764, RR-2296, RR-2603, RR-1673, RR-2732, RR-1768, RR-2833, RR-4666, RR-1471, RR-1557, RR-1546, RR-2579, RR-1021, RR-0092, RR-0024, RR-4357, RR-1087, RR-2026, RR-0293, RR-2484, RR-2702, RR-4931, RR-1305, RR-2961, RR-1436, RR-0830, RR-2099, RR-2604, RR-0496, RR-4132, RR-0820, RR-1941, RR-2550, RR-4681, RR-2652, RR-1389, RR-2241, RR-0863, RR-4358, RR-0858, RR-2259, RR-0555, RR-2103, RR-4800, RR-1563, RR-2382, RR-4736, RR-4574, RR-4302, RR-1269, RR-1427, RR-0621, RR-3670, RR-1740, RR-0775, RR-3689, RR-0870, RR-4021, RR-1970, RR-0506, RR-4050, RR-0674, RR-1870, RR-3664, RR-3339, RR-1698,			are retained around the peripheries of the Solar PV Sites to allow wildlife, including deer, to move between favoured habitats. These measures are described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] and detailed in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. Fencing will be subject to regular inspection and maintenance to ensure continued permeability to wildlife and effective functioning over the lifetime of the Scheme. Measures for ongoing ecological management and maintenance are set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy and Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline EPMS [APP-284] and Outline LEMP [APP-283], are secured through Requirements 8 and 7 respectively in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that fencing design and maintenance measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0702, RR-0044, RR-0500, RR-1703, RR-1617, RR-2675, RR-2809, RR-0894, RR-4213, RR-4094, RR-4290, RR-3618, RR-3834, RR-1713, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-2496, RR-3923, RR-3699, RR-4222, RR-1723, RR-3431, RR-1393, RR-2133, RR-3262, RR-1542, RR-1237, RR-0287, RR-4785, RR-1506, RR-4499, RR-1159, RR-1635, RR-1659, RR-2490, RR-3927, RR-4843, RR-0768, RR-3317, RR-0241, RR-3569, RR-0101, RR-3384, RR-2258, RR-2634, RR-3520, RR-2543, RR-2156, RR-2670, RR-0143, RR-2088, RR-4190, RR-4867, RR-2195, RR-1231, RR-4565, RR-3266, RR-3390, RR-4379, RR-3668, RR-4742, RR-1981, RR-1809, RR-4148, RR-4394, RR-2019, RR-0655, RR-4508, RR-2619, RR-1917, RR-2729, RR-0532, RR-3375, RR-1836, RR-2284, RR-1530, RR-1154, RR-0844, RR-3647, RR-2155, RR-4958, RR-1116, RR-0028, RR-0033, RR-0697, RR-0599, RR-4104, RR-2480, RR-3805, RR-1586, RR-2693, RR-4416, RR-3601, RR-4832, RR-3625, RR-0630, RR-1323, RR-2165, RR-1701, RR-4904, RR-4545, RR-3835, RR-3192, RR-1826, RR-4875,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3371, RR-4505, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-2488, RR-3098, RR-0833, RR-3306, RR-2102, RR-4620, RR-2286			
EB-05	RR-0131, RR-2460, RR-0382, RR-3119, RR-3749, RR-0668, RR-3254, RR-2314, RR-4437, RR-2344, RR-4043, RR-3642, PDA-017	Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG)	Respondents expressed general support for / or emphasised the need for the Scheme's environmental improvements and/or Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG).	The Applicant makes note of this Representation and welcomes the support for the Scheme's environmental improvements and Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG).
EB-06	RR-3955, RR-4493, RR-4501, RR-4952, RR-1589, RR-2860, RR-3779, RR-3996, RR-1055, RR-1820, RR-3252, RR-1768, RR-1941, RR-0555, RR-3666, RR-3497, RR-3384, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-0717	Planning Policy	Respondent expressed that the proposals contradict local and national Landscape Recovery objectives.	The Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] describes how the Scheme will complement a range of national and local nature conservation policies and objectives. This includes the objectives of the draft Wiltshire Local Nature Recovery Strategy (LNRS) which was consulted during the design of the Scheme. The Scheme will contribute to several priorities of the LNRS, for instance through the creation and enhancement of neutral grassland, woodland, hedgerows and watercourses, as well as strengthening connective links between habitats of these types within the Order Limits and surrounding landscape. These measures are shown in ES Volume 2, Figures 3-4-1 to 3-4-5.2 Landscape and Ecology Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and details of creation and ongoing management/monitoring of all new and retained habitats are set out in the Outline LEMP [APP-283] .

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				It is acknowledged that the full LNRS has been published in October 2025 subsequent to the preparation of these documents, although it is noted that the published LNRS does not considerably differ from the draft version and no changes to the measures set out within the ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4-1 to 3-4-5.2 Landscape and Ecology Mitigation Plan [APP-084] or the Outline LEMP [APP-283] are considered necessary as a result of the final LNRS having been published.
EB-07	RR-3568	BESS	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the BESS on the ecosystem of the Gauzebrook.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding potential contamination associated with the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) on the Gauze Brook water course. Potential risks to groundwater and surface water from electrical infrastructure, including the BESS, have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], ES Volume 1, Chapter 11 Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 19 Ground Conditions [APP-071]. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation and secured controls, the Scheme would not give rise to significant adverse effects on watercourses including Gauze Brook. The design of the BESS areas incorporates containment and controlled drainage measures to manage potential spillages or firewater, as described in the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218]. These measures ensure that any accidental releases would be contained and would not result in contamination of surrounding land or watercourses. An Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286] has been prepared for the Scheme using guidance from Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and incorporating recommendations of the National Fire Chiefs Council. The Outline BSMP ensures that risks associated with battery storage are appropriately mitigated.
EB-08	RR-3220, RR-3002, RR-1477, RR-0746, RR-4111, RR-3758, RR-4338, RR-1673, RR-4681, RR-2652, RR-1726, RR-1319, RR-1740, RR-1294, RR-2760, RR-1301, RR-4623, RR-2176	Vegetation	Respondent expressed concern that shading under panels will degrade vegetation.	The Applicant notes the concern and it is recognised that grassland directly beneath the Solar PV panels will be limited in diversity as a result of shading impacts. However, as detailed within the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] grassland of higher diversity can be expected to develop within the proposed easements, margins and buffer areas which will form a considerable proportion of the Solar PV Sites post-development. These habitats are shown in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084]. The Solar PV Sites are generally arable in character currently, with cropped fields of typically intensively farmed monocultures comprising the majority (approximately 87%) of land coverage. The proposed reversion from

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				intensive agriculture to low (or no) input grassland across the Solar PV Sites can be expected to increase botanical species diversity overall.
EB-09	RR-2138, RR-4818	Wildlife	Respondents expressed concern for the impact of water contamination on wildlife.	Concerns relating to potential water contamination and effects on aquatic receptors have been considered within ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], which assesses the potential for construction and operational effects on surface water and groundwater quality. The assessment identifies the relevant pollutant pathways and receptors and confirms that, with embedded mitigation and secured controls, the Scheme would not give rise to significant adverse effects on the water environment. Measures to prevent contamination during construction, including management of fuels, oils, chemicals and silt-laden runoff, are secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], which sets out pollution prevention measures, temporary drainage controls and incident response procedures. With these controls in place, the Scheme would not result in significant effects on water quality or aquatic habitats. Potential impacts of the Scheme on waterbodies and wildlife associated with them are further assessed in ES Volume

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects on protected species during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, no significant adverse effects on these habitats or associated species are anticipated. Further measures to avoid impacts on aquatic habitats and wildlife through contamination are set out in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. These include adoption of trenchless technologies to cross underneath key watercourses, maintenance of protective buffers to aquatic habitats, and adoption of good-practice pollution prevention measures.
EB-10	RR-0328	Local Government Policy	Respondent stated that the developer has missed opportunities to contribute to Wiltshire's Local Nature Recovery Strategy.	The Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] describes how the Scheme will complement the objectives of the draft Wiltshire Local Nature Recovery Strategy (LNRS) which was consulted during the design of the Scheme. The Scheme will contribute to several priorities of the LNRS, for instance through the creation and enhancement of neutral grassland, woodland, hedgerows and watercourses, as well as strengthening connective links between habitats of these types within the Order Limits and surrounding landscape.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				These measures are shown in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and details of creation and ongoing management/monitoring of all new and retained habitats are set out in the Outline LEMP [APP-283] .
EB-11	RR-1049	Habitat Regulations	Respondent stated that the proposals contradict Habitats Regulations on the following grounds: - European sites and functionally linked land must be protected - European eels create functional link to Severn Estuary Ramsar/SPA - Site within 500m consultation zone of Bath and Bradford on Avon Bats SAC References: Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017	Potential impacts of the Scheme on internationally designated sites for nature conservation, including the Severn Estuary Ramsar/SPA and Bath and Bradford on Avon Bats SAC are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] and within the Habitat Regulations Assessment Report [APP-275], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies embedded and additional mitigation measures and concludes that, with these measures in place, no significant adverse effects on any internationally designated sites are anticipated. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to internationally designated sites is under discussion with Natural England and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.
EB-12	RR-3604, RR-3111, RR-2740	Cable Route	Respondents expressed concern regarding the impact	Potential impacts of the Scheme on ecology and biodiversity, including from those associated with cable installation

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			of the cable route and wiring on wildlife.	works, are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies embedded and additional mitigation measures and concludes that, with these measures in place, no unacceptable significant adverse effects on ecology and biodiversity are anticipated. Mitigation measures relevant to cable installation works include the adoption of trenchless technologies and/or micro-siting of cable trenching to minimise impacts on wildlife, narrowing of working areas at hedgerows, pollution prevention measures, and reinstatement of habitats within the Cable Route Corridor following a relatively short construction period. These measures are set out in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy, substantially in accordance with the Outline EPMS [APP-284], is secured through Requirement 8 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that ecological protection and mitigation measures are delivered.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
EB-13	RR-2136, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-0629, RR-4779, RR-2576	Maintenance	Respondent expressed concern that there is a lack of information regarding vegetation and ground maintenance.	Information on all habitat creation, management and monitoring measures are set out within the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. This includes details on how all retained and newly established vegetation and other features will be maintained. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283], is secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016].
EB-14	RR-3783, RR-3906, RR-4744, RR-4777, RR-3148, RR-4338, RR-2734, RR-1085, RR-1959, RR-4574, RR-3104, RR-1728, RR-3664, RR-0143, RR-4792, RR-1385, RR-4488	Ecological Surveys	Respondent expressed that ecological surveys remain incomplete.	The Applicant acknowledges that some areas within the Cable Route Corridor had not been subject to ecological survey at the point of finalising ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061]. Since the preparation of the Chapter, habitat surveys have now been completed across all previously un-surveyed areas. The survey methodology applied was consistent with that reported in the ES Volume 3, Appendix 9-3: Ecological Baseline Report [APP-198] for the rest of the Cable Route Corridor. The results of these surveys have been reviewed and, in the Applicant's view, do not alter the conclusions of ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] regarding likely significant

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				effects. The precautionary assumptions previously applied in the ES were conservative and appropriately accounted for any uncertainty associated with un-surveyed areas. The Applicant will update ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] and provide a revised version at Deadline 1 with the findings of these surveys, and it is considered these do not materially change the assessment of likely significant effects presented in the chapter.
EB-15	RR-3515	Ecological Surveys	Respondent enquired where the detailed multi-season 5-year survey of wildlife for the fields in Lime Down E are.	The ecological survey methodology is described within section 9.6 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] and has been derived from information obtained through consultation with stakeholders and by reviewing relevant guidance and studies. None of the best practice guidance referred to within the Chapter stipulates a multi-season 5-year survey of wildlife is necessary to underpin and inform an assessment of impacts on ecology and biodiversity, and no such survey has been undertaken.
EB-16	RR-0909, RR-0095	National Policy	Respondent stated that the proposals breach NPPF Paragraph 175 relating to ecology.	NPPF (published December 2024) paragraph 175 relates to flooding and the sequential test rather than ecology matters. The Applicant has applied the Sequential Test to both site selection and at site level (through the design of the Solar PV Sites), as set out in the Sequential and Exception Test Report at

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Annex C of the Planning Statement [APP-267]. Further, an assessment of the effects of the Scheme on flood risk both within the Site and the surrounding area is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], with supporting information provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218]. The assessment concludes that, with the embedded mitigation measures secured, the Scheme would not result in a material increase in off-site flood risk, including to adjacent communities and downstream receptors, and that any on-site flood risk would be managed such that the Scheme remains safe and operable for its lifetime. Part 15 (paragraphs 187 to 195) of the NPPF relates to protecting and enhancing biodiversity through the planning process and the relevant policies have been considered at Annex A of the Planning Statement [APP-267]. With reference to Part 15 of the NPPF, impacts on ecology and biodiversity are fully assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061]. This assessment considers baseline ecological conditions and evaluates likely

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				significant effects arising during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Scheme. It identifies avoidance, mitigation and enhancement measures to address potential impacts on designated sites, important habitats, and protected and notable species. It also identifies opportunities for ecological enhancement, including the creation and long-term management of habitats, and delivery of biodiversity net gain. The assessment concludes that no significant unacceptable adverse effects on ecology and biodiversity are anticipated during construction or operation, and furthermore the Scheme is expected to deliver an overall net gain for biodiversity. The Scheme is therefore considered to be in accordance with Part 15 of the NPPF.
EB-17	RR-0909, RR-0088	Local Government Policy	Respondents stated that the proposals breach Wiltshire Core Policy 52. (Including reference to local plan).	The Planning Statement [APP-267] sets out the Scheme's compliance with relevant national and local planning policy, including specific compliance with Wiltshire Core Policy 52 regarding green infrastructure which can be found at Annex B. In summary, green infrastructure has been considered in the design of the Scheme. As set out in the Design Approach Document [APP-268], the iterative design process has considered integrated green (for instance, woodland and tree planting) and blue (for example, the creation of

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				wetland habitats) infrastructure into the design of the Scheme, informed by a design team with qualified professional ecologists. The Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] sets out the mitigation measures which are secured by Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. Section 4.2 of the Design Approach Document [APP-268] sets out the positive design outcomes of the Scheme to ensure it is sustainable and sensitive to place, including green infrastructure considerations. Enhancement measures will contribute to and strengthen the connectivity between a number of the Local Wildlife Sites located close to the Solar PV Sites and the local landscape, through the provision of new hedgerows, tree and woodland planting in the vicinity of the sites, and through the creation of areas of species rich grassland and scrub planting within the Solar PV Sites, which may provide ecological 'stepping stones' for various species within the local, largely arable landscape. Significant tree and woodland planting is proposed within the Order Limits as outlined in the Outline LEMP [APP-283], which will result in the creation of 11.37 ha of new native broadleaved woodland. Locations for planting have been directed by the need

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				for landscaping and visual impact mitigation, but opportunities have also been taken to connect habitat parcels. Once established, this level of woodland planting will result in a significant beneficial effect on the extent of woodland within the Order Limits, which would, by extension, contribute to the connectivity of woodland stands and green Infrastructure across the local landscape.
EB-18	RR-3931, RR-0320, RR-3805	Wildlife	Respondents claims that wildlife, including birds, will be driven away from the area.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on wildlife are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects on wildlife during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies a proportion of the existing populations of certain ground-nesting bird species, including skylark, yellow wagtail, corn bunting, grey partridge and quail would be displaced as a result of installation of Solar PV panels. Mitigation and habitat enhancement measures are incorporated into the Scheme design to reduce displacement effects as far as practicable. These include the provision of suitably large, open set-aside and grassland habitats designed to provide appropriate breeding and foraging conditions for these bird species. These measures are illustrated in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The assessment otherwise concludes no significant effects through displacement of wildlife would occur as a result of the Scheme. It is acknowledged that the Scheme design incorporates fencing around the Solar PV Arrays that is permeable to all wildlife except deer. In order to ensure continued habitat connectivity for deer, wide buffers are retained around the peripheries of the Solar PV Sites, allowing deer to move between favoured habitats, field boundary features and woodlands without being forced onto surrounding roads. These measures are described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] and detailed in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284].
EB-19	RR-1418, RR-1917, RR-1378, RR-3918	Wildlife	Respondents expressed concern regarding the possible reduction in biodiversity during construction and operation.	Potential impacts on ecology and biodiversity are fully assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061]. This assessment considers baseline ecological conditions and evaluates likely significant effects arising during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Scheme. It identifies avoidance, mitigation and enhancement measures to address potential impacts on habitats and protected and notable species. It also identifies

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				opportunities for ecological enhancement, including the creation and long-term management of habitats, and delivery of biodiversity net gain. The assessment concludes that no significant unacceptable adverse effects on ecology and biodiversity are anticipated during construction or operation During construction, specific measures are proposed to avoid disturbance and displacement of wildlife. These include protective buffers around sensitive habitats and features, timing restrictions on vegetation clearance, pre-construction ecological surveys, species-specific mitigation, and pollution prevention controls which are set out in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. The Scheme will also result in the cessation of intensive arable farming within the Solar PV Sites. As identified in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], this is expected to reduce the ongoing application of herbicides and pesticides, supporting improvements in habitat quality over the operational lifetime of the Scheme. The reversion of existing arable land within the Solar PV Sites to predominantly grassland habitats can be expected to benefit a wide range of protected and notable species, as identified in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9:

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061]. Mitigation and enhancement measures are detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] and the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284], which set out habitat creation, species protection measures, buffer zones and long-term ecological management. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283], are secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy, substantially in accordance with the Outline EPMS [APP-284], are secured through Requirement 8 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016]. These requirements ensure that ecological protection and enhancement measures are delivered and maintained throughout construction and operation of the Scheme.
EB-20	RR-1418	Construction Phase	Respondent stated that there are no plans to mitigate biodiversity loss during the 2-	Potential impacts of the Scheme on ecology and biodiversity, including from those associated with cable installation works, are assessed in ES Volume 1 ,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			year construction phase of the cable route.	Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] , which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies embedded and additional mitigation measures and concludes that, with these measures in place, no unacceptable significant adverse effects on ecology and biodiversity are anticipated. Mitigation measures relevant to cable installation works include the adoption of trenchless technologies and/or micro-siting of cable trenching to minimise impacts on wildlife, narrowing of working areas at hedgerows, pollution prevention measures, and reinstatement of habitats within the Cable Route Corridor following a relatively short construction period. These measures are set out in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284] .
EB-21	RR-1418	Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG)	Respondent asked whether the Applicant would carry out biodiversity audits to prove an increase in biodiversity.	Long-term ecological monitoring will be undertaken to track development and establishment of habitats and use of the Solar PV Sites by target wildlife species during the Operation and Maintenance Phase. The Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] details the proposed outline ecological monitoring strategy. This strategy includes a habitat survey and botanical quadrat survey to be undertaken to ensure habitats are developing as desired, and in line with BNG

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				commitments, as well as surveys for protected / notable species including birds, bats and great crested newts. The Scheme is expected to deliver an overall net gain for biodiversity as a result of the cessation of agricultural practices combined with delivery of considerable habitat creation and enhancement measures. This is quantified through the use of the DEFRA published Statutory Biodiversity Metric [APP-274] with further details provided with the Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment Report [APP-273] which demonstrates in excess of 10% biodiversity net gain (BNG) will result from the Scheme. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, to include an ecological monitoring strategy substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283], are secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016].
EB-22	AS-004, RR-3497	Ecological Surveys	Respondents expressed concern with the Applicant's own assessments, noting that adverse significant effects on hedgerows and breeding birds still remain. It was noted that impacts have not been	The Applicant acknowledges that some adverse ecological effects are unavoidable as a result of the Scheme. These are transparently reported within ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] and are summarised within the residual effects

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			avoided, mitigated or compensated for.	summary tables (Table 9-14 and 9-15). Residual significant adverse effects on habitats and species are limited to short-term loss of hedgerows and displacement of ground nesting birds. These effects have been minimised through careful design and are subsequently addressed through mitigation, compensation and long-term management to be secured via DCO Requirements including the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] and the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. Mitigation measures to be implemented to reduce the impacts of short-term hedgerow losses include installation and maintenance of protective fencing and adoption of pollution prevention measures to minimise impacts on all retained hedgerows. Extensive proposed new hedgerow planting, of considerably greater extent than that to be lost, is expected to adequately compensate for hedgerow loss in the medium to long term. Mitigation and habitat enhancement measures for ground nesting birds designed to reduce effects as far as practicable include the provision of suitably large, open set-aside and grassland habitats designed to provide appropriate breeding and foraging conditions.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
EB-23	RR-1070	Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) Local Government Policy	Respondent states that the Scheme contradicts Wiltshire Council's local policy which requires 20% BNG.	The Applicant notes this comment. The approach to assessing and securing BNG is detailed in the Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment Report [APP-273] and the BNG calculations for the Scheme are provided in the BNG Statutory Biodiversity Metric [APP-274]. Whilst the Draft Local Plan requires 20% BNG, in accordance with s104(4) of the Planning Act 2008, the DCO Application will be decided in accordance with the relevant NPSs. The requirement to achieve 10% BNG is expected to become a legal requirement for NSIPs from May 2026 but will not apply retrospectively to applications submitted before that date and therefore is not a legal requirement for the Scheme. Notwithstanding, BNG delivered by the Scheme is expected to exceed 20% for area-based habitat units as shown within the Statutory Biodiversity Metric [APP-274]. The Scheme is not expected to exceed 20% net gain for linear units although it is still expected to achieve at least 10% net gain for this unit type.
EB-24	RR-4362	Protected Sites	Respondent expressed concern regarding the site being located within the Bristol Avon Corridor, a key ecological area.	The Applicant notes this concern and notes the Order Limits fall within the Bristol Avon River catchment, with the Bristol Avon and its tributaries providing important habitat for a range of associated species. Potential impacts of the Scheme on ecology and biodiversity, including watercourses and species supported by them, are assessed in ES Volume 1,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] , which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies embedded and additional mitigation measures and concludes that, with these measures in place, no unacceptable significant adverse effects on watercourses and associated species are anticipated. The assessment also concludes that the cessation of intensive arable farming practices and proposed sympathetic management of riparian habitats is likely to result in a beneficial impact on watercourses at the Scheme.
EB-25	RR-1315, RR-4022, RR-0095	National Planning Policy	Respondents stated that the proposals contradict National Planning Policy including NPPF 13 and 15 due to its impact on wildlife.	The Planning Statement [APP-267] sets out the Scheme's compliance with relevant planning policy. Part 13 of the NPPF (December 2024) relates to Green Belt land. The Scheme is not located on Green Belt land and therefore this section of the NPPF is not relevant to the Scheme. Part 15 of the NPPF (December 2024) relates to conserving and enhancing the natural environment and the relevant policies have been considered at Annex A of the Planning Statement. With reference to Part 15 of the NPPF, impacts on ecology and biodiversity are fully assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061]. This assessment considers baseline ecological conditions and evaluates likely

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				significant effects arising during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Scheme. It identifies avoidance, mitigation and enhancement measures to address potential impacts on designated sites, important habitats, and protected and notable species. It also identifies opportunities for ecological enhancement, including the creation and long-term management of habitats, and delivery of biodiversity net gain. The assessment concludes that no significant unacceptable adverse effects on ecology and biodiversity are anticipated during construction or operation, and furthermore the Scheme is expected to deliver an overall net gain for biodiversity. The Scheme is therefore considered to be in accordance with Part 15 of the NPPF
EB-26	RR-0621, RR-0775, RR-0702, RR-4742	Protected Sites	Respondents commented that the Scheme is close to protected sites (SACs and SSSIs) and it could have an impact on these. One respondent noted that a former estate owner recognised the richness and importance of flora and fauna of the estate in Rodbourne and established a SSSI.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on designated sites for nature conservation, including the Harries Ground, Rodbourne SSSI are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] and within the Habitat Regulations Assessment Report [APP-275] , which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies embedded and additional mitigation measures and concludes that, with these measures in place, no

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				significant adverse effects on any designated sites are anticipated. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to designated sites is under discussion with Natural England and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.
EB-27	RR-0621, RR-4742	Ecological Surveys	Respondents stated that the cable route has not been properly surveyed for ecological impacts.	The scope of ecological and species-specific survey within the Cable Route Corridor is set out within section 9.6 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] and was defined using a proportionate, risk-based approach. Survey scope and effort across the Order Limits were targeted to areas and features where there was a reasonable likelihood of significant effects, rather than applied uniformly across the Order Limits irrespective of risk. The assessment has had regard to the short-term, temporary and construction-phase nature of works within the Cable Route Corridor, the absence of permanent operational effects, and a robust appraisal of habitat suitability for species based on baseline habitats data collected through surveys. Based on this, a reduced survey effort within the Cable Route Corridor has been undertaken compared to the Solar PV Sites. Potential impacts within the Cable Route Corridor, including temporary habitat loss, disturbance and fragmentation, have been assessed on a

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				precautionary reasonable worst-case basis. The Applicant acknowledges that some areas within the Cable Route Corridor had not been subject to ecological survey at the point of finalising ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061]. Since the preparation of the Chapter, habitat surveys have now been completed across all previously un-surveyed areas. The survey methodology applied was consistent with that reported in the ES Volume 3, Appendix 9-3: Ecological Baseline Report [APP-198] for the rest of the Cable Route Corridor. The results of these surveys have been reviewed and, in the Applicant's view, do not alter the conclusions of ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] regarding likely significant effects. The precautionary assumptions previously applied in the ES were conservative and appropriately accounted for any uncertainty associated with un-surveyed areas. The Applicant will update ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] and provide a revised version at Deadline 1 with the findings of these surveys, and it is considered these do not materially change the assessment of likely significant effects presented in the chapter.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
EB-28	RR-1917, RR-1604	Mitigation Measures	Respondent noted that the proposed wildlife corridors are ineffective and / or expressed concern for wildlife corridors.	The Applicant considers the implementation of wide buffer areas from key features for wildlife movement, including hedgerows, ditches, watercourses, field margins and woodlands will ensure effective wildlife corridors will be maintained and enhanced as part of the Scheme design. Furthermore, and as described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] extensive new hedgerow and tree line planting (totaling approximately 15.74 km and 9.43 km in length respectively) can be expected to provide strong additional connective links between key wildlife habitats within the Order Limits and surrounding landscape. New and retained habitats are illustrated in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] .
EB-29	RR-0599, RR-2725	Habitats	Respondents expressed concern regarding the removal of dry stonewalls which provide habitats to various species including newts and snakes.	The Applicant notes this concern. As described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] the Applicant has sought to utilise existing gaps in field boundary habitats, including hedgerows and dry-stone walls wherever possible and minimise requirements for new crossings through boundary features. As described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] , one section of dry-stone wall within the Cable Route Corridor

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				has been noted to potentially require temporary removal for construction which will be replaced on completion of works. Measures to safeguard wildlife which may inhabit sections of wall to be removed, including reptiles and amphibians, are set out in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. This includes sensitive timing of works, and thorough inspections of habitats to be removed by an appointed Ecological Clerk of Works, with any wildlife encountered moved away from the working area. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy, substantially in accordance with the Outline EPMS [APP-284], is secured through Requirement 8 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. These requirements ensure that ecological protection and enhancement measures will be adopted throughout construction of the Scheme.
EB-30	RR-1892	Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG)	Respondent enquired how BNG would be measured.	The Applicant notes this comment. In the context of development and relevant primary legislation (Environment Act 2021), Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) is measured in standardised biodiversity units which are generated based on the size, quality, location and distinctiveness of linear and area-based habitats. Baseline (pre-development) and anticipated post-development units are calculated and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				measured via the DEFRA-published Statutory BNG Metric (the 'Metric'). A copy of the Metric produced for the Scheme is provided in Statutory Biodiversity Net Gain Metric [APP-274] . Retained and newly created habitats to be delivered by the Scheme post-development are shown in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] . The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283] , is secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring habitat creation and enhancement measures will be delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme and thereby achieving BNG targets.
EB-31	RR-1826	Government Policy	Respondent claimed that areas in the Scheme appear to have already been a recipient of government / EU grants for biodiversity, particularly near Site E.	Parcels of land within the Scheme to be given to Solar PV Panels or BESS development will typically not be eligible for funding through environmental stewardship schemes post-development. Where existing important habitats or features for wildlife have been established with the aid of environmental stewardship funding, these have been recorded as part of the baseline conditions underpinning the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				assessment within ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] . The assessment describes how key habitats for biodiversity which are typically a target of environmental stewardship schemes, such as woodlands, species-rich grassland margins and hedgerows, will be largely retained, protected and enhanced as a result of the Scheme. As described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] the Applicant has sought to avoid any existing areas currently designated due to their high biodiversity value. As set out in paragraph 9.10.74 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] , in areas around Lime Down E, two field parcels (namely E5 & E30) were removed from the Order Limits as part of early design process, due to their identified value as Local Wildlife Sites of high biodiversity value, ensuring that no infrastructure is proposed in these locations. This is consistent with the Applicant's broader strategy of removing field parcels, or any infrastructure within those parcels, throughout the design evolution to avoid, reduce and mitigate adverse effects.
EB-32	RR-1736, RR-0743, RR-4712, RR-0311, RR-1994, RR-3783, RR-3906, RR-4744, RR-4574, RR-4302, RR-0621, RR-4555, RR-2679, RR-1237, RR-4499, RR-3029, RR-4122, RR-3390,	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondents expressed concern for impact to otters.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on otters are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] , which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects on protected species during construction, operation and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4742, RR-0697, RR-3356, RR-2847, RR-4545, RR-1826, RR-4623, RR-3371, RR-2940			decommissioning. The assessment of impacts on otters is set out in Paragraphs 9.10.207 to 9.10.220. It concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, no significant adverse effects on otters are anticipated. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to otters is under discussion with Wiltshire Council, Natural England and the Environment Agency and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. Measures to avoid disturbance to otters and protect riparian habitats during construction are set out in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. These include pre-construction surveys, protective buffers to watercourses, controls on working practices near sensitive habitats, and pollution prevention measures. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy, substantially in accordance with the EPMS [APP-284], are secured through Requirement 8 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring otter protection measures are delivered and maintained

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				throughout construction and operation of the Scheme.
EB-33	RR-1462, RR-2631, RR-0722, RR-1529, RR-4823, RR-2394, RR-4294, RR-3760, RR-3783, RR-4347, RR-4362, RR-0136, RR-2736, RR-1726, RR-2679, RR-2189, RR-1431, RR-2426, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-1373, RR-0134, RR-3390, RR-0116, RR-3356, RR-2725, RR-4545, RR-3023, RR-2110	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondents expressed concern for impact to Amphibians (including newts / Great Crested Newts).	Potential impacts of the Scheme on amphibians, including great crested newts, are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. Sections 9.10.127 to 9.10.132 confirm that no ponds are anticipated to be directly impacted through habitat loss or fragmentation as a result of the Scheme. A minimum 10 metre development-free buffer from all ponds will be maintained to ensure ponds and their surrounding habitats remain unimpacted. Where ponds have confirmed or assumed presence of great crested newts, a 50-metre minimum buffer is applied. With embedded mitigation in place, no significant adverse effects on amphibians, including great crested newts, are anticipated during construction or operation. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to great crested newts has been agreed with Natural England and is under discussion with Wiltshire Council and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Measures to avoid disturbance to amphibians and protect aquatic habitats during construction are set out in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284], including pre-construction surveys, species-specific mitigation, protective buffers and pollution prevention controls. All works within the Cable Route Corridor will be registered under Natural England's District Level Licensing (DLL) scheme. Under the DLL scheme, adequate compensation through strategic off-site habitat creation and maintenance will be provided to address all adverse impacts associated with works within the Cable Route Corridor and ensure legal compliance. In addition, Sections 9.10.133 and 9.10.134 confirm that opportunities to create new areas of standing water have been incorporated into the Scheme, including the restoration of historic 'ghost ponds' previously lost to agriculture. These enhancements are shown in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. As a result, the ES concludes that the Scheme is expected to deliver a significant beneficial effect on pond habitats and associated species, including amphibians.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy and Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline EPMS [APP-284] and Outline LEMP [APP-283], are secured through Requirements 8 and 7 respectively in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring amphibian protection and habitat enhancement measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
EB-34	RR-1462, RR-1496, RR-2631, RR-2018, RR-2600, RR-2292, RR-4257, RR-2927, RR-3540, RR-2563, RR-0195, RR-1317, RR-3530, RR-4712, RR-1569, RR-4098, RR-4200, RR-0633, RR-0526, RR-2506, RR-3132, RR-3604, RR-3479, RR-2411, RR-4112, RR-0009, RR-1114, RR-1517, RR-3820, RR-4775, RR-2377, RR-3682, RR-2136, RR-3515, RR-4422, RR-1547, RR-2579, RR-2607, RR-4357, RR-4347, RR-2484, RR-1436, RR-2099, RR-2604, RR-0496, RR-0820, RR-4114, RR-2652, RR-0863, RR-4358, RR-0858, RR-2259, RR-0190, RR-2103, RR-4800, RR-1427, RR-4555, RR-0775, RR-1970, RR-2879,	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondents expressed concern for impact to deer. (including muntjac, roe deer, and red deer).	Potential impacts of the Scheme on mammals, including deer, are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects on wildlife movement and habitat connectivity during construction, operation and decommissioning. The Scheme design incorporates perimeter fencing around the Solar PV Arrays that is permeable to all wildlife except deer. To ensure continued habitat connectivity for deer, wide buffers are retained around the peripheries of the Solar PV Sites, allowing deer to move between favoured habitats, field boundary features and woodlands without being forced onto surrounding roads. These measures are described in ES Volume 1,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2679, RR-1013, RR-1549, RR-2189, RR-1431, RR-3699, RR-1510, RR-4499, RR-1524, RR-2953, RR-3029, RR-4122, RR-2543, RR-4228, RR-4172, RR-0167, RR-0532, RR-2683, RR-1442, RR-2159, RR-1531, RR-3647, RR-2852, RR-4697, RR-0697, RR-0599, RR-2497, RR-4104, RR-3805, RR-1586, RR-3356, RR-4713, RR-1338, RR-0992, RR-3839, RR-0810, RR-3513, RR-2725, RR-3579, RR-4904, RR-1826, RR-4875, RR-2176, RR-3023, RR-2488, RR-4566, RR-3098, RR-1861			Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] and detailed in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. Long-term ecological management to maintain habitat connectivity is set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy and Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline EPMS [APP-284] and Outline LEMP [APP-283], are secured through Requirements 8 and 7 respectively in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring mitigation measures for deer movement and habitat connectivity are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
EB-35	RR-2324, RR-1462, RR-0361, RR-1736, RR-4677, RR-3584, RR-3726, RR-1496, RR-2631, RR-4844, RR-4261, RR-3836, RR-3779, RR-2251, RR-1243, RR-0126, RR-3031, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-4373, RR-1095, RR-4598, RR-0905, RR-2394, RR-3540, RR-0583, RR-2413, RR-4128, RR-2207, RR-2854, RR-4316, RR-0013, RR-0776, RR-2068, RR-1820,	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondents expressed concern for impact to birds. Some respondents specified species such as: barn owls, skylarks, larks, lapwings, yellowhammer, linnet, red kites, thrushes, kestrels, red wings, tawny owls, heron, buzzards, songbirds, merlins, yellow wagtails, pheasant, woodpecker, swallows, swifts,	Potential impacts of the Scheme on birds, including breeding and wintering species within and around the Order Limits, are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061]. The assessment considers baseline bird assemblages and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning, including habitat loss, disturbance and displacement. It concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, no unacceptable significant

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0199, RR-2463, RR-0255, RR-3063, RR-0324, RR-1569, RR-4111, RR-0328, RR-2127, RR-4200, RR-1597, RR-2577, RR-3132, RR-4294, RR-0311, RR-3535, RR-2388, RR-2029, RR-0642, RR-0009, RR-1478, RR-3820, RR-4775, RR-2377, RR-3760, RR-2136, RR-4899, RR-3783, RR-3108, RR-3597, RR-3759, RR-3148, RR-4165, RR-1281, RR-4581, RR-4269, RR-4422, RR-1547, RR-2579, RR-2607, RR-1181, RR-0079, RR-1765, RR-2026, RR-4347, RR-1436, RR-3365, RR-1085, RR-1444, RR-4681, RR-2652, RR-4362, RR-2259, RR-2318, RR-0378, RR-0136, RR-0190, RR-4800, RR-3134, RR-4302, RR-0602, RR-2736, RR-1427, RR-2417, RR-1726, RR-2641, RR-0834, RR-0775, RR-1976, RR-4445, RR-1970, RR-2199, RR-0506, RR-1787, RR-1013, RR-1698, RR-1549, RR-0702, RR-1140, RR-3035, RR-0500, RR-1703, RR-2189, RR-2426, RR-1431, RR-4213, RR-4270, RR-0094, RR-4094, RR-4290, RR-1796, RR-4171, RR-0715, RR-3215, RR-3834, RR-3699, RR-4321, RR-3184, RR-1175, RR-4785, RR-4499, RR-1159, RR-1373, RR-2314, RR-1635,		kingfishers, plover, grey partridge, Common Wood-Pigeons, Eurasian Collared-Doves, Red Kites, Buzzards, Common Swifts, Great Spotted Woodpeckers, Eurasian Jays, Eurasian Magpies, Rooks, Carrion Crows, Common Ravens, European Robins, Eurasian Blackbirds, Dunnocks, Long-tailed Tits, Coal Tits, Eurasian Blue Tits, Great Tits, Eurasian Wren, Eurasian Blackcaps, Common Chiffchaffs, Goldcrests, White Wagtails, House Sparrows, Eurasian Tree Sparrows, Common Chaffinches, European Goldfinches and European Starlings, swans, hen harrier, green woodpeckers, plovers, pigeons, raptors, and larks.	adverse effects on bird populations are anticipated. The assessment also notes that there is very limited evidence that solar PV panels present a risk of bird collision or fatality. The Scheme design and mitigation measures are therefore focused on avoiding / mitigating habitat loss and disturbance, rather than collision risk. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to birds in general is under discussion with Wiltshire Council and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. Habitat creation and enhancement measures to support bird species are incorporated into the Scheme, including areas provided for skylark mitigation and wider grassland and hedgerow enhancement. These measures are illustrated in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. Measures to safeguard nesting and foraging birds during construction and operation, including timing of vegetation clearance, pre-construction checks and species-specific protection measures, are

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1524, RR-0248, RR-2056, RR-3960, RR-2760, RR-2953, RR-3976, RR-3927, RR-2955, RR-4843, RR-3641, RR-3641, RR-4177, RR-3806, RR-4570, RR-0819, RR-1162, RR-0066, RR-4792, RR-2195, RR-1691, RR-3266, RR-4379, RR-3390, RR-1167, RR-0172, RR-4642, RR-3321, RR-3005, RR-4228, RR-1839, RR-4172, RR-2619, RR-0167, RR-1097, RR-1442, RR-2373, RR-2159, RR-3647, RR-4118, RR-2155, RR-4056, RR-0586, RR-1289, RR-0028, RR-4640, RR-4408, RR-0697, RR-0599, RR-3330, RR-2497, RR-4104, RR-2480, RR-3805, RR-1586, RR-2693, RR-3356, RR-4713, RR-4062, RR-1472, RR-4416, RR-0522, RR-1684, RR-1323, RR-3513, RR-2725, RR-3382, RR-4857, RR-0318, RR-4545, RR-2847, RR-4374, RR-4875, RR-3371, RR-4623, RR-2696, RR-2176, RR-1161, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-2110, RR-4779, RR-2488, RR-1247, RR-2576, RR-1366, RR-4492, RR-4373, RR-4257, RR-2927, RR-3824, RR-3631, RR-0328, RR-0027, RR-4084, RR-3682, RR-0551, RR-4581, RR-4173, RR-1436, RR-2736, RR-2641, RR-3941, RR-2426, RR-1252,			set out in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan and Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283] and Outline EPMS [APP-284], are secured through Requirements 7 and 8 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring bird protection and habitat enhancement measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme. Refer to the Applicant's response to Reference EB-36 for further detail relating to skylarks.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1511, RR-1510, RR-4499, RR-4570, RR-2019, RR-0697, RR-2541, RR-2480, RR-1586, RR-4818, RR-0522, RR-0318, RR-3579, RR-4904, RR-4545, RR-1826, RR-3371, RR-2488, RR-3708, RR-1378, RR-1861, AS-004, RR-3592, RR-4237			
EB-36	RR-4545	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondent stated that the mitigation measures for skylarks does not provide like-for-like measures, such as diverse grasses and plants tailored to the needs of the species.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on skylarks are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline bird assemblages and evaluates likely effects on breeding farmland bird species during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies skylarks as a key species of conservation interest within the Scheme area. It concludes that a proportion of the current skylark population will be displaced from the Solar PV Sites, which will give rise to an adverse residual effect at a Local level. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment with relation to skylark is under discussion with Wiltshire Council and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. Skylark-specific mitigation and habitat enhancement measures are incorporated into the Scheme design to reduce effects as far as practicable. These include the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				provision of suitably large, open set-aside and grassland habitats designed to provide appropriate breeding and foraging conditions. These measures are illustrated in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. Measures to safeguard nesting skylarks during construction, including timing restrictions on vegetation clearance and pre-construction ecological checks, are set out in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan and Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283] and Outline EPMS [APP-284], are secured through Requirements 7 and 8 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring skylark mitigation and long-term habitat management measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
EB-37	RR-3726, RR-0722, RR-4098, RR-4084, RR-3682, RR-2901, RR-3760, RR-0978, RR-3621,	Specific and/or	Respondent expressed concern that the Scheme will	The assessment in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] identifies skylarks as a key species of

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0551, RR-4013, RR-2603, RR-2732, RR-4357, RR-2484, RR-3136, RR-0350, RR-2736, RR-0449, RR-2206, RR-2601, RR-1511, RR-3030, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-3806, RR-3647, RR-2155, RR-2480, RR-4495, RR-1912, RR-2110, RR-3098, RR-3592	Protected Species	lead to a loss of 73/75% of skylark habitat.	conservation interest within the Scheme area. It concludes that approximately 71.5% of the quantified number of territories (which is assumed to be what the respondent is referring to rather than the extent of habitat) belonging to the current skylark population will be displaced from the Solar PV Sites. This is expected to give rise to an adverse residual effect at a Local level. Skylark-specific mitigation and habitat enhancement measures are incorporated into the Scheme design to reduce effects as far as practicable. These include the provision of suitably large, open set-aside and grassland habitats designed to provide appropriate breeding and foraging conditions. These measures are illustrated in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283], is secured through Requirement 7 respectively in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring skylark mitigation measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
EB-38	RR-1462, RR-1736, RR-4514, RR-2658, RR-0722, RR-3836, RR-2251, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-1578, RR-0905, RR-1820, RR-2463, RR-0328, RR-4200, RR-1343, RR-0311, RR-3535, RR-1994, RR-3820, RR-3760, RR-2031, RR-3783, RR-3415, RR-4347, RR-1085, RR-4681, RR-2652, RR-4362, RR-0136, RR-4302, RR-2736, RR-2417, RR-0834, RR-0506, RR-1294, RR-0500, RR-1703, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-4251, RR-2314, RR-0134, RR-1162, RR-4792, RR-0172, RR-0028, RR-0599, RR-4104, RR-3805, RR-1586, RR-4416, RR-3579, RR-4904, RR-2847, RR-3371, RR-4623, RR-2176, RR-2110, RR-2940, RR-3708, RR-1861, RR-3592	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondents expressed concern for impact to bats. Some respondents specified concern for barbastelle, Bechstein's, grey long eared, lesser horseshoe, and/or greater horseshoe bats.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on bats, including species associated with the Bath and Bradford-on-Avon Bats SAC, are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], Section 9.10. The assessment considers baseline bat activity, roosting, foraging and commuting habitats, and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to bats is under discussion with Natural England and Wiltshire Council and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. The assessment is underpinned by a comprehensive programme of bat surveys, with detailed survey methods and results provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 9-3: Bat Survey Report [APP-200]. Using this evidence, the ES identifies potential impact pathways and appropriate avoidance and mitigation measures. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, no significant adverse effects on bat populations or on the integrity of the Bath and Bradford-on-Avon Bats SAC are anticipated. Mitigation measures for bats, including habitat retention, buffer distances, timing of works and protection

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				of commuting corridors, are set out in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284] and long-term habitat management measures are detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy and Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline EPMS [APP-284] and Outline LEMP [APP-283], are secured through Requirements 8 and 7 respectively in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring bat protection and habitat management measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
EB-39	RR-2324, RR-3568, RR-1462, RR-2631, RR-2600, RR-0743, RR-1529, RR-2292, RR-2394, RR-3540, RR-1820, RR-0195, RR-1668, RR-1317, RR-3429, RR-1569, RR-4098, RR-0328, RR-4200, RR-0526, RR-2411, RR-4112, RR-1517, RR-3820, RR-3682, RR-2136, RR-3906, RR-3515, RR-4744, RR-4357, RR-4347, RR-2484, RR-1436, RR-3365, RR-0820, RR-4114, RR-0863, RR-4358, RR-0858,	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondents expressed concern about the impact on hares and rabbits.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on brown hares are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects on mammals (including hares and rabbits) during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, no significant adverse effects on brown hares are anticipated. The Scheme design

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4362, RR-0136, RR-2103, RR-4574, RR-0621, RR-0834, RR-0775, RR-1970, RR-2879, RR-1013, RR-1140, RR-2189, RR-4094, RR-4321, RR-1510, RR-1237, RR-1524, RR-1162, RR-0066, RR-4792, RR-2195, RR-1691, RR-4742, RR-4228, RR-4172, RR-1097, RR-2159, RR-3647, RR-2852, RR-4697, RR-0697, RR-4104, RR-3805, RR-1586, RR-3356, RR-4713, RR-1338, RR-3839, RR-3513, RR-4904, RR-3192, RR-4374, RR-4875, RR-2488, RR-2940, RR-4566, RR-3098			retains extensive areas of grassland and field margin habitats, which provide suitable foraging and shelter opportunities for hares. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to brown hares has been agreed with Wiltshire Council and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. Long-term habitat management measures are set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The assessment also notes that brown hares are known to take shelter beneath solar panels on operational solar sites, where the retention of grassland beneath and between arrays can provide suitable habitat conditions. Rabbits are not explicitly assessed within the Chapter as they are not a protected species nor a species of conservation concern. Nevertheless, rabbits can be expected to use the retained and newly created habitats anticipated to be delivered by the Scheme. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Outline LEMP [APP-283] , are secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring habitat management measures benefiting hares are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
EB-40	RR-1496, RR-2631, RR-0743, RR-1529, RR-2292, RR-0195, RR-2990, RR-1668, RR-1317, RR-3530, RR-4712, RR-3429, RR-0328, RR-2506, RR-3132, RR-3479, RR-2411, RR-4112, RR-4775, RR-2377, RR-3682, RR-3906, RR-3515, RR-3759, RR-4744, RR-1547, RR-0092, RR-2607, RR-4357, RR-2484, RR-1436, RR-2652, RR-0863, RR-4358, RR-0858, RR-0190, RR-2103, RR-4800, RR-4574, RR-0621, RR-0881, RR-1970, RR-2879, RR-2679, RR-0702, RR-1431, RR-1525, RR-3215, RR-1510, RR-1175, RR-1237, RR-4742, RR-4228, RR-4172, RR-3647, RR-4697, RR-0697, RR-0599, RR-4104, RR-3805, RR-1586, RR-3356, RR-4713, RR-2725, RR-3579, RR-4904, RR-4875, RR-3023, RR-2110, RR-2488, RR-4566, RR-3098	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondents expressed concern for impact to badgers.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on badgers are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects on mammals during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies the presence of badger setts and foraging habitats within and around the Scheme and evaluates potential effects from habitat disturbance, displacement and construction activities. It concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, no significant adverse effects on badgers are anticipated. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to badgers has been agreed with Wiltshire Council and is under discussion with Natural England and Wiltshire Council and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. Mitigation measures to protect badgers include the application of minimum buffer distances around setts, timing controls on

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				works near sensitive locations, pre-construction surveys, and habitat retention to maintain foraging and movement corridors. These measures are detailed in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284], and long-term habitat management provisions are set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy and Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline EPMS [APP-284] and Outline LEMP [APP-283], are secured through Requirements 8 and 7 respectively in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring badger protection and habitat management measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
EB-41	RR-1736, RR-0311, RR-3820, RR-3783, RR-4302, RR-3390, RR-0028, RR-4623, RR-3371	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondents expressed concern for impact to voles.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on water voles and other small mammals are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects on protected and notable species during construction, operation and decommissioning.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The assessment identifies suitable habitats for water voles within and adjacent to the Scheme and evaluates potential impacts from habitat disturbance and construction activities. It concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, no significant adverse effects on voles are anticipated. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to water voles is under discussion with Natural England, Wiltshire Council and the Environment Agency, and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Grounds to be submitted at Deadline 1. Mitigation measures to protect vole habitats include the application of minimum buffer distances to ditches and watercourses, pre-construction ecological surveys, controls on working practices near sensitive habitats, and pollution prevention measures. These are detailed in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. Long-term habitat protection and management measures are set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy and Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Outline EPMS [APP-284] and Outline LEMP [APP-283] , are secured through Requirements 8 and 7 respectively in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring vole protection and habitat management measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
EB-42	RR-0361, RR-2631, RR-3836, RR-3779, RR-0126, RR-2600, RR-3824, RR-2394, RR-2207, RR-2854, RR-4316, RR-1459, RR-4111, RR-1568, RR-1597, RR-2577, RR-4294, RR-4775, RR-2377, RR-4899, RR-4581, RR-2607, RR-1181, RR-2026, RR-0401, RR-0378, RR-0834, RR-0775, RR-3941, RR-3035, RR-0715, RR-3834, RR-3521, RR-3184, RR-4499, RR-1159, RR-1373, RR-1635, RR-1379, RR-0248, RR-3347, RR-3960, RR-3864, RR-2105, RR-4225, RR-3641, RR-3641, RR-2621, RR-4177, RR-3266, RR-0586, RR-4416, RR-4545, RR-2110, RR-4203, RR-2080, RR-1696, RR-4237	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondents expressed concern for impact to insects. Some respondents specified species such as bees, butterflies, dragonflies, Silver Washed Fritillary butterflies, caterpillars, flies, moths.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on invertebrates are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects on invertebrate species and their habitats during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies that existing habitats within and around the Scheme support a range of invertebrate species and evaluates potential impacts arising from habitat change and disturbance. It concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, no significant adverse effects on invertebrate populations are anticipated. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to invertebrates is under discussion with Wiltshire Council and the Environment Agency and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Scheme includes habitat creation and enhancement measures designed to improve invertebrate habitat availability and connectivity, including species-rich grassland creation, hedgerow enhancement and pond restoration. These measures are illustrated in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283], are secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring habitat creation and management measures benefiting invertebrates are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
EB-43	RR-2324, RR-2631, RR-0743, RR-1529, RR-4200, RR-2506, RR-2377, RR-2103, RR-0881, RR-1549, RR-2426, RR-1373, RR-4177, RR-1639, RR-0532, RR-4505	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondents expressed concern about the impact on hedgehogs.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on hedgehogs are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects on hedgehogs and their habitats during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies that existing habitats within and around the Scheme are

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				likely to support hedgehogs and evaluates potential impacts arising from habitat change and disturbance. It concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, no significant adverse effects on hedgehogs are anticipated. Mitigation measures to protect hedgehogs include the application of minimum buffer distances to field boundary habitats, pre-construction ecological surveys, controls on working practices near sensitive habitats, and pollution prevention measures. These are detailed in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. Long-term habitat protection and management measures are set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy and Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline EPMS [APP-284] and Outline LEMP [APP-283], are secured through Requirements 8 and 7 respectively in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring hedgehog protection and habitat management measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
EB-44	RR-2631, RR-4257, RR-2927, RR-1668, RR-1317, RR-3530, RR-4712, RR-0633, RR-0526, RR-2506, RR-3479, RR-2411, RR-4112, RR-3820, RR-2607, RR-1436, RR-2099, RR-2604, RR-0496, RR-0820, RR-2652, RR-0190, RR-2103, RR-0834, RR-0881, RR-2679, RR-1549, RR-1431, RR-1525, RR-3215, RR-4499, RR-3029, RR-4122, RR-4697, RR-0697, RR-0599, RR-3356, RR-2110, RR-2488, RR-4566	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondents expressed concern for impact to foxes.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on wildlife are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] , which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies embedded and additional mitigation measures and concludes that, with these measures in place, no unacceptable significant adverse effects on protected and notable species are anticipated. Foxes are not explicitly assessed within the Chapter as they are not a protected species nor a species of conservation concern. Nevertheless, foxes can be expected to use the retained and newly created habitats anticipated to be delivered by the Scheme.
EB-45	RR-1473, RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-3714, RR-2547, RR-3597, RR-1060, RR-3182, RR-1117, RR-0042, RR-2641, RR-0041, RR-1787, RR-0817, RR-2809, RR-0994, RR-1034, RR-4243, RR-2137, RR-1059, RR-4153, RR-4547, RR-1657, RR-1647, RR-0464, RR-1809, RR-0891, RR-0089, RR-3280, RR-0116, RR-0603, RR-3139, RR-1878, RR-4571, RR-0333, RR-3708, RR-0717, RR-0984, RR-1658, RR-2286	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the proposals on protected/endangered species.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on protected species and notable species of conservation concern are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] , which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies embedded and additional mitigation measures and concludes that, with these measures in place, no unacceptable significant adverse effects on protected and notable species are anticipated.
EB-46	RR-3760, RR-2607, RR-2652, RR-4800, RR-2736, RR-0881, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-1510,	Specific and/or	Respondents expressed concern regarding the impact of the proposals on mice.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on dormice and harvest mice are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1034, RR-2314, RR-4177, RR-1639, RR-3371, RR-4505, RR-2110, RR-3708	Protected Species	Including dormice.	Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects on protected species during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, no significant adverse effects on either species are anticipated. Measures to avoid impacts on dormice and harvest mice and protect suitable habitats during construction are set out in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. These include pre-construction surveys, protective buffers to suitable habitat, and sensitive approach to vegetation clearance where short sections of hedgerow require removal. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy, substantially in accordance with the EPMS [APP-284], is secured through Requirement 8 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring protection measures are delivered and maintained throughout construction and operation of the Scheme. Other species of mice (such as wood mice) are not explicitly assessed within the Chapter as they are not a protected species nor a species of conservation

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				concern. Nevertheless, wood mice can be expected to use the retained and newly created habitats anticipated to be delivered by the Scheme.
EB-47	RR-3760, RR-4800, RR-2736, RR-1703, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-4159, RR-3992, RR-4792, RR-3390, RR-4958, RR-4545, RR-3371, RR-2110	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the proposals on fish, including eels, salmon, white clawed crayfish	Potential impacts of the Scheme on fish and white-clawed crayfish are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects on protected species during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, no significant adverse effects on either fish or white-clawed crayfish are anticipated. Measures to avoid impacts on fish and white-clawed crayfish, and protect suitable habitats during construction are set out in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. These include pre-construction surveys, adoption of trenchless technologies to cross underneath key habitats, maintenance of protective buffers to suitable habitat, and adoption of pollution prevention measures. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy, substantially in accordance with the EPMS [APP-284], is secured through Requirement 8 in Schedule 2 of the Draft

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring protection measures are delivered and maintained throughout construction and operation of the Scheme.
EB-48	RR-1281	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondent noted that the Scheme will remove valuable hunting grounds for birds.	The Applicant notes this concern. Potential impacts of the Scheme on birds are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] , which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects on protected species during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, no significant adverse effects on birds are anticipated. The cessation of intensive arable farming practices and reversion of the majority of land within the Solar PV Sites to low or no input grassland can be expected to increase diversity and abundance of potential prey for raptors (including mice and voles). Several raptor species including kestrels, buzzards, and owls have been observed hunting over operational solar farms and utilising panels as perching/vantage points. As such these species can be expected to continue to hunt at land present within the Scheme.
EB-49	RR-2579, RR-4171, RR-2133	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondents noted that there is evidence that birds mistake large areas of solar panels for bodies of water.	The Applicant notes this concern. Potential impacts of the Scheme on birds are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] , which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects on protected species during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, no significant adverse effects on birds are anticipated. It is noted that some studies have indicated some collision risk at large solar parks built in open savanna or desert habitats. However, there are no studies which have found such an effect from the UK or Europe, and there is no evidence that such an impact could result in significant adverse impacts on populations or assemblages of birds. The Scheme is not located close to any large waterbodies (lakes or estuaries etc.) where the risk of such an impact is likely to be greater.
EB-50	RR-1444, RR-2426, RR-4228, RR-2940	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the proposals on Stoat.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on wildlife are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] , which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies embedded and additional mitigation measures and concludes that, with these measures in place, no unacceptable significant adverse effects on protected and notable species are anticipated. Stoat is not explicitly assessed within the Chapter as it is not a protected species nor a species of conservation concern. Nevertheless, stoats can be expected to use suitable retained and newly created habitats anticipated to be delivered by the Scheme.
EB-51	RR-0834, RR-2426, RR-4177, RR-2725, RR-2110	Specific and/or	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact	Potential impacts of the Scheme on reptiles are assessed in ES Volume 1,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
		Protected Species	of the proposals on snakes and slow worms.	Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects on protected species during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, no significant adverse effects on this species group are anticipated. Measures to avoid impacts on reptiles and protect suitable habitats during construction are set out in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. These include pre-construction surveys, protective buffers to suitable habitat, and sensitive approach to vegetation clearance where short sections of field boundary habitats require removal. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy, substantially in accordance with the EPMS [APP-284], is secured through Requirement 8 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring protection measures are delivered and maintained throughout construction and operation of the Scheme.
EB-52	RR-2852	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the proposals on squirrels.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on wildlife are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] , which considers baseline conditions and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies embedded and additional mitigation measures and concludes that, with these measures in place, no unacceptable significant adverse effects on protected and notable species are anticipated. Grey squirrels are not explicitly assessed within the Chapter as they are not a protected species nor a species of conservation concern. Nevertheless, grey squirrels can be expected to use suitable retained and newly created habitats anticipated to be delivered by the Scheme. The location of the Scheme is a considerable distance from the known distribution of red squirrels, and this species is considered to be absent from the Order Limits and surrounding landscape.
EB-53	RR-3987	Specific and/or Protected Species	Respondent stated that the area is of special scientific interest which needs to be protected.	The Applicant confirms that the Order Limits are not contiguous with any designated site for nature conservation, including any Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). Potential impacts of the Scheme on designated sites for nature conservation, including the nearby Harries Ground, Rodbourne SSSI are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] and within the Habitat Regulations Assessment Report [APP-275] , which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				identifies embedded and additional mitigation measures and concludes that, with these measures in place, no significant adverse effects on any designated sites are anticipated. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to designated sites is under discussion with Natural England and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.
EB-54	RR-1317, RR-4828, RR-3760, RR-0551, RR-2838, RR-3201, RR-1951, RR-2736, RR-1976, RR-1660, RR-2617, RR-0385, RR-3790, RR-2533, RR-3133, RR-0675, RR-0158, RR-0894, RR-0902, RR-4321, RR-2654, RR-1175, RR-3852, RR-3290, RR-2621, RR-3798, RR-1599, RR-2238, RR-1356, RR-0662, RR-0196, RR-1102, RR-0028, RR-3767, RR-1067, RR-4875, RR-0371, RR-0794, RR-1604, RR-1696	Arboriculture	Respondents expressed concern for and / or stated that the proposals will impact flora and fauna including breeding, seeding and migration.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on wildlife are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] , which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies embedded and additional mitigation measures and concludes that, with these measures in place, no unacceptable significant adverse effects on protected and notable species of flora and fauna are anticipated.
EB-55	RR-1343	Arboriculture	Respondent expressed concern for loss of hedgerow along Alderton Road which barbastelle bats depend on.	The Applicant notes this concern. Alderton Road at Grittleton lies within a Highway Improvement Area of the Scheme, which are described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] and shown in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-2: Key Construction Features [APP-082] . Only relatively minor modifications to the existing highway are expected to occur within this area to

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				facilitate construction traffic. No hedgerow loss or other impact on habitats used by bats are anticipated along Alderton Road.
EB-56	RR-2654, RR-1753	Arboriculture	Respondents expressed concern regarding the potential use of weedkiller and other pesticides.	The Applicant notes this concern. As prescribed within the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] the use of herbicides during the operation and maintenance phase of the Scheme shall be kept to a minimum and only used for spot treatment of persistent weeds where they are noted to be too widespread for non-chemical treatment. No use of pesticides is considered to be necessary during operation of the Scheme. Given the existing land within the Solar PV Sites typically comprises intensively managed agricultural land with regular and routine application of herbicides and pesticides, a significant reduction in the application of herbicides and pesticides can be expected as a result of the Scheme. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283] is secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] .
EB-57	RR-2110	Arboriculture	Respondent stated their opposition to the planting of wildflowers, added that planting arable land with wildflower seeds will allow coarse grasses to thrive as	The Applicant notes this concern. Diverse grassland can take time to develop on previously arable land which has a history of fertilisation and cultivation. However, with an appropriate seed mix selected and correct habitat management implemented,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			wildflowers do not tolerate fertile soil.	wildflower rich grasslands have been created on many solar farms in the UK including several on formerly arable land. Examples of such sites include (but are not limited to) Bottom Plain Solar and Trigon Solar (both in Wareham, Dorset), Burton 1 Solar and Burton 2 Solar (both in Sleaford, Lincolnshire) and Ketton Solar Farm (Rutland). The preparation of the fields before reversion to grassland will be key and must aim to minimise the impact of competition between desirable, sown species and unsown agricultural weeds and coarse grasses. As described within the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] , and prior to finalisation of the detailed LEMP, soil investigations will be undertaken to ensure appropriateness of seed mix/establishment techniques and promote a high likelihood of success. The seed mixes will be selected to reflect the soil type, pH and nutrient levels in order to ensure that they establish successfully. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283] is secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] .
EB-58	RR-2324, RR-1462, RR-1240, RR-2184, RR-0607, RR-0428, RR-4908, RR-4147, RR-2944,	Arboriculture	Respondents express concern for loss of trees and/or woodland.	No veteran or ancient trees are proposed to be removed to facilitate the Scheme. The Scheme has been designed through

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2798, RR-3190, RR-0564, RR-2207, RR-2979, RR-2119, RR-0725, RR-0592, RR-0328, RR-3237, RR-4204, RR-2139, RR-1343, RR-1597, RR-4084, RR-1114, RR-1151, RR-3997, RR-4544, RR-2988, RR-3597, RR-0765, RR-4568, RR-4013, RR-4178, RR-4629, RR-4422, RR-1060, RR-0083, RR-3550, RR-1370, RR-1444, RR-0046, RR-2550, RR-0646, RR-3705, RR-3472, RR-1834, RR-2025, RR-1942, RR-1485, RR-0042, RR-2736, RR-1726, RR-4924, RR-3445, RR-4778, RR-3788, RR-0621, RR-0834, RR-3689, RR-3878, RR-2741, RR-4059, RR-3775, RR-2947, RR-3609, RR-0041, RR-4702, RR-0934, RR-0895, RR-0702, RR-3363, RR-1328, RR-4223, RR-0308, RR-0817, RR-0500, RR-1617, RR-3877, RR-1447, RR-0670, RR-3765, RR-1431, RR-0120, RR-0410, RR-0733, RR-3834, RR-4002, RR-0629, RR-3434, RR-0355, RR-2470, RR-0427, RR-1729, RR-4243, RR-2137, RR-0721, RR-1438, RR-3290, RR-1875, RR-3362, RR-2453, RR-1059, RR-2656, RR-3641, RR-2621, RR-2573, RR-4177, RR-0241, RR-3806, RR-1657, RR-1166, RR-0246, RR-3357,			an iterative process to retain existing arboricultural features as far as practicable and to avoid and reduce impacts on trees, groups of trees and woodland. Potential impacts on arboriculture are fully assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 10: Arboriculture [APP-062], which considers baseline arboricultural conditions and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. This assessment identifies where tree removal or pruning may be required and concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, no significant adverse residual effects on arboricultural features are anticipated. Embedded mitigation measures include sensitive siting of infrastructure, minimum offsets from retained trees, protection of root protection areas, supervision by an Arboricultural Clerk of Works, and the use of trenchless construction techniques where required to avoid impacts on high-value trees. Where any unavoidable tree losses are necessary, compensatory tree and woodland planting is proposed as part of the Scheme's landscape and ecological mitigation. Details of tree protection, replacement planting and long-term management are set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] and the Outline Construction

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0595, RR-4792, RR-3390, RR-1809, RR-1639, RR-0783, RR-3899, RR-0152, RR-3704, RR-0089, RR-0089, RR-0149, RR-1289, RR-2535, RR-3186, RR-2667, RR-0677, RR-3280, RR-4697, RR-4539, RR-0028, RR-0033, RR-2480, RR-3139, RR-3406, RR-4458, RR-0087, RR-0606, RR-2141, RR-0963, RR-1878, RR-3712, RR-3360, RR-2725, RR-1826, RR-3813, RR-4289, RR-3371, RR-3023, RR-2584, RR-0556, RR-3649, RR-2110, RR-4779, RR-1075, RR-0794, RR-1247, RR-1308, RR-2515, RR-3708, RR-2080, RR-1366, RR-2845, RR-1658, RR-1711, RR-2286, RR-2618, RR-4765			Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed landscape and ecological management plans, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through requirements in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that arboricultural mitigation measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
EB-59	RR-4817, RR-2324, RR-4493, RR-0478, RR-4501, RR-4952, RR-1462, RR-1462, RR-3726, RR-0150, RR-2184, RR-2254, RR-4069, RR-0379, RR-0607, RR-0428, RR-4648, RR-4346, RR-3836, RR-4147, RR-4819, RR-4706, RR-1095, RR-1667, RR-3872, RR-4590, RR-2798, RR-4128, RR-2979, RR-2463, RR-0592, RR-3237, RR-4204, RR-3653, RR-3364, RR-4294, RR-0311, RR-0027, RR-2172, RR-2388, RR-4084, RR-1114, RR-3820, RR-1151, RR-1903,	Arboriculture	Respondents express concern for loss of hedgerow.	The Scheme has been designed through an iterative design process to retain existing hedgerows and grassland habitats as far as practicable. Potential impacts on hedgerows are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 10: Arboriculture [APP-062], which consider baseline conditions and evaluate likely effects on hedgerow features during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessments identify that some hedgerow loss is required to facilitate

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3760, RR-0118, RR-4956, RR-0767, RR-3643, RR-3108, RR-3597, RR-4252, RR-3621, RR-0132, RR-4568, RR-4165, RR-4013, RR-4629, RR-3316, RR-1224, RR-1822, RR-0083, RR-4435, RR-3550, RR-3211, RR-1355, RR-3136, RR-2793, RR-1632, RR-1444, RR-4114, RR-1941, RR-0003, RR-2550, RR-3705, RR-1959, RR-3472, RR-0378, RR-1460, RR-0350, RR-2025, RR-2848, RR-1942, RR-4302, RR-1485, RR-2736, RR-2417, RR-1726, RR-3788, RR-0621, RR-0834, RR-3689, RR-3878, RR-3573, RR-0527, RR-3609, RR-1787, RR-0128, RR-1251, RR-3664, RR-0449, RR-2121, RR-1372, RR-2601, RR-1140, RR-1328, RR-0308, RR-0817, RR-0500, RR-4708, RR-1617, RR-1447, RR-1431, RR-0120, RR-4094, RR-4643, RR-1252, RR-1511, RR-0678, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-3699, RR-1393, RR-0497, RR-1510, RR-1729, RR-3404, RR-0240, RR-1373, RR-2314, RR-3701, RR-3290, RR-3337, RR-2011, RR-3362, RR-3927, RR-2453, RR-3641, RR-3641, RR-2573, RR-4177, RR-0241, RR-3806, RR-4547, RR-1166, RR-3357, RR-0173, RR-4266, RR-4792,			access and infrastructure. However, anticipated hedgerow losses represent a small proportion of the existing hedgerow network within the Order Limits. With embedded mitigation in place, no significant adverse effects on hedgerow features are anticipated. Compensatory planting and enhancement measures are proposed which will significantly exceed the extent of hedgerow loss. Proposed new hedgerows and habitat enhancements are illustrated in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283], are secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring hedgerow retention, replacement planting and long-term management are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3390, RR-3005, RR-1639, RR-1839, RR-0106, RR-0891, RR-4661, RR-3899, RR-0152, RR-1289, RR-2852, RR-2667, RR-3280, RR-0028, RR-4825, RR-3209, RR-4104, RR-3767, RR-2480, RR-3406, RR-4502, RR-0782, RR-0606, RR-2141, RR-0963, RR-4659, RR-3625, RR-1323, RR-2725, RR-4857, RR-1283, RR-3909, RR-1826, RR-3371, RR-4623, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-0556, RR-2110, RR-4779, RR-0794, RR-0854, RR-1247, RR-2515, RR-4710, RR-3708, RR-1366, RR-2845, RR-1711, RR-2618, AS-004, RR-3592, RR-4310, RR-4645			
EB-60	RR-1756, RR-4514, RR-2184, RR-4069, RR-2457, RR-4128, RR-0328, RR-4204, RR-0642, RR-4777, RR-0765, RR-4568, RR-3111, RR-2736, RR-0621, RR-0775, RR-3878, RR-0527, RR-4002, RR-4792, RR-1809, RR-1839, RR-3375, RR-1289, RR-0599, RR-3209, RR-4505, RR-1075, RR-1247, RR-1658, RR-1711, RR-2286	Arboriculture	Respondents express concern for loss and / or impact to ancient trees.	Potential impacts on arboricultural features, including ancient and veteran trees, are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 10: Arboriculture [APP-062], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment confirms that no ancient or veteran trees are proposed to be removed to facilitate the Scheme. The Scheme has been designed through an iterative process to retain existing arboricultural features as far as practicable and to avoid impacts on trees of high value.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Embedded mitigation measures include minimum offsets from retained trees, protection of root protection areas, and supervision by an Arboricultural Clerk of Works during construction. These measures ensure that retained trees, including ancient and veteran specimens, are protected throughout construction and operation of the Scheme. Tree protection and long-term arboricultural management measures are set out in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283], with construction-phase protection measures detailed in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed management plans, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through requirements in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring ancient and veteran trees are protected throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
EB-61	RR-2140	Arboriculture	Respondent stated that there must be a scheme in place to protect the tree mitigation when planted.	As set out in Para. 1.3.5-1.3.18, the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] , there is a 5-year management plan in place to ensure that replacement planting is successful, this is through a combination of replacing specimens that have failed to sustain good health (and are either

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				diseased, dying or damaged) and annual checks of physical barriers that are to be installed to prevent mechanical damage.
EB-62	RR-1418, RR-3213	Arboriculture	Respondents asked who will be responsible and held accountable to ensure the establishment of hedgerows and newly planted trees during periods of drought.	The establishment and long-term management of hedgerows and newly planted trees are addressed within the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283], which sets out planting, management and monitoring prescriptions to be implemented by, or on behalf of, the undertaker. The Outline LEMP requires that newly planted hedgerows, trees and woodland planting are regularly watered during the first summer and thereafter as necessary to ensure successful establishment, with mulching used to retain soil moisture and reduce drought stress. The Outline LEMP further requires monitoring of establishment and the replacement of any planting failures, including those arising from drought conditions. The preparation, approval and implementation of a detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP, are secured through requirements in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that responsibility for establishment, aftercare and replacement planting rests with the undertaker and is enforceable throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
EB-63	RR-4778, RR-2410, RR-2941	Arboriculture	Respondents expressed concern for Forest England woodland that contains over 14000 tree species at Westonbirt Arboretum.	Westonbirt Arboretum has not been considered in the Arboricultural Assessment as it is considered that the Westonbirt Arboretum is at a sufficient distance from the Scheme so as not to be subject to any adverse effects as a result of the Scheme.
EB-64	RR-0775	Arboriculture	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the Scheme on the ancient woodlands in Rodbourne, specifically Bincombe Wood and Seagry Wood.	Seagry Wood has not been considered as part of the assessment as it is considered that Seagry Wood is at a sufficient distance from the Scheme so as not to be subject to any adverse effects as a result of the Scheme. The potential effects of the Scheme on North Bincombe Wood, including the section of access track within the 15 m Ancient Woodland buffer, have been assessed in ES Volume 3, Appendix 10-1: Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Outline Arboricultural Method Statement [APP-206] . As set out in paragraph 4.1.10 of Appendix 10-1, the assessment concludes that effects on North Bincombe Wood would be negligible.
EB-65	RR-0702	Arboriculture	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the Scheme on the ancient woodlands in Rodbourne, specifically Plantation and Binkum Woods.	The Applicant is not aware of a wood called 'Plantation Wood' in the region of the Scheme. The potential effects of the Scheme on North Bincombe Wood, including the section of access track within the 15 m Ancient Woodland buffer, have been assessed in ES Volume 3, Appendix 10-1: Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Outline Arboricultural Method Statement [APP-206] . As set out in

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				paragraph 4.1.10 of Appendix 10-1, the assessment concludes that effects on North Bincombe Wood would be negligible.
EB-66	RR-1252	Arboriculture	Respondent expressed concern about the restoration of removed hedgerows in particular.	Potential impacts of the Scheme on hedgerows are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects on hedgerows during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment describes how relatively small sections of hedgerow will be removed for new access within the Solar PV Sites, with extent of hedgerows to be lost making up far less than 1% of the existing hedgerow network. This will be adequately compensated by new hedgerow/line of tree planting measuring circa 25.17 km, which will far exceed the extent of hedgerow to be lost. New planting is shown in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. Temporary removal of sections of hedgerow is also required for cable installation works within the Cable Route Corridor. As described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], any hedgerows removed for this element of the Scheme will be reinstated following a relatively short construction period. Reinstatement of hedgerows within the Cable Route Corridor

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				is prescribed within section 6 of the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284] The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan and Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283] and Outline EPMS [APP-284], are secured through Requirements 7 and 8 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring hedgerow planting and reinstatement measures are delivered as part of the Scheme.
EB-67	RR-3213, RR-1711	Arboriculture	Respondent expressed concern regarding the replacement of existing trees with saplings.	Mitigation measures are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060], ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4-1 to 3-4-5.2 Landscape and Ecology Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed within the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. Buffers to all existing trees and hedgerows are embedded within the Scheme to protect the existing landscape features. Enhancement measures include gapping up all existing hedgerows within the Scheme and providing additional new planting where appropriate. Any new planting would be undertaken in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				283]. There is no intention to replace existing trees with saplings.
EB-68	RR-4374	Arboriculture	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact on Bradfield Wood	Bradfield Wood is a sufficient distance from the site so as not to be impacted by any works relating to the Scheme.
EB-69	RR-1596	Mitigation	Respondent expressed that opportunities to deliver meaningful ecological value have been repeatedly missed.	A comprehensive package of habitat creation and enhancement measures are shown in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] . These measures have been carefully designed based on the extensive knowledge of ecological conditions at the Order Limits collected through comprehensive desk studies and field surveys, as well as extensive professional experience of ecological enhancement measures which are both feasible and appropriate for the Scheme.
EB-70	RR-1393	EIA Methodology	Respondent expressed concern that the ecological surveys undertaken failed to account for seasonal variations.	The scope of ecological and species-specific survey within the Cable Route Corridor is set out within section 9.6 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061] which details how all surveys have followed all best-practice industry guidance with regards to timing and duration of surveys. All species-specific surveys have been spread out during the appropriate survey window for each particular species / species group, whilst habitat surveys have been conducted during the optimum period for

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				recording botanical characteristics. This has necessitated repeated visits to areas of the Scheme in many cases.
EB-71	RR-3497	Cable Route	Respondent stated that the Scheme has yet to fully demonstrate that the grid connection / cabling works will not generate unacceptable additional impact in terms of ecological impact	Potential impacts of the Scheme on ecology and biodiversity, including from those associated with cable installation works, are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], which considers baseline conditions and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment identifies embedded and additional mitigation measures and concludes that, with these measures in place, no unacceptable significant adverse effects on ecology and biodiversity are anticipated. Mitigation measures relevant to cable installation works include the adoption of trenchless technologies and/or micro-siting of cable trenching to minimise impacts on wildlife, narrowing of working areas at hedgerows, control of lighting, pollution prevention measures, and reinstatement of habitats within the Cable Route Corridor following a relatively short construction period. These measures are set out in the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy, substantially in accordance with the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Outline EPMS [APP-284] , are secured through Requirement 8 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] . These requirements ensure that ecological protection and enhancement measures are delivered and maintained throughout grid connection / cabling works.
EB-72	RR-4177	Decommissioning Plans	Respondent asked whether trees removed for the Scheme will be replanted during / after decommissioning.	As set out in ES Volume 3, Appendix 10-1: Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Outline Arboricultural Method Statement [APP-206] there is extensive replanting proposed to compensate the removal of trees on site. This provision of tree planting is detailed further and secured in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] . No further planting at the decommissioning stage is planned, as the replacement planting requirements will have been fulfilled post construction.

2.7 Soils and Agriculture

Table 2-7

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
SA-01	RR-4817, RR-1756, RR-2293, RR-2415, RR-3417, RR-0440, RR-0478, RR-4493, RR-2892, RR-1693, RR-1616, RR-4501, RR-4952, RR-4604, RR-1502, RR-3599, RR-1065, RR-0245, RR-2427, RR-2336, RR-0648, RR-1462, RR-2829, RR-1462, RR-2479, RR-4489, RR-0361, RR-3724, RR-1584, RR-3481, RR-3917, RR-4513, RR-1736, RR-1377, RR-4221, RR-4018, RR-1218, RR-0017, RR-1589, RR-2128, RR-1076, RR-1583, RR-2519, RR-1329, RR-3541, RR-3437, RR-0644, RR-1123, RR-1240, RR-2616, RR-3218, RR-1580, RR-2723, RR-4677, RR-1238, RR-1398, RR-4631, RR-0114, RR-4709, RR-3715, RR-3225, RR-3898, RR-1110, RR-2908, RR-3074, RR-3565, RR-1092, RR-2860, RR-3078, RR-4351, RR-4795, RR-0275, RR-1926, RR-3335, RR-0347, RR-1424, RR-2345, RR-4075, RR-2993, RR-1353, RR-4072, RR-3584, RR-3741, RR-4789, RR-4550, RR, -105, RR-2396,	Use of Agricultural Land	Respondents expressed concern about the use and / or loss of agricultural land. Some respondents expressed that agricultural land should not be used for solar energy. Some respondents specified the use of high-grade / high-quality BMV land. Some respondents would prefer the use of brownfield land. Some respondents expressed that solar panels should be on rooftops and / or expressed a preference for rooftop solar over ground-mounted solar. Some respondents specified alternative locations for rooftop solar, such as schools, industrial warehouses such as those at J17 of the M4, train stations, farm buildings, car parks, existing buildings,	An assessment of the potential effects of the Scheme on soils and agricultural land is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069]. This chapter considers baseline agricultural land quality, including Best and Most Versatile (BMV) land, and assesses likely significant effects arising from construction, operation and decommissioning of the Scheme, together with embedded mitigation measures. The areas of each Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) grade affected by the Scheme are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069], Section 17.7. Of the agricultural land within the Solar PV Sites, approximately 477.4 hectares (around 67%) is classified as Subgrade 3b or Grade 4 and is therefore not BMV land. There is no Grade 1 land, around 30.8 hectares (approximately 4%) of Grade 2 land, and approximately 209.3 hectares (around 29%) of Subgrade 3a land. The distribution of ALC grades is shown in ES Volume 2, Figure 17-2: Agricultural Land Classification Mapping [APP-172]. The Applicant has had regard to agricultural

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0290, RR-3726, RR-1526, RR-4048, RR-3454, RR-0563, RR-4807, RR-2943, RR-4492, RR-2451, RR-2609, RR-0150, RR-0778, RR-3447, RR-1717, RR-1024, RR-3475, RR-1737, RR-3389, RR-3886, RR-1877, RR-1332, RR-4514, RR-2963, RR-4475, RR-1731, RR-1303, RR-3531, RR-0484, RR-0879, RR-2476, RR-1907, RR-3936, RR-4896, RR-4891, RR-4149, RR-2184, RR-1398, RR-2398, RR-3265, RR-1358, RR-2893, RR-1904, RR-0880, RR-1811, RR-2836, RR-2899, RR-2366, RR-2658, RR-2366, RR-0530, RR-3571, RR-3585, RR-4951, RR-4678, RR-2202, RR-0379, RR-0738, RR-2185, RR-4181, RR-2226, RR-4715, RR-2631, RR-4715, RR-3457, RR-1417, RR-2718, RR-0228, RR-2223, RR-2561, RR-0304, RR-3827, RR-4609, RR-1779, RR-0607, RR-2061, RR-4024, RR-2913, RR-1828, RR-0766, RR-1504, RR-2023, RR-4844, RR-3161, RR-2578, RR-0110, RR-4829, RR-0450, RR-4648, RR-4274, RR-0878, RR-4346, RR-4761, RR-1829, RR-4782, RR-4074, RR-0270, RR-4701, RR-2481, RR-4261, RR-4482, RR-2983, RR-1527, RR-1133, RR-4318,		supermarkets, and airfields. Some respondents suggested panels should be near railway lines and / or motorways / major roads.	land quality in the design and site selection process and has sought, where practicable, to locate infrastructure on lower quality land. The Scheme will result in a temporary, long-term and reversible loss of agricultural land for the operational life of the Scheme. As set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] , Section 17.10, this gives rise to a temporary, long-term moderate adverse effect on agricultural land overall, and a temporary, long-term major/moderate adverse effect on BMV land, both of which are assessed as significant. These effects are fully reported in the ES and are a matter for the Secretary of State to weigh in the planning balance against the need for the Scheme and its wider benefits. There are no significant permanent effects on agricultural land, as all land will be returned to its original use and condition as far as practicable following decommissioning, as set out in paragraphs 2.1.3 to 2.1.5 of the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] , which is secured by Schedule 2, Requirement 20 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] . The Applicant notes that the approach to assessing the quality of the agricultural land is under discussion with Natural England and is set out in the relevant

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4773, RR-3129, RR-2211, RR-1350, RR-2560, RR-0099, RR-2995, RR-4892, RR-0474, RR-4908, RR-4806, RR-3836, RR-1746, RR-3987, RR-3706, RR-4407, RR-4559, RR-3199, RR-4147, RR-1088, RR-4188, RR-3057, RR-0416, RR-0332, RR-2251, RR-1147, RR-3548, RR-1608, RR-3574, RR-1243, RR-1243, RR-0119, RR-3561, RR-0126, RR-0384, RR-0386, RR-2157, RR-4819, RR-1432, RR-3355, RR-0579, RR-3858, RR-2018, RR-3130, RR-0947, RR-4198, RR-0370, RR-2700, RR-4423, RR-3988, RR-4784, RR-0925, RR-2874, RR-4706, RR-2194, RR-1927, RR-4108, RR-4725, RR-1144, RR-4439, RR-4447, RR-3414, RR-3928, RR-0180, RR-3228, RR-0346, RR-3002, RR-2348, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-2594, RR-2271, RR-2084, RR-1191, RR-1080, RR-0322, RR-2203, RR-0322, RR-2600, RR-3219, RR-0743, RR-4746, RR-3149, RR-0575, RR-4373, RR-0616, RR-0012, RR-0626, RR-2837, RR-1095, RR-3122, RR-3710, RR-4195, RR-2803, RR-1052, RR-3874, RR-4685, RR-1239, RR-0580, RR-0857, RR-2944, RR-1334, RR-0981, RR-2447,			Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. Measures to avoid and minimise effects on soils and agricultural land are embedded within the Scheme design and secured through Schedule 2, Requirement 17 of the Draft DCO [APP-016], which includes the preparation and implementation of a Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) in accordance with the Outline Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) [APP-280]. The SRMP will control soil handling, storage and reinstatement during construction, operation and decommissioning, and a requirement to prepare and implement a Decommissioning Strategy in accordance with the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279], which is secured by Schedule 2, Requirement 20 of the Draft DCO [APP-016]. The Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] details how grassland and other habitat will be established beneath and between the solar panels. The detailed LEMP will be secured through Schedule 2, Requirement 7 of the Draft DCO [APP-016]. Through the establishment and maintenance of long-term grassland beneath and between the solar arrays, soil health, quality and structure are anticipated to improve over the lifetime of the Scheme, resulting in a

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1446, RR-3640, RR-1882, RR-1957, RR-1667, RR-1073, RR-0828, RR-3763, RR-2686, RR-1730, RR-2895, RR-1576, RR-2232, RR-1089, RR-3499, RR-0236, RR-1183, RR-3052, RR-2220, RR-2957, RR-1847, RR-2220, RR-4034, RR-4823, RR-1710, RR-2107, RR-4863, RR-0076, RR-0153, RR-2759, RR-2916, RR-2904, RR-0405, RR-0193, RR-2043, RR-2783, RR-2062, RR-2283, RR-2097, RR-0516, RR-4257, RR-2927, RR-4588, RR-0747, RR-4636, RR-0375, RR-4598, RR-2713, RR-2930, RR-1325, RR-1290, RR-1290, RR-1003, RR-4879, RR-0558, RR-0295, RR-0273, RR-4548, RR-4912, RR-1266, RR-1566, RR-4224, RR-3872, RR-0102, RR-3687, RR-0905, RR-0225, RR-3482, RR-4275, RR-2889, RR-4282, RR-1606, RR-2503, RR-2021, RR-1999, RR-1594, RR-1187, RR-1928, RR-3449, RR-1134, RR-2798, RR-4184, RR-1132, RR-2450, RR-3260, RR-4498, RR-0372, RR-0395, RR-2124, RR-4524, RR-1458, RR-1969, RR-4947, RR-0805, RR-2590, RR-4758, RR-2773, RR-4298, RR-4369, RR-1090, RR-2114, RR-1619, RR-2009, RR-3707, RR-4317,			temporary, moderate beneficial effect on soil resources at decommissioning, which is assessed as significant (ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069], Sections 17.10 and 17.12). As detailed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and shown in Table 2-2 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185], the Applicant has considered alternative locations, including rooftop and previously developed land / brownfield land over 1 hectare within the area of search, based on the brownfield land registers of the relevant Local Planning Authorities, and concluded that none of the sites are large enough to provide a viable land parcel of at least 40 ha and sites were not considered to be available as they have planning permission for residential development and/or are allocated for residential/mixed use development. With regard to siting the Scheme alongside existing infrastructure corridors such as motorways, major roads and railways, ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and the accompanying ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] set out the alternatives considered by the Applicant. The assessment did not identify any

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1273, RR-4169, RR-1591, RR-2910, RR-0769, RR-0931, RR-1769, RR-4453, RR-1055, RR-1473, RR-0366, RR-2419, RR-2818, RR-0482, RR-2954, RR-0452, RR-4216, RR-0968, RR-2678, RR-2174, RR-4506, RR-4869, RR-1712, RR-0198, RR-3873, RR-4887, RR-0406, RR-3340, RR-2854, RR-1872, RR-4674, RR-1574, RR-2119, RR-4699, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-1741, RR-2669, RR-0959, RR-0039, RR-0331, RR-1163, RR-2856, RR-3003, RR-2140, RR-2626, RR-0762, RR-4316, RR-4116, RR-1263, RR-4473, RR-0776, RR-3796, RR-4692, RR-1249, RR-3655, RR-3691, RR-2826, RR-1820, RR-2681, RR-1465, RR-4293, RR-2311, RR-0195, RR-0725, RR-1644, RR-3007, RR-2706, RR-2990, RR-2689, RR-4866, RR-2582, RR-0199, RR-0604, RR-3785, RR-1668, RR-1317, RR-3175, RR-3242, RR-0546, RR-1817, RR-3412, RR-1488, RR-2463, RR-1786, RR-4353, RR-0302, RR-2309, RR-3694, RR-4336, RR-2978, RR-4281, RR-3530, RR-0974, RR-4925, RR-4728, RR-2205, RR-0404, RR-3721, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-0289, RR-4262, RR-1477, RR-0746,			unconstrained, suitable and available land that could utilise existing infrastructure corridors. As set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056], discussions were undertaken with Wiltshire Council regarding routing the Cable Route Corridor to follow the A350, but this was discounted due to concerns of potential disruptions to road traffic associated with installation of the cable immediately adjacent to the A350. National policy and government guidance, as set out in the Statement of Need [APP-266], recognise that while rooftop and smaller-scale solar installations are an important part of the energy mix, they cannot alone meet the scale of capacity required to achieve clean power and net zero objectives. Large-scale ground-mounted solar therefore remains necessary in addition to, not instead of, rooftop solar.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3975, RR-2126, RR-0233, RR-0592, RR-1455, RR-3063, RR-0853, RR-1572, RR-1459, RR-0651, RR-0467, RR-0324, RR-2907, RR-3076, RR-3553, RR-4712, RR-3429, RR-3223, RR-2894, RR-3144, RR-0590, RR-1798, RR-3258, RR-1569, RR-4105, RR-1215,RR-1500, RR-2273, RR-0237, RR-4111, RR-4111, RR-0123, RR-4717, RR-4937, RR-0328, RR-4098, RR-1802, RR-3888, RR-3869, RR-3093, RR-2127, RR-4799, RR-4200, RR-3750, RR-2112, RR-2668, RR-4393, RR-0383, RR-1984, RR-3590, RR-1413, RR-1853, RR-2392, RR-2882, RR-3720, RR-3911, RR-3911, RR-0624, RR-4204, RR-0360, RR-2588, RR-0757, RR-2506, RR-3358, RR-4563, RR-4516, RR-3887, RR-4579, RR-1264, RR-2404, RR-4162, RR-3400, RR-4434, RR-3504, RR-1624, RR-2144, RR-2354, RR-2244, RR-3064, RR-2577, RR-1046, RR-4691, RR-1107, RR-4242, RR-0048, RR-2006, RR-0412, RR-3692, RR-1306, RR-0998, RR-0321, RR-4294, RR-2055, RR-0945, RR-0311, RR-0391, RR-3252, RR-3163, RR-4466, RR-0027, RR-0271, RR-3535, RR-4822, RR-0598, RR-0130,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4877, RR-4828, RR-1967, RR-2170, RR-4478, RR-0036, RR-4584, RR-2570, RR-2486, RR-1054, RR-2571, RR-1054, RR-2924, RR-4179, RR-2555, RR-0215, RR-2639, RR-4248, RR-3968, RR-2639, RR-4143, RR-3604, RR-4150, RR-0011, RR-2553, RR-4600, RR-2172, RR-1228, RR-3017, RR-2369, RR-1044, RR-2388, RR-1690, RR-3479, RR-0636, RR-1724, RR-4700, RR-2146, RR-4911, RR-1600, RR-2012, RR-2029, RR-0568, RR-3758, RR-4870, RR-4112, RR-2677, RR-0642, RR-1396, RR-2015, RR-0009, RR-3010, RR-2716, RR-2870, RR-1752, RR-1384, RR-1114, RR-4301, RR-0799, RR-0277, RR-1298, RR-3610, RR-1994, RR-2441, RR-4383, RR-1150, RR-0927, RR-1516, RR-3792, RR-0411, RR-3095, RR-3997, RR-1041, RR-4775, RR-4544, RR-4468, RR-2636, RR-1748, RR-1152, RR-2377, RR-1121, RR-2285, RR-0582, RR-3682, RR-1903, RR-3682, RR-4898, RR-2901, RR-3760, RR-3046, RR-4259, RR-1061, RR-2136, RR-4187, RR-0537, RR-2289, RR-4428, RR-0380, RR-4054, RR-2031, RR-3962, RR-4644, RR-0871, RR-1434, RR-0676,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0845, RR-3124, RR-1200, RR-0016, RR-0118, RR-1777, RR-3567, RR-4899, RR-4235, RR-2467, RR-1399, RR-0171, RR-3544, RR-4145, RR-1036, RR-3109, RR-3748, RR-2682, RR-1068, RR-4682, RR-3081, RR-4342, RR-4735, RR-4327, RR-1078, RR-4916, RR-3837, RR-2587, RR-2823, RR-0473, RR-2198, RR-3065, RR-0437, RR-1968, RR-3783, RR-3626, RR-0796, RR-4834, RR-4529, RR-2646, RR-1179, RR-1242, RR-1656, RR-4103, RR-0767, RR-2270, RR-0847, RR-4873, RR-3108, RR-4731, RR-1344, RR-3842, RR-0889, RR-2190, RR-2035, RR-1101, RR-4146, RR-4583, RR-1484, RR-4384, RR-3128, RR-1004, RR-4491, RR-0280, RR-0392, RR-2814, RR-1395, RR-2322, RR-0280, RR-4470, RR-3597, RR-3515, RR-2414, RR-0909, RR-4252, RR-0419, RR-4229, RR-2295, RR-0068, RR-0978, RR-0801, RR-0090, RR-3206, RR-3759, RR-2279, RR-1694, RR-2042, RR-4744, RR-2403, RR-2317, RR-4265, RR-1944, RR-1859, RR-2361, RR-4777, RR-3634, RR-3121, RR-1566, RR-2538, RR-1253, RR-4676, RR-1707, RR-4580, RR-0470, RR-2040,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3830, RR-4519, RR-3488, RR-0899, RR-0073, RR-3661, RR-4592, RR-1489, RR-3281, RR-2262, RR-4851, RR-4283, RR-2680, RR-4338, RR-2756, RR-2859, RR-4444, RR-3593, RR-4102, RR-0765, RR-0551, RR-1772, RR-1128, RR-1764, RR-4684, RR-2073, RR-2599, RR-0906, RR-4568, RR-3536, RR-4068, RR-3931, RR-1962, RR-2076, RR-3757, RR-3269, RR-4165, RR-2230, RR-4625, RR-4165, RR-4239, RR-3967, RR-1072, RR-0591, RR-3725, RR-0088, RR-0424, RR-0313, RR-0448, RR-2371, RR-2603, RR-4013, RR-2739, RR-3620, RR-1299, RR-4178, RR-3945, RR-0135, RR-1673, RR-4509, RR-1063, RR-0154, RR-0091, RR-3580, RR-0888, RR-1768, RR-2732, RR-4753, RR-2833, RR-4949, RR-1105, RR-3717, RR-1443, RR-1277, RR-1422, RR-4727, RR-0181, RR-1997, RR-3359, RR-3359, RR-2801, RR-4666, RR-3377, RR-4113, RR-3800, RR-4231, RR-1071, RR-4942, RR-2249, RR-3518, RR-3940, RR-3907, RR-1953, RR-3373, RR-2048, RR-1105, RR-4958, RR-0320, RR-3316, RR-3959, RR-3756, RR-1033, RR-1471, RR-1557, RR-2770,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1060, RR-1546, RR-4278, RR-4057, RR-4127, RR-0092, RR-1954, RR-0485, RR-1914, RR-3848, RR-1181, RR-4357, RR-3916, RR-4271, RR-1822, RR-1704, RR-1993, RR-0079, RR-3972, RR-4578,RR-3331, RR-3492, RR-1437, RR-1676, RR-0430, RR-3157, RR-3173, RR-2766, RR-2147, RR-4435, RR-0382, RR-2753, RR-4656, RR-3885, RR-3415, RR-0374, RR-1087, RR-3709, RR-4264, RR-4078, RR-2177, RR-0716, RR-3179, RR-2948, RR-1195, RR-4838, RR-3555, RR-3446, RR-4300, RR-1005, RR-4669, RR-2026, RR-0040, RR-0293, RR-0868, RR-4347, RR-3550, RR-2389, RR-2734, RR-4144, RR-2290, RR-2484, RR-3456, RR-1715, RR-1100, RR-3089, RR-4011, RR-0807, RR-4587, RR-1370, RR-0050, RR-2098, RR-0026, RR-2808, RR-1084, RR-1326, RR-2702, RR-3276, RR-2085, RR-3478, RR-4047, RR-2145, RR-1130, RR-3671, RR-2707, RR-4186, RR-0823, RR-0975, RR-2807, RR-4125, RR-4772, RR-1232, RR-4871, RR-2767, RR-4605, RR-0054, RR-2443, RR-4931, RR-1791, RR-1305, RR-1262, RR-2961, RR-1645, RR-3343, RR-2384,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0147, RR-4569, RR-1436, RR-4031, RR-3365, RR-1749, RR-3441, RR-2838, RR-2096, RR-0554, RR-1415, RR-2965, RR-2099, RR-2604, RR-0496, RR-4132, RR-1085, RR-0820, RR-1980, RR-3263, RR-1070, RR-0893, RR-1444, RR-1117, RR-0393, RR-0046, RR-1941, RR-3537, RR-0003, RR-0800, RR-4681, RR-0646, RR-2652, RR-3705, RR-4854, RR-2241, RR-3819, RR-4813, RR-3861, RR-2209, RR-0418, RR-1959, RR-1945, RR-1945, RR-1899, RR-2024, RR-3894, RR-0472, RR-1309, RR-4400, RR-0654, RR-0863, RR-4358, RR-0858, RR-0654, RR-4344, RR-4288, RR-3270, RR-3244, RR-4362, RR-4096, RR-3472, RR-1835, RR-4663, RR-0045, RR-4787, RR-1987, RR-2909, RR-2318, RR-0808, RR-0378, RR-3323, RR-1022, RR-2204, RR-1911, RR-0136, RR-1920, RR-1217, RR-1460, RR-3566, RR-2593, RR-2027, RR-4737, RR-0777, RR-2597, RR-0555, RR-0190, RR-4205, RR-4363, RR-0300, RR-0433, RR-0707, RR-4197, RR-0161, RR-4923, RR-2399, RR-0480, RR-0940, RR-0971, RR-0672, RR-1259, RR-1671, RR-4673, RR-0291, RR-0006,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0477, RR-2374, RR-4800, RR-4821, RR-4398, RR-1146, RR-2150, RR-1315, RR-2938, RR-0937, RR-4751, RR-4531, RR-4092, RR-1563, RR-3950, RR-4137, RR-4622, RR-3110, RR-4164, RR-2312, RR-2382, RR-1789, RR-4572, RR-0876, RR-3000, RR-3299, RR-1834, RR-1010, RR-1856, RR-3201, RR-1611, RR-3134, RR-4805, RR-1611, RR-4522, RR-0986, RR-2025, RR-4736, RR-3233, RR-0238, RR-3698, RR-1143, RR-3440, RR-4859, RR-2272, RR-4527, RR-3111, RR-4526, RR-3166, RR-0843, RR-4382, RR-4574, RR-1960, RR-1043, RR-0260, RR-2257, RR-3243, RR-0588, RR-1855, RR-3320, RR-3191, RR-0802, RR-3809, RR-2471, RR-2321, RR-4356, RR-4477, RR-3889, RR-2352, RR-4302, RR-4476, RR-3104, RR-3011, RR-2130, RR-4794, RR-2736, RR-3104, RR-0160, RR-1556, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-1225, RR-0728, RR-1427, RR-2368, RR-2417, RR-2606, RR-4465, RR-2171, RR-4924, RR-3734, RR-0299, RR-3445, RR-2764, RR-3666, RR-4450, RR-2984, RR-2087, RR-1728, RR-4778, RR-3788, RR-4555, RR-0621, RR-3049, RR-1439,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4061, RR-0999, RR-0982, RR-1740, RR-1513, RR-4842, RR-4335, RR-0775, RR-1229, RR-4852, RR-2526, RR-3451, RR-2464, RR-4255, RR-1208, RR-0870, RR-2524, RR-4662, RR-4745, RR-3392, RR-4621, RR-2527, RR-2527, RR-4530, RR-1475, RR-0969, RR-1025, RR-3878, RR-4780, RR-3387, RR-4332, RR-2385, RR-0773, RR-1976, RR-0124, RR-3366, RR-1976, RR-4139, RR-0471, RR-1982, RR-2215, RR-1660, RR-3403, RR-1716, RR-4234, RR-1311, RR-3716, RR-3332, RR-0928, RR-2409, RR-2268, RR-1751, RR-0910, RR-1970, RR-3393, RR-4855, RR-3736, RR-0213, RR-1860, RR-3511, RR-2741, RR-3505, RR-2125, RR-2434, RR-4059, RR-2214, RR-1038, RR-0831, RR-4902, RR-4734, RR-4734, RR-2410, RR-3349, RR-3775, RR-0731, RR-1335, RR-3177, RR-1335, RR-1725, RR-1858, RR-2016, RR-2947, RR-2625, RR-3208, RR-3784, RR-4246, RR-3880, RR-2351, RR-2338, RR-0082, RR-1466, RR-0809, RR-2879, RR-4632, RR-2528, RR-3609, RR-3755, RR-4333, RR-2703, RR-0987, RR-0490, RR-4872, RR-4082, RR-0330, RR-4702,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3249, RR-1787, RR-1007, RR-2598, RR-0934, RR-1267, RR-0128, RR-3974, RR-2679, RR-1719, RR-0296, RR-0368, RR-4050, RR-3890, RR-1035, RR-0674, RR-1978, RR-3696, RR-3351, RR-1035, RR-3703, RR-4459, RR-1342, RR-1964, RR-2821, RR-2180, RR-4309, RR-2101, RR-2628, RR-3352, RR-1294, RR-1381, RR-4905, RR-1870, RR-4849, RR-2530, RR-1702, RR-4667, RR-3672, RR-3664, RR-2533, RR-1912, RR-3133, RR-1407, RR-1013, RR-3310, RR-2771, RR-0895, RR-4634, RR-2132, RR-1612, RR-2915, RR-1286, RR-3339, RR-4724, RR-1106, RR-3524, RR-2733, RR-0169, RR-3905, RR-2360, RR-0972, RR-0523, RR-4762, RR-2891, RR-0449, RR-2785, RR-2206, RR-4020, RR-2121, RR-0615, RR-2537, RR-0702, RR-1314, RR-2632, RR-4788, RR-3884, RR-3727, RR-4957, RR-1148, RR-4370, RR-1916, RR-2278, RR-0706, RR-0755, RR-0634, RR-1304, RR-4847, RR-3363, RR-1328, RR-1140, RR-2905, RR-1653, RR-1023, RR-1288, RR-4223, RR-0541, RR-0356, RR-0044, RR-0827, RR-3693, RR-0159, RR-4518, RR-4723, RR-4888,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0926, RR-0308, RR-2839, RR-3943, RR-3529, RR-0817, RR-3711, RR-2402, RR-0732, RR-0817, RR-0038, RR-0500, RR-0696, RR-0507, RR-2442, RR-3953, RR-3295, RR-3044, RR-4660, RR-2459, RR-2051, RR-4063, RR-0675, RR-2791, RR-4953, RR-4708, RR-3635, RR-0034, RR-1327, RR-2928, RR-0581, RR-0158, RR-3019, RR-4808, RR-3152, RR-4340, RR-2421, RR-3799, RR-3921, RR-0752, RR-1505, RR-2811, RR-0641, RR-0951, RR-1703, RR-2687, RR-3229, RR-4820, RR-3490, RR-2918, RR-2900, RR-3386, RR-4217, RR-4156, RR-1654, RR-4790, RR-4812, RR-3381, RR-2189, RR-3980, RR-0266, RR-3551, RR-0417, RR-2675, RR-3985, RR-1312, RR-3120, RR-0894, RR-0872, RR-1695, RR-0690, RR-0647, RR-3348, RR-1662, RR-0204, RR-3369, RR-1447, RR-3595, RR-2000, RR-3971, RR-2426, RR-3780, RR-3765, RR-1431, RR-4273, RR-3298, RR-1894, RR-2890, RR-0120, RR-4270, RR-0094, RR-2332, RR-4534, RR-4907, RR-1124, RR-3313, RR-4329, RR-2719, RR-4094, RR-3598, RR-4290, RR-0734, RR-2380, RR-2349, RR-2438,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4804, RR-3618, RR-4633, RR-4885, RR-4643, RR-0010, RR-1252, RR-2381, RR-0140, RR-1525, RR-3250, RR-1176, RR-0080, RR-4171, RR-4038, RR-0510, RR-1018, RR-3336, RR-0221, RR-1048, RR-4586, RR-1295, RR-3935, RR-2108, RR-1019, RR-0191, RR-4850, RR-4109, RR-0550, RR-3594, RR-3202, RR-0531, RR-3765, RR-0678, RR-4507, RR-0063, RR-3154, RR-3484, RR-0569, RR-0849, RR-1375, RR-2731, RR-4612, RR-1850, RR-0589, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-2020, RR-0359, RR-3207, RR-1950, RR-3862, RR-3923, RR-0629, RR-3699, RR-4006, RR-1666, RR-0358, RR-0155, RR-3851, RR-2081, RR-1614, RR-1197, RR-0163, RR-3038, RR-3372, RR-1630, RR-4222, RR-2857, RR-2671, RR-2781, RR-3285, RR-0214, RR-1423, RR-4321, RR-2996, RR-2971, RR-4950, RR-1212, RR-3142, RR-4368, RR-2291, RR-3016, RR-3353, RR-2772, RR-2694, RR-1461, RR-0414, RR-4039, RR-3013, RR-0310, RR-3431, RR-4406, RR-0060, RR-0837, RR-1397, RR-1393, RR-2033, RR-4809, RR-4120, RR-2967, RR-0142, RR-3264, RR-4903, RR-2654,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0355, RR-4267, RR-0292, RR-1066, RR-3828, RR-0855, RR-2744, RR-4525, RR-1661, RR-3083, RR-1626, RR-0125, RR-1274, RR-0723, RR-1977, RR-0933, RR-2516, RR-2673, RR-3857, RR-4010, RR-4249, RR-3071, RR-1733, RR-2470, RR-3854, RR-2154, RR-0995, RR-2133, RR-2154, RR-2956, RR-0057, RR-4504, RR-0862, RR-1729, RR-2558, RR-2762, RR-2328, RR-0896, RR-4890, RR-1605, RR-1175, RR-1542, RR-1386, RR-3061, RR-3639, RR-1237, RR-4442, RR-0985, RR-0442, RR-3325, RR-3865, RR-2200, RR-0287, RR-2897, RR-2872, RR-4577, RR-1781, RR-4364, RR-1934, RR-1322, RR-4214, RR-2248, RR-0188, RR-0188, RR-1141, RR-1367, RR-1313, RR-1937, RR-1672, RR-2695, RR-2466, RR-1544, RR-4679, RR-2638, RR-0718, RR-3746, RR-3287, RR-0536, RR-2436, RR-1895, RR-0701, RR-4532, RR-0240, RR-0047, RR-0812, RR-2754, RR-0557, RR-4597, RR-4637, RR-3278, RR-1990, RR-2275, RR-1226, RR-1841, RR-3628, RR-4538, RR-1721, RR-3422, RR-2072, RR-4499, RR-3751, RR-3338, RR-2437, RR-3059, RR-1347,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1177, RR-0272, RR-3072, RR-3879, RR-0081, RR-1034, RR-3160, RR-1373, RR-2795, RR-1193, RR-0625, RR-4243, RR-0227, RR-2512, RR-1635, RR-2796, RR-1995, RR-4174, RR-1131, RR-0714, RR-3964, RR-2319, RR-3615, RR-1646, RR-0151, RR-3986, RR-3054, RR-2245, RR-4928, RR-2234, RR-3284, RR-3697, RR-0760, RR-1268, RR-3832, RR-0657, RR-0657, RR-2137, RR-0903, RR-2898, RR-3367, RR-0652, RR-0921, RR-1379, RR-0721, RR-1659, RR-0721, RR-0248, RR-4129, RR-1182, RR-0495, RR-2056, RR-0923, RR-1871, RR-4201, RR-2135, RR-3286, RR-2813, RR-3155, RR-3087, RR-0712, RR-0139, RR-3525, RR-2650, RR-2149, RR-1419, RR-0594, RR-4226, RR-0203, RR-0064, RR-3096, RR-2239, RR-3774, RR-0601,RR-2760, RR-1234, RR-3497, RR-4331, RR-2953, RR-1104, RR-3170, RR-1438, RR-3497, RR-1112, RR-3522, RR-2280, RR-0435, RR-3493, RR-2383, RR-2490, RR-0953, RR-0306, RR-4207, RR-4554, RR-3777, RR-0134, RR-3290, RR-3337, RR-1363, RR-1108, RR-3976,RR-1628, RR-3239, RR-3994, RR-3507,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2875, RR-1875, RR-3416, RR-2507, RR-2922, RR-4517, RR-3362, RR-1433, RR-1924, RR-0587, RR-4830, RR-1292, RR-4387, RR-3927, RR-4297, RR-4297, RR-2105, RR-2665, RR-3025, RR-2247, RR-1522, RR-3458, RR-0219, RR-0848, RR-3159, RR-4386, RR-4695, RR-1770, RR-1047, RR-0793, RR-3088, RR-1493,RR-4721, RR-0312, RR-0460, RR-2955, RR-2789, RR-0486,RR-0750, RR-4287, RR-0365, RR-2513, RR-1059, RR-4843, RR-0763, RR-2583, RR-2972,RR-2656, RR-1998, RR-2621, RR-3929, RR-4760, RR-0768, RR-3738, RR-0664, RR-4462, RR-0640, RR-4853, RR-3317, RR-2465, RR-4552, RR-0709, RR-1955, RR-2573, RR-1017, RR-0469, RR-4177, RR-3742, RR-0241, RR-0096, RR-3473, RR-4763, RR-4628, RR-3770, RR-3227, RR-3344, RR-3575, RR-4547, RR-3600, RR-2405, RR-3029, RR-3685, RR-4613, RR-4122, RR-4446, RR-1956, RR-3798, RR-1599, RR-4665, RR-0348, RR-2238, RR-2951, RR-3150, RR-3867, RR-4889, RR-4774, RR-3384, RR-0866, RR-3147, RR-3526, RR-3379, RR-1074, RR-1000, RR-0436, RR-1747,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3860, RR-0323, RR-3591, RR-2580, RR-4730, RR-4570, RR-0977, RR-0246, RR-0819, RR-3520, RR-3942, RR-1652, RR-2543, RR-2824, RR-3517, RR-3176, RR-3811, RR-2540, RR-2156, RR-1056 RR-0784, RR-3385, RR-1738, RR-3135, RR-4266, RR-3024, RR-2861, RR-0918, RR-3176, RR-0143, RR-0792, RR-0174, RR-3612, RR-0192, RR-3176, RR-4176, RR-1356, RR-2213, RR-4166, RR-4339, RR-3438, RR-2088, RR-2863, RR-4238, RR-0595, RR-1162, RR-3713, RR-4769, RR-3418, RR-1753, RR-2353, RR-3500, RR-3695, RR-2775, RR-0182, RR-4337, RR-2841, RR-1245, RR-3729, RR-4279, RR-0066, RR-1838, RR-4792, RR-4867, RR-4009, RR-1062, RR-2551, RR-0965, RR-1708, RR-4938, RR-0508, RR-1231, RR-0464, RR-3047, RR-1198, RR-4556, RR-3266, RR-4565, RR-3235, RR-3825, RR-4379, RR-1682, RR-4140, RR-3728, RR-0561, RR-3743, RR-0758, RR-1360, RR-1167, RR-3506, RR-4090, RR-3658, RR-1533, RR-3102, RR-2802, RR-3668, RR-0196, RR-4461, RR-4012, RR-4199, RR-4440, RR-4199, RR-4750, RR-0326, RR-4215,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1337, RR-0911, RR-4742, RR-4824, RR-4366, RR-1849, RR-3213, RR-4148, RR-0334, RR-3321, RR-3204, RR-0618, RR-3060, RR-1401, RR-1915, RR-3080, RR-4395, RR-2323, RR-3005, RR-4228, RR-1602, RR-4394, RR-2221, RR-3952, RR-0791, RR-2691, RR-0491, RR-1839, RR-0106, RR-3094, RR-0687, RR-2449, RR-0453, RR-0815, RR-0622, RR-2019, RR-0839, RR-4915, RR-0960, RR-0519, RR-1535, RR-3501, RR-4508, RR-0244, RR-3489, RR-1917, RR-4921, RR-2610, RR-3487, RR-0756, RR-1898, RR-3217, RR-1595, RR-1102, RR-1412, RR-3123, RR-1425, RR-1258, RR-4433, RR-2912, RR-0886, RR-1027, RR-2729, RR-0711, RR-0600, RR-2229, RR-2120, RR-0532, RR-1491, RR-4058, RR-0377, RR-2191, RR-2683, RR-3143, RR-4661, RR-0515, RR-0686, RR-3899, RR-0030, RR-1380, RR-1497, RR-3283, RR-1385, RR-2475, RR-2401, RR-1082, RR-2566, RR-2562, RR-3375, RR-1836, RR-4910, RR-2284, RR-0152, RR-0798, RR-2373, RR-0727, RR-0727, RR-1794, RR-3075, RR-1030, RR-3704, RR-2749, RR-1890, RR-4511, RR-1800,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1154, RR-2858, RR-2159, RR-4124, RR-2862, RR-0089, RR-4341, RR-1185, RR-2228, RR-4396, RR-2648, RR-3647, RR-4918, RR-4410, RR-3334, RR-4118, RR-1766, RR-1050, RR-3275, RR-4051, RR-4260, RR-1909, RR-1734, RR-2968, RR-4754, RR-1615, RR-0666, RR-3807, RR-4158, RR-2169, RR-4488, RR-1821, RR-0533, RR-4946, RR-1289, RR-0251, RR-0835, RR-2007, RR-1091, RR-0929, RR-2852, RR-4003, RR-4630, RR-4361, RR-1735, RR-2667, RR-0677, RR-3802, RR-0980, RR-3280, RR-4868, RR-4697, RR-3924, RR-4958, RR-1445, RR-4449, RR-4325, RR-4539, RR-1199, RR-4802, RR-0265, RR-4714, RR-2881, RR-1171, RR-2045, RR-1042, RR-3419, RR-2303, RR-2644, RR-2624, RR-1958, RR-1532, RR-1362, RR-4247, RR-0838, RR-2820, RR-4033, RR-0014, RR-3345, RR-4119, RR-2761, RR-3998, RR-3195, RR-2966, RR-0033, RR-0697, RR-4546, RR-2333, RR-0599, RR-0737, RR-3085, RR-3527, RR-1296, RR-3318, RR-1331, RR-0989, RR-2478, RR-0884, RR-0268, RR-3241, RR-3330, RR-2980, RR-1918, RR-2685, RR-2093,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0562, RR-4740, RR-1631, RR-2710, RR-1648, RR-4703, RR-2497, RR-2495, RR-4104, RR-3532, RR-3552, RR-3767, RR-1227, RR-1889, RR-2541, RR-4154, RR-3607, RR-2977, RR-3139, RR-3805, RR-3965, RR-3406, RR-2508, RR-2235, RR-2115, RR-1476, RR-4664, RR-0253, RR-1586, RR-4458, RR-0121, RR-4748, RR-2428, RR-0363, RR-3539, RR-0197, RR-2976, RR-0667, RR-2693, RR-3139, RR-0075, RR-4245, RR-4549, RR-2448, RR-2067, RR-3436, RR-1178, RR-4502, RR-3769, RR-3255, RR-3786, RR-1642, RR-3683, RR-3797, RR-2855, RR-3754, RR-0218, RR-0782, RR-4193, RR-1892, RR-0409, RR-1681, RR-0441, RR-1906, RR-0087, RR-1008, RR-3984, RR-3665, RR-0286, RR-2740, RR-4858, RR-3356, RR-1669, RR-1067, RR-2003, RR-4914, RR-0422, RR-1718, RR-0111, RR-0229, RR-4713, RR-2432, RR-0394, RR-4062, RR-1472, RR-3803, RR-4291, RR-0429, RR-1194, RR-4403, RR-4416, RR-0967, RR-1801, RR-4913, RR-1301, RR-4496, RR-3516, RR-0446, RR-3601, RR-3588, RR-1714, RR-4818, RR-0162, RR-0566, RR-1621,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4007, RR-0954, RR-2395, RR-4832, RR-1902, RR-1429, RR-0593, RR-2567, RR-0572, RR-0660, RR-0015, RR-1236, RR-0566, RR-1854, RR-2805, RR-3388, RR-4939, RR-0991, RR-4486, RR-4372, RR-1939, RR-0566, RR-2222, RR-3068, RR-2589, RR-4651, RR-0201, RR-4311, RR-4497, RR-4023, RR-4220, RR-0797, RR-2797, RR-3577, RR-3428, RR-1537, RR-3605, RR-0665, RR-3833, RR-4659, RR-3302, RR-4791, RR-3644, RR-1157, RR-3277, RR-3625, RR-4815, RR-0630, RR-3396, RR-1323, RR-0810, RR-1660, RR-3513, RR-0403, RR-2165, RR-4066, RR-2433, RR-4211, RR-3920, RR-2964, RR-4083, RR-0826, RR-1705, RR-3374, RR-2725, RR-1701, RR-0790, RR-0649, RR-2745, RR-3181, RR-1943, RR-4227, RR-4041, RR-0138, RR-3308, RR-0209, RR-0156, RR-3322, RR-4191, RR-0948, RR-1819, RR-4904, RR-3891, RR-4115, RR-4904, RR-2327, RR-3476, RR-0518, RR-1686, RR-3909, RR-2071, RR-4545, RR-2440, RR-0705, RR-0283, RR-2847, RR-0183, RR-3919, RR-1387, RR-4374, RR-2117, RR-1826, RR-0278, RR-1797, RR-4322,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0210, RR-2391, RR-2825, RR-3421, RR-4875, RR-1500, RR-1539, RR-4573, RR-3840, RR-3813, RR-3948, RR-1778, RR-4771, RR-4536, RR-2343, RR-2359, RR-3926, RR-4862, RR-0543, RR-2142, RR-0525, RR-3546, RR-4623, RR-2264, RR-3371, RR-1057, RR-1868, RR-3214, RR-2282, RR-4230, RR-1201, RR-1900, RR-3091, RR-2176, RR-2167, RR-2123, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-2937, RR-2231, RR-1020, RR-2584, RR-0612, RR-2557, RR-3319, RR-4535, RR-2089, RR-3829, RR-0643, RR-1923, RR-4582, RR-0609, RR-4601, RR-4943, RR-2077, RR-2164, RR-0264, RR-3183, RR-2301, RR-2110, RR-3619, RR-2488, RR-3070, RR-2201, RR-4779, RR-0397, RR-2500, RR-4589, RR-2116, RR-0086, RR-0294, RR-4767, RR-4421, RR-3863, RR-4409, RR-3198, RR-4917, RR-4886, RR-1345, RR-3408, RR-0032, RR-3589, RR-1391, RR-4653, RR-2940, RR-0632, RR-1440, RR-3868, RR-1075, RR-3314, RR-3648, RR-4203, RR-0695, RR-4131, RR-0854, RR-4722, RR-4566, RR-1308, RR-1247, RR-4520, RR-1782, RR-1453, RR-0029, RR-0317, RR-1456,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1697, RR-3533, RR-3650, RR-2952, RR-0611, RR-3401, RR-3596, RR-4323, RR-2840, RR-2830, RR-3409, RR-3480, RR-0165, RR-4045, RR-0307, RR-0315, RR-2982, RR-4716, RR-1938, RR-3866, RR-4710, RR-0908, RR-1508, RR-3958, RR-3614, RR-4099, RR-3079, RR-0352, RR-0719, RR-2649, RR-4256, RR-3708, RR-0748, RR-0390, RR-2444, RR-2080, RR-0754, RR-3468, RR-4107, RR-4142, RR-2192, RR-4593, RR-3230, RR-4620, RR-4835, RR-4479, RR-2494, RR-3107, RR-2845, RR-0825, RR-3908, RR-0832, RR-3753, RR-3293, RR-0852, RR-3386, RR-4217, AS-008, AS-009, AS-011, PDA-018, AS-003, RR-0341, RR-0623, RR-0717, RR-0913, RR-0984, RR-1204, RR-1365, RR-1378, RR-1523, RR-1658, RR-1658, RR-1706, RR-1711, RR-1861, RR-1947, RR-2286, RR-2602, RR-2618, RR-2724, RR-2724, RR-3167, RR-3279, RR-3361, RR-3435, RR-3592, RR-3632, RR-3740, RR-3804, RR-3881, RR-3918, RR-4189, RR-4202, RR-4310, RR-4467, RR-4765, RR-4645			
SA-02	RR-1756, RR-3417, RR-0440, RR-0478, RR-4604, RR-0245,	Food Security	Respondents expressed concern regarding the	The effects of the Scheme on agricultural land, including land quality and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2336, RR-0648, RR-4513, RR-4944, RR-1377, RR-4221, RR-2128, RR-1240, RR-4631, RR-0114, RR-1110, RR-1092, RR-3078, RR-1579, RR-3335, RR-0347, RR-1424, RR-3741, RR-3510, RR-2943, RR-0150, RR-3475, RR-4514, RR-2963, RR-1731, RR-3531, RR-2476, RR-3936, RR-4896, RR-2184, RR-0544, RR-1811, RR-2658, RR-2202, RR-1833, RR-0379, RR-1417, RR-2913, RR-1040, RR-0766, RR-4844, RR-2970, RR-4701, RR-4482, RR-4797, RR-0099, RR-1528, RR-2995, RR-4687, RR-0474, RR-4407, RR-4188, RR-3057, RR-0332, RR-3548, RR-1243, RR-1243, RR-4819, RR-3355, RR-2018, RR-4198, RR-4423, RR-4706, RR-2647, RR-3002, RR-0626, RR-4195, RR-1700, RR-2447, RR-3640, RR-1957, RR-1667, RR-3499, RR-1183, RR-3052, RR-4823, RR-2107, RR-4863, RR-0405, RR-0516, RR-2713, RR-2930, RR-1325, RR-3872, RR-2889, RR-2503, RR-1187, RR-2450, RR-1969, RR-4947, RR-2590, RR-4369, RR-1090, RR-2114, RR-1273, RR-0482, RR-4506, RR-3873, RR-4887, RR-2854, RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-2669, RR-0039, RR-1163,		Scheme's impact to UK food security and / or food production. Includes comments regarding increased food prices and geopolitical events highlighting the importance of food security.	productivity, are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069], supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 17-1: Agricultural Land Classification and Soil Resource Survey Report [APP-243]. That assessment identifies that the majority of agricultural land affected by the Scheme is not Best and Most Versatile (BMV) land, comprising predominantly Subgrade 3b and Grade 4 land, which is not considered high-quality agricultural land in planning terms. Government-led agricultural initiatives, such as the Sustainable Farming Incentive which is relaunching later in 2026, have prioritised soil health, environmental enhancement and climate resilience, recognising that long-term food security is influenced by a range of factors beyond land take alone, including soil condition, climate change and environmental pressures. The Scheme allows soils to remain in situ and undisturbed during operation, supporting soil recovery and long-term land health, with land reinstated and returned to agricultural use as far as practicable following decommissioning as set out in paragraphs 2.1.3 to 2.1.5 of the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279], which is secured by Schedule 2, Requirement 20 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. No permanent loss of agricultural land is anticipated.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3796, RR-1249, RR-1820, RR-2681, RR-1465, RR-4293, RR-0195, RR-0725, RR-3007, RR-2706, RR-1633, RR-2582, RR-0199, RR-3785, RR-1317, RR-0546, RR-2463, RR-2978, RR-4281, RR-3530, RR-4728, RR-2205, RR-0404, RR-3721, RR-1477, RR-0746, RR-1893, RR-0592, RR-3063, RR-0853, RR-3700, RR-1459, RR-0467, RR-4712, RR-3429, RR-3144, RR-1215, RR-4111, RR-1802, RR-3888, RR-3720, RR-3911, RR-0624, RR-0360, RR-2506, RR-4579, RR-1643, RR-2404, RR-3504, RR-2577, RR-4242, RR-2006, RR-1306, RR-0945, RR-0311, RR-0391, RR-0448, RR-3163, RR-2170, RR-0036, RR-2486, RR-2571, RR-1054, RR-2639, RR-4248, RR-3968, RR-4143, RR-0011, RR-2553, RR-2172, RR-1044, RR-1690, RR-2146, RR-2664, RR-0568, RR-3758, RR-4870, RR-2716, RR-2870, RR-1114, RR-2765, RR-1517, RR-1994, RR-3792, RR-3997, RR-4544, RR-1748, RR-1152, RR-3682, RR-2901, RR-3760, RR-4428, RR-4054, RR-2031, RR-4036, RR-3124, RR-1200, RR-3567, RR-4899, RR-4615, RR-1036, RR-2520, RR-4342, RR-3101, RR-4916,			With regard to solar panels occupying agricultural land that should be used for food production, the latest figures to September 2024 indicate that solar occupied around 21,200 ha of agricultural land in the UK. In 2025, 545,000 ha of arable land in England were left uncropped (source: Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs, Agricultural land use in England at 1 June 2025). It should also be noted that food security is not identified as an issue within the suite of Energy National Policy Statements or the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). Further, the Government's UK Solar Roadmap (2025) states that solar development is not considered to compromise food security, but that the climate and nature crisis poses the greatest long-term risk to food security, a risk which the Scheme directly helps to address by providing a secure, low-carbon source of electricity. As set out in Section 2 of the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279], post-decommissioning of the Scheme, the landowners would choose how the land is to be used and managed. A landowner may return all of the land to arable use, although it is likely that established habitats such as hedgerows and woodland would be retained given their potential

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3837, RR-2823, RR-2198, RR-3065, RR-3626, RR-3783, RR-1996, RR-2270, RR-4731, RR-2190, RR-4146, RR-4384, RR-3128, RR-1004, RR-0280, RR-3597, RR-3515, RR-4252, RR-0068, RR-0978, RR-1694, RR-2403, RR-4265, RR-4580, RR-0899, RR-4592, RR-3281, RR-4338, RR-4102, RR-0551, RR-2073, RR-0906, RR-4568, RR-3536, RR-1203, RR-2230, RR-4239, RR-3967, RR-3725, RR-0424, RR-0448, RR-2603, RR-4013, RR-1299, RR-4178, RR-1673, RR-1063, RR-0127, RR-2732, RR-2833, RR-1105, RR-3717, RR-1443, RR-3800, RR-2048, RR-3756, RR-1557, RR-2770, RR-1060, RR-1546, RR-4278, RR-3043, RR-1547, RR-1081, RR-0092, RR-3848, RR-0024, RR-4357, RR-1704, RR-1993, RR-3972, RR-2766, RR-3415, RR-1087, RR-4078, RR-2177, RR-1195, RR-3234, RR-2026, RR-2734, RR-2484, RR-4587, RR-0786, RR-0050, RR-2702, RR-2707, RR-1232, RR-4871, RR-0054, RR-2443, RR-4931, RR-1305, RR-1262, RR-2961, RR-0917, RR-0147, RR-1755, RR-2838, RR-0554, RR-1415, RR-2099, RR-2604, RR-0496, RR-1085, RR-0820,			benefits to agricultural land and the wider farming estate. Whilst there is an environmental and economic cost to importing food, and it is recognised the UK imports a far greater amount of food than it exports, the impact of the Scheme on food import requirements or the food and drinks economy is not material at a national scale and has therefore not been assessed.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1980, RR-4841, RR-1070, RR-0393, RR-3537, RR-0003, RR-4681, RR-2652, RR-3861, RR-2209, RR-2024, RR-0654, RR-0863, RR-4358, RR-0858, RR-4096, RR-0045, RR-4787, RR-0808, RR-0378, RR-2027, RR-4737, RR-0777, RR-0190, RR-2399, RR-0480, RR-0672, RR-0006, RR-4800, RR-3950, RR-0179, RR-0986, RR-4736, RR-3698, RR-2973, RR-4527, RR-3166, RR-4382, RR-4521, RR-2257, RR-3243, RR-1855, RR-3809, RR-3889, RR-4302, RR-3104, RR-2736, RR-0160, RR-1556, RR-1225, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-0728, RR-1427, RR-2368, RR-2417, RR-1726, RR-2087, RR-4778, RR-3788, RR-4555, RR-1439, RR-4335, RR-2161, RR-2464, RR-1208, RR-4662, RR-4745, RR-3392, RR-4621, RR-0900, RR-2527, RR-4530, RR-1475, RR-4160, RR-4780, RR-4332, RR-3366, RR-1976, RR-2215, RR-1660, RR-0928, RR-2268, RR-1970, RR-2434, RR-2214, RR-3099, RR-0831, RR-4902, RR-4734, RR-2410, RR-1335, RR-2016, RR-2338, RR-0082, RR-0987, RR-0490, RR-4872, RR-0330, RR-3249, RR-1787, RR-1007, RR-2598, RR-1267, RR-1719,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1035, RR-0674, RR-3696, RR-3703, RR-4459, RR-1342, RR-2101, RR-4309, RR-4905, RR-1870, RR-3672, RR-2533, RR-3310, RR-2771, RR-1286, RR-1106, RR-2785, RR-2206, RR-2121, RR-2632, RR-4788, RR-1148, RR-0755, RR-2148, RR-1328, RR-1864, RR-2905, RR-1288, RR-0827, RR-3693, RR-4518, RR-4888, RR-3943, RR-0817, RR-0696, RR-0507, RR-3295, RR-3044, RR-4063, RR-0675, RR-1327, RR-3799, RR-0752, RR-2811, RR-1703, RR-3229, RR-2675, RR-3120, RR-0894, RR-1266, RR-1695, RR-0204, RR-2426, RR-3780, RR-3765, RR-4273, RR-1894, RR-2546, RR-3313, RR-4087, RR-2985, RR-4643, RR-1252, RR-1525, RR-0140, RR-1176, RR-4171, RR-0080, RR-1018, RR-1048, RR-4586, RR-1295, RR-0492, RR-2108, RR-3202, RR-4850, RR-4378, RR-0715, RR-3772, RR-4641, RR-1713, RR-1929, RR-3145, RR-4612, RR-1850, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-2020, RR-3207, RR-3699, RR-4006, RR-1614, RR-3285, RR-4321, RR-4950, RR-0454, RR-1212, RR-2291, RR-3016, RR-0414, RR-0475, RR-0310, RR-1397, RR-1393, RR-3264,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4903, RR-1066, RR-3828, RR-1661, RR-0723, RR-3434, RR-0933, RR-2673, RR-3857, RR-1733, RR-2470, RR-1729, RR-0896, RR-2328, RR-1542, RR-3639, RR-3865, RR-0287, RR-2872, RR-1781, RR-1934, RR-1322, RR-1367, RR-3870, RR-1937, RR-1543, RR-1545, RR-2218, RR-0701, RR-0557, RR-4597, RR-4637, RR-3278, RR-2275, RR-1841, RR-4499, RR-2437, RR-1347, RR-1159, RR-3879, RR-3160, RR-2795, RR-0227, RR-1635, RR-2796, RR-1995, RR-0151, RR-3986, RR-1919, RR-0760, RR-3832, RR-0657, RR-0657, RR-0903, RR-0652, RR-3367, RR-0921, RR-0721, RR-1659, RR-1182, RR-1629, RR-3087, RR-0139, RR-1421, RR-2149, RR-1921, RR-2239, RR-2760, RR-1234, RR-2953, RR-1104, RR-1112, RR-3522, RR-3493, RR-2383, RR-0306, RR-4207, RR-1640, RR-3976, RR-1628, RR-2011, RR-3994, RR-0846, RR-3416, RR-2922, RR-3362, RR-0912, RR-1924, RR-0587, RR-4830, RR-1292, RR-3376, RR-3927, RR-3271, RR-0220, RR-0848, RR-0312, RR-0486, RR-0365, RR-1059, RR-0763, RR-2972, RR-1998, RR-3929, RR-0549,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0664, RR-4462, RR-0640, RR-3317, RR-2573, RR-1330, RR-4177, RR-4159, RR-0241, RR-4816, RR-0795, RR-0426, RR-3473, RR-3770, RR-0540, RR-3600, RR-2405, RR-3029, RR-3685, RR-3798, RR-3798, RR-1599, RR-2238, RR-3569, RR-3147, RR-3526, RR-1074, RR-0436, RR-0850, RR-0323, RR-1652, RR-2543, RR-3811, RR-0784, RR-1738, RR-4266, RR-0192, RR-3176, RR-2213, RR-3586, RR-4339, RR-1162, RR-3713, RR-1753, RR-0662, RR-4279, RR-0066, RR-1357, RR-4792, RR-2551, RR-4565, RR-3825, RR-4379, RR-0758, RR-1360, RR-4208, RR-3102, RR-4012, RR-0172, RR-4824, RR-4366, RR-3213, RR-0334, RR-3321, RR-1809, RR-0618, RR-3080, RR-2425, RR-0791, RR-1839, RR-0453, RR-0815, RR-0839, RR-0960, RR-3489, RR-1917, RR-0713, RR-3217, RR-1595, RR-1412, RR-2867, RR-0886, RR-2921, RR-2729, RR-4004, RR-0711, RR-0600, RR-2229, RR-4058, RR-2191, RR-0515, RR-0030, RR-1380, RR-1385, RR-2475, RR-2562, RR-1836, RR-2052, RR-0727, RR-3075, RR-4064, RR-1030, RR-1890, RR-4511, RR-1800,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1154, RR-2858, RR-2159, RR-0089, RR-4341, RR-2228, RR-1185, RR-2648, RR-4918, RR-3647, RR-2799, RR-4410, RR-2886, RR-1050, RR-2155, RR-3275, RR-1734, RR-0149, RR-4488, RR-1821, RR-0533, RR-1289, RR-0835, RR-0619, RR-0980, RR-4868, RR-3924, RR-4539, RR-1199, RR-4802, RR-2881, RR-0028, RR-1042, RR-2644, RR-4408, RR-4247, RR-4033, RR-2761, RR-0697, RR-0599, RR-0737, RR-3318, RR-0989, RR-0268, RR-3241, RR-2980, RR-2685, RR-1648, RR-4703, RR-4104, RR-3532, RR-3767, RR-1227, RR-4154, RR-2977, RR-3965, RR-3406, RR-2508, RR-2235, RR-0253, RR-1586, RR-3539, RR-0704, RR-0667, RR-2693, RR-3139, RR-4502, RR-3769, RR-3255, RR-3817, RR-3683, RR-0367, RR-2855, RR-0218, RR-0782, RR-1892, RR-1681, RR-3984, RR-2740, RR-1067, RR-1718, RR-2432, RR-0394, RR-3803, RR-4403, RR-4416, RR-0967, RR-1801, RR-1301, RR-4818, RR-1621, RR-2738, RR-2395, RR-4832, RR-1429, RR-1878, RR-3045, RR-2684, RR-0457, RR-3839, RR-4311, RR-3577, RR-1537, RR-4627, RR-0892,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3302, RR-1157, RR-1323, RR-3513, RR-0403, RR-4066, RR-2433, RR-4211, RR-4083, RR-3374, RR-2725, RR-0790, RR-3308, RR-0209, RR-0156, RR-0948, RR-4904, RR-4115, RR-3041, RR-0518, RR-3909, RR-2071, RR-4545, RR-2847, RR-0183, RR-4374, RR-1826, RR-1797, RR-0210, RR-2825, RR-4875, RR-1500, RR-4771, RR-4536, RR-2359, RR-4623, RR-2264, RR-3371, RR-1900, RR-2176, RR-2167, RR-0643, RR-4582, RR-2164, RR-2110, RR-2488, RR-2201, RR-0333, RR-0086, RR-0333, RR-3863, RR-4409, RR-4917, RR-0774, RR-3408, RR-3589, RR-4653, RR-1440, RR-1075, RR-3314, RR-4203, RR-0695, RR-4131, RR-0854, RR-4722, RR-4566, RR-1247, RR-1453, RR-0029, RR-3533, RR-2952, RR-3401, RR-4323, RR-2840, RR-0833, RR-3480, RR-0165, RR-1938, RR-0908, RR-3958, RR-3708, RR-2080, RR-0754, RR-3468, RR-4142, RR-3230, RR-4479, RR-2845, RR-0825, RR-0832, RR-0341, RR-0717, RR-0913, RR-0984, RR-1658, RR-1861, RR-2602, RR-2618, RR-2724, RR-3361, RR-3435, RR-3602,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3632, RR-3740, RR-4189, RR-4310, RR-4765, RR-4933			
SA-03	RR-2393, RR-4152	Use of Agricultural Land	Respondent supports the use of the land for the Scheme as much of it is used for livestock which have very high carbon footprints.	The Applicant notes this comment. While this is true, it should be noted that ES Volume 1, Chapter 7: Climate Change [APP-059] has assumed zero emissions from the current land use within the Order Limits to represent a conservative approach. This conservative approach focuses only on new emissions savings without crediting the elimination of emissions from livestock. Additionally, livestock emissions are highly variable and dependent on herd composition, management practices and machinery use amongst others. The methodology avoids a speculative baseline and does not overstate the Scheme benefits.
SA-04	RR-1049, RR-3487	Use of Agricultural Land	Respondents stated that the proposals are in contradiction with NPS EN-3 (2024) requiring the avoidance of agricultural land.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] explain the methodology that has been applied when identifying and evaluating potential sites for the Scheme. This includes how agricultural land has been considered and included within the search for sites, in line with relevant national policy. In particular, paragraph 2.10.31 of NPS EN-3 recognises that <i>“at this scale, it is likely that applicant’s developments will use some agricultural land. Applicants should explain their choice of site, noting</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				<i>the preference for development to be on suitable brownfield, industrial and low and medium grade agricultural land”.</i> In light of this policy, the Applicant's site selection assessment focused initially on lower grade agricultural land. Brownfield sites were also considered. None of the initial sites considered were deemed suitable for the Scheme, and therefore the search was broadened to include for consideration Grade 3 agricultural land together with specific areas of Grade 2 and Grade 1 agricultural land. By adopting this staged approach, the Applicant has sought to limit the use of BMV land within the Scheme, in line with the above policy. Further details can be found in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185]. Table 17.6 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] shows the distribution of Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) Grades within the Solar PV Sites, determined by a soil survey. The majority (66%) of the land within the Solar PV Sites is non-BMV land in Subgrade 3b and Grade 4. The Planning Statement [APP-267] sets out why the use of agricultural land (and particularly BMV) is justified and how the effects of agricultural land should be

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				weighted in the planning balance. Briefly, the use of BMV land is justified because: • The parcels of Grade 2 land on Lime Down E are optimal for solar panels and their inclusion if required to offset loss of locations for solar PV panels in other areas (such as around the Cotswolds National Landscape) • The design of the Solar PV Sites is compatible with some farming practices, such as sheep grazing, which could provide an opportunity to limit the effects on agricultural production during the Scheme's operation; • Mitigation is proposed as set out in the Outline Soil Resources Management Plan [APP-280] to reduce the effects on soils as a result of the Scheme. Whilst the construction of the Scheme is anticipated to result in temporary moderate adverse residual significant effects on soil resources (through construction site activities), there are also anticipated temporary moderate benefits to soil health at decommissioning as a result of removing the land from intensive agricultural practices for the Scheme's lifetime; and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The substantial benefits of the Scheme justify the inclusion of BMV land, including the Scheme's contribution to meeting the urgent need for renewable energy together with other economic and environmental benefits. Therefore, it is considered that the use of agricultural land is policy compliant and the limited use of BMV agricultural land is justified. However, in acknowledgement that the Scheme does require use of some BMV land, it is considered that effects on agricultural land should be afforded moderate negative weight in the planning balance and the Secretary of State will take this into account in its decision making. Overall, it is considered that the substantial benefits of the Scheme outweigh the negatives and that the balance lies firmly in favour of making the development consent order.
SA-05	RR-3783, RR-0909	Agricultural Land Classification	Respondent requests independent verification of Agricultural Land Classification and refuse consent if BMV land exceeds 30% of the developable area.	Agricultural land within the Order Limits has been subject to Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) and soil survey work, undertaken by an experienced practitioner in accordance with the established guidelines for classifying agricultural land, re-issued by Defra and the Welsh Government in 2025 , and guidance from Natural England , and also following consultation with Natural England. The

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				survey methodology and results, including the extent of land within each ALC grade, are set out in ES Volume 3, Appendix 17-1: Agricultural Land Classification and Soil Resource Survey Report [APP-243]. The assessment identifies that approximately one-third of the agricultural land within the Scheme is classified as Best and Most Versatile (BMV) agricultural land, predominantly Subgrade 3a, which is the lowest of the BMV grades. This information has informed the site selection, design and assessment of effects, as reported in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Site Selection [APP-056] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069]. Neither national planning policy nor the relevant National Policy Statements preclude the use of BMV agricultural land for development. The assessment of effects on agricultural land therefore considers the significance of the effects and the balance of considerations in accordance with policy, rather than assuming the exclusion of land classified as Grade 3a or above.
SA-06	RR-1954, RR-0909, RR-1397	Cable Corridor	Respondents expressed concern that the underground cable corridor would disrupt agricultural practices and/or	The assessment of effects on agricultural land and farming operations, including temporary land take associated with the cable route, is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			adversely affect farming operations.	[APP-069] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068], with detailed construction methodology set out in ES Volume 3, Appendix 3-2: Cable Route Construction Method Statement [APP-183]. The cable trench required for installation of underground cables would have a maximum anticipated width of approximately 7 metres (including separation distances) for interconnecting cables and a maximum trench width of 1.7 m for the Grid Connection Cable. Disturbance associated with cable installation would be short-term and localised, and following reinstatement, agricultural operations within the affected fields would be able to recommence. Where fields have been bisected, working practices around cable laying works should allow for access to be maintained to both sides of the Cable Route Corridor to allow for some grazing to be continued even during construction. Accordingly, land take associated with cable installation would not permanently remove the affected land from agricultural use, and the extent and duration of disturbance have been assessed as limited. Furthermore, the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				commits to the reinstatement of land affected by cabling works as soon as practicable to allow for agricultural use of the land to recommence as soon as construction of that section of cable route is complete, and this is secured by Schedule 2, Requirement 13 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. The effects of the Scheme on soils and agricultural land, including those associated with the installation of underground cabling, are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069], with supporting information provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 17-1: Agricultural Land Classification and Soil Resource Survey Report [APP-243]. Soils affected by cable installation will be managed in accordance with the principles set out in the Outline Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) [APP-280]. The Outline SRMP identifies appropriate soil handling windows with regard to rainfall and soil moisture conditions and sets out best-practice methods for handling, storage and replacement of soils to minimise the risk of damage and compaction. The detailed SRMP is secured by Schedule 2, Requirement 17 of the Draft DCO [APP-016]. Following installation of the cabling, soils will be

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				replaced promptly within the cable trenches, ensuring agricultural land is reinstated after only a short-term disturbance.
SA-07	RR-3931	Decommissioning Plans	Respondent stated that the land will not be restored back to agricultural use after the Scheme is decommissioned because of contamination from the equipment.	The baseline condition of soils across the Site has been established through borehole and soil pit investigations undertaken by a suitably qualified soil scientist, as reported in ES Volume 3, Appendix 17-1: Agricultural Land Classification and Soil Resource Survey Report [APP-243]. This information forms the pre-condition baseline against which soil reinstatement following decommissioning will be assessed. A detailed Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) will be prepared post-consent and will be in substantial accordance with the Outline SRMP [APP-280], as secured by Schedule 2 Requirement 17 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. The detailed SRMP will incorporate the existing borehole and soil pit data as baseline reference information for reinstatement of the land. The Outline SRMP [APP-280] confirms that soil protection measures will also be relevant during decommissioning, subject to good practice at the time. The detailed SRMP will include prescriptions for restoration methods, amelioration measures and monitoring.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The materials used in the Scheme will be specified to meet appropriate industry and regulatory standards regarding the potential to contaminate soils and other valuable receptors. Decommissioning will be undertaken in line with best practice and regulation at the time and the approach is presented in the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] .
SA-08	RR-2460	Agricultural Land Classification	Respondent notes that the land is classified as Grade 3 agricultural land, and that the Applicant proposes ongoing habitat management through grazing and cutting. They stated that this approach ensures that the Site will continue to support agricultural use alongside energy generation, rather than being removed entirely from productive use.	As detailed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] , Section 3.5, whilst grazing of livestock (sheep) beneath the solar panels may be possible, it is assumed as a worst-case that vegetation would be managed with machinery. Grazing has not been relied upon as a mitigation measure within the assessment of effects on soils and agricultural land set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] . Where grazing can be undertaken, this would aid the retention of agricultural use of the land and relevant agricultural employment. In either circumstance, the Applicant is committed to supporting the retention or movement of agricultural employment affected by the Scheme. This is set out through the Outline Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan (SSCEP) [APP-285] and secured by Requirement 18 of Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] .

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
SA-09	RR-1070, RR-4164, RR-1728, RR-1294, RR-3497, RR-3976, RR-4792	Local Government Policy	Respondent expressed that the Scheme contradicts Wiltshire Core Strategy Core Policy 42 and 86, NPS EN-1, and/or NPPF which emphasise the avoidance of greenfield and agricultural land.	The Planning Statement [APP-267] evaluates the Scheme against the relevant national and local planning policy. The Scheme's compliance with Wiltshire Core Policies 42 and 86 can be viewed in Annex B and compliance with the NPSs and NPPF can be found at Annex A of the Planning Statement [APP-267] and the Scheme is considered to be compliant with both Core Policies 42 and 86. ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185] explain the methodology that has been applied when identifying and evaluating potential sites for the Scheme. This includes how agricultural land has been considered and included within the search for sites, in line with relevant national policy. In particular, paragraph 2.10.31 of NPS EN-3 recognises that "at this scale, it is likely that applicant's developments will use some agricultural land. Applicants should explain their choice of site, noting the preference for development to be on suitable brownfield, industrial and low and medium grade agricultural land". In light of this policy, the Applicant's site selection assessment focused initially on lower grade agricultural land. Brownfield

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				sites were also considered. None of the initial sites considered were deemed suitable for the Scheme, and therefore the search was broadened to include for consideration Grade 3 agricultural land together with specific areas of Grade 2 and Grade 1 agricultural land. By adopting this staged approach, the Applicant has sought to limit the use of BMV land within the Scheme, in line with the above policy. Further details can be found in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185]. Table 17.6 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] shows the areas of each Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) Grade within the Solar PV Sites, determined by a detailed soil survey. The majority (66%) of the land within the Solar PV Sites is non-BMV land in Subgrade 3b and Grade 4. The Planning Statement [APP-267] sets out why the use of agricultural land (and particularly BMV) is justified and how the effects of agricultural land should be weighted in the planning balance. Briefly, the use of BMV land is justified because: • The parcels of Grade 2 land on Lime Down E are optimal for solar panels and their inclusion if

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				required to offset loss of locations for solar PV panels in other areas (such as around the Cotswolds National Landscape) • The design of the Solar PV Sites is compatible with some farming practices, such as sheep grazing, which could provide an opportunity to limit the effects on agricultural production during the Scheme's operation; • Mitigation is proposed as set out in the Outline Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) [APP-280] to reduce the effects on soils as a result of the Scheme. Whilst the construction of the Scheme is anticipated to result in temporary moderate adverse residual significant effects on soil resources (through construction site activities), there are also anticipated temporary moderate benefits to soil health at decommissioning as a result of removing the land from intensive agricultural practices for the Scheme's lifetime; and • The substantial benefits of the Scheme justify the inclusion of BMV land, including the Scheme's contribution to meeting the urgent need for renewable energy

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				together with other economic and environmental benefits. Therefore, it is considered that the use of agricultural land is policy compliant and the limited use of BMV agricultural land is justified. However, in acknowledgement that the Scheme does require use of some BMV land, it is considered that effects on agricultural land should be afforded moderate negative weight in the planning balance and the Secretary of State will take this into account in its decision making. Overall, it is considered that the substantial benefits of the Scheme outweigh the negatives and that the balance lies firmly in favour of making the development consent order.
SA-10	RR-0359	Food Security	Respondent noted that the All-Party Report of Science and Technology said that if UK continues to prioritise net zero and house building over food production then UK will lose 1/3 of its domestic food production by 2050. Tim Lang, in his response to The National Food Strategy 2022 has highlighted the UK's over reliance on imports and vulnerability to shock and crisis.	The All-Party Parliamentary Group on Science and Technology in Agriculture also acknowledges that “<u>a vibrant agri-tech sector is key to UK and global food security, climate mitigation and Net Zero,</u>” and that “<u>in the UK, a fundamental issue is land use and competition for land, highlighting the imperative to increase and optimise productivity on a smaller footprint of farmland so releasing other land for other uses.</u>” Government-led agricultural initiatives in recent years, including the <u>Sustainable Farming Incentive</u> which is re-launching in June 2026, have prioritised soil health, environmental enhancement and climate

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				resilience, recognising that long-term food security is influenced by a range of factors beyond land take alone, including soil condition, climate change and environmental pressures. The Scheme allows soils to remain in situ and undisturbed during operation, supporting soil recovery and long-term land health, as noted in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan [APP-283] , secured by Schedule 2, Requirement 7 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , and detailed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] , Section 17.10, which notes that soil health, quality and structure are expected to improve when left undisturbed under long-term grassland.
SA-11	RR-1892	Agricultural Land Classification	Respondent states that ALC land grading needs to be verified by an independent authority.	Agricultural land within the Order Limits has been subject to Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) and soil survey work, undertaken by an experienced practitioner in accordance with published guidance and following consultation with Natural England. The full survey methodology and results are set out in ES Volume 3, Appendix 17-1: Agricultural Land Classification and Soil Resource Survey Report [APP-243] .
SA-12	RR-4149, RR-2366, RR-0607, RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-3796, RR-2486, RR-1244, RR-3760, RR-0909, RR-1685, RR-2579, RR-2096, RR-1294, RR-3635,	Sheep Grazing	Respondents expressed dissatisfaction that sheep will not graze at the site and / or scepticism that sheep will graze at the site.	The assessment of effects of the Scheme on agriculture in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] recognises that agricultural production beneath the solar panels would not be the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0894, RR-3370, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-3317, RR-3569, RR-2580, RR-3413, RR-1753, RR-4792, RR-1766, RR-2327		Some respondents noted that consultation literature which included reference to sheep grazing and / or imagery of sheep was misleading.	primary land use during the operational phase. For the purposes of the Environmental Impact Assessment, the Scheme has been assessed on a conservative basis assuming that grassland within the Solar PV Sites will be managed through mechanical cutting rather than relying on grazing. This ensures that the assessment does not depend on livestock grazing to mitigate agricultural effects. However, ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] also identifies that there is potential for some agricultural use to resume during operation if land beneath the panels is grazed by livestock, including sheep. Specifically, the ES notes that during the operational lifetime of the Scheme <i>"some agricultural use may resume within the Solar PV Sites if the area beneath the panels is grazed by livestock"</i>. Accordingly, sheep grazing is a potential future land management option rather than a guaranteed or required component of the Scheme. The Applicant has therefore not relied on sheep grazing in the Environmental Impact Assessment, and the Scheme assumes mechanical grassland management alone.
SA-13	RR-0805, RR-4772, RR-3104, RR-4043, RR-4015, RR-1015, AS-010, RR-4933	Sheep Grazing	Respondent expressed general support for	The Applicant notes this comment.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			incorporating a form of agri-solar in the Scheme.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] identifies that there is potential for some agricultural use to resume during operation if land beneath the panels is grazed by livestock, including sheep. Specifically, the ES notes that during the operational lifetime of the Scheme "some agricultural use may resume within the Solar PV Sites if the area beneath the panels is grazed by livestock".
SA-14	RR-0378	Livestock	Respondent expressed concern about the Scheme's impact on lambing.	A majority of the agricultural land within the Order Limits is under arable use, as noted in ES Volume 3, Appendix 17-1 Agricultural Land Classification and Soil Resource Survey Report [APP-243]. As no sheep are typically present, there will be no implications of the Scheme for lambing under current conditions. ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] identifies that there is potential for some agricultural use to resume during operation if land beneath the panels is grazed by livestock, including sheep. Due to management practices, it is extremely unlikely that sheep used for grazing beneath panels would be in lamb. In the event that they are, sheep often seek isolation and shelter when lambing, which solar panels would provide.
SA-15	RR-0240	Livestock	Respondent expressed that sheep should not graze on the land as the local area tends to	The assessment of effects on agriculture in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] recognises that agricultural production beneath the solar

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			have arable crops, cows and horses instead.	panels would not be the primary land use during the operational phase. For the purposes of the Environmental Impact Assessment, the Scheme has been assessed on a conservative basis assuming that grassland within the Solar PV Sites will be managed through mechanical cutting rather than relying on grazing. This ensures that the assessment does not depend on livestock grazing to mitigate agricultural effects. However, ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] also identifies that there is potential for some agricultural use to resume during operation if land beneath the panels is grazed by livestock, including sheep. Specifically, the ES notes that during the operational lifetime of the Scheme "some agricultural use may resume within the Solar PV Sites if the area beneath the panels is grazed by livestock". Sheep are the most common livestock animal utilised for beneath-panel grazing due to management practices. Using cattle or horses presents other welfare constraints such as transport and monitoring.
SA-16	RR-1616, RR-4604, RR-0648, RR-4677, RR-3335, RR-3584, RR-2963, RR-2476, RR-2184, RR-4951, RR-0379, RR-1812,	Soils	Respondents expressed general concern for the Scheme's impact to soil health and land quality.	An assessment of the effects of the Scheme on soils and agricultural land quality is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4844, RR-2578, RR-4074, RR-1527, RR-4908, RR-1243, RR-4819, RR-3858, RR-4784, RR-2655, RR-4447, RR-2457, RR-3228, RR-2084, RR-2158, RR-4257, RR-2927, RR-4548, RR-3872, RR-2889, RR-2356, RR-1458, RR-1090, RR-1872, RR-1803, RR-4699, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-1741, RR-2140, RR-2068, RR-2582, RR-0199, RR-0604, RR-1817, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-4111, RR-0328, RR-4098, RR-0328, RR-0483, RR-3590, RR-2347, RR-2139, RR-3358, RR-2506, RR-1107, RR-0027, RR-4877, RR-2486, RR-0022, RR-1054, RR-2555, RR-3997, RR-4544, RR-3760, RR-0481, RR-4690, RR-4735, RR-3783, RR-3842, RR-4146, RR-4583, RR-3759, RR-3915, RR-2483, RR-1253, RR-0470, RR-2680, RR-3931, RR-4165, RR-2230, RR-0424, RR-4178, RR-4581, RR-4269, RR-1277, RR-1557, RR-1060, RR-1546, RR-4271, RR-1822, RR-3331, RR-1155, RR-2177, RR-1780, RR-4144, RR-0807, RR-0786, RR-2702, RR-3182, RR-2767, RR-2961, RR-0147, RR-1173, RR-1436, RR-1749, RR-0830, RR-1444, RR-0003, RR-2550, RR-4681, RR-3705, RR-4813,			069]. This chapter considers baseline soil conditions and agricultural land quality and assesses the likely significant effects arising from construction, operation, maintenance and decommissioning of the Scheme. The assessment identifies appropriate embedded mitigation measures to avoid, reduce and manage effects on soils and land quality, such as by siting access tracks and compounds on the lowest quality suitable land available, and through the implementation of best practice measures for handling, storage and reinstatement of soils, as set out in the Outline Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) [APP-280], which is secured via Schedule 2, Requirement 17 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. The SRMP provides the framework for detailed soil management methods to be implemented during construction, operation and decommissioning, ensuring that soil structure, quality and function are protected and restored. The assessment in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] concludes that the removal of land from intensive arable cultivation during the operational phase is expected to lead to improvements in soil health, quality and structure. This results in a temporary, moderate beneficial effect on soil

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0654, RR-0378, RR-2150, RR-1563, RR-2025, RR-4827, RR-0802, RR-2321, RR-4356, RR-3104, RR-0042, RR-1269, RR-0728, RR-4924, RR-2605, RR-3445, RR-2764, RR-4778, RR-1439, RR-2464, RR-2527, RR-4530, RR-3878, RR-2215, RR-2268, RR-1970, RR-2741, RR-4059, RR-3775, RR-2199, RR-0731, RR-2879, RR-0987, RR-0041, RR-0934, RR-4050, RR-1035, RR-0895, RR-1612, RR-2132, RR-2179, RR-1106, RR-4762, RR-0702, RR-4957, RR-1304, RR-4847, RR-0500, RR-0817, RR-0675, RR-2791, RR-3877, RR-0894, RR-1447, RR-0670, RR-3765, RR-4094, RR-0410, RR-4643, RR-1252, RR-0584, RR-0733, RR-0932, RR-1713, RR-3923, RR-3699, RR-0729, RR-4044, RR-3071, RR-1934, RR-4927, RR-0812, RR-4499, RR-3852, RR-1034, RR-1635, RR-4174, RR-3697, RR-3676, RR-0657, RR-3367, RR-0921, RR-0721, RR-0495, RR-1182, RR-3582, RR-1935, RR-2239, RR-2105, RR-2665, RR-0793, RR-4225, RR-2656, RR-4760, RR-0664, RR-4177, RR-0540, RR-3806, RR-4547, RR-3600, RR-3685, RR-4026, RR-1657, RR-1647, RR-1599,			resources during the operational and decommissioning phases of the Scheme, which is assessed as significant (see ES Volume 1, Chapter 17, Section 17.12 [APP-069]). The Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] details how grassland and other habitat will be established beneath and between the solar panels. The detailed LEMP will be secured through Schedule 2, Requirement 7 of the Draft DCO [APP-016]. Through the establishment and maintenance of long-term grassland beneath and between the solar arrays, soils within the Solar PV Sites are anticipated to improve over the lifetime of the Scheme. This would constitute a low magnitude of change to high sensitivity soils, giving rise to a temporary, moderate beneficial effect on soil resources during the decommissioning phase.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3569, RR-3346, RR-0246, RR-2543, RR-4330, RR-2670, RR-0143, RR-3612, RR-0595, RR-4190, RR-1753, RR-2195, RR-2614, RR-0464, RR-1231, RR-4556, RR-4305, RR-3658, RR-1720, RR-1809, RR-3080, RR-4148, RR-3005, RR-0620, RR-0686, RR-3899, RR-3283, RR-1082, RR-4910, RR-2284, RR-0152, RR-1794, RR-3704, RR-0089, RR-4341, RR-2886, RR-4118, RR-2155, RR-0149, RR-0677, RR-3280, RR-4697, RR-0028, RR-2761, RR-0603, RR-4703, RR-3767, RR-3805, RR-2508, RR-4458, RR-1178, RR-0218, RR-0087, RR-4713, RR-4403, RR-1233, RR-0963, RR-0522, RR-1878, RR-3712, RR-3625, RR-0810, RR-1660, RR-4857, RR-4904, RR-2847, RR-3036, RR-1507, RR-4875, RR-3421, RR-3214, RR-1201, RR-3649, RR-2110, RR-0086, RR-4203, RR-1498, RR-2840, RR-0165, RR-4533, RR-2053, RR-3306, RR-3708, RR-1366, RR-2845, RR-1658, RR-1947, RR-2618, RR-3592, RR-363			
SA-17	RR-1122, RR-3660, RR-4043	Soils	Respondent stated that the proposals will benefit the soil quality.	The Applicant notes this comment.
SA-18	RR-3824	Soils	Respondent stated that the soil underneath the panels is	The baseline condition of soils across the Panel Areas has been established through

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			thin chalk, which does not recover easily if damaged.	borehole and soil pit investigations undertaken by a suitably qualified soil scientist, as reported in ES Volume 3, Appendix 17-1: Agricultural Land Classification and Soil Resource Survey Report [APP-243]. One of the two major soil types identified comprises topsoil and upper subsoil overlying fractured limestone bedrock, not chalk. The other major soil type comprises deep clay. Measures to control soil handling, storage and reinstatement are set out in the Outline Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) [APP-280], which is secured by Schedule 2, Requirement 17 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. The SRMP provides the framework for detailed soil management methods to be implemented during construction, operation and decommissioning, ensuring that soil structure, quality and function are protected and restored.
SA-19	RR-3931	Soils	Respondent asked whether there have been any studies to prove that solar parks do not cause contamination to soil over a long period.	The Applicant is not aware of any specific studies into long-term contamination associated with solar parks. Measures to protect the environment during the construction phase are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] which is secured by Schedule 2, Requirement 13 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO)

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				[APP-016]. Measures include compiling records of condition of the land and soil in construction areas in order to determine that reinstated soils achieve their pre-construction condition, and ensuring that construction staff have sufficient training to manage risks associated with the storage and use of substances such as diesel fuel. Where accidental releases of potentially contaminative substances occur, robust measures will be in place to manage those releases. The presence of existing contamination is considered within ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions & Contamination [APP-071] and associated technical studies, and where existing contamination is encountered, it will be removed or remediated creating beneficial impacts.
SA-20	RR-4271, RR-4790	Soils	Respondent expressed concern regarding the potential contamination of soil.	Measures to manage and respond to pollution incidents will be set out in the detailed Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) and the detailed Decommissioning Strategy. This includes measures to control fugitive emissions, ensure the correct storage of fuels and other liquids required in construction and the correct handling of wastes. It also outlines measures to be taken where unexpected historical contamination is identified, creating potential betterment of ground conditions. These documents will be prepared in accordance with the Outline Construction

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278] and Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279]. The preparation and implementation of these management plans are secured through Schedule 2, Requirement 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016].
SA-21	RR-2652	Soils	Respondent does not believe adequate assessment has been conducted on the Scheme's impact on the soil microbiome.	As noted in Section 17.10 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069], a majority of the soils in the Panel Areas will remain undisturbed, with construction methods primarily involving driving piles into the ground without a need for excavation for foundations. Most of the disturbance to the soil resource, and therefore the soil microbiome, would be localised to areas of access tracks, substations and compounds, not beneath or between solar panels. As the disturbance is very limited, there is not anticipated to be any effect on the soil microbiome from the installation of the Scheme.
SA-22	RR-1318	Soils	Respondent stated that the surface of the land is made up by the outwash of the last ice age, causing instability, unpredictability and delay.	The baseline condition of soils across the Panel Areas has been established through borehole and soil pit investigations undertaken by a suitably qualified soil scientist, as reported in ES Volume 3, Appendix 17-1: Agricultural Land Classification and Soil Resource Survey Report [APP-243].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The survey data confirm the presence of two main soil types across the Order Limits: brashy limestone soils, and deep clay soils. No evidence of structural instability in the soil was identified in the survey work undertaken.
SA-23	RR-1252	Soils	Respondent expressed concern that herbicide contamination will impact the soil.	The assessment on soils and agricultural land set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] confirms that land would be removed from arable rotation for the operational life of the Scheme, noted in ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] to be approximately 60 years. As the primary agricultural use would cease during this period, the necessity for intensive input would also reduce while the soil resource would be retained and would continue to perform its ecological functions. The assessment notes that reduced disturbance associated with the Scheme allows soils to recover from previous intensive agricultural use, with benefits to soil structure and soil biology over time. The use of herbicides is further discussed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] which notes that herbicide use will be kept to a minimum, and that weeds will be controlled by hand-pulling where possible, or by spot treatments of herbicides where weeds are more widespread. The Landscape and Ecological Management Plan is secured by Schedule 2,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Requirement 7 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] . The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed LEMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283] , will ensure the sensitive approach to herbicide use at the operational Scheme will be adopted.
SA-24	RR-3805	Soils	Respondent requested information regarding the correct management and storage of topsoil.	The methods for management of soil resources, including storage of topsoil, are outlined in the Outline Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) [APP-280] . The principles will be further developed in the detailed SRMP, which will be secured under Schedule 2, Requirement 17 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] .
SA-25	RR-3720	Livestock	Respondent stated that broken glass from the panels will make the ground unsuitable for livestock in the future.	As set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] , the solar panels are constructed of toughened glass. Broken glass in panels is therefore not anticipated to be common. As set out within the Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278] , any damaged Solar PV Panels would be removed and recycled as far as practical. Requirement 14 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that prior to the operation of the Scheme, an OEMP must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that OEMP must be substantially in accordance with the Outline OEMP [APP-278] .

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Measures to prevent and respond to pollution incidents during construction are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]. This includes construction-related mitigation measures to protect soils from contamination, such as procedures for dealing with fuel spills and ground contamination, including the provision of spill kits on site and the implementation of an Emergency Spillage Plan. Further detail on measures to protect soils from contamination will be provided within the detailed CEMP, which will be prepared post-consent and will be required to be in substantial accordance with the Outline CEMP.
SA-26	RR-1315	National Policy	Respondent stated that there are also no plans to manage the construction environmental damage, this is to be finalised after approval which is contrary to NPPF 15 Ground conditions and pollution.	Measures to protect the environment during the construction phase are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]. This includes potential contamination from construction activities such as correct fuel storage and distribution, minimising the risk of uncontrolled release of such substances. Section 196 of the National Planning Policy Framework requires development to ensure that the <i>"site is suitable for its proposed use taking account of ground conditions and any risks arising from land instability and contamination"</i>. This has

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				been done through Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071] and associated technical studies and as such, the Applicant is confident that the planned development complies with the requirements of the NPPF
SA-27	RR-0282	Cable Corridor	Respondent enquired "What damage will be done to my land for cable laying . Can I request additional remedial work to be done as part of any agreements".	The effects of the Scheme on soils and agricultural land, including those associated with the installation of underground cabling, are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069], with supporting information provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 17-1: Agricultural Land Classification and Soil Resource Survey Report [APP-243]. The management of soil and land resources during cable installation will be undertaken in accordance with the principles set out in the Outline Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) [APP-280]. Cable installation will result in short-term and temporary disturbance to soils and agricultural land within the Cable Route Corridor. The assessment concludes that, with the appropriate soil handling and reinstatement measures set out in the SRMP framework, as secured by Requirement 17 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], land would be restored and returned to agricultural use following completion of the works.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Outline SRMP [APP-280] also confirms in Section 1.8 that the timing and management of soils reinstated over cables will be subject to agreement with the landowner.
SA-28	AS-008	Agricultural Land Use	Respondent stated that the site selected for the Scheme is not empty or degraded landscape. For example, the respondent noted that some farmers in the area have adopted sustainable and/or regenerative farming practices, including diversifying crops, planting hedgerows and improving soil quality, which they stated has increased yields and enhanced land management.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] includes an assessment of the existing baseline soil conditions within the Scheme, including ALC grades based on site-specific surveys. This shows that approximately 240 ha of land within the Solar PV Sites is classified as BMV quality, mostly in Subgrade 3a with a small proportion of Grade 2, and around 477 ha (67%) as Subgrade 3b and Grade 4 which is not BMV land. ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] notes that improvements to soil health are anticipated to occur over the lifetime of the Scheme. The Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283], secured by Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], notes that anticipated benefits in terms of ecosystem services include an increase in water quality as a result of the cessation of fertilisation and herbicide spraying as well as an increase in soil health as a result of the cessation of ploughing. The Outline LEMP also includes management prescriptions for existing and new hedgerows.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Outline Soil Resource Management Plan (SRMP) [APP-280] , secured by Requirement 17 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016] describes best practice measures that will be implemented to minimise the effects of construction on soil structures and quality.
SA-29	PDA-019	Agricultural Land Support	Respondent stated that the small loss of agricultural land is an acceptable cost when compared to the wider benefits of the project.	The Applicant welcomes support for the Scheme and notes the comments made. The Applicant has assessed the loss of agricultural land in ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069] (moderate adverse residual significant effect) and taken the anticipated effects into account in the planning balance applied in the Planning Statement [APP-267] (with a moderate negative weight attributed). The Applicant has assessed the likely economic impact to agriculture as a result of the Scheme, along with the likely employment benefits of the Scheme in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068]. The Applicant confirms that land agreements are being made with landowners who have their own contractual arrangements with tenant farmers. This may therefore involve the termination of agricultural tenancies at the discretion of the landowner. The Applicant is however cognisant of the impact this may have and so seeks to

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				source ways of retaining agricultural workers, providing reskilling opportunities, or providing re-employment as supported by the measures secured in the Outline Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan (SSCEP) [APP-285] . This is secured by Requirement 18 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] .

2.8 Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage

Table 2-8

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
H-01	RR-4817, RR-2237, RR-0440, RR-0478, RR-4493, RR-4604, RR-1462, RR-0648, RR-1736, RR-4138, RR-4221, RR-3220, RR-1218, RR-4764, RR-1582, RR-1580, RR-1398, RR-3074, RR-0231, RR-3565, RR-2860, RR-3078, RR-3335, RR-1424, RR-4072, RR-3510, RR-4594, RR-3454, RR-0150, RR-4607, RR-1332, RR-1186, RR-3936, RR-2184, RR-1358, RR-0880, RR-0544, RR-4069, RR-2111, RR-4951, RR-2202, RR-2631, RR-0421, RR-1504, RR-3161, RR-1687, RR-0428, RR-0878, RR-4346, RR-2970, RR-4074, RR-1527, RR-4773, RR-4318, RR-4908, RR-4747, RR-2748, RR-3836, RR-3199, RR-3548, RR-0119, RR-2157, RR-4819, RR-3858, RR-3031, RR-3002, RR-3228, RR-2348, RR-1136, RR-2659, RR-3067, RR-4746, RR-2790, RR-4441, RR-0115, RR-0955, RR-0952, RR-3073, RR-2944, RR-1957, RR-3745, RR-1073, RR-2686, RR-1457, RR-1089, RR-3009, RR-0076,	Flood Risk	Respondents expressed concern for increased flood risk / flooding. Some respondents expressed concern for water-run off increasing flood risk / flooding. Some respondents noted that the area is already prone to flooding. Some respondents expressed that flood risk has not been adequately considered.	An assessment of the effects of the Scheme on flood risk both within the Site and the surrounding area is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], with supporting information provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218]. The assessment concludes that, with the embedded mitigation measures secured, the Scheme would not result in a material increase in off-site flood risk, including to adjacent communities and downstream receptors, and that any on-site flood risk would be managed such that the Scheme remains safe and operable for its lifetime. The assessment has been prepared in accordance with the Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (EN-1) and the National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3), together with national policy and best practice guidance and in consultation with the Environment Agency and Lead Local Flood Authority and is set out in the relevant Statements of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2916, RR-2904, RR-3932, RR-4636, RR-1003, RR-4548, RR-4912, RR-3872, RR-3687, RR-2661, RR-0753, RR-0225, RR-4275, RR-0298, RR-3297, RR-1134, RR-2798, RR-4334, RR-2450, RR-0372, RR-0395, RR-2356, RR-2287, RR-2124, RR-1458, RR-1969, RR-3722, RR-4317, RR-2413, RR-1352, RR-3246, RR-0366, RR-4128, RR-2818, RR-4216, RR-2207, RR-2979, RR-2854, RR-3895, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-2856, RR-3003, RR-4473, RR-3796, RR-4692, RR-2681, RR-0725, RR-3292, RR-4866, RR-0199, RR-0604, RR-1668, RR-3175, RR-1317, RR-2463, RR-2276, RR-2309, RR-2978, RR-0404, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-4262, RR-1455, RR-0255, RR-0467, RR-0324, RR-1571, RR-2894, RR-1278, RR-1569, RR-4098, RR-0328, RR-4739, RR-3869, RR-4200, RR-0633, RR-1519, RR-4126, RR-3911, RR-4204, RR-0071, RR-3818, RR-2506, RR-3653, RR-1597, RR-2547, RR-1624, RR-0919, RR-0445, RR-2144, RR-2958, RR-3288, RR-3064, RR-0212, RR-1792, RR-1107, RR-3364, RR-1306, RR-0321, RR-1420, RR-4466, RR-0271, RR-4822, RR-4478,			The Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] has been developed in accordance with EN-1 and EN-3, to control construction-phase risks and ensure there is no material increase in off-site flood risk. In accordance with the National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3) (paragraph 2.10.76). Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that no part of the authorised development can commence until a CEMP is submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The CEMP must be substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP, and all construction works must be carried out in accordance with the approved CEMP, thereby securing the mitigation measures relied upon in the assessment. Regarding specific concerns that panels will increase flooding, the submitted assessment presented in ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy - Lime Down Covering Report [APP-210] reflects established hydrological evidence that the addition of solar panels over a vegetated field does not materially increase runoff volumes, peak discharges or response

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0022, RR-1054, RR-2831, RR-0215, RR-3604, RR-0636, RR-4700, RR-2029, RR-2411, RR-3758, RR-4112, RR-0009, RR-1346, RR-4084, RR-1752, RR-1114, RR-0277, RR-2400, RR-1994, RR-3792, RR-3820, RR-1151, RR-3095, RR-4775, RR-2636, RR-1748, RR-1152, RR-1121, RR-3760, RR-1061, RR-0481, RR-2136, RR-4187, RR-2289, RR-0871, RR-3962, RR-1200, RR-0118, RR-3966, RR-4956, RR-3544, RR-4145, RR-1036, RR-4690, RR-4735, RR-1078, RR-4101, RR-3783, RR-2975, RR-3626, RR-1996, RR-1656, RR-0767, RR-4873, RR-4731, RR-3108, RR-1344, RR-4404, RR-4146, RR-4583, RR-4491, RR-0280, RR-3906, RR-3515, RR-3597, RR-4252, RR-4694, RR-0801, RR-3206, RR-0090, RR-3933, RR-3630, RR-4777, RR-3121, RR-1253, RR-4580, RR-3281, RR-4338, RR-3904, RR-2817, RR-2859, RR-4444, RR-0765, RR-0551, RR-4684, RR-2208, RR-2230, RR-3856, RR-1281, RR-3945, RR-4178, RR-0135, RR-0351, RR-1673, RR-3580, RR-2498, RR-3717, RR-3883, RR-1277, RR-0181, RR-3957, RR-0069, RR-2249, RR-3907, RR-1953,			times, and that changes in hydrologic response are primarily associated with alterations to ground cover beneath the panels rather than the panels themselves. Panelled areas are therefore designed so rainfall continues to drain to ground, with no creation of extensive impermeable surfaces and with controls in place to avoid any increase in discharge to watercourses. Measures to manage surface water runoff and drainage are set out in the outline drainage and construction management plans (ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] and Outline CEMP [APP-277]) accompanying the Application. Where drainage measures are necessary, these include permeable surfacing, infiltration features, swales and attenuation storage to manage surface water and maintain greenfield runoff behaviour, as applicable. Consistent with NPS EN-3 paragraph 2.10.84, these controls distinguish between solar PV panel areas, which drain to the existing ground and do not generally give rise to significant drainage effects, and associated infrastructure where runoff controls are required. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed drainage and construction management plans,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2316, RR-2048, RR-1060, RR-1418, RR-2579, RR-1603, RR-0092, RR-0485, RR-1914, RR-2607, RR-0357, RR-4271, RR-0079, RR-1559, RR-3221, RR-2307, RR-0851, RR-2177, RR-2717, RR-2225, RR-1211, RR-4669, RR-4347, RR-3211, RR-2734, RR-4144, RR-3089, RR-0807, RR-4704, RR-4587, RR-1118, RR-0050, RR-1326, RR-1677, RR-3182, RR-2807, RR-1142, RR-2767, RR-2443, RR-2548, RR-1305, RR-2961, RR-2384, RR-0147, RR-3365, RR-1755, RR-2793, RR-1415, RR-2099, RR-2604, RR-0496, RR-4132, RR-1085, RR-1070, RR-1444, RR-1941, RR-0003, RR-2550, RR-4681, RR-0646, RR-4272, RR-1899, RR-4362, RR-4096, RR-4787, RR-0378, RR-0136, RR-4737, RR-2027, RR-2597, RR-2399, RR-2103, RR-0093, RR-0672, RR-0937, RR-4092, RR-1563, RR-2382, RR-1834, RR-1490, RR-4526, RR-4574, RR-2665, RR-3809, RR-4356, RR-2321, RR-0602, RR-1485, RR-2130, RR-4794, RR-3104, RR-0160, RR-2736, RR-0042, RR-1556, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-1225, RR-2606, RR-1726, RR-4465, RR-3445, RR-3666, RR-2087, RR-3788,			substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016]. This secures delivery and maintenance of the drainage strategy for Scheme infrastructure, together with soil and water management controls during construction, ensuring there is no material increase in off-site flood risk over the lifetime of the Scheme. Accordingly, the Applicant considers that flood risk in relation to the Scheme has been robustly and appropriately considered.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4555, RR-0621, RR-1824, RR-1740, RR-4842, RR-3689, RR-4852, RR-4621, RR-4530, RR-0232, RR-3878, RR-2939, RR-0124, RR-1976, RR-2215, RR-0148, RR-2409, RR-2617, RR-2268, RR-0385, RR-4855, RR-3573, RR-3511, RR-2741, RR-3963, RR-4059, RR-0831, RR-4902, RR-3775, RR-2199, RR-0731, RR-0527, RR-2625, RR-3880, RR-3755, RR-0987, RR-4759, RR-2703, RR-0490, RR-0041, RR-4872, RR-0330, RR-1787, RR-0934, RR-3439, RR-1428, RR-3890, RR-3020, RR-2180, RR-2101, RR-4309, RR-4905, RR-3140, RR-2994, RR-3664, RR-0895, RR-2132, RR-1286, RR-1678, RR-0169, RR-0972, RR-4762, RR-1698, RR-2891, RR-4390, RR-4020, RR-2121, RR-0702, RR-2537, RR-4847, RR-1140, RR-2379, RR-0239, RR-4518, RR-4888, RR-3035, RR-2163, RR-4022, RR-4008, RR-1382, RR-1327, RR-2928, RR-0158, RR-0752, RR-2242, RR-2918, RR-2189, RR-0266, RR-2675, RR-0894, RR-3877, RR-1266, RR-1431, RR-3315, RR-0120, RR-3050, RR-2719, RR-1297, RR-4290, RR-0410, RR-0736, RR-3618, RR-4885, RR-4643, RR-4614,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1525, RR-4038, RR-4376, RR-2108, RR-0191, RR-4109, RR-4837, RR-0715, RR-0829, RR-3215, RR-0733, RR-3491, RR-1665, RR-0514, RR-4002, RR-1713, RR-3145, RR-0589, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-1596, RR-3923, RR-0629, RR-0055, RR-0729, RR-3016, RR-2712, RR-3828, RR-0855, RR-0933, RR-0497, RR-3857, RR-3071, RR-2470, RR-3262, RR-2328, RR-1237, RR-1077, RR-2872, RR-1781, RR-4785, RR-1934, RR-4214, RR-4133, RR-2695, RR-3746, RR-1093, RR-4597, RR-1988, RR-1226, RR-4499, RR-2635, RR-2038, RR-1373, RR-1193, RR-2314, RR-4243, RR-1635, RR-4174, RR-2319, RR-1210, RR-3615, RR-3986, RR-1524, RR-3284, RR-1189, RR-1919, RR-3697, RR-3832, RR-3676, RR-2439, RR-0657, RR-0721, RR-1659, RR-1871, RR-3636, RR-3582, RR-2135, RR-2813, RR-3155, RR-0594, RR-2149, RR-4688, RR-4226, RR-0203, RR-2239, RR-2953, RR-0953, RR-2490, RR-4207, RR-3290, RR-1640, RR-1363, RR-2011, RR-3507, RR-0846, RR-1875, RR-2507, RR-1292, RR-3376, RR-3271, RR-4297, RR-2453, RR-1522, RR-3458,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1634, RR-2955, RR-2656, RR-3641, RR-4153, RR-4760, RR-0768, RR-0549, RR-0640, RR-3317, RR-0709, RR-0517, RR-3473, RR-0540, RR-3806, RR-3600, RR-4425, RR-2405, RR-3685, RR-0301, RR-3569, RR-3379, RR-0850, RR-3860, RR-4883, RR-0194, RR-4730, RR-0819, RR-3951, RR-3810, RR-3357, RR-3176, RR-1056, RR-4330, RR-3413, RR-0143, RR-3612, RR-1356, RR-0085, RR-1162, RR-4769, RR-4190, RR-2353, RR-1284, RR-4337, RR-3992, RR-1838, RR-4792, RR-2195, RR-2614, RR-4938, RR-0037, RR-0464, RR-2730, RR-4556, RR-3235, RR-3390, RR-3102, RR-3506, RR-1720, RR-4012, RR-4199, RR-4307, RR-1340, RR-4742, RR-1364, RR-3213, RR-0618, RR-1809, RR-3005, RR-1649, RR-3651, RR-2221, RR-1639, RR-0242, RR-0620, RR-1936, RR-0783, RR-3094, RR-0687, RR-4915, RR-3501, RR-2575, RR-2610, RR-3487, RR-3217, RR-3123, RR-0886, RR-2912, RR-4004, RR-1172, RR-0532, RR-2683, RR-1601, RR-4661, RR-0686, RR-1380, RR-1385, RR-1082, RR-2052, RR-0152, RR-0798, RR-0056, RR-1530, RR-3075,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3704, RR-1890, RR-0269, RR-1154, RR-3189, RR-4341, RR-2648, RR-1002, RR-2886, RR-4118, RR-2822, RR-2155, RR-4056, RR-4672, RR-4488, RR-1289, RR-2267, RR-1804, RR-0677, RR-3280, RR-1445, RR-1199, RR-4616, RR-0028, RR-0116, RR-4825, RR-4408, RR-0599, RR-3085, RR-0989, RR-3209, RR-1631, RR-1648, RR-4703, RR-4104, RR-2495, RR-2197, RR-1818, RR-3767, RR-1857, RR-0144, RR-2977, RR-3910, RR-3805, RR-3406, RR-2508, RR-1476, RR-4458, RR-4748, RR-0667, RR-2693, RR-0354, RR-2067, RR-1178, RR-3817, RR-4858, RR-3356, RR-2003, RR-4713, RR-1194, RR-4403, RR-4416, RR-1338, RR-0967, RR-2501, RR-3601, RR-0694, RR-1621, RR-4007, RR-2738, RR-2542, RR-1470, RR-4818, RR-1727, RR-2853, RR-0963, RR-0522, RR-1483, RR-0065, RR-0329, RR-3494, RR-3045, RR-0340, RR-0992, RR-3839, RR-2797, RR-1537, RR-4627, RR-0892, RR-3014, RR-2491, RR-0614, RR-0630, RR-0810, RR-1323, RR-1660, RR-3513, RR-3920, RR-1758, RR-2725, RR-3374, RR-1701, RR-1638, RR-4285, RR-0821,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1359, RR-4770, RR-1283, RR-0156, RR-3579, RR-2310, RR-3891, RR-4904, RR-2327, RR-0518, RR-4545, RR-2847, RR-4374, RR-3192, RR-0247, RR-1507, RR-4875, RR-1539, RR-4771, RR-4289, RR-2264, RR-1868, RR-2883, RR-2282, RR-4571, RR-0423, RR-2696, RR-1896, RR-1161, RR-2167, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-3460, RR-2937, RR-2584, RR-0511, RR-2557, RR-4535, RR-0643, RR-1923, RR-2077, RR-2110, RR-2301, RR-2777, RR-4779, RR-4070, RR-4955, RR-2488, RR-1848, RR-3502, RR-0086, RR-4917, RR-0774, RR-1016, RR-0371, RR-3562, RR-2576, RR-1453, RR-3098, RR-2997, RR-2663, RR-2840, RR-3603, RR-2515, RR-4948, RR-2053, RR-2102, RR-3056, RR-0754, RR-2192, RR-3230, RR-4479, RR-3979, RR-1098, RR-0623, RR-0717, RR-0984, RR-1658, RR-1840, RR-2602, AS-004, RR-3361, RR-3602, RR-3632, RR-3740, RR-4310			
H-02	RR-0648, RR-3078, RR-3936, RR-3858, RR-3872, RR-2798, RR-1477, RR-0746, RR-0328, RR-0022, RR-3758, RR-3820, RR-1418, RR-1211, RR-0830, RR-0378, RR-2103, RR-1563,	Flood Risk	Respondents expressed concern about soil compaction and / or soil compaction due to water run-off causing increased flooding.	Potential effects relating to soil compaction and surface water runoff are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] , with supporting detail provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9: Flood Risk

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0942, RR-2736, RR-2464, RR-1148, RR-2379, RR-4518, RR-2918, RR-3595, RR-1713, RR-1596, RR-3857, RR-1934, RR-1635, RR-3951, RR-0143, RR-4190, RR-1708, RR-4556, RR-1360, RR-4004, RR-2155, RR-1199, RR-4703, RR-3767, RR-2693, RR-2067, RR-1178, RR-0967, RR-0329, RR-0810, RR-3513, RR-4875, RR-4230, RR-1161, RR-2488, RR-4566, RR-0717, RR-1604			Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218]. The assessment considers how ground disturbance, construction traffic and installation of infrastructure could affect infiltration and runoff, and evaluates the implications for flood risk both within the Site and in surrounding areas. The assessment concludes that, with appropriate mitigation in place, the Scheme would not increase surface water runoff or result in a material increase in flood risk. The Applicant notes that the approach to compaction has been agreed with the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. Soil handling, storage and reinstatement measures to protect soil structure and avoid compaction are set out in the Outline Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) [APP-280], which accompanies the DCO Application. The Outline SRMP includes measures to control construction trafficking, manage soil stripping and storage, and ensure reinstatement of soils following construction, thereby maintaining soil permeability and reducing the potential for compaction-related runoff. Surface water management and construction-phase controls to prevent

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				increased runoff are secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] which include measures to control sediment, runoff and pollution during earthworks and infrastructure installation. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed SRMP and CEMP, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through Requirements 17 and 13 respectively in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that soil compaction is appropriately managed and that runoff and flood risk are not increased during construction or operation of the Scheme.
H-03	RR-4817, RR-2237, RR-2631, RR-0428, RR-4473, RR-3281, RR-4509, RR-1060, RR-0092, RR-1941, RR-2399, RR-2103, RR-1315, RR-0160, RR-0042, RR-2736, RR-0041, RR-1286, RR-4762, RR-2675, RR-2712, RR-3071, RR-3458, RR-4330, RR-3992, RR-3213, RR-1809, RR-1649, RR-4004, RR-0532, RR-4661, RR-2475, RR-2155, RR-3280, RR-0599, RR-2495, RR-3767, RR-1857, RR-3406,	Mitigation Measures	Respondents expressed scepticism that the flooding mitigation measures would be effective.	An assessment of the effects of the Scheme on flood risk, including the effectiveness of proposed mitigation measures, is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], with supporting information contained in ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218]. The assessment has been prepared in accordance with national policy and best practice guidance and in consultation with

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4374, RR-4230, RR-2564, RR-2488			the Environment Agency and Lead Local Flood Authority and is set out in the relevant Statements of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. It concludes that, with the mitigation measures in place, the Scheme would not result in a material increase to flood risk within the Site or to the surrounding area. Measures to manage surface water runoff and drainage are set out in the outline drainage and construction management plans accompanying the Application (ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218]). Consistent with NPS EN-3 paragraph 2.10.84, these controls distinguish between solar PV panel areas, which drain to the existing ground and do not generally give rise to significant drainage effects, and associated infrastructure where runoff controls are required. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed drainage and construction management plans, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. This secures delivery and maintenance of the drainage strategy for Scheme infrastructure, together with soil and water management controls during

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				construction, ensuring there is no material increase in off-site flood risk over the lifetime of the Scheme.
H-04	RR-0648	Flood Risk	Respondent expressed concern that drainage ditches will be compromised under the weight of traffic	The Applicant acknowledges the concern raised regarding potential impacts on drainage ditches from construction traffic. Construction activities and associated environmental controls are addressed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], with management measures secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]. These measures include protection of existing drainage features, appropriate management of construction traffic and reinstatement of any disturbed ground following works. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed CEMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277], is secured through the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that risks associated with construction activities are appropriately managed during construction of the Scheme. With these controls in place, no significant effects on existing drainage infrastructure are anticipated. The Draft DCO [APP-016] includes provisions for liaison with the relevant highway authority and approval of detailed

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				design, including works affecting highway drainage and culverts.
H-05	RR-1398	Flood Risk	Respondent expressed concern for increased flood risk impacting access to properties and emergency vehicle access.	The Applicant acknowledges the concern raised regarding potential increased flood risk affecting property access and emergency vehicle access. The potential effects of the Scheme on hydrology and flood risk have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218]. The assessment concludes that the Scheme would not increase runoff rates or volumes leaving the Site and would therefore not increase flood risk to surrounding land, infrastructure or receptors, including local roads and property access routes. Consequently, no significant effects on access arrangements are anticipated. The Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] includes provisions for liaison with the relevant highway authority and approval of detailed design, including works affecting highway drainage and culverts.
H-06	RR-2184	Statutory Consultee	Respondent states that the Environment Agency's scoping response identified inconsistencies, factual inaccuracies and omissions, stating it was not satisfied with the site description or	The Applicant notes the comment regarding the Environment Agency's scoping response. The flood risk assessment has been prepared taking account of consultation responses received during the scoping stage and is presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11:

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			conclusions, in reference to flooding assessments.	Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] , supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . These documents provide a detailed assessment of baseline flood risk and potential effects of the Scheme and conclude that the development would not increase flood risk within the Site or to surrounding receptors. Ongoing consultation with the Environment Agency continues during Examination and is being captured through the relevant Statement of Common Ground.
H-07	RR-4318	Flood Risk	Respondent stated that national planning policy is clear that development should not increase flood risk elsewhere and must be supported by proportionate, evidence-based assessment which contradicts the application.	The Applicant notes the comment regarding compliance with planning policy on flood risk. The Application is supported by a proportionate and evidence-based assessment presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . These assessments have been prepared in accordance with relevant national and local planning policy and guidance, including the NPPF and associated flood risk guidance, and demonstrate that the Scheme would not increase runoff rates or flood risk elsewhere and is therefore in accordance with policy. The Applicant's position on these matters is also reflected in the relevant Statements of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Applicant also refers to Annex A of the Planning Statement [APP-267] which sets out relevant national policy and explains in detail how the Scheme complies with those policies.
H-08	RR-2990	Maintenance	Respondent stated that without ongoing maintenance to underground drains the fields will return to a non-workable state and would make maintenance of solar panels impossible.	The Applicant acknowledges the concern raised regarding maintenance of existing field drainage. The baseline drainage characteristics and potential effects of the Scheme on land drainage have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218]. The Scheme has been designed to maintain existing drainage pathways and agricultural land management practices across the Site. Operational management of the Solar PV Sites will include routine land management and maintenance activities to ensure the continued functioning of drainage features. Construction-phase drainage and the protection of existing land drainage are addressed within the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]. The Outline CEMP includes a commitment to identify all land drains within the Order Limits prior to construction and to ensure that these are adequately protected during construction activities.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Baseline soil survey work undertaken across the Order Limits, as reported within ES Volume 3, Appendix 17-1: Agricultural Land Classification and Soil Resource Survey Report [APP-243], has identified two principal soil types. One of these comprises shallow brashy soils over limestone, which are naturally well-drained and would not be expected to contain underlying field drainage systems. Construction activities would be undertaken in accordance with best-practice soil handling and management measures set out in the Outline Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) [APP-280], which has been prepared to manage risks to soil structure and quality during periods of higher soil moisture. The measures in the Outline CEMP [APP-277] and Outline SRMP [APP-280] are secured by Requirements 13 and 17 respectively in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016].
H-09	RR-4749	Mitigation Measures	Respondent expressed support for the proposed flood mitigation measures.	The Applicant notes the support expressed regarding the proposed flood mitigation measures. Embedded mitigation measures to manage hydrology and drainage are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 3, Appendix

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				11-1 to 11-9 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218].
H-10	RR-2639	Water Supply	Respondent expressed that it is not clear what impact this project would have on the need for additional water during construction or operational phases and whether this water was planned for within Wessex Water's water resources management plan.	The Applicant acknowledges the concern raised regarding water demand during the construction and operational phases of the Scheme. Water demand has been assessed within the Outline Water Resources Strategy [APP-290] , which identifies anticipated water requirements and potential supply sources. The assessment concludes that the Scheme's water demands are modest and can be met through feasible supply options, including a mains water connection and water tankering, without placing undue pressure on regional water resources.
H-11	RR-3966, RR-3630, RR-3213	Flood Risk	Respondents expressed concern regarding the impact of flooding on roads.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding the potential effects of flooding on local roads. Flood risk associated with the Scheme has been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . The assessment concludes that the Scheme would not increase flood risk on surrounding land or infrastructure, including local roads, and appropriate drainage and surface water management measures are incorporated within the Scheme design.
H-12	RR-3538	Flood Risk	Respondent stated that their solar farm in Regulely	The Applicant notes the respondent's experience that a solar farm elsewhere has not resulted in flooding or impacts to

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			Staffordshire shows no impact on flooding or wildlife.	wildlife. This observation is consistent with the findings of the assessments undertaken for the Scheme. The hydrology and flood risk assessment presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] concludes that, with the embedded mitigation and drainage measures incorporated into the Scheme design, no significant effects on flood risk are anticipated. Potential impacts of the Scheme on ecology and biodiversity are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061]. The assessment concludes that, with embedded and additional mitigation measures in place, no unacceptable significant adverse effects on ecology and biodiversity are anticipated
H-13	RR-3620	Flood Risk	Respondent expressed concern regarding the diversion of water from the solar park during periods of flooding.	The Scheme has been designed so that rainfall continues to drain to ground and existing catchment processes are maintained, as described in ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1: ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy - Lime Down Covering Report [APP-210] and the relevant site-specific Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy appendices [APP-211 to APP-218]. Solar panels are mounted on frames above the ground surface and do not create sealed surfaces that divert floodwater. The approach to surface water management is set out in the ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				1: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy – Lime Down Covering Report [APP-210] , which demonstrates that existing runoff pathways would be maintained and that the Scheme would not divert floodwater to surrounding land during flood events.
H-14	RR-3316, RR-1557, RR-3569, RR-0143, RR-3651, RR-2155, RR-2267, RR-0033, RR-1818, RR-2110, RR-2488, RR-0854, RR-2053	Drainage	Respondents noted that the Scheme could cause drainage issues.	Potential drainage effects have been assessed within ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy appendices [APP-210 to APP-218] . These assessments set out the drainage strategy for the Scheme, including the Lime Down Covering Report and site-specific appendices, and confirm that runoff would be managed appropriately and that existing drainage patterns would be maintained where practicable. The assessments conclude that the Scheme would not give rise to drainage problems on or off-site.
H-15	AS-004	Flood Risk Assessments	Respondents noted that the Sequential Test is materially deficient as it fails to assess alternative sites and whether flood risk could be avoided or reduced.	The Sequential Test has been undertaken in accordance with national planning policy as set out within the Planning Statement [APP-132] , with supporting flood risk considerations provided in the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . The assessment considers the availability of alternative sites and demonstrates that the Scheme layout has been informed by flood risk, with more vulnerable infrastructure located in areas of lowest flood risk where

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				reasonably practicable. The Application therefore demonstrates that flood risk has been appropriately avoided and reduced in line with the sequential approach required by policy.
H-16	RR-1070, RR-0340	Flood Risk Assessments	Respondents expressed that the Applicant has failed to adequately address downstream flood risk.	Downstream flood risk has been appropriately and robustly assessed as part of the hydrology and flood risk assessment presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and the ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy - Lime Down Covering Report [APP-210] . These assessments demonstrate that the Scheme would maintain existing runoff characteristics and would not increase peak flows or runoff volumes to receiving watercourses. On this basis, the Scheme would not increase downstream flood risk.
H-17	RR-2242	Mitigation Measures	Respondent requested that any flood prevention measures should be independently verified.	Flood risk mitigation and drainage measures form part of the Scheme design and are described within the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy appendices [APP-210 to APP-218] . Detailed design and implementation would be subject to review and approval by the relevant authorities through the discharge of requirements process secured by the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , including consultation with the Environment Agency and the Lead Local Flood Authority. This process provides independent scrutiny of the proposed measures, including approval of

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				detailed drainage design and associated mitigation prior to implementation.
H-18	RR-2712, RR-1708, RR-0783, RR-3406	Flood Risk Assessments	Respondents do not believe that adequate flooding surveys have been undertaken.	The flood risk assessment has been undertaken using appropriate data sources and survey information, including topographic information, hydrological data and hydraulic modelling where required. The methodology and results of these assessments are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and the ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy - Lime Down Covering Report [APP-210] , which provides a proportionate and robust evidence base for understanding flood risk at the site.
H-19	RR-1649, RR-0783, RR-3704, RR-0340, RR-0892, RR-2110	Mitigation Measures	Respondents claimed that no flood mitigation measures have been proposed.	Flood mitigation and drainage measures are incorporated into the embedded design of the Scheme and are described in the ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy - Lime Down Covering Report [APP-210] and assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] . The Scheme predominantly retains permeable agricultural land and does not introduce extensive impermeable surfaces. Solar panels are mounted above ground and allow rainfall to continue to infiltrate or drain naturally to the underlying soil. Existing field drains, ditches and overland flow pathways are maintained where practicable, and surface water management measures are incorporated

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				where required to ensure runoff rates remain consistent with existing greenfield conditions. During construction, temporary drainage and good practice measures would be implemented through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] to manage runoff and prevent localised drainage issues. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed CEMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277], is secured through the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that risks associated with construction activities are appropriately managed during construction of the Scheme. Together, these embedded mitigation measures ensure that the Scheme would not increase flood risk on site or elsewhere.
H-20	RR-1818, RR-4230	Flood Risk Assessments	Respondents stated that flood risk has not been adequately assessed as Cotswold Clay, when driven on by heavy plant, becomes impermeable and prone to flash floods.	Local soil conditions, including clay soils which may have reduced infiltration when compacted, have been considered within the hydrological assessment presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] . The Site is currently in active agricultural use and is routinely trafficked by agricultural machinery, with soils frequently subject to tramlines, seasonal cultivation and periods of bare ground between crops. The Scheme would largely retain permeable ground cover and does not introduce

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				extensive impermeable surfaces. Embedded mitigation includes the management and protection of soils during construction, with measures such as controlled vehicle routing, low ground pressure plant where appropriate and reinstatement of disturbed soils secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and the Outline Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) [APP-280] . The measures in the Outline CEMP [APP-277] and Outline SRMP [APP-280] are secured by Requirements 13 and 17 respectively in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] . With these measures in place, the assessment concludes that the Scheme would not increase runoff rates or flood risk.
H-21	RR-2111, RR-1317, RR-2276, RR-0328, RR-3364, RR-2029, RR-4101, RR-3206, RR-4876, RR-3945, RR-1418, RR-1603, RR-0092, RR-0357, RR-4144, RR-1305, RR-1755, RR-2399, RR-1485, RR-3890, RR-3338, RR-2656, RR-3176, RR-1056, RR-4330, RR-1838, RR-1639, RR-2155, RR-3209, RR-3805, RR-3601, RR-0340, RR-3513, RR-3192, RR-0247, RR-4875, RR-2110, RR-2201, RR-0371, RR-0794, RR-1098, RR-1947	Flood Risk	Respondents expressed concern for flooding issues and increased flood risk, for example, in specific areas such as Malmesbury, Corston, Chippenham, Melksham, Bradford on Avon, Sherston, Easton Grey, Hullavington, Anvils Lane, Bradfield, Brookside, Foxley, Norton, Great Somerford.	An assessment of the effects of the Scheme on flood risk within the Site and across the wider catchment is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] , with supporting detail contained in ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . The assessment considers surface water pathways, receiving watercourses and downstream receptors, including settlements and infrastructure. The Flood Risk Assessment specifically

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				considers potential effects on settlements and locations identified by respondents, including Malmesbury, Corston, Chippenham, Melksham, Bradford on Avon, Sherston, Easton Grey, Hullavington, Anvils Lane, Bradfield, Brookside, Foxley, Norton and Great Somerford. The assessment concludes that, with the embedded mitigation and the proposed drainage design in place, there would be no material increase in off-site flood risk, including to downstream communities, roads and other infrastructure. Consistent with NPS EN-3 paragraph 2.10.76, the drainage assessment differentiates between solar PV panel areas, which drain to the existing ground and do not generally give rise to significant drainage effects, and associated infrastructure where runoff controls are required. Where drainage measures are necessary, runoff rates and volumes would be controlled to maintain greenfield runoff behaviour, as applicable, using attenuation, infiltration and controlled discharge measures. Measures to implement and manage surface water controls during construction and operation are set out in the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy appendices [APP-210 to APP-218] and the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				accompanying the Application. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed drainage and construction management plans, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that flood risk mitigation measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
H-22	RR-2184, RR-2084, RR-0328, RR-0071, RR-2958, RR-2029, RR-2520, RR-3945, RR-4144, RR-2399, RR-2103, RR-2101, RR-2379, RR-4499, RR-2697, RR-1601, RR-3899, RR-1890, RR-1818, RR-4285, RR-4770, RR-2201, RR-4070, RR-0794	Flood Risk	Respondents expressed concern about the increase of flood risk to the Gauze Brook.	An assessment of the effects of the Scheme on watercourses and flood risk, including potential impacts on Gauze Brook, is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], with supporting detail contained in ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218]. The Applicant has undertaken site-specific hydraulic modelling of Gauze Brook and is currently engaging with the Environment Agency through the technical review process. This engagement is reflected in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. The Flood Risk Assessment includes site-specific hydraulic modelling of Gauze Brook to understand existing flood behaviour and to assess potential changes

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				arising from the Scheme. The assessment concludes that, with the proposed drainage design and mitigation in place, the Scheme would not increase the rate or volume of runoff entering Gauze Brook compared to pre-development conditions, and would not increase flood risk to downstream watercourses, infrastructure or communities. Measures to control runoff and manage surface water during construction and operation are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed drainage and construction management plans, substantially in accordance with the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring flood risk to Gauze Brook is appropriately managed throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
H-23	RR-4764	Flood Risk	Respondent expressed concern for increased flooding on the Kingsway Bridge.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding flooding at Kingsway Bridge. Flood risk associated with the Scheme has been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218]. These assessments conclude that the Scheme would not increase runoff rates or volumes

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				leaving the Site and therefore would not increase flood risk to surrounding infrastructure, including existing highway crossings such as Kingsway Bridge.
H-24	RR-4161, RR-2138, RR-3933, RR-3907, RR-3035, RR-1639, RR-0515, RR-1840	Flood Risk	Respondents expressed concern for the impact of flooding on Malmesbury. Some respondents expressed concern for the impact of flooding on the Avon Valley area from Sherston Avon through to the river at Bath which can affect Malmesbury.	The Applicant notes the concerns raised regarding potential flooding in Malmesbury and the wider Avon Valley. Catchment hydrology and downstream flood risk have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] , supported by the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . The assessment demonstrates that the Scheme would maintain existing runoff characteristics and would not increase flows to the River Avon catchment. As a result, the Scheme would not increase flood risk within downstream settlements including Malmesbury.
H-25	RR-1003	Flood Risk	Respondent stated that there is a planning contradiction between Malmesbury spending money on flood defence when these proposals will increase flood risk.	The Applicant notes the comment regarding local flood defence investment in Malmesbury. The Scheme has been designed to ensure it does not increase flood risk elsewhere, as required by national planning policy. The findings set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] demonstrate that runoff rates and volumes from the Site would be maintained at existing conditions and therefore the Scheme would not undermine existing or planned flood defences.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
H-26	RR-2287, RR-3577	Flood Risk	Respondent expressed concern regarding the increased flood risk from Bustlers Hill.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding potential increased flood risk associated with Bustlers Hill. Flood risk across the Scheme and surrounding catchments has been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . These assessments demonstrate that the Scheme would not increase runoff rates or alter natural drainage pathways in a way that would increase flood risk to surrounding areas (including Bustlers Hill).
H-27	RR-1192, RR-2399, RR-1485	Flood Risk	Respondent expressed concern for increased flood risk impacting low lying properties along the Bristol Avon area.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding potential impacts on low-lying properties along the Bristol Avon. Downstream flood risk has been assessed within ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] , supported by the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . The assessment demonstrates that the Scheme would maintain existing runoff behaviour and would not increase peak flows entering the wider Avon catchment, and therefore would not increase flood risk to downstream properties.
H-28	RR-2413, RR-4216, RR-4876	Flood Risk	Respondents expressed concern for the impact of the village sewage treatment plant overloading with the potential to become a health hazard.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding the potential for local sewage treatment infrastructure to become overloaded. The Scheme does not discharge surface water into public foul or combined sewer networks. Surface water drainage is managed through local infiltration and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				natural drainage pathways as set out in the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] , and therefore the Scheme would not increase flows to local wastewater treatment infrastructure.
H-29	RR-3895	Flood Risk	Respondent expressed concern for the deep standing water on the road through Norton on a regular basis and the A429 flooding through Corston, closing the road at least once a year. The respondent added that this issue would be exacerbated by the panels.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding existing flooding on roads including through Norton and the A429 at Corston. These locations are affected by existing hydrological and drainage conditions within the wider catchment. The hydrological assessment presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] demonstrates that the Scheme would not increase runoff rates or volumes leaving the Site. Solar panels are elevated above ground and allow rainfall to drain to the soil beneath, meaning the Scheme would not create large impermeable areas that would exacerbate existing road flooding.
H-30	RR-0328	Flood Risk Assessments	Respondent stated that the modelling of flood risk has been inadequate, using outdated maps and estimates.	The Applicant disagrees with the statement that flood modelling for the Scheme relies on outdated mapping. The flood risk assessment presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] uses the most recent Environment Agency flood mapping together with site-specific hydrological and hydraulic analysis where appropriate. The assessment methodology and datasets are described in the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] , which provides a proportionate

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				and robust evidence base for understanding flood risk associated with the Scheme.
H-31	RR-3364, RR-1617	Flood Risk	Respondents expressed concern regarding the increased impact of flooding on Easton Grey, stating: <i>"The applicant's ES Chapter 11 seems almost solely concerned on whether the infrastructure within the red line would be flooded and does not address the risks to Easton Grey and indeed other villages and towns on the Avon and Gauzebrook which rise dramatically and quickly in the event of heavy rain as surface water cannot be absorbed quickly enough and runs into those water channels.</i> <i>There appears to be no proper analysis as to the effect of thousands of enormous panels, which would be horizontal at night. These panels would, by acting like a "roof", reduce the absorption capacity of the soil below and increase concentration of the water which would run straight into the Avon and Gauzebrook</i>	The Applicant notes the concerns raised regarding potential impacts on Easton Grey and other downstream settlements within the Gauze Brook and River Avon catchments, including the matters raised in respect of panel runoff, soil compaction, drainage disruption, potential pollution effects and the Easton Grey sewage treatment plant. The hydrological assessment presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], supported by the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy appendices [APP-210 to APP-218], considers catchment hydrology, surface water runoff and downstream receptors and does not only consider flooding within the Order Limits. The assessment includes consideration of downstream settlements and infrastructure and demonstrates, on a proportionate and evidence-based basis, that the Scheme would not increase flood risk elsewhere. Solar PV panels are elevated structures and rainfall drains to the ground beneath them, maintaining natural infiltration and overland flow processes rather than creating impermeable surfaces or acting as a conventional roofed development. This is

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			<i>in times of significant and/or sustained rainfall.</i> <i>As a matter of common sense, solar panels on this scale will have the effect identified above. See plate 3.3 in APP055 for image of panel in horizontal position and the areas of ground below which would be covered by this kind of panel. The development will make what is already a difficult situation far worse as a result.</i> <i>So far as we are aware there is no solar development of this size using this kind of panel, and certainly not in an area with this kind of geology and so it is unclear how any proper assessments of increased flood risk could be made. We cannot over emphasise the lack of tolerance and susceptibility to serious flood issues if changes to the river flow occur.</i> <i>In the recent Storm Claudia the Easton Grey bridge coped but the river rose from 0.4m to over 1.06 m to within in a few hours as a result of surface run off. The impact of huge</i>	consistent with national policy, including the National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (EN-3), which recognises that solar panels generally do not give rise to significant drainage effects. The Site currently comprises intensively managed agricultural land where soils are regularly trafficked by machinery, cultivated and periodically left bare. The Scheme largely retains permeable ground cover while maintaining existing drainage pathways and greenfield runoff characteristics. Construction phase soil management and drainage protection measures are secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], including controls relating to construction traffic, routing, protection of existing drainage features and reinstatement where required. Surface water runoff is managed through embedded design measures set out in the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy appendices [APP-210 to APP-218], including the use of permeable surfaces, infiltration, swales and attenuation where required, to maintain greenfield runoff behaviour and avoid any increase in discharge to receiving watercourses. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed drainage

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			<i>numbers of panels, not to mention the compacted soil from construction and the vast amount of concrete needed for the infrastructure and risk to current drainage channels which have worked so well for centuries does not appear to have been considered adequately and is a serious and unacceptable new risk to Easton Grey and other villages and towns downstream from Lime Down. The threat to the village is exacerbated by the fact that the sewage treatment plant that serves most of the village sits beside the Green in the centre of the village before discharging into the river. The location and levels are shown in a measured plan done by D&H surveys. The position in this regard is noted in the Easton Grey Flood Plan.</i> <i>The result of increased risk and magnitude of flood will not only be to properties but to rainwater entering the sewage system. It is of note that the licence granted by the Environment Agency South West Region (consent number</i>	and construction management plans, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that mitigation measures are delivered and maintained for the lifetime of the Scheme. With regard to the concerns raised in relation to wastewater infrastructure and potential pollution effects, the assessment demonstrates that the Scheme would not increase surface water runoff rates or volumes entering the Gauze Brook or River Avon catchments. On that basis, there would be no increase in surface water loading to downstream drainage or wastewater infrastructure, including the sewage treatment plant at Easton Grey. Potential effects on water quality and pollution have also been considered within the Environmental Statement and the Water Framework Directive Assessment, which conclude that, with embedded mitigation secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], there would be no deterioration in water body status. The assessment therefore concludes that the Scheme would not increase flood risk to downstream settlements, including Easton Grey, and would not give rise to additional flood-related pollution effects.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			<i>101899 and dated 13th May 2002) has a condition that surface water will be kept separate from domestic effluent. It is not apparent that the applicants have given any consideration to the risk of increased surface water and its effect on flooding and pollution nor how the affected householders in Easton Grey would be held harmless from such effects and potential legal or other action arising from a breach of the EA licence where the causation is, with advance knowledge, the fault of others involved with promoting and constructing Lime Down Solar Park."</i>	
H-32	RR-2029	Flood Risk	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of flooding on the A429	The Applicant notes the concern regarding flooding on the A429. Flood risk and surface water behaviour across the Scheme area have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . The assessments demonstrate that the Scheme would not increase runoff rates or volumes leaving the Site and therefore would not increase flood risk affecting the A429.
H-33	RR-3515	Flood Risk	Respondent stated that their property has a culverted drain	The Applicant notes the concern regarding a culverted drain receiving storm water

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			that receives storm water from Cleeve House and the nearby catchment above and enquired exactly what plans are in place to address flooding due to increased surface run-off in this location.	from surrounding land. The Scheme has been designed to maintain existing drainage pathways and catchment behaviour. The hydrological assessment presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] demonstrates that runoff leaving the Site would remain consistent with existing conditions. As a result, the Scheme would not increase flows entering existing drainage infrastructure such as culverted drains.
H-34	RR-3945	Flood Risk	Respondent expressed concern regarding the A429 flooding as a result of Gauze Brook.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding flooding on the A429 associated with Gauze Brook. The interaction between the Scheme and watercourses within the catchment, including Gauze Brook, has been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] , supported by the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . The assessment demonstrates that the Scheme would not increase runoff rates or alter fluvial flood behaviour within the catchment and therefore would not increase flooding associated with Gauze Brook or increase flood risk affecting the A429.
H-35	RR-3620	Flood Risk	Respondent noted that the solar farm and transmission routes will alter the drainage pattern of the rivers like Sherston Avon and the Tetbury Avon.	The Applicant notes the concern that the Scheme may alter drainage patterns of nearby rivers including the Sherston Avon and Tetbury Avon. Catchment hydrology and drainage behaviour have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				[APP-063] and the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218]. The Scheme has been designed to maintain existing overland flow routes and drainage pathways and does not introduce significant impermeable development. The assessment therefore concludes that the Scheme would not alter the drainage patterns of surrounding river systems.
H-36	RR-1603	Sewage	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the Scheme on the village sewage treatment plant in Easton Grey. Respondent expressed concern that Easton Grey is vulnerable to flooding, with the historic bridge acting as a choke point during heavy rainfall and even small changes in river flows leading to flooded properties, gardens, and the village sewage treatment plant which serves the village.	An assessment of the effects of the Scheme on flood risk within the Site and across the wider catchment is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], with supporting detail contained in ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218]. The assessment considers surface water pathways, receiving watercourses and downstream receptors, including settlements and infrastructure. The Flood Risk Assessment specifically considers potential effects on settlements and locations including Easton Grey. The assessment concludes that, with the embedded mitigation and the proposed drainage design in place, there would be no material increase in off-site flood risk, including to downstream communities, roads and other infrastructure.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Applicant notes the concern regarding the sewage treatment plant serving Easton Grey. The Scheme does not discharge surface water to the foul or combined sewer network. Surface water is managed through natural infiltration and existing drainage pathways as described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] , which conclude that the Scheme would not increase runoff entering the wider catchment and therefore would not increase flows to local wastewater infrastructure.
H-37	RR-0092	Flood Risk	Respondent expressed concern on the impact of increased flooding in the area of Bustlers Hill, which leads off the bottom of field A1.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding potential flooding at Bustlers Hill near Field A1. Flood risk and surface water behaviour across the Site and surrounding catchments have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . These assessments demonstrate that the Scheme would maintain existing runoff characteristics and drainage pathways and therefore would not increase flood risk in the Bustlers Hill area.
H-38	RR-0702	Flood Risk	Respondent expressed concern on the impact of increased flooding on Lime Down E.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding flooding within Lime Down E. Flood risk within this area has been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] , supported

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				by the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . The Scheme design maintains existing drainage patterns and permeable ground conditions, and the assessment concludes that the Scheme would not increase runoff rates or flood risk within or downstream of this area.
H-39	RR-2475	Flood Risk	Respondent expressed concern on the impact of increased flooding on Lime Down C, including down to the AONB boundary.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding potential flooding associated with Lime Down C and land towards the Cotswolds National Landscape (CNL) boundary. Flood risk and drainage behaviour within this part of the Site have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . These assessments demonstrate that existing drainage pathways would be maintained and that the Scheme would not increase runoff volumes or flood risk within the surrounding catchment.
H-40	RR-2103	Flood Risk	Respondent expressed that increased flooding and extreme weather events impacting the Bristol Avon catchment area has not been adequately taken into account.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding extreme weather and flooding within the wider Bristol Avon catchment. Climate change and extreme rainfall scenarios have been considered within the flood risk assessment presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] , with appropriate climate change allowances applied in accordance with national guidance. The assessment concludes that the Scheme would not increase runoff rates or volumes

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				leaving the Site and therefore would not exacerbate flooding within the Bristol Avon catchment.
H-41	RR-3606	Flood Risk	Respondent expressed concern for increased flood risk impacting roads around Norton	The Applicant notes the concern regarding flooding on roads around Norton. Flood risk associated with the Scheme has been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . These assessments demonstrate that runoff behaviour across the Site would remain consistent with existing conditions and therefore the Scheme would not increase flood risk affecting surrounding roads.
H-42	RR-3767	Soils	Respondent stated that the proposals will require the topsoil to be removed, where possible, which will expose the clay. This clay when 'worked' by the wheels of the construction traffic will become, to all extents, puddling clay, as was used to line the bottoms and sides of ponds and reservoirs. It becomes impervious to water, and having no topsoil layer to absorb water, will greatly increase the speed of run off from the sites. Thus the riverbeds, which frequently start as winterbournes in this area, will have increased risk	The Applicant notes the concern regarding soil compaction and potential runoff from clay soils. The Site currently comprises agricultural land which is routinely trafficked by agricultural machinery, with soils often subject to tramlines, cultivation and periods of bare ground between crops. The Scheme largely retains permeable ground cover and does not introduce significant impermeable surfaces. Soil management and construction practices are secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and the Outline Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) [APP-280] , which include measures to manage soil compaction, control construction traffic,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			of flash flooding, which will undoubtedly be greater than the current level..	protect soil structure and reinstate soils following construction. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed SRMP and CEMP, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through Requirements 17 and 13 respectively in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that soil compaction is appropriately managed during construction and that appropriate reinstatement and remedial measures are implemented following the works. As assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], these measures are intended to avoid any material increase in runoff rates or flood risk. Access tracks will, where appropriate, be permeable and designed to maintain existing drainage behaviour, including the use of crushed limestone or equivalent material where suitable.
H-43	RR-1174	Flood Risk	Respondent stated that when it rains, the B4696 floods and temporary lights have to be installed to support traffic flows.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding flooding on the B4696. Existing flooding in the wider road network reflects current catchment hydrology and drainage conditions. The hydrological assessment presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] demonstrates that the Scheme would not increase runoff rates or volumes

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				leaving the Site and therefore would not exacerbate flooding affecting the B4696.
H-44	RR-1826	Flood Risk Assessments	Respondent stated that there is missing data due to reports being created remotely, for example, the Lidar Report.	The flood risk assessment has been undertaken using appropriate and widely accepted data sources including Environment Agency flood mapping, LiDAR-derived topographic data and site-specific analysis where required. The assessment methodology is described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] , which provide a proportionate and robust evidence base for assessing flood risk associated with the Scheme.
H-45	RR-1016	Flood Risk	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of flooding on the A350.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding flooding affecting the A350. Flood risk and surface water behaviour across the Site and surrounding catchments have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . The assessments conclude that the Scheme would not increase runoff rates or alter drainage patterns in a way that would increase flood risk affecting the A350.
H-46	RR-3603	Flood Risk	Respondent expressed concern for increased flooding to Fowlswick Lane in Allington and the nearby Scheme construction compound.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding flooding affecting Fowlswick Lane in Allington and the nearby construction compound. Flood risk and drainage behaviour have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Risk and Drainage [APP-063] , supported by the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . The Scheme maintains existing runoff pathways and drainage characteristics, and construction phase drainage controls secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and Requirement 13 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] ensure that temporary works would not increase flood risk to surrounding roads or land.
H-47	RR-2324, RR-3955, RR-2308, RR-1580, RR-1398, RR-1731, RR-2748, RR-3548, RR-4819, RR-2874, RR-2655, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-3745, RR-1457, RR-0193, RR-2713, RR-2356, RR-4317, RR-2138, RR-3873, RR-2979, RR-2140, RR-3796, RR-1644, RR-1633, RR-3175, RR-4281, RR-3721, RR-1455, RR-0324, RR-4111, RR-4098, RR-0328, RR-1908, RR-4393, RR-1984, RR-3911, RR-2506, RR-2958, RR-1306, RR-4466, RR-0027, RR-1054, RR-2639, RR-3758, RR-2411, RR-4112, RR-0642, RR-2866, RR-3913, RR-0009, RR-2400, RR-2653, RR-1151, RR-3760, RR-1061, RR-2136, RR-0871, RR-3544, RR-1036, RR-4101,	Contamination	Respondents expressed general concern for contamination. Some respondents expressed concern about the use of cleaning products / chemicals. Some respondents expressed concern for chemicals within the solar panels/BESS leaching/leaking.	Potential effects of the Scheme on water quality and contamination risk are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], which sets out mitigation and management measures to protect water quality during construction, operation and decommissioning. These measures include pollution prevention controls, containment systems, emergency response protocols, and site-specific drainage measures, particularly around the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) and substations where lined drainage and automatically actuating valves are proposed. The Applicant notes that it is discussing the approach to pollution resulting from battery fire with both the Environment Agency and the Lead Local Flood Authority

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1996, RR-1656, RR-3108, RR-4583, RR-3933, RR-4580, RR-3904, RR-0765, RR-3931, RR-4876, RR-4581, RR-4727, RR-1557, RR-1546, RR-1547, RR-1320, RR-4271, RR-2717, RR-1195, RR-1765, RR-0882, RR-2873, RR-2807, RR-0917, RR-1415, RR-4132, RR-1444, RR-1607, RR-1941, RR-4121, RR-1899, RR-4288, RR-2399, RR-0477, RR-2938, RR-1564, RR-2257, RR-3243, RR-1942, RR-2106, RR-0942, RR-4302, RR-2130, RR-3104, RR-2736, RR-1556, RR-1225, RR-0728, RR-3788, RR-4555, RR-4842, RR-3689, RR-2527, RR-3941, RR-0910, RR-3573, RR-2879, RR-4759, RR-0490, RR-2679, RR-3890, RR-2101, RR-4788, RR-1328, RR-4022, RR-0675, RR-1382, RR-0752, RR-0894, RR-3801, RR-0690, RR-4213, RR-4094, RR-2108, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-1596, RR-0933, RR-2673, RR-2133, RR-0057, RR-3262, RR-3272, RR-2466, RR-1543, RR-1545, RR-1373, RR-0625, RR-0788, RR-3615, RR-2898, RR-3367, RR-0520, RR-1104, RR-1292, RR-3271, RR-1493, RR-0768, RR-4547, RR-3600, RR-3685, RR-0301, RR-3346, RR-2281, RR-0173,			(LLFA) and this discussion is set out in the relevant Statements of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. Mitigation measures to control contamination risk during construction and decommissioning are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279]. Routine maintenance and panel cleaning will use minimal water and no detergents or chemical cleaning agents, in line with industry standards and best practice, so there is no expected risk from cleaning runoff. In addition, the removal of agrichemical inputs through the cessation of farming activities will further reduce contamination risks compared to existing use. The preparation, approval and implementation of a detailed Construction Environmental Management Plan (which must be substantially in accordance with the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]) is secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that construction works are carried out in accordance with the approved CEMP and that contamination risks are appropriately managed throughout construction of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3176, RR-3413, RR-3612, RR-1356, RR-4190, RR-1753, RR-1636, RR-3266, RR-3390, RR-4379, RR-4602, RR-4824, RR-2323, RR-3005, RR-0620, RR-1936, RR-3094, RR-0891, RR-2683, RR-4661, RR-0152, RR-1794, RR-0844, RR-1002, RR-2886, RR-3275, RR-2169, RR-1289, RR-2007, RR-4958, RR-2152, RR-4539, RR-0116, RR-2644, RR-4408, RR-0599, RR-1648, RR-4104, RR-0144, RR-2977, RR-2508, RR-1476, RR-4458, RR-4748, RR-2067, RR-1067, RR-4713, RR-2542, RR-4818, RR-0522, RR-3712, RR-3322, RR-2847, RR-4374, RR-3036, RR-1507, RR-1826, RR-0210, RR-4875, RR-2825, RR-3546, RR-2696, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-2557, RR-1923, RR-0264, RR-2110, RR-2488, RR-1016, RR-0794, RR-4203, RR-2997, RR-2840, RR-3409, RR-3866, RR-2102, RR-3056, RR-1366, RR-4142, RR-4479, RR-1604, RR-1658			
H-48	RR-0126, RR-0386, RR-4819, RR-0635, RR-3002, RR-3009, RR-1969, RR-2138, RR-4128, RR-2979, RR-0039, RR-2140, RR-2626, RR-1644, RR-4281, RR-0255, RR-0467, RR-0328, RR-4393, RR-3590, RR-2958,	Contamination	Respondents expressed concerns about the risk of contamination to groundwater and surface water. Some respondents specified contamination to the water	An assessment of potential contamination risks is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071] , which consider risks to surface water, groundwater and sensitive receptors

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4466, RR-1054, RR-0636, RR-2411, RR-4112, RR-2400, RR-2653, RR-3820, RR-3095, RR-1748, RR-1152, RR-2136, RR-1036, RR-2988, RR-4101, RR-3108, RR-4583, RR-4252, RR-3759, RR-3933, RR-4876, RR-4178, RR-1673, RR-4581, RR-4727, RR-1320, RR-4271, RR-2177, RR-2717, RR-0882, RR-0917, RR-1415, RR-4132, RR-1444, RR-1607, RR-2550, RR-4121, RR-0654, RR-2027, RR-2399, RR-0672, RR-4800, RR-0942, RR-2736, RR-0042, RR-1726, RR-4555, RR-0124, RR-0708, RR-3941, RR-0910, RR-3573, RR-2879, RR-3890, RR-4957, RR-0675, RR-2791, RR-1382, RR-0752, RR-1703, RR-0894, RR-3801, RR-2539, RR-1950, RR-3923, RR-0629, RR-2673, RR-0057, RR-3272, RR-2872, RR-4214, RR-0788, RR-4928, RR-3138, RR-0840, RR-2898, RR-3367, RR-0520, RR-3155, RR-2149, RR-2239, RR-2953, RR-1292, RR-3271, RR-0640, RR-3317, RR-4547, RR-2405, RR-3346, RR-0173, RR-3176, RR-0595, RR-4190, RR-4792, RR-1708, RR-3390, RR-4824, RR-1981, RR-1809, RR-4148, RR-3005, RR-0620, RR-1936, RR-3094, RR-3487,		table and nearby bodies of water.	during construction, operation and decommissioning of the Scheme. These assessments conclude that, with appropriate mitigation and management measures in place, no significant contamination effects are anticipated. The Applicant notes that the approach to assessment of risk to groundwater and surface water arising from the Scheme has been agreed with Wiltshire Council and is currently being discussed with the Environment Agency. These discussions are set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground with Wiltshire Council to be submitted at Deadline 1 and in the relevant Statement of Common Ground with the Environment Agency which will be submitted at a later Deadline. Mitigation and management measures to protect groundwater and surface water are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and supporting management plans. These include pollution prevention controls, containment measures, emergency response procedures, and site-specific drainage design. In particular, drainage within substation and Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) areas will incorporate lined drainage and automatically actuating shut-off valves to contain any accidental spills

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0600, RR-2683, RR-4661, RR-3075, RR-2862, RR-1002, RR-4118, RR-4958, RR-2152, RR-1116, RR-0116, RR-4825, RR-4408, RR-1648, RR-2977, RR-2508, RR-1476, RR-4748, RR-2067, RR-1178, RR-1681, RR-1067, RR-4713, RR-2542, RR-2141, RR-3045, RR-3712, RR-3839, RR-2491, RR-3513, RR-4857, RR-2847, RR-1507, RR-4875, RR-3840, RR-4623, RR-1868, RR-2696, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-2584, RR-0264, RR-2110, RR-2488, RR-0774, RR-1016, RR-0794, RR-4203, RR-0854, RR-2997, RR-2952, RR-2840, RR-2102, RR-3056, RR-4479, RR-2602, RR-3602			or firewater, preventing release to surrounding land or watercourses. Routine maintenance and panel cleaning will use minimal water and will not require detergents or chemical cleaning products, in line with standard industry practice, meaning there is no expected risk of chemical runoff from panel washing. In addition, the cessation of agricultural activity within the Solar PV Sites will remove routine inputs of fertilisers, pesticides and herbicides, thereby reducing existing sources of diffuse contamination. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed CEMP, substantially in accordance with the outline plan, is secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that contamination risks are appropriately managed throughout construction, operation and decommissioning of the Scheme.
H-49	RR-1049	Contamination	Respondent stated that the proposals are in conflict with NPS EN-1, provisions relating to water quality and groundwater.	The Applicant disagrees with the statement that the Scheme does not comply with the relevant policies in National Policy Statement EN-1. The potential effects of the Scheme on groundwater and water quality have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				[APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071]. These assessments consider risks to surface water and groundwater during construction, operation and decommissioning and conclude that, with the proposed mitigation and management measures in place, no significant effects are anticipated. The assessment approach is consistent with the relevant provisions of national policy including NPS EN-1. The Applicant also refers to Annex A of the Planning Statement [APP-267] which sets out relevant national policy and explains in detail how the Scheme complies with those policies.
H-50	RR-1049	Flood Risk Assessments	Respondent states that Environment Agency guidance requires the protection of Groundwater Safeguard Zones and also mandates an adequate flood risk assessment for developments located within Flood Zones 2 and 3. They argue that the Scheme conflicts with both of these requirements.	The Applicant notes the concern that the Scheme is alleged to conflict with Environment Agency guidance and national policy in relation to groundwater protection and flood risk, particularly in respect of Source Protection Zones and the need for appropriate assessment in Flood Zones 2 and 3. The Applicant does not agree with that characterisation. Groundwater and flood risk have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071], supported by the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy appendices [APP-210 to APP-218].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				These assessments consider groundwater sensitivity, including Source Protection Zones where present, and flood risk within Flood Zones 2 and 3, and demonstrate that the Scheme accords with relevant Environment Agency guidance and national policy. The Applicant also refers to Annex A of the Planning Statement [APP-267], which sets out the relevant national policy and explains how the Scheme complies with those policies.
H-51	RR-3783	Flood Risk	Respondent requests continuous water quality monitoring with remediation bond.	The assessments presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071] conclude that significant effects on groundwater or surface water quality are not anticipated. Construction phase controls and pollution prevention measures are secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], which includes procedures for spill prevention, containment and incident response. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed CEMP, substantially in accordance with the outline plan, is secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that contamination risks are

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				appropriately managed during the construction of the Scheme. The Applicant considers that these measures are appropriate, proportionate and sufficiently robust.
H-52	RR-3931, RR-2977	Contamination	Respondent expressed concern regarding the potential for water contamination during construction, particularly the impact of diesel spills.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding potential contamination during construction, including the risk of diesel spills. The potential for contamination has been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071] . Construction phase mitigation measures, including fuel storage controls, spill response procedures and pollution prevention measures, are secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 in the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , which ensures that risks to groundwater and surface water are appropriately managed.
H-53	RR-3931	Contamination	Respondent would like confirmation that their water supply will not be contaminated during construction. They would like the water to be tested regularly.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding protection of private water supplies during construction. Potential risks to groundwater and water supplies have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071] , which conclude that no significant contamination effects are anticipated. Construction phase pollution prevention and incident

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				management measures are secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 in the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] . The Applicant considers that these measures are appropriate, proportionate and sufficiently robust to protect groundwater and surface water resources.
H-54	RR-1546, RR-1547, RR-1320, RR-4271, RR-2108, RR-4769, RR-1809, RR-4104, RR-3045, RR-1826	Contamination	Respondents expressed concern regarding contamination of private water supplies and bore holes.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding potential contamination of private water supplies and boreholes. The potential effects of the Scheme on groundwater receptors, including private water supplies where present, have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071]. The proposed measures include standard pollution prevention controls during construction, the protection of existing drainage pathways, controls on fuels, oils and other hazardous substances, and procedures for incident response and remediation where required. These measures are secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and the relevant provisions of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				On that basis, the assessment concludes that, with the embedded mitigation and management measures in place, the Scheme would not result in significant contamination risks to groundwater receptors, including private water supplies and boreholes.
H-55	RR-1320	Contamination	Respondent asked whether the Environment Agency or Wessex Water would be able to carry out regular testing of local water courses to check for contamination.	The Applicant notes the suggestion that regular testing of local watercourses should be undertaken. Potential effects on surface water and groundwater have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071] , which conclude that no significant effects on water quality are anticipated. Water quality within rivers and groundwater is routinely monitored by regulatory bodies, and pollution incidents can also be reported by members of the public. In addition, pollution prevention and incident response measures during construction are secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 in the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] to ensure that risks to surface water and groundwater are appropriately managed.
H-56	RR-2873, RR-2103	Contamination	Respondent expressed concern that the Scheme risks harming Oolitic limestone aquifers which are a key drinking water source. They	The Applicant notes the concern regarding potential impacts on the Oolitic limestone aquifers which form part of the regional groundwater resource. Groundwater sensitivity and potential contamination

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			add that the solar panels and BESS present risks of pollution and fire which could contaminate the aquifers, but that the proposals lack essential details and mitigation measures regarding this.	pathways such as those associated with the Principal Aquifer within the Great Oolite formation underlying the site have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] , with specific consideration of the risks posed by the construction and operation of BESS and substation sites included. These assessments consider construction, operational and accidental release scenarios, including potential firewater risks associated with electrical infrastructure. With the proposed mitigation and management measures in place, including pollution prevention controls secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 in the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , the assessments conclude that the Scheme would not result in significant effects on groundwater resources.
H-57	RR-2550	Flood Risk Assessments	Respondent states that the Applicant has sought to obscure water level issues by using data from bore holes at Yeovil, not even on the Cotswolds and 55 miles away, instead of using data from Didmarton 3 miles away.	The Applicant disagrees with the suggestion that it sought to obscure water issues via the groundwater data used in the assessment. Groundwater conditions have been characterised using available regional hydrogeological datasets and Environment Agency information as described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071] , supported by ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] . In rural areas where site-specific borehole monitoring data is limited, it is standard practice to use regional datasets and published records to establish baseline groundwater conditions. The information used provides a proportionate and robust evidence base for assessing potential effects on groundwater and water resources associated with the Scheme.
H-58	RR-2103, RR-4928	Contamination	Respondent expressed that there is no mitigation in the proposals for contamination as a result of the BESS.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding potential contamination associated with the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS). Potential risks to groundwater and surface water from electrical infrastructure, including the BESS, have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071] . The design of the BESS areas incorporates containment and controlled drainage measures to manage potential spillages or firewater, as described in the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . These measures ensure that any accidental releases would be contained and would not result in contamination of surrounding land or watercourses.
H-59	RR-1315	Wildlife	Respondent stated that no consideration has been given regarding the impact of	The Applicant notes the concern regarding the potential interaction between flooding and ecological receptors. Hydrology, flood risk and ecological effects have been

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			flooding on wildlife, as set out in NPPF 14 and 15.	assessed within ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061]. These assessments consider the relationship between surface water, watercourses and ecological receptors and conclude that the Scheme would not increase flood risk or result in significant adverse effects on habitats or species. The Applicant also refers to Annex A of the Planning Statement [APP-267] which sets out relevant national policy and explains in detail how the Scheme complies with those policies.
H-60	RR-2132	Cable Corridor	Respondent stated that the cable proposed could have a severe impact on the aquifers as it goes under the M4 and river Avon	The Applicant notes the concern regarding potential impacts on aquifers from the proposed cable route. The cable route construction methodology and associated environmental considerations are described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] and assessed within ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071]. Where the cable route crosses major infrastructure and watercourses, appropriate trenchless installation techniques such as horizontal directional drilling are proposed to avoid disturbance of watercourses and underlying aquifers. These methods ensure that the cable installation would not adversely affect

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				groundwater resources or surface water bodies.
H-61	RR-2673	Flood Risk	Respondent stated that the unclassified country road which is also one of the two main routes to Hullavington will be severely affected by site and worker traffic and is totally unsuitable for large heavy loads. The developer told me that they would make provision for surface run off at all their locations to avoid flooding, but did not/would not provide details saying that such provisions would be detailed in the Planning application. This is not acceptable on the basis that flooding around Hullavington is an issue and several times over the past year the village has been temporarily cut off as a result of rainfall and the incapacity of existing watercourses to deal with the flow. Respondent stated that the unclassified country road, a main route to Hullavington, will be impacted by site and worker traffic and is unsuitable for such traffic. They add further concern about the	The Applicant notes the concerns regarding traffic on local roads and existing flooding within Hullavington. Traffic associated with the Scheme and the suitability of construction routes are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065], with construction traffic management secured through the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. Flood risk and surface water management measures across the Scheme are described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218]. These assessments demonstrate that the Scheme would maintain existing runoff characteristics and would not increase flood risk within surrounding communities including Hullavington. The Draft DCO [APP-016] includes provisions for liaison with the relevant highway authority and approval of detailed design, including works affecting highway drainage and culverts. Any surface private surface water runoff will not fall towards the public highway.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			impact of increased flooding associated with the Scheme on this road, and state that the Applicant claimed that provisions for surface run-off would be including in the planning application.	
H-62	RR-4928	Contamination	Respondent stated that the Applicant has not demonstrated that risks of contamination to water supply can be adequately mitigated.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding the potential for contamination of water supplies. Potential risks to groundwater and water resources have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071] . These assessments conclude that, with the embedded mitigation and pollution prevention measures proposed, the Scheme would not result in significant contamination risks to groundwater or water supply receptors and demonstrate that risks of contamination to water supply can be appropriately and successfully mitigated.
H-63	RR-3600, RR-3685, RR-1809	Contamination	Respondent asked whether residents will be compensated for potential contamination to water supplies.	The Applicant notes the query regarding potential contamination of water supplies. Potential risks to groundwater and water supply receptors have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071] , which conclude that significant contamination effects are not anticipated. The Scheme would be required to comply with relevant

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				environmental legislation and regulatory controls relating to pollution prevention and environmental protection. Appropriate pollution prevention and incident response measures are secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and Requirement 13 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] to ensure that risks to groundwater and surface water are appropriately managed.
H-64	RR-1476, RR-4748	Contamination	Respondent expressed concern regarding environmental contamination specifically to the River Avon, which has taken "years" to restore; respondent expressed concern that this work will be undone by the Scheme.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding potential contamination of the River Avon. The potential effects of the Scheme on surface water bodies, including the River Avon catchment, have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071] . With the proposed mitigation and pollution prevention measures secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and Requirement 13 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , the assessments conclude that the Scheme would not result in significant contamination risks to surface water receptors including the River Avon.
H-65	RR-1826	Soils	Respondent stated that the clay cap in Chippenham and Bradford needs to be planted	The Applicant notes the comment regarding the role of vegetation in managing soil stability and runoff within clay landscapes. The Site is currently

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			so that root systems help prevent flooding.	managed as agricultural land and is subject to regular cultivation, machinery trafficking and periods of bare soil between crops. The Scheme would establish extensive areas of permanent grassland beneath and around the solar arrays, resulting in long term vegetated ground cover across much of the Site. This mature grassland cover improves soil structure, reduces erosion and supports infiltration compared with periodically bare agricultural soils. This approach is described in ESVolume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and is secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], the Outline Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) [APP-280] and the relevant provisions of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], which require the detailed management measures to be prepared and implemented substantially in accordance with those outline documents. On that basis, the assessment concludes that the Scheme would maintain existing runoff characteristics and may provide localised improvements to soil stability and surface water management.
H-66	RR-2487	Sewage	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact to sewage systems.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding potential impacts on sewage systems. The Scheme does not discharge surface water

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				to foul or combined sewer networks. Surface water is managed through local infiltration and existing drainage pathways as described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] , and therefore the Scheme would not increase flows to local sewage infrastructure.

2.9 Cultural Heritage

Table 2-9

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CH-01	RR-4817, RR-3568, RR-4493, RR-4604, RR-1462, RR-0648, RR-4489, RR-1736, RR-2849, RR-2616, RR-4419, RR-3074, RR-4874, RR-2860, RR-3335, RR-2345, RR-3584, RR-3454, RR-3354, RR-3389, RR-4607, RR-4475, RR-1731, RR-2936, RR-3936, RR-2184, RR-2366, RR-2202, RR-0379, RR-2185, RR-2631, RR-3823, RR-2226, RR-4326, RR-2578, RR-1829, RR-2970, RR-4261, RR-4482, RR-4908, RR-3836, RR-3987, RR-3199, RR-2157, RR-4819, RR-1845, RR-4088, RR-4784, RR-4706, RR-3002, RR-4441, RR-4441, RR-0115, RR-1096, RR-0955, RR-0952, RR-2686, RR-1457, RR-1089, RR-2220, RR-2957, RR-1847, RR-4823, RR-1710, RR-0153, RR-2759, RR-2283, RR-1722, RR-4636, RR-1290, RR-4548, RR-4912, RR-3872, RR-0395, RR-1458, RR-1090, RR-1619, RR-4317, RR-0570, RR-4128, RR-2419, RR-3873, RR-2979, RR-1872, RR-4674, RR-4699, RR-2692,	General Concern	Respondents expressed general concern for the Scheme's impact on cultural heritage and / or history. Some respondents expressed specific concern about the Scheme's impact to Roman and medieval heritage.	The potential effects of the Scheme on cultural heritage, including archaeological assets dated to the Roman and medieval periods, are fully assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], supported by detailed technical appendices in ES Volume 3, Appendices 12-1 to 12-8 [APP-219 to APP-232]. The chapter considers baseline conditions, identifies built and archaeological heritage assets within the study area, and assesses likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning, including effects on setting. The chapter concluded that there would be significant effects in absence of additional mitigation for 23 archaeological assets, however, following additional mitigation, the residual effects on any archaeological assets, including Roman and medieval period remains, would be reduced to neutral/negligible and therefore not significant (see Volume 3, Appendix 12-8: Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment Tables [APP-232]). The assessment is underpinned by extensive desk-based research, geophysical survey and evaluation trenching, as detailed in ES Volume 3,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1739, RR-2856, RR-3003, RR-0724, RR-3007, RR-1633, RR-0199, RR-0604, RR-4250, RR-2309, RR-4336, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-0592, RR-1455, RR-2263, RR-3791, RR-1278, RR-2273, RR-4111, RR-0328, RR-4098, RR-0328, RR-2572, RR-1413, RR-1853, RR-1991, RR-3911, RR-3237, RR-3358, RR-2144, RR-2958, RR-3402, RR-2577, RR-1046, RR-3364, RR-1420, RR-0311, RR-3163, RR-0598, RR-4822, RR-4584, RR-2571, RR-4248, RR-1285, RR-2553, RR-3479, RR-0988, RR-4700, RR-3222, RR-4922, RR-3610, RR-3792, RR-3820, RR-3997, RR-1041, RR-1748, RR-1152, RR-4831, RR-2746, RR-2901, RR-2136, RR-3567, RR-4956, RR-4299, RR-4342, RR-4735, RR-2988, RR-3151, RR-4101, RR-2975, RR-3626, RR-3783, RR-0571, RR-0767, RR-4873, RR-1430, RR-4404, RR-4583, RR-3515, RR-4252, RR-0978, RR-0090, RR-2317, RR-2538, RR-1253, RR-4580, RR-3004, RR-2680, RR-4338, RR-3904, RR-2859, RR-0765, RR-3778, RR-2073, RR-2208, RR-4165, RR-2230, RR-0553, RR-0424, RR-4876, RR-2371, RR-1673, RR-4422, RR-1071,			Appendix 12-2: Archaeological Desk-Based Assessments [APP-220] and [APP-221], Appendix 12-4: Archaeological Geophysical Survey Reports [APP-223] and [APP-224], and Appendix 12-5: Interim Evaluation Trial Trenching Reports [APP-225] to [APP-229]. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment has been agreed with Wiltshire Council and the methodology for the mitigation strategy is being discussed with Wiltshire Council and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. Embedded mitigation measures to avoid or reduce harm to heritage assets are set out in Section 12.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], with additional mitigation measures detailed in Section 12.11. An overarching archaeological mitigation strategy is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-6: Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy [APP-230], which sets out the framework for further archaeological investigation, recording and protection where ground disturbance cannot be avoided. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed archaeological Written Schemes of Investigation for each part of the Scheme, substantially in

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1557, RR-1603, RR-2177, RR-2474, RR-1195, RR-4669, RR-4347, RR-1355, RR-0807, RR-1370, AS-004, RR-3182, RR-2807, RR-4125, RR-2767, RR-1305, RR-2384, RR-0147, RR-0830, RR-1415, RR-1085, RR-1070, RR-1416, RR-4114, RR-1941, RR-0003, RR-2550, RR-4681, RR-3894, RR-1835, RR-3472, RR-2597, RR-2103, RR-4197, RR-1883, RR-2399, RR-2150, RR-1563, RR-4092, RR-0179, RR-4137, RR-4164, RR-1879, RR-1558, RR-0946, RR-2848, RR-1564, RR-4382, RR-3243, RR-0146, RR-0263, RR-4356, RR-3889, RR-1254, RR-0602, RR-3104, RR-0160, RR-2736, RR-1556, RR-2605, RR-3666, RR-1728, RR-3788, RR-3689, RR-0870, RR-3392, RR-0969, RR-0232, RR-4021, RR-3380, RR-1660, RR-0148, RR-2339, RR-2741, RR-3963, RR-2214, RR-4734, RR-2410, RR-3775, RR-0987, RR-2703, RR-3439, RR-1428, RR-2679, RR-4050, RR-3703, RR-3020, RR-3133, RR-1013, RR-0895, RR-1612, RR-1106, RR-4762, RR-1698, RR-2206, RR-4020, RR-2537, RR-1916, RR-4847, RR-2905, RR-4223, RR-0239, RR-4888, RR-3035, RR-0500,			accordance with Appendix 12-6 Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy [APP-230] , are secured through Requirement 12 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring that appropriate protection, recording and mitigation are delivered during the relevant part of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2791, RR-3293, RR-4790, RR-2189, RR-2675, RR-4534, RR-3618, RR-0489, RR-2108, RR-0994, RR-4109, RR-0715, RR-1403, RR-3491, RR-0113, RR-4002, RR-3145, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-0359, RR-3923, RR-0155, RR-1499, RR-0729, RR-2971, RR-0414, RR-0310, RR-1393, RR-3828, RR-0723, RR-3071, RR-4504,RR-1510, RR-3262, RR-1729, RR-1605, RR-1175, RR-3061, RR-2200, RR-2872, RR-1934, RR-2059, RR-1141, RR-3870, RR-2695, RR-3746, RR-2313, RR-1721, RR-3629, RR-3160, RR-2512, RR-1635, RR-1995, RR-4174, RR-2039, RR-3615, RR-2245, RR-3697, RR-1268, RR-3676, RR-3961, RR-1182, RR-2056, RR-1871, RR-3636, RR-3582, RR-2813, RR-3155, RR-3087, RR-1935, RR-4226, RR-0203, RR-2760, RR-2953, RR-3290, RR-2011, RR-3976,RR-2105, RR-4386, RR-2955, RR-3641, RR-3641, RR-2621, RR-0640, RR-4853, RR-4177, RR-4763, RR-3473, RR-0540, RR-3600, RR-2405, RR-3685,RR-4026, RR-0866, RR-3810, RR-0792, RR-0192, RR-3438, RR-2088, RR-1162, RR-2775, RR-4337, RR-4792, RR-2697, RR-0037,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4565, RR-3266, RR-3825, RR-4208, RR-3102, RR-3469, RR-4461, RR-4824, RR-4929, RR-1809, RR-1915, RR-4148, RR-2221, RR-0620, RR-0491, RR-4732, RR-0839, RR-3723, RR-0756, RR-0600, RR-4661, RR-2475, RR-1836, RR-3375, RR-2052, RR-3704, RR-1890, RR-4341, RR-3647, RR-2155, RR-4672, RR-0149, RR-3280, RR-1199, RR-2045, RR-3622, RR-0697, RR-3085, RR-3330, RR-1889, RR-0144, RR-2977, RR-2693, RR-4502, RR-3683, RR-3498, RR-0782, RR-4426, RR-1587, RR-1681, RR-4219, RR-4062, RR-0606, RR-0967, RR-4416, RR-0694, RR-4007, RR-1405, RR-3712, RR-2797, RR-2357, RR-0892, RR-3360, RR-1660, RR-1368, RR-2433, RR-2725, RR-0318, RR-2294, RR-3322, RR-3891, RR-2253, RR-2847, RR-1507, RR-0278, RR-1826, RR-4875, RR-3421, RR-4771, RR-2264, RR-2282, RR-2696, RR-1201, RR-2176, RR-3023, RR-2564, RR-3587, RR-0671, RR-1865, RR-2370, RR-2110, RR-2777, RR-0333, RR-3314, RR-0794, RR-3650, RR-3866, RR-0754, RR-2080, RR-0984, RR-1145, RR-1523, RR-1604, RR-1658, RR-1947,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2286, RR-2724, RR-3361, RR-3632			
CH-02	RR-4817, RR-4493, RR-4604, RR-0648, RR-2849, RR-4874, RR-0428, RR-4908, RR-1243, RR-4088, RR-4441, RR-4441, RR-0284, RR-0952, RR-1730, RR-1457, RR-2232, RR-1847, RR-3872, RR-1458, RR-4128, RR-4887, RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-2626, RR-4336, RR-0404, RR-3975, RR-4111, RR-4204, RR-3358, RR-2144, RR-0598, RR-4248, RR-1285, RR-2553, RR-4299, RR-4342, RR-4735, RR-2988, RR-2190, RR-2538, RR-1253, RR-4338, RR-2230, RR-2371, RR-1246, RR-0147, RR-1415, RR-1070, RR-1941, RR-4137, RR-4736, RR-2848, RR-3889, RR-1427, RR-3689, RR-3380, RR-4059, RR-2703, RR-3439, RR-1428, RR-0895, RR-1698, RR-2537, RR-4847, RR-2675, RR-3877, RR-0410, RR-3491, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-1666, RR-1729, RR-1175, RR-4786, RR-2039, RR-0203, RR-2105, RR-4760, RR-0540, RR-3600, RR-3685, RR-4026, RR-2775, RR-4337, RR-4279, RR-1720, RR-0618, RR-1809, RR-0620, RR-1595, RR-4661, RR-2475, RR-3704, RR-4396,	Listed Buildings	Respondents expressed concern for the Scheme's impact on listed buildings. Some respondents expressed concern for the Scheme damaging listed buildings.	The potential effects of the Scheme on listed buildings and other built heritage assets are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219] and Appendix 12-8: Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment Tables [APP-232]. The assessment identifies baseline heritage assets, considers their significance, and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning, including effects on setting. The assessment concludes that no direct physical impacts to listed buildings are required to facilitate the Scheme. Any identified effects on listed buildings are indirect and relate to changes in elements of their setting that contribute to their significance. After mitigation, residual effects to the setting of the listed buildings range between Neutral and Minor/Moderate Adverse, and therefore not significant (see Volume 3, Appendix 12-8: Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment Tables [APP-232]). The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to heritage assets (including designated assets) has been agreed with Historic England and Wiltshire Council and is set out in the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0149, RR-4539, RR-3622, RR-2644, RR-0599, RR-2693, RR-0782, RR-0967, RR-4818, RR-4832, RR-2853, RR-1854, RR-2357, RR-1660, RR-3891, RR-4771, RR-2359, RR-3023, RR-2370, RR-0984, RR-1658, AS-004, RR-3632, RR-4765			relevant Statements of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. Embedded mitigation measures to avoid or reduce harm are set out in Section 12.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064].
CH-03	RR-2184, RR-3199, RR-3783, RR-2371, AS-004, RR-4164, RR-3923, RR-0620, RR-0782, RR-1861	Listed Buildings	Respondent expressed concern for the Scheme's impact on Bradfield Manor.	The potential effects of the Scheme on Grade I listed Bradfield Manor Farmhouse (NHLE 1198808) are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219] and Appendix 12-8: Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment Tables [APP-232]. The assessment considers the asset's significance, including the contribution made by its setting, and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment concludes that there will be no direct physical impacts to Bradfield Manor Farmhouse as a result of the Scheme. Identified effects are indirect and relate to change within elements of Bradfield Manor Farmhouse's wider agricultural setting to the north. Following consultation with Historic England, the Scheme design was amended to increase panel set-back in Field D5 and to incorporate enhanced planting to reduce potential effects.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				With embedded mitigation in place, the assessment identifies a moderate/minor adverse effect (not significant), equating to less than substantial harm at the lower end of the scale in National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) terms. Embedded mitigation measures are set out in Section 12.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] , with landscape planting and management secured through the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan [APP-283] and Requirement 7 within Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] .
CH-04	RR-1255	Listed Buildings	Respondent stated that it is hypocritical to prevent listed buildings from making energy efficient modifications whilst installing solar panels in the area.	The comment relates to wider national policy concerning alterations to listed buildings and the approach to energy efficiency improvements. The relevant assessments for the Scheme is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], supported by detailed technical appendices in ES Volume 3, Appendices 12-1 to 12-8 [APP-219 to APP-232] consider the potential effects of the Scheme on the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets. The assessments do not involve consideration of the regulation of works to listed buildings, which is governed by separate legislation and policy. The matters raised therefore fall outside the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				scope of the cultural heritage assessment for this Development Consent Order (DCO) application (Application).
CH-05	RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-2309, RR-0946, AS-004	Heritage Assets	Respondent stated that harm to heritage assets has not been convincingly justified as required by national planning policy.	As set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219] and Appendix 12-8: Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment Tables [APP-232], the potential effects of the Scheme on designated and non-designated heritage assets have been assessed in accordance with relevant national policy and guidance. The assessment identifies the significance of heritage assets, including the contribution made by their setting, and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. Where harm has been identified, this has been clearly described and categorised. The assessment concludes that any harm to designated heritage assets would be indirect, would not involve direct physical impacts, and, with appropriate mitigation, would amount to less than substantial harm in NPPF terms. The Planning Statement [APP-267] sets out the policy assessment and planning balance exercise undertaken for the Scheme in relation to national and local policy, including the approach to weighing the potential effects of the Scheme on cultural heritage receptors (as described

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				above) against the public benefits of the Scheme. The cultural heritage assessment provides the technical basis for that planning judgement.
CH-06	RR-1434, RR-3082	Heritage Assets	Respondent expressed concern about the Scheme's impact on the Gauzebrook Group of Churches.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] , supported by Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219] , has assessed the potential impact of the Scheme on built heritage assets, including churches in proximity to Gauzebrook (the Gauzebrook Group of Churches), and, where required appropriate mitigation has been proposed (see Section 12.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] for embedded mitigation and Section 12.11 for additional mitigation). No significant effects have been identified to the Gauzebrook Group of Churches.
CH-07	AS-004	Heritage Assets	Respondent expressed that assessing individual assets in isolation is flawed and inconsistent with national policy and statutory duties. It was stated that the ES fails to assess cumulative impact arising from impacts to a number of heritage assets.	The Applicant disagrees with the statement that the ES has not assessed cumulative impacts on heritage assets. The potential effects of the Scheme on cultural heritage assets, including cumulative effects, are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] , supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219] . The assessment identifies heritage assets,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				considers their significance and the contribution of setting, and evaluates effects during construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Scheme. The cumulative impacts on cultural heritage assets have been assessed with consideration of the Scheme and other relevant proposed and identified developments in Section 12.12 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064]. This approach is in line with the methodology detailed in Section 6.8 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 6: Environmental Impact Assessment Methodology [APP-058] and has been undertaken in accordance with the Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects: Advice on Cumulative Effects Assessment Guidance (Planning Inspectorate Advice Note 17) and the relevant requirements in NPS Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (NPS EN-1), NPS National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Infrastructure (NPS EN-3) and NPS National Policy Statement for electricity networks infrastructure (NPS EN-5). As well as other guidance and policy (i.e. Paragraph 56 of Historic England Advice Note 15: Commercial Renewable Energy Development and the Historic Environment and Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects: Advice on Cumulative Effects Assessment) and has been informed by

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				consultation undertaken with Wiltshire Council (see Section 12.2 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064]). Wiltshire Council confirmed they support the approach to the assessment of cumulative effects on heritage assets. The Applicant considers that the cumulative effects assessment in the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] is robust, proportionate and accords with relevant policy and legislation.
CH-08	RR-1070	Heritage Assets	Respondent states that the Scheme contradicts NPS EN-1 which requires the Secretary of State should take into account the desirability of sustaining and, where appropriate, enhancing the significance of heritage assets.	The potential effects of the Scheme on heritage assets are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219] and Appendix 12-8: Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment Tables [APP-232]. The assessment identifies the significance of heritage assets, including the contribution made by their setting, and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment concludes that no direct physical impacts to designated heritage assets are required to facilitate the Scheme. Where effects are identified, these are indirect, relate to changes within elements of setting, and would amount to less than substantial harm in NPPF terms. Embedded mitigation measures to avoid or reduce harm are set out in Section 12.9 of

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064]. The Planning Statement [APP-267] addresses compliance with the relevant policies of NPS EN-1, including the requirement for the Secretary of State to have regard to the desirability of sustaining and, where appropriate, enhancing the significance of heritage assets. The Cultural Heritage assessment provides the technical basis to inform that policy judgement.
CH-09	RR-1427	Listed Buildings	Respondent expressed concern that the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) is planned to be next door to a Grade 1 listed medieval manor house, which they regularly visit and stay at.	Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) is proposed in Field D1 of Lime Down D, which is located within agricultural land to the north of the Grade I Listed Bradfield Manor Farmhouse (NHLE: 1198808). Views of the proposed BESS and 400 kV Substation from Bradfield Manor Farmhouse will be largely mitigated by the proposed new woodland belt along the south-eastern boundary of Field D3 which, in combination with the existing woodland block west of D1 and south of D22, provides extensive screening in views to and from Bradfield Manor Farmhouse. It was identified and agreed with Historic England and Wiltshire Council, that there would be a moderate / minor (not significant) adverse effect to the asset (less than substantial harm at the lower end in NPPF terms) as a result of indirect

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				(i.e. setting) impacts from solar infrastructure proposed in Lime Down D.
CH-10	RR-3094, RR-0782	Listed Buildings	Respondents expressed concern regarding the impact of the proposals on Malmesbury Abbey	Malmesbury Abbey (NHLE 1010136) was identified at the scoping stage of the cultural heritage assessment and is included within the Heritage Scoping Tables at Annex C of ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219]. Following professional assessment, Malmesbury Abbey was scoped out of further detailed assessment on the basis that there is no relationship or intervisibility between the Abbey and the Solar PV Sites and therefore no potential for impact arising from the Scheme. Wiltshire Council and Historic England agreed the methodology for scoping assets in and excluding them from the assessment on 22 August 2024, detailed in Table 12-1 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064]. The scoping exercise was undertaken in accordance with relevant Historic England guidance (i.e. Paragraph 56 of Historic England Advice Note 15: Commercial Renewable Energy Development and the Historic Environment and Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects: Advice on Cumulative Effects Assessment) and considered proximity, intervisibility, topography and the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				contribution made by setting to the significance of the asset. Only those assets with the potential to be affected were taken forward for full assessment within ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064]. On this basis, the Scheme would not give rise to effects on the significance or setting of Malmesbury Abbey.
CH-11	RR-3094, RR-2475	Archaeology	Respondent expressed concern for ancient rights of way including drove ways, pilgrimage routes for Saints Aldhelm and Athelstan.	As stated in Section 3.7 of the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], construction traffic routes have been identified to avoid large increases in HGV movements near to heritage assets, including historic routes such as droveways and pilgrimage routes. Highway improvement works near Rodbourne Conservation Area, along the droveway, may give rise to temporary construction effects; however, as set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], these would not result in harm to the significance of the Rodbourne Conservation Area, and a neutral effect has been identified. The visual effects of the Scheme on Public Rights of Way and minor roads which make up the promoted routes noted in the Respondent's comments have been fully

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				assessed in the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-3-2-2 Landscape and Visual Assessment Sheets (Significant) [APP-191]. The assessment concluded that visual effects on Public Rights of Way would be limited in extent, temporary or reversible in nature, and would reduce over time as mitigation becomes effective. The Applicant has assessed all known public rights of way (PRoW) and long-distance recreational or promoted routes affected by the Scheme in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241]. This assessment considers the impact on individual recreational routes, including their practical use, enjoyment and desirability. The assessment finds no significant residual effects to individual PRoW or the PRoW network overall. However, residual significant effects are anticipated to some long-distance recreation routes during all phases of the Scheme due to their regional or national importance. The Athelstan Pilgrim Way was identified as part of pre-submission consultation and thus assessed in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241], with no significant adverse effects anticipated at any phase of

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				the Scheme. The Saint Aldhelm's Way was not identified in pre-submission consultation and the Applicant is not aware of any publication that identifies it as a regionally important route. The Applicant has reviewed the PRow along which this route lies, and considers that there would not be any significant residual effect to this route subject to implementation of mitigation measures as set out in the Outline CTMP [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRowPMP) [APP-282], secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. Any other historical routes, which may be accessed permissively, are retained as part of the Scheme design, where they have been identified (such as the ancient drover's trail from Seagry Wood to Rodbourne Bottom).
CH-12	RR-1595	Listed Buildings	Respondent expressed concerns regarding the traffic impact to listed buildings on the B4039.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], supported by Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219], has assessed the potential impact of the Scheme on built heritage assets as a result of construction traffic, including noise and vibration impacts in Section 3.5.15. No impacts have been identified to heritage assets located along the B4039.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The B4039 sits on a construction route for the cable corridor. The Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] at section 3.3 explains that Cable Route Corridor temporary accesses will generate up to eight arrivals and eight departures per day for the delivery of material and equipment. Each access will operate for 90 days. Around half of these will be HGV trips and half LGV trips. There will also be around 10 construction workers using each access. Therefore, accesses along the Cable Route Corridor will generate the following trips per day: • HGV - 4 deliveries (8 movements) per access; • LGV - 4 deliveries (8 movements) per access; • Construction worker arrivals (car/van) – 10 arrivals (20 movements) per access. As a worst-case assessment, it is assumed that all workers will arrive via a private vehicle. This low number of temporary construction trips is unlikely to generate significant effects on the highway network as concluded in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. The potential effects of construction traffic on highway safety and accident risk on the construction route through Acton Turville

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This considers changes in traffic flows, HGV movements and accident risk on the local road network. Links experiencing traffic increases above defined assessment thresholds were taken forward for detailed analysis. The assessment concludes that the level of construction traffic associated with the Scheme is unlikely to materially affect road safety on links within the Study Area, and no significant adverse effects on accident risk are anticipated. Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic safely are set out in the Outline CTMP [APP-287], which includes defined vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and coordination with the local highway authority, and are secured by Requirement 15 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016].
CH-13	RR-2475	Listed Buildings	Respondent expressed concern for impacts to all Grade 1 listed churches, specifically as a result of changes to the setting of the Athelstan Pilgrim Way.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], supported by Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219], has assessed the potential impact of the Scheme on built heritage assets, including Grade I Listed churches, and where required appropriate mitigation has been proposed (see Section 12.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] for

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				embedded mitigation and Section 12.11 for additional mitigation). No significant effects have been identified to Grade I churches adjacent to the Scheme.
CH-14	RR-1826	Listed Buildings	Respondent stated that they were dissatisfied with the scoping of listed buildings. They noted that only 1 out of the 7 listed buildings in Rodbourne is mentioned in the Application.	All designated heritage assets within the defined study area were identified at the scoping stage of the cultural heritage assessment and are listed in the Heritage Scoping Tables at Annex C of ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219]. This included the listed buildings within Rodbourne. In accordance with relevant Historic England guidance, only those assets identified as having potential to be affected by the Scheme, having regard to proximity, intervisibility, topography and the contribution made by setting to the significance of the asset, were scoped in for detailed assessment in the ES. Assets with no potential for impact were scoped out and are recorded as such in the Heritage Scoping Tables. The Grade II* Church of the Holy Rood and Rodbourne Conservation Area were assessed in detail within ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219]. The scoping methodology and conclusions are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064].
CH-15	RR-1826	Heritage Assets	Respondent stated that there is no mention of the most	The Grade II* Church of the Holy Rood, Rodbourne (NHLE 1363874), together with

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			important heritage assets of the area including Holy Rood Church, Rodbourne and its connection to Malmesbury Abbey.	Rodbourn Conservation Area, is assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219] and Appendix 12-8: Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment Tables [APP-232]. The assessment considers the significance of these assets, including the contribution made by their setting, and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The historic association between Rodbourne and Malmesbury Abbey is described as part of the historical background to the village in the Heritage Statement. Malmesbury Abbey (NHLE 1010136) was identified at scoping stage and scoped out of further detailed assessment on the basis that there is no relationship with or potential for impact arising from the Scheme. The assessment concludes that the Scheme would not cause significant effects on the significance or setting of heritage assets within Rodbourne (including the Church of the Holy Rood) in EIA terms.
CH-16	RR-3023	Listed Buildings	Respondent stated that most on the houses in The Street, Grittleton are Grade 2 or Grade 2* listed and would be impacted by the construction of the Scheme. The	The potential effects of the Scheme on listed buildings within Grittleton, including those located along The Street, are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] , supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1:

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			respondent added that most of Grittleton has linked underground watercourses that would impact and undermine these buildings.	Heritage Statement [APP-219] and Appendix 12-8: Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment Tables [APP-232]. The assessment considers the significance of listed buildings within the village, including the contribution made by their setting, and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. No direct physical impacts to listed buildings in Grittleton would be caused as a result of the Scheme. Where relevant, potential effects are assessed as indirect and relate to changes within elements of the wider rural setting. The assessment concludes that effects on listed buildings within Grittleton would be either neutral or minor adverse and not significant in EIA terms. Embedded mitigation is set out in Section 12.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064]. Matters relating to hydrology, drainage and groundwater conditions are assessed separately in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], supported by the Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy appendices [APP-210 to APP-218]. The Applicant does not agree that the Scheme gives rise to a credible risk of impacts to listed buildings in Grittleton from underground watercourses or related ground instability, and no such effect is

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				identified by the hydrology, drainage or cultural heritage assessments.
CH-17	RR-4817, RR-4493, RR-0648, RR-2355, RR-3936, RR-4908, RR-2157, RR-4819, RR-4088, RR-4441, RR-4441, RR-1730, RR-2232, RR-1458, RR-4887, RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-2856, RR-3003, RR-0199, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-4111, RR-4098, RR-3911, RR-4204, RR-2506, RR-1107, RR-2639, RR-3479, RR-3222, RR-3820, RR-1152, RR-1903, RR-4735, RR-4252, RR-0090, RR-2538, RR-1253, RR-3004, RR-4338, RR-2230, RR-1673, RR-1953, RR-0917, RR-0147, RR-1436, RR-0830, RR-2550, RR-2103, RR-4092, RR-3889, RR-3104, RR-3890, RR-1318, RR-3133, RR-1612, RR-2537, RR-4847, RR-2791, RR-1617, RR-2189, RR-0690, RR-0410, RR-0994, RR-3491, RR-4002, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-3923, RR-3071, RR-3262, RR-1175, RR-3746, RR-3629, RR-3961, RR-0203, RR-2760, RR-2955, RR-4177, RR-3600, RR-3685, RR-3176, RR-0792, RR-3176, RR-4337, RR-4792, RR-1720, RR-0172, RR-4824, RR-4742, RR-1809, RR-4228, RR-4508, RR-1890, RR-4341,	Archaeology	Respondents expressed general concern for the Scheme's impact on archaeology and / or the Scheme causing damage to Archaeological sites.	The potential effects of the Scheme on archaeological assets are fully assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], supported by detailed technical appendices in ES Volume 3, Appendices 12-2 to 12-5 [APP-220 to APP-229]. The assessment considers baseline archaeological conditions, identifies known and potential archaeological assets within the study area, and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment concluded that, following mitigation, the residual effects on any archaeological assets, would be neutral/negligible and therefore not significant (see Volume 3, Appendix 12-8: Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment Tables [APP-232]). The assessment is informed by desk-based research, geophysical surveys and evaluation trenching, which have been undertaken to identify areas of archaeological potential and to refine the Scheme design where practicable to avoid harm. Where impacts cannot be avoided, embedded mitigation measures are set out in Section 12.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], with additional mitigation detailed in Section 12.11.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0149, RR-1804, RR-3280, RR-2644, RR-0144, RR-3683, RR-3498, RR-4426, RR-1587, RR-3712, RR-0892, RR-3625, RR-0318, RR-3322, RR-3891, RR-1778, RR-4771, RR-2696, RR-1201, RR-0984, RR-1658, RR-3632, RR-4645			The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to archaeological sites has been agreed with Historic England and Wiltshire Council and the Applicant is discussing the methodology for the mitigation strategy with these parties as set out in the relevant Statements of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. An overarching archaeological mitigation strategy is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-6: Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy [APP-230], which sets out the framework for further archaeological investigation, recording and protection where ground disturbance is required. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed archaeological Written Schemes of Investigation for each part of the Scheme, substantially in accordance with the ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-6 Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy [APP-230], are secured through Requirement 12 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that appropriate protection, recording and mitigation are delivered during the relevant part of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CH-18	RR-3631, RR-2006, RR-1152, RR-4404, RR-1305, RR-4302, RR-2736, RR-3629, RR-4742, RR-1289, RR-3625, RR-4623	Archaeology	Respondents stated concern regarding the impact of the Scheme on the Wessex Way.	There are no landscape and visual associations between the Scheme and the Wessex Way which runs between Salisbury and Lyme Regis. As such, this route has not been assessed in respect of heritage, landscape, or tourism and recreation impacts.
CH-19	RR-3004	Archaeology	Respondent stated that geophysical results from the Scheme have shown exceptionally rich archaeologically potential with Roman and Iron Age sites.	The assessment in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] is informed by geophysical survey (see ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-4a Archaeological Geophysical Survey Report - Solar PV Sites [APP-223] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-4b Archaeological Geophysical Survey Report - Cable Route Corridor [APP-224]), which has identified several previously unrecorded archaeological sites. The results of the geophysical survey were tested by evaluation trenching (see ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-5 Interim Evaluation Trial Trenching Reports Parts 1 to 5 [APP-225 to APP-229]). Identified archaeological sites are typical to the Wiltshire region the majority of which date to the Iron Age and Roman periods. No sites were identified that were of National Importance, as defined within the NPS Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy (NPS EN-1) and the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). The chapter concluded that there would be significant effects in absence of additional mitigation for 23 archaeological

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				assets, however, following additional mitigation, the residual effects on any archaeological assets including Iron Age and Roman period remains, would be reduced to neutral/negligible and therefore not significant. An overarching archaeological mitigation strategy is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-6: Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy [APP-230], which sets out the framework for further archaeological investigation, recording and protection where ground disturbance is required.
CH-20	RR-4453	Archaeology	Respondent expressed concern for the impact of the proposals on historic battlefields.	Registered Battlefields within the wider study area were identified at scoping stage as part of the cultural heritage assessment process and are recorded within the Heritage Scoping Tables at Annex C of ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219]. In accordance with Historic England guidance, only those heritage assets identified as having potential to be affected by the Scheme, having regard to proximity, intervisibility and the contribution made by setting to significance of the asset, were taken forward for detailed assessment within ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064]. Tables 12-6 to 12-9

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				identify designated assets scoped in for assessment. No Registered Battlefields were identified as having potential for impact arising from the Scheme and were therefore scoped out of detailed assessment. On this basis, the Scheme would not cause significant effects to historic battlefields.
CH-21	RR-1049, RR-4248, AS-004	National Planning Policy	Respondents stated that the project is in conflict with NPS EN-1.	The Applicant does not agree that the Scheme conflicts with relevant policies in NPS EN-1. The Planning Statement [APP-267] Annex A appraises the Scheme's compliance against the specific policies contained within NPS EN-1. This section identifies relevant policies in EN-1 and sets out in detail how the Scheme, the cultural heritage assessment in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] and the accompanying appendices comply with those policies including in relation to the assessment of likely significant effects of the Scheme on heritage and archaeological assets, consideration of harm, appropriate mitigation measures and the relevant planning balance exercise. For example, paragraph 5.9.11 of EN-1 requires that: <i>'Where a site on which development is proposed includes, or the available evidence suggests it has the potential to</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				<i>include, heritage assets with an archaeological interest, the applicant should carry out appropriate desk-based assessment and, where such desk-based research is insufficient to properly assess the interest, a field evaluation. Where proposed development will affect the setting of a heritage asset, accurate representative visualisations may be necessary to explain the impact.</i> Annex A of the Planning Statement [APP-267] explains that the Scheme includes heritage assets with an archaeological interest. Baseline information has been collated from archaeological Desk-Based Assessments (DBA) (ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-2: Archaeological Desk-Based Assessments (for Solar PV Sites and Cable Route) [APP-220 and APP-221]) including a geoarchaeological DBA, as well as the results of archaeological evaluation (ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-3: Air Photo and LiDAR Mapping and Interpretation [APP-222], ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-4: Archaeological Geophysical Survey Reports (for the Solar PV Sites and Cable Route Corridor) [APP-223 and APP-224] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 12- 5: Interim Evaluation Trial Trenching Reports (Parts 1 – 5) [APP-225 to APP-229]). ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] concludes that following the implementation of embedded

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				and additional mitigation measures outlined within the Chapter in Sections 12.9 and 12.11, such as the implementation of an overarching Archaeological Mitigation Strategy, the residual effect on archaeological assets will not be significant. Part 8.3 (paragraph 8.3.106 onwards) of the Planning Statement [APP-267] also contains an overall appraisal of the Scheme against the relevant policies relating to the historic environment, in consideration of the environmental assessment reported in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] and the accompanying appendices. It is concluded that the Scheme complies with the relevant policies in relation to historic environment and no residual significant effects are anticipated on heritage or archaeological assets as a result of the Scheme.
CH-22	RR-3783, RR-4777, RR-4164, RR-4356, RR-1728	Archaeology	Respondent stated that archaeological mitigation surveys and strategies remain incomplete.	Interim trial trenching reports were submitted as part of the DCO Application (ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-5: Interim Evaluation Trial Trenching Reports Parts 1-5 [APP-225 to APP-229]) and full trial trenching reports will be submitted, in advance of determination of the Application by the Secretary of State during Deadline 2. The information currently available is

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				appropriate and sufficient for the assessments undertaken to date. An interim geophysical survey report of the Cable Route Corridor was submitted as part of the DCO Application (ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-4b Archaeological Geophysical Survey Report – Cable Route Corridor [APP-224]) and a full report covering the geophysical survey of the Cable Route Corridor will be submitted, in advance of determination of the Application by the Secretary of State. The assessment of the significance of any remains identified is detailed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064]. Archaeological evaluation (Appendix 12-3: Air Photo and LiDAR Mapping and Interpretation, Appendix 12-4a and 12.4b, Archaeological Geophysical Survey Reports, and Appendix 12-5: Interim Evaluation Trial Trenching Reports (Parts 1 to 5) [APP-222 to APP-229]) have successfully been used to identify archaeological sites and have informed the overarching Archaeological Mitigation Strategy (AMS), which is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-6 Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy [APP-230]. The outline AMS will be updated as required following the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				completion of outstanding evaluation works.
CH-23	RR-3004	Archaeology	Respondent expressed concern for the Anglo-Saxon Foxley Farm Site.	The Applicant understands that reference to the Anglo-Saxon Foxley Farm Site is reference to the Scheduled Early medieval settlement, palace, church and Bronze Age ring ditches 340m east of Cowage Farm (NHLE: 1018389). The Applicant confirms that the Scheme would not impact this asset. For archaeological remains within the Scheme, Solar PV Panels have been removed from Fields B1 and B12, and have been partially removed from Fields B6 and B11. An outline archaeological mitigation strategy is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-6: Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy [APP-230], which sets out the framework for further archaeological investigation, recording and protection where ground disturbance is required.
CH-24	RR-0830	Heritage Assets	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the proposals on the scheduled monument of White Walls.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] has identified that no impacts would arise as a result of the Scheme on Scheduled Monuments.
CH-25	RR-1315	Archaeology	Respondent stated that areas chosen for the Scheme are all small villages and towns, with character and cultural heritage, made up of listed	ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] , supported by ES Volume 3, Appendices 12.1 to 12.8 [APP-219 to APP-232] has assessed the potential impact of the Scheme on heritage

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			buildings, archaeological and historical buildings, all within quaint quiet natural surroundings. Respondent stated that this is a development that should be located in smaller developments and in modern cities or industrial cities that are better placed to have this change and not on green belt land, in rural locations. Respondent claimed that the Scheme is also contrary to NPPF section 4, 11, 15, 16.	assets. The chapter concluded that there would be significant effects in the absence of additional mitigation for 23 archaeological assets, however, following additional mitigation, the residual effects on any archaeological assets, would be reduced to neutral/negligible and therefore not significant. The chapter also concluded that all of the identified impacts to designated assets would result in less than substantial harm and are considered not significant, with effects ranging between Neutral and Moderate/ Minor (no significant effect). Where required appropriate mitigation is proposed. See Section 12.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] for embedded mitigation and Section 12.11 for additional mitigation. An overarching archaeological mitigation strategy is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-6 Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy [APP-230]. As to the scale and location of the development, the Statement of Need [APP-268] explains why solar development is required at this scale to meet the Government's targets as set out in the Clean Power 2030 Action Plan. It is the Government's policy to support the development of large-scale schemes in order to meet the urgent need for unprecedented levels of clean

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				electricity. Relevant planning policy (specifically NPS EN-1 paragraph 3.3.12) recognises that meeting the country's energy needs requires the use of large-scale, centralised electricity generating facilities via a high-voltage transmission system, which enables the pooling of both generation and demand, which in turn offers a number of economic and other benefits, such as more efficient bulk transfer of power and enabling surplus generation capacity in one area to be used to cover shortfalls elsewhere. NPS EN-3 paragraph 2.10.31 recognises that at this scale, solar development is likely to use some agricultural land. As to alternative sites, the Applicant's consideration of alternatives is set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 4-1: Site Selection Assessment Report [APP-185]. This includes how the Applicant has considered brownfield sites within 20km of the Existing National Grid Melksham Substation to identify any industrial land that could be incorporated into the Scheme. None of the sites identified were large enough and available for inclusion. The Scheme does not include any Green Belt land. The Applicant disagrees with the statement that the Scheme does not comply with

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				NPPF parts 4 (decision-making), 11 (making effective use of land), 15 (conserving the natural environment) and 16 (conserving and enhancing the historic environment). These policies and an explanation of how the Scheme has complied with them are set out in detail in Annexes A and B of the Planning Statement [APP-267] . The Scheme is considered to be policy compliant, and where significant adverse environmental effects have been identified, these have been accounted for along with the significant benefits of the Scheme in the Applicant's planning balance exercise included in the Planning Statement [APP-267] . The conclusion of that exercise is that the balance is still in favour of granting the development consent order.
CH-26	RR-2736	Archaeology	Respondent stated that future archaeological exploration will be limited to the corridor created by the fencing. The widening of current opening to the Fosse Way and disturbance and removal of hedgerows in this area will impact negatively on historical artifacts in this area. Previous rich findings have been made along both routes and access and discovery of important historical artifacts will be impacted by this development.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] , supported by ES Volume 3, Appendices 12-2 to 12-5 [APP-220 to APP-230] has assessed the potential impact of the Scheme on identified archaeological assets. Numerous archaeological sites have been identified through desk-based research (ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-2: Archaeological Desk-Based Assessments [APP-220 to APP-221]) and archaeological evaluation (ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-3: Air Photo and LiDAR Mapping and Interpretation, Appendix 12-4, Archaeological Geophysical

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			There is no evidence of any proposals for the assessment of future archaeological findings during the pre, during and post construction period. Respondent stated that the proposal will cause irreparable trauma and that archaeological treasures will be lost and ancient landscapes irreversibly damaged. These historic artefacts found add to the richness of my locale and value to my way of life. Removing the ability to further this knowledge is negatively impactful on my, and the locales, wellbeing. Respondent expressed concern that archaeological investigation would be confined to the corridor created by the fencing therefore limiting future exploration. They add that widening the Fosse Way access and removing hedgerows will harm artefacts in an area with previous significant finds, and that there is no plan for managing discoveries before, during, or after construction. They	Survey Reports, and Appendix 12-5: Interim Evaluation Trial Trenching Reports [APP-222 to APP-229]). Where required, appropriate mitigation is proposed, which will allow future archaeological exploration of the land within the area of the Scheme. See Section 12.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] for embedded mitigation and Section 12.11 for additional mitigation. An overarching archaeological mitigation strategy is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-6 Archaeological Mitigation Strategy [APP-230], which also sets out the methodology for public engagement during future archaeological exploration and includes access and discovery of assets. ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-7: Historic Landscape Assessment [APP-231], has assessed the potential impact of the Scheme on the historic landscape character. No significant effects were identified on the historic landscape character as a result of impacts caused by the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			expressed further concern that the development will cause irreversible loss of archaeological treasures and ancient landscapes, and say these artefacts enrich the local area and support both personal and community wellbeing.	
CH-27	RR-1617	Heritage Assets	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact on the ancient monument on the bridge over the River Avon at the heart of the village of Easton Grey.	Construction traffic routes have been identified to remove any potential for impacts to heritage assets in Easton Grey, including the Grade II Listed Bridge Over River Avon (NHLE: 1356034). As such, no significant effects were identified by ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], which is supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219]. The construction delivery routes are fixed to the proposed routes set out in section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. None route over the historic bridge, so it will not be impacted by HGV construction traffic.
CH-28	RR-4228	Religious Practices	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the proposals on land on their ability to worship as a pagan.	The Applicant is not aware of any specific locations within or near to the Order Limits that are defined or known as specific pagan worship sites. The Applicant has assessed the likely impacts to community identity and culture

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				in ES Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] and acknowledges while there will be some degree of changes to perceptions of rurality, the effect on health and wellbeing is assessed as not significant at any phase of the Scheme. Whilst this has not specifically considered religious connections to the land within the Order Limits itself, the Applicant emphasises that the Scheme does not alter the extent to which public access to the relevant land is available (given that it is largely private agricultural land with public rights of way across the land only). With the exception of short-term closures to public rights of way to facilitate construction, the Scheme does not reduce legal access to the land within the Order Limits, more so it aids in increasing access through permissive access routes in areas including those set aside for ecological enhancement measures.
CH-29	RR-4875	Archaeology	Respondent stated that in addition to the Roman relics there are other important historic tracks and drovers routes which will be directly affected dating back to King Aldhelm and earlier. The views from and settings of important listed buildings including Malmesbury Abbey, will be changed. The land that runs along the southern	ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], supported by ES Volume 3, Appendices 12-1 to 12-8 [APP-219 to APP-232] has assessed the potential impact of the Scheme on heritage assets. Where required, appropriate mitigation is proposed. See Section 12.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			boundary of the proposed development has significant historical importance. It is part of the Oxford to Bristol road mapped by John Ogilby in 1765. It is also one of the few cross-country routes in England shown on the Gough map from c. 1380. Prior that that, it has significance as a route in the Saxon, Roman and Iron Age periods. The respondent added that at least one important listed house will have its setting changed and panels, BESS and the 400kV transformer will all be visible (and possibly audible) from it.	064] for embedded mitigation and Section 12.11 for additional mitigation. As stated in Section 3.7 of the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], construction traffic routes have been identified to avoid large increases in HGV movements near to heritage assets, including historic routes such as ancient droveways and the Oxford to Bristol Road. Highway improvement works near Rodbourne Conservation Area, along the ancient droveway, may give rise to temporary construction effects; however, as set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], these would not result in harm to the significance of the Rodbourne Conservation Area or the droveway, and a neutral effect has been identified. Malmesbury Abbey (NHLE 1010136) was identified at the scoping stage of the cultural heritage assessment and is included within the Heritage Scoping Tables at Annex C of ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219]. Following professional assessment, it was scoped out of further detailed assessment on the basis that there is no relationship or intervisibility between the Abbey and the Solar PV Sites and therefore no potential for impact arising from the Scheme. The scoping exercise was undertaken in accordance

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				with Historic England guidance and considered proximity, intervisibility, topography and the contribution made by setting to the significance of the asset. The potential effects of the Scheme on Grade I listed Bradfield Manor Farmhouse (NHLE 1198808) are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-8: Cultural Heritage Impact Assessment Tables [APP-232]. The assessment considers the asset's significance, including the contribution made by its setting, and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment concludes that there will be no direct physical impacts to Bradfield Manor Farmhouse as a result of the Scheme. Identified effects are indirect and relate to change within elements of Bradfield Manor Farmhouse's wider agricultural setting to the north. Following consultation with Historic England, the Scheme design was amended to increase panel set-back in Field D5 and to incorporate enhanced planting to reduce potential effects. Desk-based research (ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-2: Archaeological Desk-Based Assessments [APP-220 to APP-

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				221]) and archaeological evaluation (ES Appendix 12-3: Air Photo and LiDAR Mapping and Interpretation, Appendix 12-4, Archaeological Geophysical Survey Reports, and Appendix 12-5: Interim Evaluation Trial Trenching Reports [APP-222 to APP-229]) have successfully been used to identify archaeological sites. The assessment concluded that, in the absence of additional mitigation, significant effects would occur to 23 archaeological assets. However, following the implementation of appropriate mitigation, residual effects on all archaeological assets would be reduced to neutral or negligible and therefore not significant. The assessments have informed the outline archaeological mitigation strategy provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-6 Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy [APP-230]. The Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy [APP-230] defines the proposed mitigation measures for areas where archaeological remains would be affected and is secured through Requirement 12 of the Development Consent Order (DCO).
CH-30	RR-4875	Archaeology	Respondent stated that further investigation should be undertaken e.g. to the field B6 and C22 to prevent irreparable damage caused by piling 4m into the ground so close to the	Desk-based research (ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-2: Archaeological Desk-Based Assessments [APP-220 to APP-221]) and archaeological evaluation (ES Appendix 12-3: Air Photo and LiDAR Mapping and Interpretation, Appendix

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			Roman Settlement at Easton Grey.	12-4, Archaeological Geophysical Survey Reports, and Appendix 12-5: Interim Evaluation Trial Trenching Reports [APP-222 to APP-229]) have successfully been used to identify archaeological sites and have informed the overarching archaeological mitigation strategy provided in ES Volume 3 Appendix 12-6 Archaeological Mitigation Strategy [APP-230]. The information currently available is appropriate and sufficient for the assessments undertaken to date. The results of the archaeological evaluation have been used to inform the Scheme design including the decision to remove Solar PV Panels from Fields B1 and B12, and partially remove Solar PV Panels from Fields B6 and B11. The geophysical survey recorded no anomalies of archaeological origin with C22 so further investigation, agreed with Wiltshire Council, is not recommended.
CH-31	RR-3568, RR-4604, RR-3917, RR-3454, RR-3389, RR-4607, RR-4475, RR-1731, RR-2184, RR-2366, RR-2111, RR-2226, RR-4829, RR-3129, RR-3199, RR-3548, RR-1243, RR-4819, RR-4088, RR-4005, RR-2874, RR-0115, RR-0955, RR-0952, RR-2292, RR-1730, RR-3499, RR-0153, RR-1722, RR-2511, RR-3872, RR-0102, RR-3687,	The Fosse Way	Respondents expressed general concern for the Scheme's impact on the cultural heritage of the Fosse Way and / or the 'Roman road'.	The potential effects of the Scheme on the cultural heritage of the Fosse Way and associated Roman archaeological assets are fully assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] , supported by detailed technical appendices in ES Volume 3, Appendices 12-1 to 12-8 [APP-219 to APP-232] . The assessment identifies known Roman heritage assets, considers their significance, and evaluates likely effects

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3631, RR-2021, RR-4498, RR-2590, RR-4453, RR-4128, RR-2419, RR-2174, RR-3873, RR-0959, RR-2681, RR-0724, RR-1633, RR-0962, RR-0604, RR-3175, RR-2978, RR-0364, RR-4281, RR-3530, RR-2306, RR-0404, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-3975, RR-1339, RR-2452, RR-3144, RR-2347, RR-1776, RR-2506, RR-3925, RR-3256, RR-2958, RR-0212, RR-1613, RR-2577, RR-1107, RR-2006, RR-1135, RR-0271, RR-3535, RR-0598, RR-4822, RR-2884, RR-1152, RR-3760, RR-3567, RR-4299, RR-4899, RR-1078, RR-3151, RR-4101, RR-2823, RR-3164, RR-3164, RR-4873, RR-1430, RR-2190, RR-4404, RR-3621, RR-3121, RR-2538, RR-0470, RR-4592, RR-3004, RR-4338, RR-2073, RR-4165, RR-2230, RR-4239, RR-3967, RR-4876, RR-1673, RR-4481, RR-1071, RR-2048, RR-1603, RR-0092, RR-0083, RR-0382, RR-2779, RR-3182, RR-1305, RR-4920, RR-2961, RR-3136, RR-0917, RR-1436, RR-4900, RR-0830, RR-1415, RR-1070, RR-1444, RR-1416, RR-0003, RR-3819, RR-4418, RR-4288, RR-3472, RR-2103, RR-0161, RR-2399, RR-4380, RR-4673,			during construction, operation and decommissioning, including effects on setting. Since the design presented at PEIR stage, Field C26, the westernmost part of Field C25, and the majority of Field C13 have been entirely removed from the Order Limits. Solar PV Panels have also been removed entirely from within Fields B1-B5, C24-C25, and C13. A number of fields abutting the Fosse Way within which Solar PV Panels will be erected have had offsets established with their boundaries with Fosse Way, including Fields B6, C10-C12, and C22-C23. Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219] identified that the overall setting and significance of the Fosse Way will remain intact, and the asset will retain its legibility as a roadway within a largely agrarian landscape. Consequently, no significant effects were identified to the asset. Embedded mitigation measures to avoid or reduce harm to heritage assets are set out in Section 12.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], with additional mitigation detailed in Section 12.11. An overarching archaeological mitigation strategy is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-6: Outline

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2382, RR-1879, RR-2471, RR-3889, RR-4302, RR-1485, RR-2736, RR-1427, RR-2368, RR-1726, RR-2171, RR-1319, RR-0621, RR-4555, RR-1513, RR-0148, RR-1970, RR-2410, RR-2410, RR-0128, RR-3890, RR-4050, RR-3020, RR-1964, RR-1702, RR-1318, RR-3664, RR-1013, RR-1286, RR-1678, RR-2733, RR-0449, RR-0702, RR-1916, RR-1148, RR-0634, RR-1140, RR-4518, RR-3035, RR-3953, RR-2791, RR-0752, RR-1703, RR-1617, RR-0258, RR-3980, RR-0894, RR-3348, RR-2546, RR-0410, RR-3618, RR-0715, RR-1403, RR-0113, RR-3923, RR-1499, RR-4321, RR-4267, RR-0995, RR-2154, RR-1729, RR-3061, RR-2872, RR-2313, RR-3629, RR-2635, RR-3160, RR-3676, RR-3961, RR-1871, RR-3525, RR-3774, RR-2953, RR-3290, RR-2453, RR-0865, RR-3159, RR-2955, RR-2621, RR-3929, RR-0549, RR-0640, RR-3317, RR-4853, RR-4763, RR-0540, RR-3473, RR-2405, RR-2238, RR-4330, RR-3176, RR-1162, RR-0066, RR-4792, RR-4565, RR-3825, RR-3102, RR-1167, RR-3469, RR-4642, RR-4440, RR-4929, RR-0618, RR-4228, RR-2323,			Archaeological Mitigation Strategy [APP-230]. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to the Fosse Way has been agreed with Historic England and the methodology for the mitigation strategy is under discussion with Historic England and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4228, RR-0620, RR-0491, RR-3094, RR-0713, RR-1102, RR-0600, RR-1448, RR-2229, RR-4661, RR-2475, RR-0152, RR-1890, RR-1154, RR-0089, RR-1531, RR-2822, RR-1734, RR-2155, RR-1289, RR-1804, RR-4539, RR-1199, RR-1532, RR-0697, RR-3330, RR-0562, RR-3532, RR-4104, RR-2541, RR-4458, RR-0121, RR-2428, RR-0782, RR-1892, RR-3683, RR-3498, RR-4426, RR-1587, RR-1067, RR-4062, RR-4403, RR-0606, RR-3601, RR-0446, RR-0162, RR-2542, RR-0457, RR-3712, RR-3577, RR-1537, RR-0810, RR-3625, RR-3513, RR-2725, RR-0821, RR-2637, RR-1283, RR-0318, RR-3909, RR-4374, RR-1826, RR-0278, RR-4875, RR-1778, RR-2142, RR-3371, RR-4623, RR-2264, RR-2282, RR-2696, RR-2176, RR-1113, RR-1923, RR-3563, RR-2370, RR-2110, RR-4599, RR-3314, RR-0794, RR-4722, RR-3650, RR-3603, RR-0770, RR-4710, RR-3306, RR-3001, RR-2080, RR-0683			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CH-32	RR-3417, RR-0811, RR-4764, RR-2631, RR-0428, RR-4482, RR-4147, RR-0126, RR-3002, RR-2292, RR-1163, RR-1235, RR-2681, RR-0195, RR-1434, RR-3906, RR-3121, RR-4519, RR-2603, RR-1673, RR-2732, RR-4357, RR-4078, RR-2484, RR-1232, RR-3861, RR-2024, RR-0863, RR-4358, RR-0858, RR-4800, RR-2150, RR-2025, RR-4736, RR-2736, RR-3366, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-0414, RR-1397, RR-0995, RR-3982, RR-0865, RR-2238, RR-1162, RR-3825, RR-3102, RR-4642, RR-0491, RR-2475, RR-0152, RR-0089, RR-3647, RR-2155, RR-3532, RR-4104, RR-3797, RR-3683, RR-3498, RR-4426, RR-1587, RR-4403, RR-0457, RR-0810, RR-3513, RR-2433, RR-0821, RR-4285, RR-2745, RR-1283, RR-3909, RR-2110, RR-4599, RR-3650, RR-3098, RR-3534, RR-2515, RR-3001, RR-2845, RR-1861, RR-3918, RR-4543	The Fosse Way	Respondents expressed concern for the Scheme's visual impact on the Fosse Way and / or to the setting of the Fosse Way.	The landscape and visual effects of the Scheme, including effects on the Fosse Way and its setting, are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060]. The Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) identifies users of the Fosse Way as visual receptors and evaluates likely effects during construction, operation and decommissioning using realistic worst-case design parameters. The Fosse Way runs adjacent to Sites B and C through the Scheme and is made of seven separate receptors which have been assessed in full. The Sequential effects for users of the Fosse Way are not considered to be any greater than those effects identified for the individual sections as set out within ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-3: ES LVIA Assessment Sheets [APP-189 to APP-194]. Moderate Adverse effects on Receptor No TR145: Fosse Way were identified as a result of Site C with no greater effects identified as a result of Site B. Refer to ES Chapter, Appendix 8-3-2-1 - Landscape and Visual Assessment Sheets [APP-191]. The assessment found that there would be temporary Moderate Adverse effects during the construction and Year 1 of operation on views from The Fosse Way which would reduce to Minor at

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Year 15 of operation and No effect at decommissioning as a result of the proposed mitigation planting. The Scheme design has been refined through an iterative design process to avoid and reduce visual effects on the Fosse Way. This includes the removal of Solar PV Panels from fields adjacent to the Fosse Way where significant visual effects were identified, together with the introduction of landscape buffers and new planting to further reduce visibility of infrastructure from the route and its surrounding landscape. Details of the proposed landscape mitigation measures are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060], ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4-1 to 3-4-5.2 Landscape and Ecology Mitigation Plan [APP-084], the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283], and the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (OEPMS) [APP-284]. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed landscape and ecological management plans, (substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283]) and a detailed ecological protection and mitigation strategy (substantially in accordance with

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				the Outline EPMS [APP-284] are secured through Requirements 7 and 8 respectively in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that visual mitigation measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme. The effects of the Scheme on the setting of the Fosse Way as a heritage asset are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], which concludes that, with the design refinements and secured mitigation in place, effects on the setting of the Fosse Way are appropriately managed and no significant effects were identified to the asset. Since the design presented at PEIR stage, Field C26, the westernmost part of Field C25, and the majority of Field C13 have been entirely removed from the Order Limits. Solar PV Panels have also been removed entirely from within Fields B1-B5, C24-C25, and C13. A number of fields abutting the Fosse Way within which Solar PV Panels will be erected have had offsets established with their boundaries with Fosse Way, including Fields B6, C10-C12, and C22-C23. Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219] identified that the overall setting and significance of the Fosse Way will remain intact, and the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				asset will retain its legibility as a roadway within a largely agrarian landscape. The Applicant notes that the approach to the assessment in relation to the Fosse Way has been agreed with Historic England and the methodology for the mitigation strategy is under discussion with Historic England and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.
CH-33	RR-3955, RR-1096, RR-4000, RR-2486, RR-0470, RR-4621, RR-4872, RR-1916, RR-2323, RR-0713, RR-1289, RR-3797, RR-0457, RR-1283, RR-4374, RR-3918	The Fosse Way	Respondents expressed concern for construction traffic in relation to the Fosse Way.	The potential effects of construction traffic on the local highway network, including the Fosse Way, are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. The assessment considers construction vehicle routing, traffic volumes, road safety and effects on road users, and concludes that, with appropriate mitigation in place, construction traffic would not give rise to unacceptable impacts on the operation or safety of the Fosse Way. Construction vehicle movements will be managed through a Construction Traffic Management Plan. An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] has been prepared and submitted with the Application. The Outline CTMP sets out measures to ensure that the effect of construction traffic on the local highway network is minimised, including defined construction access points, approved HGV routes, coordination of

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				deliveries, and timing restrictions to avoid peak traffic periods where practicable. The Outline CTMP also includes a road condition and dilapidation survey of minor roads not typically used by HGV traffic. Any damage to verges or carriageway surfaces caused by construction vehicles will be rectified to the satisfaction of the local highway authority. In addition, the Outline CTMP includes community liaison measures to keep local communities informed of construction activity and to provide a contact point for feedback should any issues arise. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed CTMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP, are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring construction traffic effects on the Fosse Way are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase.
CH-34	RR-3620	The Fosse Way	Respondent noted that some of the sites for the wind farm lie along the Fosse Way, which will be difficult and damaging to the surrounding area.	The Scheme does not include any wind-based infrastructure. The Scheme comprises solar photovoltaic (PV) electricity generating station and associated infrastructure. Since the design presented at PEIR stage, Field C26, the westernmost part of Field C25, and the majority of Field C13 have

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				been entirely removed from the Order Limits. Solar PV Panels have also been removed entirely from within Fields B1-B5, C24-C25, and C13. A number of fields abutting the Fosse Way, within which Solar PV Panels will be erected, have had offsets established with their boundaries and the Fosse Way, including Fields B6, C10-C12, and C22-C23. Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219] identified that the overall setting and significance of the Fosse Way will remain intact, and the asset will retain its legibility as a roadway within a largely agrarian landscape. Consequently, no significant effects were identified to the asset.
CH-35	RR-3756	The Fosse Way	Respondent noted that Lime Down B and Lime Down C lie immediately adjacent to the Fosse Way on opposing sides, encasing the historic Roman alignment with a corridor of industrialised infrastructure. This will create a 'tunnel effect' or 'canyon' of security fencing and the presence of the panels cannot be screened by mitigation measures.	Since the design presented at PEIR stage, Field C26, the westernmost part of Field C25, and the majority of Field C13 have been entirely removed from the Order Limits. Solar PV Panels have also been removed entirely from within Fields B1-B5, C24-C25, and C13. A number of fields, abutting the Fosse Way within which Solar PV Panels, will be erected have had offsets established with their boundaries and the Fosse Way, including Fields B6, C10-C12, and C22-C23. ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219] identified that the overall setting and significance of the Fosse Way will remain intact, and the asset will retain its legibility as a roadway within a largely agrarian landscape. Consequently, no

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				significant effects were identified to the asset. The visual effects of the Scheme on the Fosse Way have been fully assessed in the LVIA in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-3-2-2: Landscape and Visual Assessment Sheets (Significant) [APP-191]. The Fosse Way, identified as Receptor TR145, represents a long section of the Fosse Way from Dunley Wood to the south to Foxley Road to the North as represented by Viewpoints 14, 15 and 22 – 25. Users of the Fosse Way are assessed as having Moderate Adverse Significant Effects at construction and Year 1 of operation. Construction effects would be temporary in nature and at the start of the operation phase infrastructure would be visible to varying degrees as mitigation planting is established. However, once mitigation planting matures the magnitude of change would reduce to Very Low and the effects at Year 15 would reduce to Minor and Neutral in nature, with no adverse effects identified during decommissioning.
CH-36	RR-0702	Archaeology	Respondent expressed concern about the impact on the track at Rodbourne, said to be an ancient pilgrim route.	As stated in Section 3.7 of the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] , construction traffic routes have been identified to avoid large increases in HGV movements near to heritage assets. Highway improvement works would cause

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				at most temporary construction effects and would not result in harm to the Rodbourne Conservation Area's significance. Therefore, a neutral effect was identified as a result of the Scheme to the Rodbourne Conservation Area. The Applicant has sought to assess all known public rights of way and long-distance recreational or promoted routes affected by the Scheme in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241]. This assessment considers the impact on individual recreational routes, including their practical use, enjoyment and desirability. The assessment finds no significant residual effects to individual PROW or the PROW network overall. However, residual significant effects are anticipated to some long-distance recreation routes during all phases of the Scheme due to their regional or national importance. The Athelstan Pilgrim Way was identified as part of pre-submission consultation and thus assessed, with no significant adverse effect anticipated at any phase of the Scheme subject to implementation of mitigation measures as set out in the Outline CTMP [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282], secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft DCO [APP-016].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Any other historical routes, which may be accessed permissively, are retained as part of the Scheme design, where they have been identified (such as the ancient drover's trail from Seagry Wood to Rodbourne Bottom). This has not been assessed specifically, however, the effect on this route is anticipated to be similar to any other assessed PROW that runs adjacent to Solar PV Sites (i.e. affected by views, landscape planting, and construction impacts, but not to a significant extent).
CH-37	RR-0702	Heritage Assets	Respondent expressed concern about the impact on Malmesbury Abbey	Malmesbury Abbey (NHLE 1010136) was identified at the scoping stage of the Cultural Heritage assessment and is recorded in ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Scoping Tables [APP-219]. In accordance with Historic England guidance, only those heritage assets identified as having potential to be affected by the Scheme, having regard to proximity, intervisibility, topography and the contribution made by setting to significance, were taken forward for detailed assessment. Malmesbury Abbey was scoped out on the basis that there is no relationship or potential for impact arising from the Scheme.
CH-38	RR-4642	The Fosse Way	Respondent expressed particular concern regarding impacts on the portion of the	Since the design presented at PEIR stage, Field C26, the westernmost part of Field C25, and the majority of Field C13 have

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			Fosse Way at Ladyswood / Lordswood, which borders their land.	been entirely removed from the Order Limits. Solar PV Panels have also been removed entirely from within Fields B1-B5, C24-C25, and C13. A number of fields abutting the Fosse Way within which Solar PV Panels will be erected have had offsets established with their boundaries with Fosse Way, including Fields B6, C10-C12, and C22-C23. Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219] identified that the overall setting and significance of the Fosse Way will remain intact, and the asset will retain its legibility as a roadway within a largely agrarian landscape. Consequently, no significant effects were identified to the asset.
CH-39	RR-1826	Archaeology	Respondent expressed that the Application does not understand the significance of the track in Lime Down E, an ancient drovers way which could host PV panels.	Lime Down E utilises the compound area and from there tractor and trailer transports panels to each PV location minimising any impact. As stated in Section 3.7 of the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], construction traffic routes have been identified to avoid large increases in HGV movements near to heritage assets, including historic routes such as ancient droveways. Highway improvement works near Rodbourne Conservation Area, along the droveway, may give rise to temporary

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				construction effects; however, as set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] , these would not result in harm to the significance of the Rodbourne Conservation Area, and a neutral effect has been identified.
CH-40	RR-1826	Ownership	Respondent stated that the Applicant is not aware of the ownership of the hundreds of tracts of land through the Site, with ownership going back as far as the Domesday book. Much of the land is subject to two royal charters and the land was always intended for farmland.	The Cultural Heritage assessment considers the historic development of the Site and its surroundings, including medieval and post-medieval landholding patterns, as set out in ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219] . The assessment also includes consideration of Historic Landscape Character within ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] . The assessment of effects is based on the identification of designated and non-designated heritage assets and the evaluation of potential impacts on their significance, including the contribution made by setting.
CH-41	RR-3926	Archaeology	Respondent expressed concern that the cable route will go through a Roman site on a farm that the farmer isn't allowed to plough.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] , supported by ES Volume 3, Appendices 12.1 to 12.8 [APP-219 to APP-232] has assessed the potential impact of the Scheme on heritage assets, including Roman-period remains such as the Roman road.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Where required, appropriate mitigation is proposed. See Section 12.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] for embedded mitigation and Section 12.11 for additional mitigation. The assessment concludes that, following the implementation of mitigation, any residual effects would be reduced to neutral or negligible and therefore not significant. An overarching archaeological mitigation strategy is provided in ES Volume 3 Appendix 12-6 Archaeological Mitigation Strategy [APP-230]. The Outline Archaeological Mitigation Strategy [APP-230] defines the proposed mitigation measures for areas where archaeological remains would be affected, including features such as the Roman road, and is secured through Requirement 12 of the Development Consent Order (DCO). The Applicant is discussing the approach to archaeological mitigation with Wiltshire Council and Historic England (See Table 12-2 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064]), the ongoing consultation will be reflected in the SoCG submitted at Deadline 1.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CH-42	RR-3823	Archaeology	Respondent stated that Rodbourne is a conservation area, which will be removed if the solar park is installed.	Rodbourn Conservation Area is identified and assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064], supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 12-1: Heritage Statement [APP-219], which considers its character, appearance and the contribution made by its setting. The assessment concludes that the Scheme would not result in direct physical impacts to the Conservation Area. Where potential effects on its wider setting are identified, these are assessed as indirect and not significant in EIA terms. Embedded mitigation measures to reduce potential effects are set out in Section 12.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064]. Conservation Area designation is a statutory matter under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 and would not be removed as a result of the Scheme.

2.10 Air Quality

Table 2-10

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
AQ-01	RR-1462, RR-4944, RR-3114, RR-1240, RR-1655, RR-1731, RR-4149, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-1055, RR-4089, RR-4887, RR-0762, RR-1083, RR-0725, RR-2990, RR-4281, RR-2126, RR-0467, RR-1278, RR-4204, RR-2139, RR-0271, RR-0598, RR-2639, RR-0011, RR-2411, RR-3758, RR-4112, RR-2866, RR-1994, RR-0481, RR-4145, RR-1036, RR-2988, RR-0437, RR-4146, RR-1188, RR-1489, RR-2680, RR-3904, RR-2076, RR-4178, RR-1673, RR-1765, RR-4669, RR-2779, RR-4284, RR-1085, RR-0820, RR-4681, RR-0654, RR-2938, RR-2382, RR-1490, RR-2848, RR-4077, RR-2526, RR-2939, RR-0527, RR-2679, RR-3890, RR-3140, RR-1013, RR-1852, RR-0817, RR-4660, RR-0852, RR-1894, RR-0387, RR-0059, RR-0514, RR-4002, RR-4848, RR-3639, RR-2635, RR-0714, RR-2898, RR-0652, RR-0248, RR-1871, RR-3497, RR-3976, RR-3362, RR-4462, RR-0540, RR-0173, RR-3612, RR-1356, RR-4279, RR-4199, RR-3213,	General concern	Respondent expressed general concern for the impact on air quality and / or air pollution.	An assessment of the effects of the Scheme on air quality (including the risk of increased pollution) is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 15: Air Quality [APP-067], which considers potential air quality impacts during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment examines dust emissions, vehicle emissions, non-road mobile machinery emissions (NRMM), back-up generator emissions and emissions associated with the unlikely event of a potential Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) fire. Supporting technical assessments are provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 15-1: Construction Dust Methodology and Assessment [APP-238] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 15-2: BESS Fire Emissions Modelling [APP-239]. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation measures in place, the Scheme would not give rise to significant adverse air quality effects at any human or ecological receptors. Mitigation measures to control construction dust, vehicle emissions and plant emissions are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277],

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1809, RR-3066, RR-2120, RR-3143, RR-2749, RR-1890, RR-3816, RR-1116, RR-4539, RR-0028, RR-3345, RR-1648, RR-1889, RR-2977, RR-0892, RR-2142, RR-3460, RR-2231, RR-0643, RR-2370, RR-1345, RR-1590, RR-3614, RR-3056, RR-1032, RR-2845, RR-4202, RR-4543			which includes measures such as dust suppression and site management controls. Additional measures to control construction vehicle emissions are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287], which includes restrictions on construction traffic routing and timing. Measures to control operational emissions, including maintenance activities and back-up generator testing, are set out in the Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278]. In the unlikely event of a BESS fire, measures to control fire emissions are outlined in the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286]. This includes measures to limit human exposure to air pollution in the event of a fire such as advising people to close windows and doors or to move to a location with cleaner air when required and cancelling outdoor events where necessary. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed CEMP, CTMP, OEMP and BSMP, substantially in accordance with the corresponding outline plans, are secured through requirements in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that air quality impacts are appropriately controlled throughout the construction and operation of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
AQ-02	RR-2944, RR-1458, RR-3997, RR-4544, RR-4259, RR-4735, RR-3915, RR-2483, RR-1253, RR-2230, RR-1471, RR-1560, RR-0382, RR-2734, RR-1106, RR-1372, RR-4847, RR-0500, RR-3857, RR-4848, RR-3497, RR-4026, RR-0192, RR-1809, RR-2977, RR-0963, RR-1868, RR-1345, RR-2714	Construction	Respondent expressed concern for the impact to air quality and / or air pollution caused by construction activities, such as construction traffic.	An assessment of the effects of construction activities on air quality is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 15: Air Quality [APP-067]. The assessment considers potential emissions from construction dust, construction vehicle exhausts and non-road mobile machinery (NRMM), and has been undertaken in accordance with relevant best practice guidance. Further detail on the construction dust assessment is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 15-1: Construction Dust Methodology and Assessment [APP-238]. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation measures in place, construction-phase air quality effects on receptors as a result of the Scheme would not be significant. Mitigation measures to control dust and emissions during construction are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. These include measures such as dust suppression, site management controls, routing and scheduling of construction traffic, and management of plant and machinery. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed construction management plans, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through Schedule 2 of the Draft

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring that air quality impacts during construction are appropriately controlled.
AQ-03	RR-2958	Pollution	Respondent expressed concern for the impact of air quality on Corston due to south westerly winds.	An assessment of the effects of the Scheme on air quality is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 15: Air Quality [APP-067], which considers potential air quality impacts during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment examines dust emissions, vehicle emissions, non-road mobile machinery emissions, back-up generator emissions and emissions associated with the unlikely event of a BESS fire. Supporting technical assessments are provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 15-1: Construction Dust Methodology and Assessment [APP-238] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 15-2: BESS Fire Emissions Modelling [APP-239]. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation measures in place, the Scheme would not give rise to significant adverse air quality effects at human or ecological receptors in any location, including Corston. Mitigation measures to control construction dust, vehicle emissions and plant emissions are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], which includes measures such as dust suppression and site management controls. Additional measures to control

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				construction vehicle emissions are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287], which includes restrictions on construction traffic routing and timing. Measures to control operational emissions, including maintenance activities and back-up generator testing, are set out in the Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278]. Measures to control BESS fire emissions are outlined in the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286]. These include measures to limit human exposure to air pollution in the event of a fire. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed CEMP, CTMP, OEMP and BSMP, substantially in accordance with the corresponding outline plans, are secured through requirements in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that air quality impacts are appropriately controlled throughout the construction and operation of the Scheme.
AQ-04	RR-0328, RR-0118, RR-2488, RR-1938	Construction	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of mud and dust as a result of construction.	An assessment of the effects of construction activities on air quality is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 15: Air Quality [APP-067]. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation measures in place, construction-phase air quality effects on receptors as a result of the Scheme would

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				not be significant. Mitigation measures to control dust and emissions during construction are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. These include measures such as dust suppression, site management controls, routing and scheduling of construction traffic, and management of plant and machinery. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed construction management plans, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that air quality impacts during construction are appropriately controlled.
AQ-05	RR-2563	Construction	Respondent stated that digging has previously impacted the breathing of their horses.	An assessment of the effects of construction activities on air quality is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 15: Air Quality [APP-067]. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation measures in place, construction-phase air quality effects as a result of the Scheme would not be significant for any receptors within the relevant study areas. As indicated in ES Volume 3, Appendix 15-1: Construction Dust Methodology and Assessment [APP-238], the highest level of mitigation measures have been proposed, in accordance with best practice

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				guidance. These measures are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. These include measures such as dust suppression, site management controls, routing and scheduling of construction traffic, and management of plant and machinery. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed construction management plans, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that air quality impacts during construction are appropriately controlled. The Applicant recognises that animals, including horses, can be sensitive to dust and construction activity. The management measures described above are specifically designed to minimise dust at source and reduce the potential for construction related emissions to affect nearby receptors, including equestrian properties.

2.11 Socio-Economics, Recreation and Tourism

Table 2-11

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
SE-01	RR-4817, RR-3568, RR-4493, RR-0478, RR-0245, RR-1462, RR-3611, RR-3724, RR-2519, RR-0488, RR-4677, RR-1398, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-3898, RR-0502, RR-4161, RR-3335, RR-4075, RR-3584, RR-2396, RR-3510, RR-0778, RR-1737, RR-4607, RR-1164, RR-2963, RR-1496, RR-1303, RR-0129, RR-0544, RR-4951, RR-4926, RR-4326, RR-0428, RR-4773, RR-3199, RR-1243, RR-1094, RR-4819, RR-3988, RR-0743, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-1667, RR-1576, RR-3052, RR-2759, RR-4636, RR-1003, RR-3687, RR-3449, RR-2590, RR-2773, RR-3707, RR-1769, RR-1055, RR-2419, RR-2818, RR-2854, RR-2119, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-2856, RR-3003, RR-0762, RR-3847, RR-0725, RR-3616, RR-2309, RR-3694, RR-4281, RR-2306, RR-0535, RR-0404, RR-3721, RR-4111, RR-0123, RR-0328, RR-0633, RR-1991, RR-4130, RR-3714, RR-3653, RR-3400, RR-3504,	Local Economy and / or Businesses	Respondents expressed general concern for the Scheme's impact on the local economy and/or local businesses. Some respondents expressed that there are no socio-economic and / or economic benefits to the Scheme.	An assessment of the likely effects of the Scheme on the local economy and employment is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068]. This chapter considers potential impacts on construction and engineering sectors, agricultural activity, tourism-related businesses, and wider local economic conditions during construction, operation and decommissioning. Supporting evidence is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-3: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation – Summary of Non-Significant Effects [APP-239], which summarises that effects on the local economy and economic prosperity are assessed as negligible to minor beneficial, with construction expenditure and employment generation contributing positive, temporary effects on local economic activity. The assessment therefore concludes that the Scheme would not result in significant adverse effects on local businesses or the wider local economy, and that socio-economic benefits, including job creation and local supply-chain opportunities, are expected during the construction phase,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0919, RR-2144, RR-2958, RR-1613, RR-1792, RR-2577, RR-4443, RR-3364, RR-1420, RR-2055, RR-0311, RR-0391, RR-0027, RR-0271, RR-1967, RR-3604, RR-2388, RR-0388, RR-3222, RR-4084, RR-1994, RR-4348, RR-3997, RR-4544, RR-3117, RR-2377, RR-1903, RR-4259, RR-2136, RR-3962, RR-3477, RR-3544, RR-4342, RR-2988, RR-4101, RR-0473, RR-3783, RR-1053, RR-1656, RR-1972, RR-3108, RR-3597, RR-4694, RR-4512, RR-3621, RR-3915, RR-2483, RR-0856, RR-2680, RR-4165, RR-0553, RR-0424, RR-1557, RR-1081, RR-4296, RR-3157, RR-0851, RR-2595, RR-3179, RR-0610, RR-2389, RR-1715, RR-1118, RR-3519, RR-0043, RR-4186, RR-1305, RR-1436, RR-2965, RR-4114, RR-1389, RR-1835, RR-4787, RR-2103, RR-4197, RR-0161, RR-2399, RR-1814, RR-2150, RR-2382, RR-3000, RR-3659, RR-1143, RR-4526, RR-3889, RR-4302, RR-0042, RR-2736, RR-1726, RR-2087, RR-4049, RR-2047, RR-4555, RR-0910, RR-0987, RR-2703, RR-1492, RR-3890, RR-1342, RR-2530, RR-2533, RR-3133, RR-1451, RR-1698, RR-0702, RR-4847, RR-1328, RR-3035, RR-1617, RR-1447, RR-2426, RR-2662, RR-2372, RR-1796,			with more modest economic and skills and supply chain opportunities during the operational phase. The Applicant has sought to minimise long-term adverse effects on local businesses through the mitigation measures set out in the Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278], Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287], and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282], secured respectively by Requirements 14, 15, and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. The Applicant is furthermore committed to skills, employment and business enhancement measures as set out in the Outline Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan (SSCEP) [APP-285], secured by Requirement 18 in Schedule 2 to the Draft DCO [APP-016], to help increase business activity and local skill capabilities in Wiltshire during the operational lifetime of the Scheme. The Applicant notes that the approach to assessment of socio-economic effects has been agreed with Wiltshire Council's Economics and Regeneration Team, with the exception of matters relating to agricultural employment, which is still under discussion and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0140, RR-2108, RR-4002, RR-0569, RR-0589, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-0359, RR-3862, RR-1666, RR-2654, RR-3828, RR-0497, RR-3857, RR-2328, RR-3639, RR-3061, RR-4785, RR-1934, RR-3746, RR-2436, RR-4499, RR-3629, RR-0272, RR-2038, RR-3879, RR-3160, RR-1193, RR-4079, RR-1629, RR-1935, RR-0203, RR-1104, RR-2383, RR-0751, RR-0219, RR-4462, RR-3317, RR-0709, RR-1330, RR-4177, RR-0259, RR-0540, RR-2405, RR-4446, RR-1657, RR-2238, RR-1400, RR-0866, RR-2832, RR-0194, RR-1166, RR-4266, RR-3053, RR-3176, RR-4936, RR-1992, RR-3713, RR-3418, RR-2353, RR-2775, RR-4337, RR-3729, RR-1759, RR-2697, RR-0577, RR-3554, RR-3743, RR-3102, RR-1720, RR-4012, RR-4199, RR-3213, RR-4148, RR-3080, RR-1809, RR-4148, RR-4228, RR-3651, RR-0242, RR-2221, RR-1639, RR-0491, RR-3723, RR-1917, RR-3487, RR-1898, RR-3217, RR-1595, RR-0891, RR-2518, RR-2475, RR-1097, RR-1836, RR-3375, RR-4910, RR-0152, RR-2749, RR-3704, RR-2159, RR-2799, RR-4410, RR-1766, RR-2155, RR-3275, RR-4672, RR-0149, RR-0619, RR-2535, RR-3186, RR-2852, RR-0619, RR-4539, RR-0028,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2644, RR-1823, RR-4408, RR-1648, RR-4104, RR-3805, RR-3406, RR-2508, RR-0772, RR-0253, RR-4458, RR-2976, RR-4549, RR-3769, RR-1588, RR-3356, RR-4062, RR-4291, RR-1194, RR-0606, RR-4416, RR-1301, RR-2501, RR-0446, RR-1714, RR-1621, RR-1405, RR-1429, RR-2141, RR-2853, RR-4210, RR-3712, RR-3712, RR-3605, RR-2397, RR-4371, RR-1323, RR-0810, RR-2433, RR-2175, RR-0790, RR-2725, RR-4904, RR-3909, RR-2847, RR-4374, RR-4182, RR-3036, RR-3192, RR-0278, RR-2825, RR-4875, RR-1778, RR-4289, RR-3657, RR-2696, RR-2176, RR-3460, RR-2110, RR-4030, RR-4686, RR-1345, RR-1016, RR-0794, RR-2952, RR-0833, RR-4533, RR-3866, RR-2102, RR-1366, RR-2845, RR-1098, RR-4410, RR-4573, AS-008, AS-012, RR-0717, RR-1523, RR-1658, RR-3361, RR-4645			
SE-02	RR-3642	Economic Benefit	Respondents expressed general support for the socio-economic and / or economic benefits of the Scheme.	The Applicant agrees with and takes note of this comment. The Applicant confirms that the socio-economic benefits are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] .
SE-03	RR-4681	Local Economy	Respondent states that the application does not present a credible socio-economic	An assessment of the likely effects of the Scheme on the local economy and employment is provided in ES Volume 1,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
		and / or Businesses	impact assessment addressing visitor spend, local business sensitivity to access/amenity changes, PROW usage, or seasonal construction timing.	Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068]. This chapter considers potential impacts on key sectors likely to be impacted by the Scheme: construction and engineering sectors, agricultural activity, tourism-related businesses, and wider local economic conditions during construction, operation and decommissioning. Impacts on tourism- or visitor-dependent businesses consider changes to visitor spending, types of businesses that are most dependent on visitors (accommodation and food services, recreation and sport, retail, and museum, arts and entertainment venues), and the means of access to these businesses by road or by use of the PROW network. Construction impacts have been considered over the entire construction period and an estimated worst-case peak, rather than on a seasonal basis. The assessment concludes that the Scheme would not result in significant adverse effects on local businesses or the wider local economy, and that socio-economic benefits, including job creation and local supply-chain opportunities, are expected during the construction phase, with more modest economic and skills and supply chain opportunities during the operational phase. The Applicant notes that the approach to assessment of socio-economic effects has been agreed with Wiltshire Council's Economics and Regeneration Team, with

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				the exception of matters relating to agricultural employment, which is still under discussion. This is set out in the Statement of Common Ground with Wiltshire Council to be submitted at Deadline 1.
SE-04	RR-3639, RR-1809, RR-1649	Standard of Living	Respondents expressed concern that the Scheme will impact people's living standards.	With respect to living standards, the Applicant has assessed both economic impacts on local residents, and impacts to their health and wellbeing. An assessment of the likely effects of the Scheme on the local economy and employment is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068]. This chapter considers potential impacts on construction and engineering sectors, agricultural activity, tourism-related businesses, and wider local economic conditions during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment concludes that the Scheme would not result in significant adverse effects on local businesses or the wider local economy, and that socio-economic benefits, including job creation and local supply-chain opportunities, are expected during the construction phase, with more modest economic and skills and supply chain opportunities during the operational phase. The Applicant notes that the approach to assessment of socio-economic effects has been agreed with Wiltshire Council's Economics and Regeneration Team, with

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				the exception of matters relating to agricultural employment, which is still under discussion. This is set out in the Statement of Common Ground with Wiltshire Council to be submitted at Deadline 1. Impacts on physical and mental health and wellbeing are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070]. This chapter provides a comprehensive assessment of the Scheme's potential effects on human health, including (but not limited to) matters in relation to access to open space and recreation, transport and connectivity, community identity and cohesion, employment and income, noise and vibration, air quality, and access to health and social care services. The assessment applies a health "lens" to the findings of relevant technical chapters and concludes that, with the embedded mitigation and additional measures secured through the relevant management plans [APP-277 to APP-287] secured by their respective requirements in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], the Scheme would not give rise to significant adverse effects on population health, either in respect of physical or mental health and wellbeing. Mitigation measures include (but are not limited to) ensuring PROWs remain open as much as is practicable, HGV movements are set to defined routes and within set hours, community liaison and engagement is maintained, and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				construction impacts on noise and air quality are regulated.
SE-05	RR-3738, RR-1523	Demographics	Respondents expressed concern that the Scheme will drive families away and change the demographic of North Wiltshire and / or fewer people will want to live in the area.	Whilst property values are not a material planning consideration and are therefore not assessed in the ES, the potential effects of the Scheme on residential receptors have been assessed where relevant, including through the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060]. The LVIA assesses effects on residential properties and identifies where significant visual effects may occur. The assessment concludes that there would be no significant long term visual effects to residential properties as a consequence of the Scheme. The assessment of socio-demographic changes as a result of the Scheme has not included any assessment of the number of people who might voluntarily relocate away from the Scheme, as this was not identified in the EIA Scoping Report (Main Report) submitted to the Secretary of State on 16 July 2024 by the Applicant, nor requested by PINS as part of the Scoping Opinion adopted by the SoS on 22 August 2024, as an assessment matter likely to have any significant effect. The assessment in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] has assessed the likely demographic impact on the peak inbound workforce on the residential population of the 20 km Study Area during

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				construction. The assessment finds no greater than a short-term temporary minor beneficial effect on population age and health demography as a result of the inbound population being highly likely to be of working age, and of moderate to good physical health. This change is anticipated to be temporary and not material to long-term predictions of future demographic change in the Study Area.
SE-06	RR-1898	Economic Benefit	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the Scheme on inward investment opportunities in the local area.	The assessment of economic effect from the Scheme in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] has identified a beneficial effect to economic prosperity at all phases of the Scheme as a result either of increased employment, or as a result of increased economic benefits to the area (such as from ground rent to landowners). The Applicant has furthermore sought to support ongoing skills and employment opportunities through the measures set out in the Outline Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan (SSCEP)[APP-285], secured by Requirement 18 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], to help increase business activity and local skill capabilities in Wiltshire during the construction, operational, and decommissioning phases of the Scheme, thus contributing to strategic aims for economic development in the county of Wiltshire. Additional mitigation measures through the Outline SSCEP [APP-285] seek to reduce these losses through focusing on local recruitment, promoting local suppliers

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				where practicable, and through providing retraining opportunities for workers in agriculture or tourism dependent industries. It is considered that these measures would help to reduce local losses in the local economy and help to generate additional GVA in the local economy in alternative industries. The Applicant notes that the approach to assessment of socio-economic effects has been agreed with Wiltshire Council's Economics and Regeneration Team, with the exception of matters relating to agricultural employment, which is still under discussion and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.
SE-07	RR-2749, RR-2176	Local Economy and / or Businesses	Respondents expressed concern about the impact of the Scheme on the financial viability of Neeld Arms Public House and Neeld Village Hall.	The Applicant confirms that impacts on the Grittleton Conservation Area (in which the Neeld Arms Public House is located) and on the [Grittleton] Village Hall have been assessed in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241]. The assessment therein identifies up to a medium-term temporary moderate-minor adverse effect due to views of cable construction works, the construction compound, and HGV traffic within the conservation area. This is not however a significant effect and therefore is not anticipated to have a significant adverse effect on the financial viability of either referenced establishment. The Applicant has committed to the mitigation measures set out in the Outline Construction

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278], Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-287], and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282] (each secured by requirements in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] .
SE-08	RR-4817, RR-2293, RR-4604, RR-1910, RR-4138, RR-1076, RR-4677, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-3898, RR-0502, RR-2345, RR-3584, RR-4492, RR-1332, RR-4514, RR-4896, RR-2504, RR-4715, RR-1829, RR-1409, RR-3656, RR-1099, RR-3253, RR-0925, RR-0346, RR-1136, RR-1239, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-0061, RR-1316, RR-3260, RR-4758, RR-4286, RR-0583, RR-1192, RR-2413, RR-2419, RR-4128, RR-2854, RR-0959, RR-1163, RR-3796, RR-4692, RR-2255, RR-0546, RR-1455, RR-0324, RR-3223, RR-4098, RR-0633, RR-2392, RR-4126, RR-4130, RR-3714, RR-0311, RR-2664, RR-3758, RR-1384, RR-1244, RR-1152, RR-0267, RR-2136, RR-3962, RR-3477, RR-4956, RR-4299, RR-3837, RR-1656, RR-4873, RR-1972, RR-4731, RR-2708,	Property Prices	Respondents expressed concern for the Scheme's impact to property prices during the pre-application/development phase. Some respondents expressed that they have been unable to sell their properties as a result of the proposals.	Impacts of the Scheme on property prices have not been assessed as these have been agreed by the Planning Inspectorate to be scoped out of assessment in the ES, as set out in ES Volume 3, Appendix 1-2: Scoping Opinion Response Table [APP-181]. Whilst property values are not a material planning consideration and are therefore not assessed in the ES, the potential effects of the Scheme on residential receptors have been assessed where relevant, including through the Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060]. The LVIA assesses effects on residential properties and identifies where significant visual effects may occur. The assessment concludes that there would be no Significant Long Term Visual Effects to Residential Properties as a consequence of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0801, RR-3630, RR-2538, RR-4338, RR-4165, RR-4876, RR-2498, RR-0069, RR-1603, RR-1954, RR-3557, RR-1822, RR-3221, RR-3157, RR-0851, RR-0610, RR-1780, RR-4669, RR-3456, RR-1100, RR-3089, RR-0996, RR-0043, RR-2767, RR-1941, RR-4096, RR-4673, RR-1361, RR-3326, RR-3000, RR-0946, RR-2063, RR-2130, RR-3104, RR-4335, RR-2939, RR-3393, RR-2274, RR-0836, RR-4632, RR-0987, RR-1492, RR-2733, RR-2206, RR-1852, RR-0647, RR-3780, RR-4405, RR-2435, RR-2539, RR-0387, RR-3397, RR-1929, RR-0569, RR-1850, RR-3699, RR-3372, RR-1723, RR-0885, RR-3828, RR-4277, RR-0497, RR-3857, RR-4786, RR-1226, RR-1193, RR-2245, RR-4596, RR-4201, RR-3960, RR-3087, RR-2092, RR-3497, RR-0953, RR-2490, RR-0846, RR-4387, RR-3271, RR-2453, RR-2955, RR-4760, RR-4853, RR-2069, RR-3357, RR-4266, RR-3418, RR-1198, RR-3266, RR-3102, RR-3668, RR-3321, RR-3060, RR-1649, RR-2221, RR-1940, RR-4732, RR-0622, RR-3723, RR-0891, RR-2683, RR-1601, RR-0515, RR-0686, RR-1442, RR-0056, RR-0596, RR-4697, RR-3622, RR-1823, RR-3085, RR-1631, RR-2497, RR-2197, RR-2508,			The layout of the Scheme has been refined through an iterative design process to minimise effects on nearby properties. This includes embedded mitigation measures such as retaining existing hedgerows and woodland, providing new planting and landscape buffers, and incorporating minimum offsets from residential properties within the design.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1586, RR-2067, RR-0782, RR-0422, RR-0606, RR-1727, RR-4091, RR-0065, RR-3712, RR-2357, RR-3839, RR-0810, RR-2397, RR-1323, RR-3513, RR-4285, RR-0209, RR-4289, RR-1057, RR-2176, RR-2167, RR-2557, RR-1837, RR-2089, RR-2110, RR-0789, RR-3502, RR-2997, RR-0189, RR-4037, RR-4710, RR-1324, AS-008, RR-3796, RR-4277, RR-2069, RR-3357, RR-2709, RR-2683, RR-2862, RR-2067, RR-1194, RR-1204, RR-1775, RR-1840, RR-2286, RR-4180, RR-4765			
SE-09	RR-0946	Property Prices	Respondent was critical of the impact on property values, with one NSIP being built to date and little evidence to suggest values were unaffected. Respondent noted that transmission pylons and energy installations have had impacts before and that IGP had not appropriately assessed impacts.	Impacts of the Scheme on property prices and the saleability of properties have not been assessed as these have been agreed by the Planning Inspectorate to be scoped out of assessment in the Environmental Statement (ES), as set out in the Scoping Opinion adopted by the Secretary of State on 22 August 2024. The Applicant has not considered comparative assessment against transmission lines or other forms of energy developments due to widely different types of impacts that may or may not affect property value, particularly around the height and visibility of energy infrastructure. This form of assessment has not been requested by Planning Inspectorate (PINS) or any other statutory body at Scoping, Statutory Consultation, or in Relevant Representations. While Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) scale solar developments

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				are novel in the UK, there is no substantial evidence from other countries that find utility-scale solar developments have a significant effect on property prices.
SE-10	RR-3755, RR-3439, RR-1428, RR-2675, RR-0894, RR-3676, RR-0686, RR-3704, RR-3513, RR-2725, RR-2110	Property Prices	Respondent stated that the lack of natural drainage and/or increased flood risk would lead to increased insurance for residential properties. Some respondents ask who will compensate for insurance increases?	An assessment of the effects of the Scheme on flood risk both within the Site and the surrounding area is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], with supporting information provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218]. The assessment concludes that, with the embedded mitigation measures secured, the Scheme would not result in a material increase in off-site flood risk, including to adjacent communities and downstream receptors, and that any on-site flood risk would be managed such that the Scheme remains safe and operable for its lifetime. As a result, the Applicant considers that it is not anticipated that the Scheme would contribute towards consideration of insurance premiums for residential properties and is therefore in no position to compensate for any increases.
SE-11	RR-1850	Property Prices	Respondent asked whether the government will compensate those who will be unable to sell their homes if the Scheme is consented.	Impacts of the Scheme on property prices and the saleability of properties have not been assessed as these have been agreed by the Planning Inspectorate to be scoped out of assessment in the Environmental Statement (ES), as set out in the Scoping Opinion adopted by the SoS on 22 August 2024. As there is no identified link between the Scheme and value or saleability of residential properties, there is no means

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				for compensation for any perceived losses as a result of the Development Consent Order (DCO) being consented.
SE-12	RR-4853, RR-3066, RR-0056, RR-2357, RR-3513, RR-2110, RR-4037, AS-008	Property Prices	Respondents stated that there appears to be no form of compensation planned for people with devalued properties as a result of the Scheme.	Impacts of the Scheme on property prices and the saleability of properties have not been assessed as these have been agreed by the Planning Inspectorate to be scoped out of assessment in the Environmental Statement (ES), as set out in the Scoping Opinion adopted by the SoS on 22 August 2024. As there is no identified link between the Scheme and value or saleability of residential properties, there is no means for compensation for any perceived losses as a result of the Development Consent Order (DCO) being consented.
SE-13	RR-4152, RR-3642	Jobs	Respondents expressed support for the jobs created by the Scheme.	The Applicant agrees with and takes note of this comment. The Applicant confirms that the socio-economic benefits including job creation are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] and are supported by the measures secured in the Outline Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan [APP-285].
SE-14	RR-1076, RR-2184, RR-0126, RR-4706, RR-0962, RR-3783, RR-3597, RR-0382, RR-3276, RR-3519, RR-4923, RR-3104, RR-3328, RR-4621, RR-0928, RR-3184, RR-4785, RR-1988, RR-2513, RR-0194, RR-0242, RR-0491, RR-4511, RR-1154, RR-2155, RR-3805, RR-0772, RR-1588, RR-2740, RR-3909,	Jobs	Respondents expressed scepticism about the number of jobs the Scheme would create.	An assessment of the Scheme's employment effects is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] , which considers job creation during construction, operation and decommissioning, and the contribution of the Scheme to local and regional labour markets.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4374, RR-2487, RR-2110, RR-0719, AS-008			A full breakdown of estimated employment figures for each phase of the Scheme's lifetime is provided in Section 1.4 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-1: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation, Legislation, Policy, Guidance and Supporting Information [APP-240]. This supporting information sets out the methodology used to derive employment estimates and the anticipated scale of direct and indirect job creation. The socio-economic assessment concludes that the Scheme would generate temporary employment during construction, smaller-scale long-term operational employment, and opportunities for local supply-chain involvement. The Applicant notes that the approach to employment is under discussion with Wiltshire Council's Economics and Regeneration Team and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.
SE-15	RR-4138, RR-1355, RR-1863, RR-0589, RR-0359, RR-2654, RR-0194, RR-4012, RR-3487, RR-1836, RR-4802, RR-3241, RR-4104, RR-1974, RR-4374, RR-0984, RR-3602	Jobs	Respondents expressed that the Scheme will reduce prospects for local employment. Respondents also expressed concern that the tourism economy would be impacted, including in Malmesbury, Sherston and Tetbury.	The Applicant has assessed that there is a likely worst-case temporary loss of £1.76 million GVA per annum as a result of the Scheme's construction. The Applicant does not however agree with Wiltshire Council that this effect on tourism employment is significant, accounting for approximately 0.17% of tourism employment across Wiltshire and less than 0.15% of the value of the combined tourism industry value across Wiltshire (Visit Wiltshire, 2021). The Applicant also seeks to reiterate that this is a temporary

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				effect, anticipated to occur during construction, based on a worst-case scenario determined by likely significant effects from the Scheme, with recovery to tourism businesses anticipated to begin when construction works are complete. Additional mitigation measures (which were not quantified for inclusion in the assessment of potential losses to employment) secured in the Outline Skills, Supply Chain, and Employment Plan (SSCEP) [APP-285] seek to reduce tourism employment losses through focussing on local recruitment, promoting local suppliers where practicable, and through providing retraining opportunities for workers in tourism-dependent industries. Further additional mitigation measures to reduce impacts from HGV traffic and to PROW users have been set out in the Outline CEMP [APP-277] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282] to reduce impacts on individual receptors that contribute to tourism and recreation in the Study Area. These management documents are secured by Requirements 13, 16, and 18 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016].
SE-16	RR-1588, RR-2110	Jobs	Respondent stated that while the construction phase would create jobs, there would be losses elsewhere.	The Applicant has assessed the likely impact on employment during construction as a result of the Scheme in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] , which finds an overall medium-term temporary minor

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				beneficial effect to employment within the 20 km Study Area. This is as a result of an estimated increase of 239 jobs in construction, supporting industries, and accommodation services during the two-year construction phase, but with up to 20 agricultural job losses, and a temporary peak of up to 50 tourism-related job losses (noting that this would start to recover post-construction). Additional mitigation measures through the Outline Skills, Supply Chain, and Employment Plan [APP-285] seek to reduce these losses through focusing on local recruitment, promoting local suppliers where practicable, and through providing retraining opportunities for workers in agriculture or tourism dependent industries. It is considered that these measures would help to reduce local losses in the local economy, and help to generate additional GVA in the local economy in alternative industries. The SSCEP is secured by Requirement 18 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. The Applicant notes that the approach to assessment of socio-economic effects has been agreed with Wiltshire Council's Economics and Regeneration Team, with the exception of matters relating to agricultural employment, which is still under discussion and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.
SE-17	RR-2487, RR-2110	Jobs	Respondent expressed concern regarding the amount	During operation, employment and economic benefits from the Scheme are

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			of permanent jobs created by the Scheme.	recognised to be modest and limited in scope. The Scheme is anticipated to generate a net of 8 FTE jobs in the Study Area, while there may be a long-term loss of up to 20 FTE job in agriculture, and 11 jobs in tourism-dependent industries. However, enhancement measures through the Outline Skills, Supply Chain, and Employment Plan (SSCEP) [APP-285] to improve local employment opportunities have not been included in these calculations, nor have potential community benefits financed through the Community Benefit Fund been included in the DCO (as it is agreed through a separate process). The Applicant is committed to skills, employment and business enhancement measures as set out in the Outline SSCEP [APP-285], secured by Requirement 18 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], to help increase business activity and local skill capabilities in Wiltshire during the operational lifetime of the Scheme. The Applicant notes that the approach to assessment of socio-economic effects has been agreed with Wiltshire Council's Economics and Regeneration Team, with the exception of matters relating to agricultural employment, which is still under discussion and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.
SE-18	RR-4677, RR-3078, RR-4135, RR-3584, RR-0778, RR-2184, RR-4407, RR-1851, RR-4706, RR-0743, RR-1730, RR-1249,	Agricultural Jobs	Respondents expressed concern about the Scheme's impact to agricultural jobs and / or tenant farmers.	The Applicant is cognisant of the impact the Scheme could have on wider agricultural employment associated with tenancies and contracts and so seeks to

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2902, RR-0404, RR-2330, RR-1150, RR-4775, RR-4916, RR-3837, RR-3783, RR-3515, RR-2296, RR-4422, RR-3907, RR-1546, RR-4278, RR-1547, RR-3916, RR-4296, RR-3179, RR-3051, RR-3550, RR-3211, RR-2734, RR-1355, RR-4569, RR-0876, RR-4476, RR-3104, RR-1225, RR-4778, RR-3328, RR-1208, RR-3393, RR-0213, RR-2598, RR-1978, RR-2530, RR-3672, RR-0702, RR-0500, RR-4808, RR-4218, RR-0080, RR-4038, RR-2108, RR-0715, RR-1948, RR-4641, RR-0359, RR-3923, RR-3699, RR-2081, RR-2781, RR-1212, RR-0837, RR-3828, RR-4504, RR-1661, RR-3639, RR-1934, RR-0432, RR-1895, RR-0151, RR-3284, RR-2092, RR-3170, RR-4207, RR-3994, RR-2011, RR-3927, RR-3855, RR-2789, RR-1998, RR-3317, RR-0426, RR-3867, RR-0194, RR-3520, RR-2543, RR-0143, RR-3586, RR-4339, RR-2697, RR-0561, RR-4929, RR-4148, RR-2691, RR-1535, RR-1917, RR-3487, RR-2729, RR-3899, RR-1497, RR-1385, RR-1836, RR-4910, RR-2373, RR-1185, RR-2155, RR-0619, RR-4802, RR-4104, RR-1974, RR-3805, RR-1194, RR-4210, RR-3839, RR-3605, RR-4659, RR-3920, RR-2725, RR-0209, RR-3909, RR-4545, RR-2847,		Some respondents expressed concern about agricultural workers / tenant farmers job losses due to the inclusion of agricultural land in the Scheme.	source ways of retaining agricultural workers, providing reskilling opportunities, or providing re-employment as supported by the measures secured in the Outline Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan (SSCEP) [APP-285]. The Applicant has been in discussions with landowners and these discussions have included arrangements regarding existing tenancies. Whilst a worst case could involve the termination of an agricultural tenancy or contract in its current form, alternative operational and management opportunities are available connected to the scheme should consent be granted. These will be secured either by direct voluntary arrangement between the respective parties and the Applicant, or through the measures secured in the Outline SSCEP [APP-285] by Requirement 18 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4374, RR-0278, RR-4875, RR-1900, RR-2167, RR-2110, RR-1440, RR-0794, RR-4203, RR-4566, RR-0833, RR-0719, RR-2845, AS-008, RR-0984, RR-2618, RR-3881, RR-4237, RR-4310			
SE-19	RR-4493, RR-0648, RR-4489, RR-4513, RR-3220, RR-1076, RR-1580, RR-4677, RR-1398, RR-3898, RR-3335, RR-4135, RR-3584, RR-1164, RR-1496, RR-1731, RR-0879, RR-4671, RR-2366, RR-4926, RR-2202, RR-0379, RR-2185, RR-2631, RR-4326, RR-4648, RR-4346, RR-1829, RR-4482, RR-1527, RR-3129, RR-4908, RR-4407, RR-3199, RR-3548, RR-0119, RR-2157, RR-4088, RR-1432, RR-4423, RR-3002, RR-4389, RR-3219, RR-0743, RR-4441, RR-4441, RR-0973, RR-2944, RR-1667, RR-1730, RR-1457, RR-2160, RR-3932, RR-4636, RR-3872, RR-0753, RR-1134, RR-2356, RR-1458, RR-1969, RR-0805, RR-1591, RR-2413, RR-1055, RR-2419, RR-4128, RR-2818, RR-2954, RR-2207, RR-2174, RR-4887, RR-2854, RR-0039, RR-2302, RR-2856, RR-3003, RR-2626, RR-0762, RR-4316, RR-0402, RR-2681, RR-3847, RR-1633, RR-3616, RR-1668, RR-3694, RR-4728, RR-0535, RR-0404, RR-3721, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-1893,	Tourism	Respondents expressed concern about the Scheme's impact to tourism. Some respondents expressed specific concern that impact to tourism would result in loss of business and / or closure of specific businesses reliant on tourism. Some respondents expressed this concern in relation to: B&Bs, pubs, hotels, cafes, historic Malmesbury.	The potential effects of the Scheme on tourism and recreation are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068], supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241]. The assessment considers baseline tourism activity, visitor attractions, recreational assets and tourism-dependent businesses within the study area. The assessment evaluates potential effects on the use, enjoyment and desirability of nearby tourism destinations, including impacts on individual visitor attractions, recreational routes, events and businesses reliant on tourism. It also considers whether changes to landscape character or visual amenity could influence visitor behaviour. The assessment identifies a worst-case scenario in which a temporary loss of up to 50 tourism-related jobs could occur locally during construction, and a long-term loss of up to 11 tourism-related jobs could occur during the operational phase of the Scheme. This represents a precautionary estimate based on conservative assumptions. The assessment concludes

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0233, RR-3063, RR-3700, RR-0324, RR-1215, RR-4111, RR-4098, RR-0328, RR-3869, RR-0633, RR-2392, RR-2882, RR-1991, RR-3911, RR-4130, RR-4204, RR-3714, RR-2506, RR-3400, RR-0919, RR-2144, RR-2354, RR-1613, RR-2577, RR-1420, RR-1135, RR-2055, RR-0311, RR-0391, RR-3163, RR-0027, RR-3535, RR-0598, RR-1051, RR-4478, RR-2486, RR-3604, RR-0011, RR-2388, RR-1690, RR-0636, RR-1464, RR-2029, RR-3222, RR-4084, RR-1244, RR-1114, RR-2400, RR-3610, RR-1994, RR-2653, RR-3997, RR-4775, RR-4544, RR-1748, RR-4151, RR-0958, RR-3117, RR-1121, RR-0997, RR-1903, RR-0481, RR-2136, RR-3962, RR-1434, RR-0845, RR-3544, RR-2709, RR-3547, RR-2682, RR-4342, RR-3837, RR-3783, RR-0571, RR-4731, RR-3108, RR-1344, RR-3842, RR-4512, RR-3915, RR-2483, RR-2538, RR-1253, RR-4580, RR-4338, RR-3787, RR-3904, RR-4444, RR-4567, RR-1257, RR-4568, RR-4165, RR-2034, RR-4876, RR-4178, RR-0413, RR-1673, RR-1997, RR-0741, RR-2654, RR-2249, RR-1557, RR-4278, RR-1081, RR-1224, RR-0389, RR-0024, RR-3221, RR-2307, RR-2147, RR-0851, RR-1087, RR-0610, RR-4669,			that, while some localised effects on tourism receptors are anticipated to occur, significant adverse effects on the wider tourism economy are not anticipated. These findings are fully reported in the ES and will be weighed in the planning balance alongside the Scheme's wider economic and environmental benefits. The Applicant notes that the approach to assessment of tourism impacts is under discussion with Wiltshire Council's Economic and Regeneration Team and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2389, RR-3257, RR-1118, RR-4733, RR-3519, RR-0458, RR-0043, RR-2145, RR-4209, RR-4125, RR-2767, RR-1305, RR-4569, RR-0780, RR-1415, RR-4114, RR-2550, RR-4681, RR-4813, RR-0418, RR-3197, RR-4096, RR-1835, RR-4787, RR-4308, RR-0555, RR-0274, RR-2103, RR-0161, RR-0179, RR-4137, RR-2382, RR-3000, RR-2025, RR-3307, RR-1869, RR-3638, RR-4859, RR-4526, RR-0314, RR-3243, RR-0263, RR-1946, RR-3889, RR-4302, RR-0602, RR-3104, RR-1556, RR-0728, RR-1726, RR-3666, RR-2764, RR-4049, RR-3788, RR-4555, RR-3328, RR-0834, RR-3689, RR-2161, RR-2526, RR-1208, RR-3392, RR-4621, RR-1025, RR-0928, RR-1751, RR-0910, RR-3573, RR-2741, RR-3775, RR-0987, RR-3439, RR-1428, RR-0128, RR-4050, RR-1964, RR-2821, RR-2533, RR-3133, RR-1013, RR-4343, RR-1698, RR-2206, RR-0316, RR-2537, RR-1328, RR-2727, RR-4888, RR-0308, RR-0500, RR-3739, RR-1469, RR-4708, RR-3645, RR-0951, RR-1703, RR-1617, RR-2675, RR-3120, RR-3877, RR-2426, RR-3780, RR-1431, RR-4213, RR-0094, RR-4290, RR-4087, RR-0410, RR-4885, RR-1796, RR-4614, RR-4038, RR-0489, RR-0994,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2108, RR-0715, RR-4002, RR-0849, RR-0589, RR-0359, RR-0902, RR-3699, RR-1666, RR-4388, RR-3016, RR-0414, RR-0310, RR-4809, RR-2967, RR-1066, RR-3828, RR-0723, RR-0933, RR-0497, RR-3071, RR-0049, RR-4504, RR-3407, RR-4785, RR-1934, RR-0432, RR-4214, RR-3870, RR-2695, RR-3746, RR-2436, RR-2574, RR-4499, RR-3629, RR-3879, RR-1034, RR-1373, RR-2314, RR-1830, RR-1210, RR-2699, RR-0151, RR-4928, RR-3284, RR-1189, RR-0657, RR-2004, RR-0652, RR-1871, RR-1629, RR-3582, RR-2135, RR-3155, RR-2092, RR-2149, RR-1935, RR-0203, RR-2760, RR-1104, RR-2383, RR-2011, RR-1292, RR-3927, RR-2453, RR-0865, RR-3855, RR-2955, RR-0365, RR-1998, RR-4760, RR-3317, RR-3304, RR-0259, RR-3055, RR-0540, RR-3806, RR-3600, RR-2405, RR-3685, RR-4446, RR-4026, RR-1400, RR-3384, RR-3379, RR-3860, RR-0194, RR-4883, RR-0784, RR-3413, RR-0918, RR-3176, RR-4936, RR-3586, RR-4339, RR-3470, RR-4624, RR-3418, RR-2353, RR-4337, RR-4279, RR-3729, RR-3992, RR-2697, RR-0577, RR-4556, RR-3554, RR-1167, RR-3658, RR-1720, RR-4012, RR-4199, RR-4750, RR-1340,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1981, RR-3213, RR-4148, RR-1809, RR-3651, RR-0242, RR-2221, RR-0620, RR-3578, RR-0783, RR-4172, RR-4732, RR-0815, RR-3723, RR-1917, RR-3487, RR-1898, RR-3217, RR-1102, RR-0891, RR-2191, RR-1497, RR-1385, RR-2698, RR-2544, RR-1442, RR-1836, RR-3375, RR-2052, RR-0152, RR-2373, RR-1030, RR-2749, RR-3704, RR-4511, RR-1154, RR-2159, RR-4341, RR-1185, RR-2228, RR-4672, RR-0149, RR-4488, RR-1821, RR-0619, RR-2852, RR-4868, RR-3280, RR-2152, RR-0619, RR-4802, RR-4539, RR-0028, RR-3622, RR-2644, RR-1823, RR-4825, RR-4408, RR-2820, RR-0697, RR-3330, RR-1648, RR-4104, RR-2497, RR-4104, RR-3805, RR-2508, RR-0253, RR-4458, RR-2976, RR-3769, RR-1892, RR-4881, RR-2740, RR-3356, RR-0422, RR-0606, RR-2337, RR-4416, RR-3601, RR-1621, RR-1405, RR-1429, RR-2141, RR-4818, RR-1854, RR-4210, RR-3712, RR-2094, RR-3577, RR-1684, RR-0665, RR-3302, RR-3277, RR-0810, RR-1323, RR-1660, RR-2433, RR-2725, RR-4134, RR-0790, RR-2725, RR-4285, RR-4227, RR-0209, RR-1283, RR-0156, RR-2022, RR-4904, RR-3891, RR-4115, RR-3909, RR-2847, RR-4374,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3192, RR-1826, RR-4875, RR-2825, RR-1778, RR-4623, RR-2696, RR-2176, RR-2176, RR-2564, RR-2231, RR-3563, RR-1865, RR-2110, RR-4779, RR-4917, RR-1345, RR-4599, RR-3562, RR-0854, RR-0317, RR-2952, RR-0611, RR-0833, RR-0189, RR-3866, RR-0719, RR-4142, RR-2845, RR-1098, AS-008, AS-012, RR-0984, RR-1523, RR-1604, RR-1658, RR-2724, RR-3361, RR-3602, RR-4237, RR-4645			
SE-20	RR-1164	Tourism	Respondent states that they will no longer book accommodation, meeting venues or local services in the area if the Scheme goes ahead.	The potential effects of the Scheme on tourism and recreation are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068], supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241]. The assessment considers baseline tourism activity, visitor attractions, recreational assets and tourism-dependent businesses within the study area. The assessment identifies a worst-case scenario in which a temporary loss of up to 50 tourism-related jobs could occur locally during construction, and a long-term loss of up to 11 tourism-related jobs could occur during the operational phase of the Scheme. This represents a precautionary estimate based on conservative assumptions. The assessment concludes that, while some localised effects on tourism receptors are anticipated to occur, significant adverse effects on the wider

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				tourism economy are not anticipated. Additional mitigation measures (which were not quantified for inclusion in the assessment of potential losses to employment) secured in the Outline Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan (SSCEP) [APP-285] seek to reduce tourism employment losses through focussing on local recruitment, promoting local suppliers where practicable, and through providing retraining opportunities for workers in tourism-dependent industries. Further additional mitigation measures to reduce impacts from HGV traffic and to PROW users have been set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PROWPPMP) [APP-282] to reduce impacts on individual receptors that contribute to tourism and recreation in the Study Area. These management documents are secured by Requirements 13, 16, and 18 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016].
SE-21	RR-3413, RR-3651, RR-3487, RR-1385, RR-4488, RR-4802, RR-4030	Tourism	Respondents noted that the Scheme would cause an anticipated £1.8 million loss for tourism.	The Applicant has assessed that there is a likely worst-case temporary loss of £1.76 million GVA per annum as a result of the Scheme's construction. The Applicant does not however agree with Wiltshire Council that this effect on tourism employment is significant, accounting for approximately 0.17% of tourism employment across Wiltshire and less than 0.15% of the value of the combined tourism industry value across Wiltshire

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				(Visit Wiltshire, 2021). The Applicant also seeks to reiterate that this is a temporary effect, anticipated to occur during construction, based on a worst-case scenario determined by likely significant effects from the Scheme, with recovery to tourism businesses anticipated to begin when construction works are complete. Additional mitigation measures (which were not quantified for inclusion in the assessment of potential losses to employment) secured in the Outline Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan (SSCEP) [APP-285] seek to reduce tourism employment losses through focussing on local recruitment, promoting local suppliers where practicable, and through providing retraining opportunities for workers in tourism-dependent industries. Further additional mitigation measures to reduce impacts from HGV traffic and to PROW users have been set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282] to reduce impacts on individual receptors that contribute to tourism and recreation in the Study Area. These management documents are secured by Requirements 13, 16, and 18 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO)[APP-016] .
SE-22	RR-2475	Tourism	Respondent expressed concern that visitors will no longer visit local heritage-listed churches as they will not	The assessment of impacts on long-distance recreational routes in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			want to use the Athelstan Pilgrim Way, as this will no longer be an appealing, peaceful route for visitors.	identified the Athelstan Pilgrim Way as a locally important long-distance walking route. Mitigation measures to control HGV movements and protect PROW and recreational route users, as set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PROWPPMP) [APP-282] , are secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] . Subject to their implementation, the Scheme is not considered to have a significant adverse effect on the Athelstan Pilgrim Way at any phase of development.
SE-23	RR-4818	Tourism	Respondent expressed concern about impact on local income, with the area being used for filming by Film and TV productions.	The Applicant has not specifically assessed the impacts to filming locations within the local area, but considered it likely that filming locations will broadly be based on locations that are significant for their heritage or landscape value. The Scheme does not have any significant effects on the Cotswold National Landscape, nor to any singular local, regional or nationally important visitor location within the 5 km Study Area as set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] or its supporting ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241]. The Applicant has assessed that there is a likely worst-case temporary loss of £1.76 million GVA per annum as a result of the Scheme's construction to tourism-

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				dependent industries, and as such it is considered that any impact to economic uplifts from filming would fall within that. The Applicant also seeks to reiterate that this is a temporary effect, anticipated to occur during construction, based on a worst-case scenario determined by likely significant effects from the Scheme, with recovery to tourism businesses anticipated to begin when construction works are complete. Additional mitigation measures (which were not quantified for inclusion in the assessment of potential losses to employment) secured in the Outline Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan (SSCEP) [APP-285] seek to reduce tourism employment losses through focussing on local recruitment, promoting local suppliers where practicable, and through providing retraining opportunities for workers in tourism-dependent industries. Further additional mitigation measures to reduce impacts from HGV traffic and to PROW users have been set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282] to reduce impacts on individual receptors that contribute to tourism and recreation in the Study Area. These management documents are secured by Requirements 13, 16, and 18 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016].
SE-24	RR-3371	Tourism	Respondent expressed concern regarding the number	The potential effect of the Scheme on accommodation as a result of inbound

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			of outside workers during construction and the impact on local hotels/accommodation.	workers is assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068]. The assessment considered that a peak of 412 inbound workers is likely during construction and will therefore have to be accommodated within 20 km of the Scheme to ensure sufficient accessibility to work. This is up to 15.8% of vacant private rental accommodation in the Study Area, and far less than the 1,200 likely available vacant rooms in the same area (based on serviced accommodation rooms and month-by-month average occupancy rates), demonstrating that inbound workers can either be accommodated within private rental stock, or vacant serviced accommodation space without significantly affecting accommodation availability for residents or visitors. Furthermore, The Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] requires the construction contractor to provide support for construction workers to find suitable private rental accommodation, or hotels or other serviced accommodation, in locations where impact upon existing residents and visitors can be minimised. This is therefore secured by Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016].
SE-25	RR-1581, RR-1637, RR-1851, RR-1055, RR-0546, RR-1570, RR-0321, RR-2664, RR-2029, RR-2411, RR-4112, RR-2866,	Equestrian s	Respondents expressed concern about the Scheme's impact on horse-riders and / or bridleways.	Equestrian facilities, including private stables and paddocks, studs, riding schools, and on public rights of way such as bridleways and byways have been

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0571, RR-4731, RR-3597, RR-2296, RR-1281, RR-1673, RR-1768, RR-3907, RR-1953, RR-0092, RR-0024, RR-3897, RR-0786, RR-3182, RR-4920, RR-4569, RR-0864, RR-4787, RR-2399, RR-1951, RR-3307, RR-1564, RR-4271, RR-0881, RR-0775, RR-4745, RR-3736, RR-3212, RR-0831, RR-4902, RR-3890, RR-4020, RR-0617, RR-1140, RR-4518, RR-1540, RR-1617, RR-0647, RR-0223, RR-3315, RR-2331, RR-0359, RR-3923, RR-1510, RR-2328, RR-1175, RR-1990, RR-2319, RR-4079, RR-2056, RR-3525, RR-0953, RR-4207, RR-3290, RR-2955, RR-4760, RR-4159, RR-4177, RR-2216, RR-3600, RR-3685, RR-4446, RR-0348, RR-3384, RR-2832, RR-3357, RR-0173, RR-3517, RR-1663, RR-4938, RR-4565, RR-1534, RR-2802, RR-4642, RR-1981, RR-4148, RR-0620, RR-2449, RR-1922, RR-0891, RR-2475, RR-2284, RR-0056, RR-4064, RR-3704, RR-1154, RR-0596, RR-0573, RR-2667, RR-1532, RR-2495, RR-3910, RR-3805, RR-0704, RR-2976, RR-2067, RR-0782, RR-1906, RR-4403, RR-0606, RR-0967, RR-0522, RR-0340, RR-0457, RR-3712, RR-3712, RR-3577, RR-1537, RR-4066, RR-0790, RR-2745, RR-4770, RR-1283, RR-4904,			considered as specific recreational receptors in the assessment in the ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068]. The likely effects on these locations and receptors are specifically and individually assessed in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241]. The Applicant confirms that the majority of significant adverse effects can be mitigated, however understands that some significant adverse effects to users of routes in Lime Down E cannot be mitigated further during construction. No significant residual effects are anticipated during the operational phase of the Scheme. The Applicant has committed to ensuring the operation or recreational use of equestrian facilities and PROWs is protected as much as possible through the implementation of embedded and additional mitigation measures set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282], secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. The Applicant confirms that the approach to equestrian and PROW impacts is under discussion with Wiltshire Council's Countryside Access Team (as part of discussions with Wiltshire Council in

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2253, RR-2847, RR-3192, RR-0247, RR-4875, RR-3214, RR-4230, RR-2110, RR-4070, RR-0333, RR-3314, RR-0794, RR-0854, RR-0638, RR-0165, RR-1938, RR-3708, RR-2080, RR-2216			general), the British Horse Society, and the Wiltshire Bridleway Association. Progress on each of these discussions is set out in the relevant Statements of Common Ground with each of these parties to be submitted at Deadline 1.
SE-26	RR-1637, RR-3858, RR-3400, RR-2866, RR-4731, RR-1281, RR-4942, RR-0820, RR-3212, RR-3953, RR-4159, RR-2216, RR-0348, RR-3860, RR-2284, RR-4064, RR-1890, RR-0573, RR-3805, RR-2235, RR-2976, RR-2067, RR-0522, RR-0340, RR-0630, RR-1323, RR-1283, RR-3902, RR-0611, RR-0638	Equestrian s	Respondents expressed raised safety concerns that the Scheme and / or construction vehicles to the Scheme would endanger horse-riders.	Public rights of way such as bridleways and byways and the use of the highway network for recreational users have been considered as specific recreational receptors in the assessment in the ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] . The likely effects on individual PROWs are specifically assessed in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241] . The Applicant confirms that the majority of significant adverse effects can be mitigated, however it understands that some significant adverse effects to users of routes in Lime Down E cannot be mitigated further during construction. No significant residual effects are anticipated during the operational phase of the Scheme. The Applicant has committed to ensuring the operation or recreational use of PROWs is protected as much as possible through the implementation of embedded and additional mitigation measures set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282] , secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. An assessment on safety and accidents is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. Links with traffic increases above identified thresholds, were taken forward for further assessment. As part of the assessment, it is concluded that the level of construction traffic associated with the Scheme is unlikely to materially affect road safety on the links in the Study Area. The Applicant confirms that the approach to equestrian and PROW impacts and highway safety are under discussion with Wiltshire Council's Countryside Access Team and Highways Team (as part of discussions with Wiltshire Council in general). Additional discussions specific to PROWs are being undertaken with the British Horse Society, and the Wiltshire Bridleway Association. Progress on each of these discussions is set out in the relevant Statements of Common Ground with each of these parties to be submitted at Deadline 1.
SE-27	RR-2184, RR-1409, RR-4892, RR-4793, RR-0344, RR-2498, RR-1355, RR-1142, RR-0472, RR-1230, RR-0369, RR-2832, RR-2376, RR-0538, RR-0144, RR-1283, RR-2310, RR-2102, RR-3739	Equine Facilities	Respondents expressed concern about impact to stud farms and / or equine businesses in the area. Some respondents said they would lose significant investments.	Equestrian facilities, including private stables and paddocks, studs, riding schools, and on public rights of way such as bridleways and byways have been considered as specific recreational receptors in the assessment in the ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] . The likely effects on these locations and receptors are specifically and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				individually assessed in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241]. The Applicant confirms that the majority of significant adverse effects can be mitigated, however understands that some significant adverse effects to users of routes in Lime Down E, and to the equestrian business at Park Farm, Yatton Keynell cannot be mitigated further during construction and will therefore be considered in the planning balance when the DCO is determined. No significant residual effects are anticipated during the operational phase of the Scheme. The Applicant has committed to ensuring the operation or recreational use of equestrian facilities and PROWs is protected as much as possible through the implementation of embedded and additional mitigation measures set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282], secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. While impacts on equestrian businesses are not anticipated to be significant (with the exception of Park Farm during construction), the Applicant confirms that where employment may be affected as a result of the Scheme, affected workers would be eligible for accessing skills and employment support measures as secured

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				through the Outline Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Management Plan (SSCEP) [APP-285] , secured by Requirement 18 in Schedule 2 to the Draft DCO [APP-016] , subject to the final SSCEP being agreed by Wiltshire Council and any other stakeholders.
SE-28	RR-2184, RR-4892, RR-0092, RR-1355, RR-0369, RR-1510, RR-4064	Equine Facilities	Respondents expressed concern about the impact of construction noise on horses at nearby stud farms and / or equine businesses.	Equestrian facilities, including private stables and paddocks, studs, riding schools have been considered as specific recreational receptors in the assessment in the ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] . The likely effects on these locations are specifically assessed in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241] which identifies no significant residual effects to their operation or recreational use as a result of noise impacts, subject to implementation of embedded and additional mitigation measures with any mitigation requirements (such as noise screening and offsetting away from neighbouring paddocks) secured through the relevant management documents.
SE-29	RR-0364, RR-0092, RR-1799	Equine Facilities	Respondents expressed concern regarding the impact of the proposals on horses.	Equestrian facilities, including private stables and paddocks, studs, riding schools, and on public rights of way such as bridleways and byways have been considered as specific recreational receptors in the assessment in the ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] . The likely effects on these locations and receptors are specifically and individually assessed in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Receptor Tables [APP-241]. The Applicant confirms that the majority of significant adverse effects can be mitigated, however understands that some significant adverse effects to users of routes in Lime Down E, and to the equestrian business at Park Farm, Yatton Keynell cannot be mitigated further during construction and will therefore be considered in the planning balance when the DCO is determined. No significant residual effects are anticipated during the operational phase of the Scheme. The Applicant has committed to ensuring the operation or recreational use of equestrian facilities and PROWs is protected as much as possible through the implementation of embedded and additional mitigation measures set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282], secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. An assessment on safety and accidents is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. Links with traffic increases above identified thresholds, were taken forward for further assessment. As part of the assessment, it is concluded that the level of construction traffic associated with the Scheme is unlikely to materially affect road safety on the links in the Study Area.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Applicant confirms that the approach to equestrian and PROW impacts and highway safety are under discussion with Wiltshire Council's Countryside Access Team and Highways Team (as part of discussions with Wiltshire Council in general). Additional discussions specific to PROWs are being undertaken with the British Horse Society, and the Wiltshire Bridleway Association. Progress on each of these discussions is set out in the relevant Statements of Common Ground with each of these parties to be submitted at Deadline 1.
SE-30	RR-2110	Equine Facilities	Respondent stated that the Scheme will destroy the bridleway between Corston and Rodbourne.	A detailed assessment of the likely significance of effects from the Scheme on the use and desirability of individual PROW and recreational access to the countryside is included in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241]. The assessment identifies that MALW54 is anticipated to experience a medium-term temporary moderate adverse effect during construction. This is a significant adverse effect and therefore will be considered in the planning balance when the DCO is determined. Bridleway MALW54 is subject to diversion measures during construction to facilitate access to Lime Down E for HGVs. As part of this, the diversion route will be fully waymarked and segregated from HGV traffic. Following construction, bridleway

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				MALW54 will be restored to its original route and all waymarking will be restored. These measures are secured through the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282] , secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] .
SE-31	RR-4817, RR-3955, RR-4493, RR-2455, RR-0648, RR-1276, RR-0361, RR-1076, RR-1426, RR-1398, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-0502, RR-3483, RR-2860, RR-4135, RR-3726, RR-1496, RR-1986, RR-2658, RR-2631, RR-4326, RR-4648, RR-4346, RR-4560, RR-3779, RR-3656, RR-1637, RR-2157, RR-4819, RR-0635, RR-1577, RR-2457, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-1028, RR-1446, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-1667, RR-2292, RR-2686, RR-3009, RR-0225, RR-3503, RR-2503, RR-1458, RR-2590, RR-2773, RR-3540, RR-0583, RR-1575, RR-4128, RR-2818, RR-2678, RR-2207, RR-3873, RR-0406, RR-2979, RR-2854, RR-1872, RR-4699,	Recreation	Respondents expressed general concern for the Scheme's impact to recreation, amenity and / or access to the countryside.	A detailed assessment of the likely significance of effects from the Scheme on the use and desirability of individual PROW and recreational access to the countryside is included in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241]. This assessment considers the impact on individual recreational routes, including their practical use, enjoyment and desirability. The Applicant confirms that the majority of significant adverse effects can be mitigated. However, some significant adverse effects to users of PROW and unsurfaced roads in Lime Down E during construction cannot be mitigated further. No significant residual effects are anticipated during the operational phase of the Scheme. The assessment in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] also considers the physical and mental health impacts of changes to recreation and countryside access under the assessment

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3895, RR-1806, RR-0873, RR-0364, RR-0404, RR-1893, RR-0233, RR-0255, RR-3063, RR-3700, RR-1459, RR-0324, RR-1571, RR-1339, RR-2894, RR-4098, RR-0328, RR-4563, RR-4516, RR-0919, RR-2144, RR-3288, RR-3364, RR-0321, RR-4472, RR-0391, RR-4466, RR-2831, RR-2555, RR-1285, RR-3479, RR-4700, RR-2029, RR-0388, RR-1396, RR-1244, RR-1114, RR-3610, RR-2831, RR-3820, RR-3997, RR-2636, RR-4151, RR-0958, RR-3117, RR-2746, RR-2136, RR-4428, RR-0380, RR-3203, RR-0845, RR-4916, RR-3837, RR-3151, RR-3289, RR-3842, RR-3128, RR-0280, RR-2322, RR-0068, RR-2317, RR-2538, RR-4567, RR-2230, RR-4239, RR-3967, RR-2296, RR-1673, RR-4509, RR-2732, RR-1768, RR-3883, RR-1277, RR-0741, RR-1071, RR-3316, RR-1471, RR-1418, RR-1547, RR-1603, RR-1081, RR-4057, RR-0024, RR-1822, RR-3897, RR-0079, RR-3221, RR-1087, RR-0716, RR-2717, RR-2026, RR-3550, RR-2734, RR-3257, RR-2484, RR-4587, RR-2707, RR-0008, RR-4931, RR-4569, RR-3365, RR-4132, RR-1085, RR-4681, RR-2652, RR-4418, RR-1899, RR-2024, RR-1309, RR-0863, RR-4358, RR-2841, RR-1245, RR-0858,			topic 'open space, leisure and play', and concludes that no significant adverse effects are anticipated at any phase of the Scheme. The Applicant confirms that existing PROW are to be protected as far as practicable within the Scheme design, with management measures set out in the Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PROWPPMP) [APP-282], confirmed as embedded mitigation in Section 16.9 of ES Chapter 16. New permissive access routes have been incorporated into the Scheme design, shown as Work No. 10 on the Works Plan [APP-007] and illustrated on ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecology Mitigation Plan [APP-084]. These are identified as additional mitigation and enhancement measures in Section 16.11 of ES Chapter 16. The PROW and Permissive Paths Management Plan is secured through Requirement 16 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Construction Environmental Management Plan and Construction Traffic Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through Requirements 13 and 15 respectively in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016], ensuring that impacts on PROW and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4096, RR-4940, RR-0378, RR-0555, RR-2103, RR-4197, RR-0672, RR-4052, RR-2938, RR-4092, RR-2382, RR-3000, RR-1951, RR-1490, RR-0146, RR-3809, RR-4356, RR-3889, RR-1485, RR-4302, RR-2130, RR-0160, RR-0042, RR-1269, RR-2736, RR-2606, RR-4884, RR-1726, RR-4465, RR-2171, RR-2057, RR-2888, RR-3395, RR-2087, RR-4049, RR-0621, RR-3670, RR-1463, RR-4335, RR-0775, RR-4662, RR-4745, RR-4621, RR-4530, RR-0969, RR-0818, RR-2268, RR-3393, RR-4855, RR-3736, RR-3573, RR-2741, RR-4059, RR-0831, RR-4902, RR-3775, RR-0527, RR-2016, RR-2625, RR-3790, RR-2629, RR-2703, RR-3890, RR-2101, RR-4905, RR-2530, RR-1013, RR-3905, RR-1550, RR-1551, RR-2581, RR-2537, RR-0706, RR-1541, RR-1832, RR-0827, RR-0308, RR-3529, RR-0038, RR-3295, RR-1469, RR-1382, RR-3645, RR-0752, RR-1617, RR-2675, RR-3985, RR-3120, RR-1266, RR-3348, RR-2426, RR-3780, RR-4405, RR-3765, RR-3298, RR-4270, RR-0094, RR-1297, RR-4290, RR-3378, RR-4643, RR-4614, RR-2381, RR-1525, RR-1184, RR-4109, RR-4850, RR-1403, RR-0175, RR-0829, RR-3845, RR-1679, RR-3834, RR-4002,			recreational routes are appropriately managed during construction. The approach to recreation and access has been discussed with Wiltshire Council's Public Health team and is under discussion with Wiltshire Council's Countryside Access Team and will be set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1929, RR-0359, RR-2496, RR-3851, RR-2017, RR-2781, RR-4251, RR-3949, RR-0355, RR-3521, RR-0497, RR-3857, RR-3071, RR-0740, RR-1175, RR-2657, RR-2200, RR-1934, RR-0498, RR-4214, RR-0188, RR-1367, RR-0479, RR-3746, RR-3006, RR-3410, RR-4469, RR-1159, RR-3852, RR-1795, RR-1193, RR-2314, RR-2796, RR-1830, RR-2319, RR-4079, RR-2699, RR-2935, RR-1919, RR-3697, RR-0657, RR-0840, RR-0539, RR-0520, RR-0721, RR-1182, RR-2056, RR-1871, RR-3636, RR-2813, RR-3864, RR-3087, RR-3525, RR-4226, RR-3876, RR-2239, RR-2953, RR-1104, RR-3497, RR-3290, RR-3999, RR-3416, RR-3362, RR-0912, RR-2105, RR-2665, RR-2453, RR-4386, RR-2789, RR-1059, RR-4225, RR-2972, RR-2656, RR-2621, RR-4760, RR-4462, RR-4159, RR-4177, RR-4816, RR-0795, RR-0540, RR-3806, RR-1876, RR-3600, RR-3029, RR-3685, RR-4446, RR-1956, RR-3798, RR-3384, RR-3379, RR-3346, RR-3860, RR-0194, RR-3951, RR-3357, RR-3810, RR-0173, RR-3517, RR-2540, RR-1897, RR-3135, RR-4330, RR-0784, RR-2670, RR-0143, RR-1356, RR-3176, RR-4936, RR-3438, RR-3470, RR-2863, RR-1162, RR-0595,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0662, RR-2353, RR-1663, RR-1284, RR-4337, RR-0066, RR-2614, RR-1708, RR-4938, RR-1231, RR-1198, RR-1691, RR-4556, RR-2730, RR-0399, RR-4565, RR-3678, RR-3825, RR-4306, RR-3390, RR-4029, RR-3102, RR-0966, RR-4642, RR-1450, RR-0172, RR-1771, RR-1981, RR-1337, RR-2763, RR-4742, RR-2297, RR-1807, RR-3213, RR-4148, RR-1809, RR-3080, RR-2323, RR-3005, RR-4228, RR-3651, RR-2221, RR-1639, RR-0620, RR-1831, RR-2774, RR-4172, RR-3094, RR-2449, RR-3172, RR-2591, RR-1535, RR-1598, RR-1917, RR-3217, RR-3123, RR-2867, RR-0886, RR-0891, RR-1448, RR-2683, RR-2191, RR-1601, RR-0686, RR-1380, RR-3283, RR-1385, RR-2166, RR-2698, RR-3375, RR-2052, RR-0152, RR-0798, RR-3075, RR-3704, RR-1890, RR-4511, RR-0596, RR-3816, RR-0089, RR-1531, RR-2648, RR-4918, RR-0844, RR-3647, RR-1002, RR-0573, RR-2155, RR-4056, RR-2596, RR-0149, RR-4488, RR-1289, RR-2210, RR-0177, RR-0929, RR-3327, RR-2667, RR-2152, RR-1116, RR-0438, RR-1774, RR-1199, RR-4539, RR-0028, RR-3622, RR-4640, RR-0116, RR-2624, RR-4247, RR-2468, RR-0697, RR-0599, RR-0989,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0268, RR-0603, RR-3209, RR-1648, RR-4104, RR-2495, RR-2197, RR-1974, RR-3767, RR-1818, RR-2541, RR-1857, RR-0144, RR-2480, RR-3607, RR-2977, RR-3910, RR-3139, RR-3805, RR-3406, RR-0253, RR-1586, RR-3139, RR-0667, RR-4245, RR-4549, RR-3436, RR-3769, RR-4856, RR-3817, RR-3058, RR-0782, RR-3683, RR-3498, RR-4426, RR-1587, RR-4155, RR-1906, RR-4864, RR-3356, RR-0653, RR-1067, RR-0166, RR-4062, RR-3803, RR-4403, RR-0606, RR-1194, RR-0967, RR-1301, RR-3601, RR-2613, RR-4832, RR-2141, RR-1236, RR-1854, RR-0898, RR-0329, RR-3494, RR-3045, RR-0340, RR-0457, RR-3712, RR-2797, RR-3577, RR-3839, RR-1684, RR-0810, RR-2491, RR-0630, RR-2397, RR-1323, RR-3513, RR-4066, RR-2165, RR-2725, RR-2964, RR-4484, RR-0790, RR-4770, RR-1283, RR-0318, RR-2294, RR-3891, RR-4904, RR-2253, RR-0518, RR-3909, RR-4545, RR-2847, RR-4374, RR-4182, RR-1826, RR-4875, RR-0210, RR-3421, RR-1500, RR-2810, RR-4623, RR-3214, RR-2696, RR-4230, RR-1933, RR-1201, RR-1161, RR-2167, RR-3023, RR-4535, RR-4601, RR-4943, RR-0671, RR-2110, RR-3450, RR-2777,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0745, RR-2201, RR-2788, RR-4070, RR-3502, RR-0333, RR-0086, RR-1345, RR-1016, RR-0371, RR-4599, RR-3314, RR-0794, RR-1453, RR-0317, RR-3650, RR-3098, RR-2952, RR-1590, RR-3603, RR-2320, RR-0189, RR-0770, RR-4710, RR-3485, RR-0661, RR-3452, RR-1783, RR-3708, RR-3001, RR-2080, RR-3979, RR-2494, RR-2845, RR-1098, AS-003, RR-2529, RR-2618, RR-3361, RR-3467, RR-3592, RR-4189, RR-4543, RR-4765, RR-4670, RR-4901			
SE-32	RR-2237, RR-3955, RR-2293, RR-0440, RR-4493, RR-2455, RR-1910, RR-1276, RR-0361, RR-4513, RR-1589, RR-4719, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-0502, RR-3335, RR-4135, RR-1496, RR-1731, RR-4896, RR-4149, RR-0129, RR-4741, RR-4069, RR-1417, RR-0421, RR-0373, RR-2578, RR-0428, RR-2970, RR-4782, RR-4560, RR-0461, RR-4482, RR-2560, RR-0722, RR-3779, RR-3656, RR-1670, RR-3548, RR-0126, RR-4819, RR-4784, RR-2874, RR-4447, RR-3928, RR-2457, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-1191, RR-2600, RR-3067, RR-1028, RR-1095, RR-1096, RR-2803, RR-0955,	Public Rights of Way, Permissive Paths and Footpaths.	Respondents expressed concern for the Scheme's impact to Public Rights of Way (PROW), permissive paths, footpaths and / or cycle paths. Some respondents expressed particular concern about the impact to dog walks.	A detailed assessment of the likely significance of effects from the Scheme on the use and desirability of individual PROW and recreational access to the countryside is included in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241]. This assessment considers the impact on individual recreational routes, including their practical use, enjoyment and desirability. The Applicant confirms that the majority of significant adverse effects can be mitigated. However, some significant adverse effects to users of PROW and unsurfaced roads in Lime Down E during construction cannot be mitigated further. No significant residual effects are anticipated during the operational phase of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2944, RR-3640, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-1073, RR-1183, RR-4588, RR-1722, RR-2930, RR-0362, RR-2511, RR-4548, RR-3872, RR-3687, RR-4590, RR-3503, RR-2503, RR-1458, RR-3474, RR-2138, RR-0769, RR-1055, RR-4128, RR-2818, RR-2207, RR-4528, RR-3873, RR-4887, RR-0406, RR-2979, RR-1872, RR-2119, RR-4699, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-1163, RR-2856, RR-3003, RR-0762, RR-0776, RR-1820, RR-3847, RR-0402, RR-0873, RR-3175, RR-2276, RR-0364, RR-0364, RR-4603, RR-3721, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-1893, RR-0592, RR-3063, RR-3700, RR-0324, RR-0590, RR-1278, RR-4098, RR-1568, RR-0328, RR-4655, RR-2162, RR-3925, RR-1597, RR-3400, RR-3504, RR-0919, RR-2565, RR-2958, RR-3288, RR-0212, RR-1046, RR-4242, RR-3364, RR-0321, RR-1420, RR-3132, RR-4472, RR-4466, RR-2486, RR-1285, RR-3479, RR-2664, RR-2029, RR-2411, RR-3758, RR-4112, RR-1752, RR-1384, RR-1244, RR-1114, RR-3610, RR-1151, RR-3095, RR-3997, RR-4544, RR-2377, RR-0267, RR-2901, RR-3760, RR-0481, RR-2136, RR-2289, RR-3962, RR-4956, RR-1399, RR-4342, RR-4735, RR-4327, RR-3151, RR-4101, RR-2911,			The assessment in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] also considers the physical and mental health impacts of changes to recreation and countryside access under the assessment topic 'open space, leisure and play', and concludes that no significant adverse effects are anticipated at any phase of the Scheme. The Applicant confirms that existing PROW are to be protected as far as practicable within the Scheme design, with management measures set out in the Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282], confirmed as embedded mitigation in Section 16.9 of ES Chapter 16. New permissive access routes have been incorporated into the Scheme design, shown as Work No. 10 on the Works Plan [APP-007] and illustrated on ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecology Mitigation Plan [APP-084]. These are identified as additional mitigation and enhancement measures in Section 16.11 of ES Chapter 16. The PRoWPPMP is secured through Requirement 16 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) and Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP), substantially in

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2975, RR-3626, RR-4834, RR-3643, RR-2035, RR-4146, RR-4583, RR-4491, RR-0280, RR-3906, RR-2322, RR-3597, RR-3515, RR-4512, RR-1188, RR-0978, RR-3621, RR-2538, RR-1253, RR-0077, RR-2227, RR-4851, RR-2680, RR-0765, RR-0551, RR-0132, RR-3931, RR-2230, RR-4239, RR-3967, RR-2296, RR-4876, RR-1281, RR-0448, RR-4013, RR-1673, RR-4509, RR-4422, RR-1768, RR-3717, RR-3883, RR-1277, RR-2801, RR-0741, RR-1071, RR-3907, RR-1953, RR-3316, RR-1471, RR-1418, RR-1603, RR-4057, RR-2607, RR-0024, RR-1181, RR-3331, RR-3221, RR-0851, RR-0083, RR-4078, RR-3550, RR-4347, RR-1355, RR-0807, RR-0786, RR-4587, RR-1370, RR-3182, RR-2807, RR-4209, RR-1064, RR-1305, RR-4920, RR-1262, RR-4569, RR-1173, RR-3365, RR-1755, RR-1444, RR-1416, RR-1607, RR-0864, RR-0646, RR-4681, RR-2652, RR-4940, RR-4787, RR-2909, RR-2593, RR-2597, RR-0555, RR-0672, RR-1441, RR-1315, RR-0350, RR-4092, RR-1879, RR-2382, RR-1951, RR-2025, RR-3307, RR-1564, RR-4382, RR-4574, RR-3320, RR-3809, RR-2471, RR-3889, RR-1485, RR-4302, RR-0042, RR-1269, RR-2736, RR-4465,			accordance with the outline plans, are secured through Requirements 13 and 15 respectively in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that impacts on PROW and recreational routes are appropriately managed during construction. The approach to recreation and access has been discussed with Wiltshire Council's Public Health team and is under discussion with Wiltshire Council's Countryside Access Team and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2171, RR-3445, RR-2888, RR-1319, RR-4049, RR-0621, RR-3049, RR-4555, RR-3670, RR-1740, RR-0881, RR-3689, RR-0775, RR-4745, RR-2527, RR-3366, RR-0818, RR-2268, RR-4445, RR-4855, RR-3736, RR-3573, RR-2741, RR-3963, RR-3212, RR-0831, RR-3775, RR-0731, RR-0527, RR-2016, RR-2625, RR-3790, RR-3790, RR-2629, RR-0987, RR-2703, RR-0990, RR-4050, RR-3890, RR-3020, RR-3664, RR-2533, RR-0895, RR-0169, RR-3026, RR-4020, RR-1551, RR-2581, RR-2537, RR-4788, RR-3884 RR-0617, RR-4847, RR-1140, RR-1328, RR-1832, RR-0827, RR-2379, RR-4518, RR-2876, RR-0038, RR-0920, RR-1382, RR-0853, RR-3671, RR-3921, RR-0752, RR-0951, RR-4217, RR-1617, RR-2675, RR-3985, RR-3120, RR-3877, RR-0894, RR-1266, RR-0647, RR-0223, RR-1431, RR-3315, RR-1894, RR-4270, RR-0094, RR-4094, RR-3618, RR-4633, RR-4643, RR-1184, RR-1018, RR-2108, RR-0994, RR-0715, RR-1403, RR-0829, RR-3215, RR-0733, RR-1679, RR-0113, RR-4002, RR-1929, RR-3145, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-0359, RR-3923, RR-2781, RR-0414, RR-4251, RR-2188, RR-0933, RR-0497, RR-3857, RR-3071, RR-1510,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0740, RR-2328, RR-1175, RR-1386, RR-3496, RR-2200, RR-2872, RR-2695, RR-3746, RR-4786, RR-1990, RR-4499, RR-1506,RR-1159, RR-3852, RR-1795, RR-1373, RR-1193, RR-2314, RR-1830, RR-0714, RR-4079, RR-1919, RR-3697, RR-2004, RR-0520, RR-0721, RR-1182, RR-1871, RR-1629, RR-2813, RR-3087, RR-3525, RR-4226, RR-2760, RR-1104, RR-3216, RR-0953, RR-3290, RR-3999, RR-2011, RR-3507, RR-0912, RR-1292, RR-2105, RR-2665, RR-2453, RR-1634, RR-3159, RR-0793, RR-2955, RR-1059, RR-4225, RR-2656, RR-2621, RR-4760, RR-4462, RR-4177, RR-0540, RR-3806, RR-3600, RR-3029, RR-2405, RR-3685, RR-4446, RR-3798, RR-4026, RR-3384, RR-3379, RR-3346, RR-3951, RR-3357, RR-3810, RR-0173, RR-3517, RR-4330, RR-4266, RR-2670, RR-0143, RR-0192, RR-1356, RR-4936, RR-4238, RR-0595, RR-0662, RR-1663, RR-4337, RR-0066, RR-2697, RR-0037, RR-1231, RR-1691, RR-4556, RR-0399, RR-4565, RR-3678, RR-3825, RR-3390, RR-4029, RR-3102, RR-0758, RR-1167, RR-1534, RR-1720, RR-0966, RR-2802, RR-4602, RR-4642, RR-4440, RR-4750, RR-1340, RR-2763, RR-4742, RR-1364,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2297, RR-1807, RR-3213, RR-4148, RR-1809, RR-3080, RR-3005, RR-4228, RR-1602, RR-2221, RR-1639, RR-0620, RR-1831, RR-2774, RR-4172, RR-3094, RR-3172, RR-2591, RR-1535, RR-1922, RR-2610, RR-2912, RR-0891, RR-1448, RR-2683, RR-1601, RR-0686, RR-2475, RR-1385, RR-2166, RR-1442, RR-3375, RR-0152, RR-0798, RR-0056, RR-0727, RR-3704, RR-1890, RR-1154, RR-0596, RR-3189, RR-4341, RR-2648, RR-1002, RR-4118, RR-2155, RR-4158, RR-0149, RR-4488, RR-1289, RR-2210, RR-2267, RR-0177, RR-0619, RR-3327, RR-2667, RR-0980, RR-4697, RR-2152, RR-0438, RR-1199, RR-4539, RR-0028, RR-0116, RR-2624, RR-0033, RR-0697, RR-0599, RR-0268, RR-0603, RR-3209, RR-3508, RR-1648, RR-2495, RR-3767, RR-1818, RR-2541, RR-1857, RR-0144, RR-2480, RR-3607, RR-2977, RR-3910, RR-3139, RR-3805, RR-3406, RR-1586, RR-0704, RR-3139, RR-2693, RR-4245, RR-4549, RR-3436, RR-4856, RR-3058, RR-3683, RR-3498, RR-0782, RR-4426, RR-1587, RR-1906, RR-4864, RR-4858, RR-0653, RR-1067, RR-2003, RR-4914, RR-4713, RR-4062, RR-4403, RR-0606, RR-0967, RR-1301, RR-2501,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3588, RR-1714, RR-4007, RR-4832, RR-2864, RR-1236, RR-2853, RR-0963, RR-1854, RR-1483, RR-0898, RR-0329, RR-3494, RR-3045, RR-0340, RR-0457, RR-3712, RR-2797, RR-3839, RR-1684, RR-0665, RR-4627, RR-4659, RR-0810, RR-2491, RR-0614, RR-3625, RR-0630, RR-2397, RR-3513, RR-4066, RR-2725, RR-1758, RR-0790, RR-1701, RR-4285, RR-2745, RR-4770, RR-1283, RR-0318, RR-4904, RR-2253, RR-0518, RR-3909, RR-2847, RR-4182, RR-3192, RR-0247, RR-1507, RR-4875, RR-0210, RR-3421, RR-4771, RR-2359, RR-2810, RR-0525, RR-3371, RR-4623, RR-3214, RR-2696, RR-4230, RR-2176, RR-1201, RR-1161, RR-3023, RR-3460, RR-1923, RR-4601, RR-0671, RR-2110, RR-3450, RR-2777, RR-0745, RR-2488, RR-4779, RR-2201, RR-2788, RR-4070, RR-0333, RR-0086, RR-4917, RR-1016, RR-0371, RR-4599, RR-0327, RR-3314, RR-0794, RR-0854, RR-4566, RR-1453, RR-3533, RR-3650, RR-3098, RR-2997, RR-3596, RR-4323, RR-0117, RR-0165, RR-0770, RR-2515, RR-4258, RR-4710, RR-3866, RR-0661, RR-3452, RR-1783, RR-2649, RR-3708, RR-3001, RR-2080, RR-3979, RR-2494, AS-008, RR-1240,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0335, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-1851, RR-1095, RR-0362, RR-3687, RR-3540, RR-2563, RR-4206, RR-2854, RR-3796, RR-2681, RR-3616, RR-1650, RR-0592, RR-1568, RR-0328, RR-3479, RR-1114, RR-1041, RR-2746, RR-3065, RR-4834, RR-4731, RR-0551, RR-4422, RR-3883, RR-1277, RR-2801, RR-0741, RR-3897, RR-0079, RR-4347, RR-3089, RR-4704, RR-1309, RR-2510, RR-2736, RR-3670, RR-2410, RR-2625, RR-2703, RR-1552, RR-1551, RR-0702, RR-0706, RR-3985, RR-3877, RR-2331, RR-1525, RR-1184, RR-1403, RR-0113, RR-3746, RR-3087, RR-4207, RR-0793, RR-4225, RR-4159, RR-2353, RR-1284, RR-3102, RR-0966, RR-2763, RR-2297, RR-4148, RR-3005, RR-2221, RR-2691, RR-3094, RR-1448, RR-1442, RR-4158, RR-0177, RR-0438, RR-1823, RR-3209, RR-2197, RR-2541, RR-1857, RR-2480, RR-3910, RR-3805, RR-1586, RR-4245, RR-3436, RR-1588, RR-4856, RR-3683, RR-3498, RR-3356, RR-4818, RR-2853, RR-3712, RR-3839, RR-1684, RR-0810, RR-3513, RR-2725, RR-2964, RR-0790, RR-4904, RR-4875, RR-4230, RR-1933, RR-4601, RR-0671, RR-3450, RR-2488, RR-4070, RR-4490,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2997, RR-0638, RR-2784, RR-3452, RR-3979, RR-1711, RR-1775, RR-1947, RR-2602, RR-2618, RR-2724, RR-3361, RR-3467, RR-3592, RR-4189, RR-4765, RR-4645, RR-4933			
SE-33	RR-3796	Recreation	Respondent expressed concern for the impact of the proposals on Sherston's annual boules competition and the annual duck race.	The Applicant confirms that impacts on the Sherston Conservation Area (in which the annual boules competition and the annual duck race are located) have been assessed in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241]. The assessment therein identifies up to a long-term temporary minor adverse effect due to views of Lime Down A from some limited locations within the conservation area. This is not however a significant effect, and therefore is not anticipated to have a significant adverse effect on the popularity or undertaking of the events raised in this comment. The Applicant has committed to the mitigating design measures to have fields A1, A4, A11, and A12 wholly or partially removed from the Solar PV array areas, as set out on the Works Plan [APP-007] and secured by Requirement 5 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016].
SE-34	RR-4749	Public Rights of Way, Permissive Paths and Footpaths.	Respondent expressed support for the creation of new permissive paths and walking routes that are included in the Scheme.	The Applicant appreciates support for the inclusion of permissive paths on the Scheme. The Applicant confirms that the Scheme design includes provision of approximately 12.6 km of permissive paths for pedestrians, and for pedestrians, cyclists and equestrian users, to improve

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				connectivity across the Sites and the wider public rights of way network. These are secured through the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282] Requirements 7 and 16 of Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] .
SE-35	RR-3955, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-0502, RR-3779, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-1055, RR-0592, RR-0324, RR-3653, RR-4466, RR-1768, RR-0555, RR-3670, RR-0308, RR-3923, RR-3384, RR-3379, RR-2475, RR-2155, RR-4566	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concern about the Scheme's impact on the Wiltshire Cycleway	The assessment of impacts on long-distance recreational routes in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has identified the Wiltshire Way as a regionally important cycle route. Due to its high sensitivity, it is anticipated the Scheme will have a significant adverse effect on the route throughout the Scheme's construction and decommissioning, a matter which will have to be considered in the planning balance when the DCO is determined. The Scheme is not considered to have a long-term significant adverse effect on the route during its operational phase.
SE-36	RR-4005	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent stated that visitors of the Cotswold attractions Castle Combe, Westonbirt Arboretum, Highgrove, Malmesbury Abbey and Tetbury will be negatively impacted by construction.	The Applicant confirms that impacts on the Cotswolds National Landscape and Castle Combe Conservation Area have been assessed in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241] . Visual and HGV and traffic impacts on Westonbirt Arboretum and Malmesbury Abbey were considered but not assessed, as intervening distance and topography were anticipated to stop any significant effects from occurring. Impacts to Highgrove House and Gardens,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				and Tetbury were not considered as these locations are more than 5 km from the Scheme and not anticipated to be affected by HGV or traffic movements associated with the Scheme. The assessment on the Cotswolds National Landscape therein identifies up to a medium-term temporary moderate-minor adverse effect due to views of Lime Down A, C and the Cable Route Corridor from some locations within the National Landscape. This is not however a significant effect and therefore is not anticipated to have a significant adverse effect on the popularity or significance of the location as a tourist destination. The Applicant has committed to the mitigating design measures to have fields A1, A4, A11, A12, C1-4, C6, C8 and C10 wholly or partially removed from the Solar PV array areas, as set out on the Works Plan [APP-007] and secured by Requirement 5 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. The assessment on the Castle Combe Conservation Area identifies up to a medium-term temporary minor adverse effect due to immediate views of cabling works, and increased HGV traffic on approach routes during construction. This is not a significant effect.
SE-37	RR-1096	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concern about the Scheme's impact on The Monarch's Way	The assessment of impacts on long-distance recreational routes in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has identified the Monarch's Way as a

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				regionally important long-distance walking route. This route was not assessed in detail due to the substantial distance between the route and the Scheme (4.8 km at nearest) and as such, no significant adverse effects are considered likely.
SE-38	RR-1096	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concern about the Scheme's impact on the Palladian Way	The assessment of impacts on long-distance recreational routes in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has identified the Palladian Way as a regionally important long-distance walking route. Due to its high sensitivity, it is anticipated the Scheme will have a significant adverse effect on the route throughout the Scheme's construction and decommissioning, a matter which will have to be considered in the planning balance when the DCO is determined. This includes consideration of embedded and available additional mitigation measures to protect users of the Palladian Way from HGV movements accessing the 132 kV substation at Site D, and impacts from cable route construction works, as set out through the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282] , secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] . The Scheme is not considered to have a long-term significant adverse effect on the route during its operational phase.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
SE-39	RR-1096, RR-0324, RR-4466, RR-1708, RR-3035	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concern about the Scheme's impact on the Macmillan Way	The assessment of impacts on long-distance recreational routes in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has identified the Macmillan Way as a nationally important long-distance walking route. Due to its minimal interaction with the Scheme with regard to direct views or interaction with HGV movements, the Scheme is not considered to have a significant adverse effect on the Macmillan Way at any phase of development.
SE-40	RR-1096, RR-0324, RR-4098, RR-4466, RR-3371, RR-2724	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concern about the Scheme's impact on Cotswold's Way	The assessment of impacts on long-distance recreational routes in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has identified the Cotswold Way National Trail as a nationally important long-distance walking route. Due to its minimal interaction with the Scheme with regard to lack of direct views and only minimal interaction with HGV movements at the A46, the Scheme is not considered to have a significant adverse effect on the Cotswold Way National Trail at any phase of development.
SE-41	RR-1096	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concern about the Scheme's impact on Thames Path	The Thames Path National Trail is located more than 14 km from the nearest point on the Scheme (Somerford Keynes to Lime Down E). Impacts on the Thames Path National Trail have therefore not been considered in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] .
SE-42	RR-1096	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concern about the Scheme's impact on Thames and Severn Way	The Thames and Severn Way is located more than 14 km from the nearest point on the Scheme (Kemble to Lime Down B). Impacts on the Thames and Severn Way

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				have therefore not been considered in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] .
SE-43	RR-1096	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concern about the Scheme's impact on the Wysis Way	The Wysis Way is located more than 14 km from the nearest point on the Scheme (Kemble to Lime Down B). Impacts on the Wysis Way have therefore not been considered in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] .
SE-44	RR-1096, RR-3820	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concern about the Scheme's impact on the White Walls Way	The assessment of impacts on long-distance recreational routes in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has identified the White Walls Way as a locally important long-distance walking route. Mitigation measures to control HGV movements and protect PROW and recreational route users, as set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282] , secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] . Subject to their implementation, the Scheme is not considered to have a significant adverse effect on the White Walls Way at any phase of development.
SE-45	RR-4568, RR-3366, RR-2475	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concern about the Scheme's impact on the Athelstan Pilgrim's trail	The assessment of impacts on long-distance recreational routes in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has identified the Athelstan Pilgrim Way as a locally important long-distance walking route. Mitigation measures to control HGV

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				movements and protect PROW and recreational route users, as set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282] , secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] . Subject to their implementation, the Scheme is not considered to have a significant adverse effect on the Athelstan Pilgrim Way at any phase of development.
SE-46	RR-1768, RR-1269, RR-3923, RR-3384, RR-2670, RR-1231	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondents expressed concern regarding the Scheme's impact on Hullavington walks 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8 and 9.	The Applicant confirms that consideration of impacts to PROW, unsurfaced roads, and the recreational use of the highway network has been assessed in ES Volume 1 Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] and the supporting ES Volume 3 Appendix 16-2 Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241] . The routes used for the Hullavington Walks have been assessed therein. The Applicant confirms all routes except routes 1, 3, and 5 interact with Order Limits to some extent. No significant adverse effects (i.e. no greater than moderate-minor adverse effects) to these Hullavington Walks routes are anticipated as a result of the Scheme, subject to implementation of embedded and additional mitigation measures as secured through the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282] , secured

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] .
SE-47	RR-1444, RR-2314	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concern regarding the schemes impact on the Commonwood Lane bridlepaths.	The assessment of impacts on PROWs in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has included byway open to all traffic LUCK57/SHER35, which extends from Commonwood Lane to the Fosse Way. This byway open to all traffic is anticipated to be impacted by construction works at Lime Down C and increased HGV traffic on the Fosse Way. Mitigation measures to control HGV movements and protect PROW and recreational route users, as set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282] , secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] . Subject to their implementation, the Scheme is not considered to have a significant adverse effect on byway open to all traffic LUCK57/SHER35 at any phase of development.
SE-48	RR-0775	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concerns regarding the impact to the by-way in Rodbourne known as Cabbage Patch Lane.	The assessment of impacts on PROWs and unsurfaced highways in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has included the "Track crossing railway, Rodbourne" (also known colloquially as Cabbage Lane). This unsurfaced road is anticipated to require use for HGVs to cross from the north of Lime Down E to the south, where it is bisected by the South Wales Main Line

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				railway. Mitigation measures to control HGV movements and protect PROW and recreational route users, as set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PROWPPMP) [APP-282], secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. Subject to their implementation, the Scheme is considered to have a significant adverse effect on the "Track crossing railway, Rodbourne" during construction, which will have to be considered in the planning balance when the DCO is determined. The Scheme is not considered to have a significant adverse effect on this unsurfaced road during any other phase of development.
SE-49	RR-0702, RR-2167	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concerns regarding the impact to the paths along Stanton to Rodbourne, including Seagry Wood, Bincombe Wood and Rodbourne Bottom.	The assessment of impacts on PROWs and unsurfaced highways in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has included the following routes which connect Stanton St Quintin to Rodbourne, all of which interact with Lime Down E: • Footpaths MALW62/SSTQ5; MALW63. MALW64, MALW65 and MALW68; • Bridleways MALW59, MALW61/SSTQ4, and SEAG30/SSTQ7; • Unsurfaced roads "Track parallel to railway, Rodbourne", "Track crossing railway, Rodbourne" (also known

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				colloquially as Cabbage Lane), and "Rodbourne Bottom Drive". The two unsurfaced roads within Lime Down E are anticipated to require use for HGVs to access all parts of Lime Down E. Mitigation measures to control HGV movements and protect PROW and recreational route users, as set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PROWPPMP) [APP-282], secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. Subject to their implementation, the Scheme is considered to have a significant adverse effect on both the "Track parallel to railway, Rodbourne" and the "Track crossing railway, Rodbourne" during construction, which will have to be considered in the planning balance when the DCO is determined. The Scheme is not considered to have a significant adverse effect on these unsurfaced roads during any other phase of development, nor have any significant effect on the assessed footpaths and bridleways on Lime Down E at any phase of development.
SE-50	RR-1175, RR-0247	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concern for footpaths running from the railway line at Court Farm (OS ST901827), HULL6, HULL7 and/or HULL8 designated footpaths.	The assessment of impacts on PROWs and unsurfaced highways in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has included the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				following routes which cross the railway near Court Farm, Hullavington: • Footpaths HULL6 and HULL8, and both branches linking to HULL7; • Bridleway HULL7; and • Unsurfaced road "Down Road". These routes are anticipated to be impacted by construction of Lime Down D, with "Down Road" requiring use for HGVs to access field D17 from the west, and D16 and D18 from the east. Mitigation measures to control HGV movements and protect PROW and recreational route users, as set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282], secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. Subject to their implementation, the Scheme is not considered to have a significant adverse effect on any of the assessed PROWs or unsurfaced road in this location at any phase of development.
SE-51	RR-4374, RR-1016	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondents expressed concern regarding the impact on the area near the Flying Monk. Some respondents expressed specific concern regarding the impact on the Down Lane	The assessment of impacts on PROWs and unsurfaced highways in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has included the following routes which are located near to the Flying Monk taproom, Hullavington: • Footpaths HULL1/NORT10, HULL2, HULL4, HULL5, HULL6 and HULL29;

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			route to Corston and then along King Heath to Bradfield Wood and back to Hullavington.	• Bridleway HULL7; and • Unsurfaced road "Down Road". These routes are anticipated to be impacted by construction of Lime Down D, with "Down Road" requiring use for HGVs to access field D17 from the west, and D16 and D18 from the east. Mitigation measures to control HGV movements and protect PROW and recreational route users, as set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282], secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. Subject to their implementation, the Scheme is not considered to have a significant adverse effect on any of the assessed PROWs or unsurfaced road in this location at any phase of development. Furthermore, the Applicant has committed to the provision of additional permissive paths to link HULL1/NORT10 to HULL2, and HULL2 to HULL4, HULL5, and HULL6 to improve connectivity between these public footpaths and create additional options for circular walking routes from and surrounding the Flying Monk taproom. This is secured through the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282] Requirements 7 and 16 of Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] .
SE-52	RR-4374	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concern regarding the area near The Vine.	The Applicant interprets this as impacts surrounding The Vine Tree PH in Norton. The Applicant has responded directly to representations made by the owners of The Vine Tree PH [RR-4654] at Section 4.29 of The Applicant's Responses to Relevant Representation (Part 1) [PDA-009]. The assessment of impacts to tourism and recreation receptors in ES Volume 1 Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] and its supporting ES Volume 3 Appendix 16-2 Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241] has assessed the impacts to each PROW on the approach to Norton, and finds no significant adverse effects to these routes at any phase of the Scheme, subject to implementation of mitigation measures. A significant adverse effect to the Wiltshire Way cycle route during construction and decommissioning has been assessed, however this is predominantly likely to occur as a result of HGV traffic and direct views of the Scheme along the Fosse Way between Ladyswood and Grittleton. This will have to be considered as part of the planning balance when the DCO is determined. Access routes to The Vine Tree which interact with the Scheme will largely be screened by landscape planting, which will mature

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				during the operational phase of the Scheme, reducing the visual amenity effect from travelling next to the Solar PV Arrays.
SE-53	RR-4374	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concern for Wessex Way.	The Great Wessex Way is located more than 41 km from the nearest point on the Scheme (Donhead St Andrew to Melksham National Grid Substation). Impacts on the Great Wessex Way have therefore not been considered in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] .
SE-54	RR-2176	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concern for the impact on GRIT20 footpath.	The assessment of impacts on PROWs in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has included footpath GRIT20. This footpath is anticipated to require a diversion route to be put in place during construction and decommissioning due to the location of the proposed construction compound east of Grittleton. Mitigation measures to control HGV movements and protect PROW and recreational route users, as set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282] Requirements 7 and 16 of Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. Subject to their implementation, the Scheme is not considered to have a significant adverse effect on footpath GRIT20 at any phase of development.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
SE-55	RR-2176	PROW - Specific Routes	Respondent expressed concern for the impact on NORT5 footpath.	The assessment of impacts on PROWs in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has included footpath NORT5. This footpath is anticipated to be impacted by cabling works and HGV access to Lime Down B. Mitigation measures to control HGV movements and protect PROW and recreational route users, as set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282] [APP-282] , are secured respectively by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] . Subject to their implementation, the Scheme is not considered to have a significant adverse effect on footpath NORT5 at any phase of development.
SE-56	RR-1711	PROW	Respondent expressed that the Scheme goes against the 'National Rights of Way Improvement Plan 2025-2035', which seeks to avoid conflict and encourage co-operation in improving PROW.	The Applicant understands this comment refers to a local authority's requirement to review rights of way improvement plan(s) every 10 years, the next period of review of which is 2025-2035. The Applicant understands that Wiltshire Council has commenced work on review of its Countryside Access Improvement Plan 2015-2025, with surveys undertaken up to November 2024. The Applicant understands that a revised Plan has not yet been published or formally adopted for the 2025-2035 period. Nevertheless, the Applicant is confident that the Scheme is not in conflict with Wiltshire Council's aspirations to improve PROW and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				countryside access. Impacts on PROWs and access to the countryside are to be mitigated through the measures in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-282] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan [APP-282] secured by Requirements 15 and 16 in Schedule 2 to the Draft DCO [APP-016]. These documents have been updated at Deadline 1; for the most up-to-date documents, the reader should access these through the Guide to the Application. The Applicant is furthermore committed to the provision of approximately 12.6 km of permissive paths for pedestrians, and for pedestrians, cyclists and equestrian users, to improve connectivity across the Sites and the wider public rights of way network. These are secured through the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan [APP-283] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan [APP-282] by Requirements 7 and 16 of Schedule 2 to the Draft DCO [APP-016]. The Applicant is also directly engaging with Wiltshire Council's PROW and Countryside Access team to explore how the Scheme can support improvements to the existing PROW network either directly through the Scheme, or by way of funding through the Community Benefit Fund. The extent of this engagement is set out in the Statement of Common Ground with Wiltshire Council.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
SE-57	RR-1711	PROW and Local Businesses	Respondent expressed concern that impacts to PROW will result in a decrease in visitors to Sherston, which would impact local businesses, including the High Street Café, the Shop, and the Public House.	The assessment in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] and the supporting ES Volume 3 Appendix 16-2 Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241] have assessed the likely impacts of the Scheme at construction, operation, peak replacement, and decommissioning on public rights of way and tourism destinations within the Study Area. The assessment finds no significant adverse effects to PROWs or recreational routes located within areas most likely to be accessed from Sherston. Furthermore, the assessment has directly assessed the likely impact on the Cotswolds National Landscape and Sherston Conservation Area as tourism destinations. The assessment in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] concludes that there is no residual significant adverse effect to these tourism destinations at any phase of the Scheme. The Applicant has assessed that there is a likely worst-case temporary loss of £1.76 million GVA per annum as a result of the Scheme's construction on tourism-dependent industries. The Applicant does not consider that this effect on tourism industries is significant, accounting for approximately 0.17% of tourism employment across Wiltshire and less than 0.15% of the value of the combined tourism industry value across Wiltshire (Visit Wiltshire, 2021). The Applicant also seeks to reiterate that this is a temporary effect, anticipated to occur

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				during construction, based on a worst-case scenario determined by likely significant effects from the Scheme, with recovery to tourism businesses anticipated to begin when construction works are complete.
SE-58	RR-1711	PROW	Respondent expressed concern that people's experience of walking through traditional Cotswold dry-stone walls will be impacted by the Scheme.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment [APP-060] has assessed the impact of the Scheme on the Cotswold National Landscape, and the land within its setting. Land within and outside the CNL is distinctly different. There is a visible absence of stone walls within the landscape outside of the CNL, a defining special quality of the CNL which is clearly visible within the CNL. As described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] the Applicant has sought to utilise existing gaps in field boundary habitats, including hedgerows and drystone walls (where found within the Order Limits) wherever possible and minimise requirements for new crossings through boundary features. As described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] , one section of dry stone wall within the Cable Route Corridor has been noted to potentially require temporary removal for construction, which will be replaced on completion of construction works. The Applicant is therefore confident that the impacts to the experience of walking in areas with dry stone walks and their appreciation of the setting of the Cotswold National Landscape is not significant.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
SE-59	RR-4645	Local Businesses and Tourism	Respondent expressed concern that the Scheme would impact their small business, Angel Café, as a result of effects on tourism.	The assessment in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] and the supporting ES Volume 3 Appendix 16-2 Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241] have assessed the likely impacts of the Scheme at construction, operation, peak replacement, and decommissioning on public rights of way and tourism destinations within the Study Area. The assessment finds no significant adverse effects to PROWs or recreational routes located within areas most likely to be accessed from Sherston. Furthermore, the assessment has directly assessed the likely impact on the Cotswolds National Landscape and Sherston Conservation Area as tourism destinations. The assessment in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068] concludes that there is no residual significant adverse effect to these tourism destinations at any phase of the Scheme. The Applicant has assessed that there is a likely worst-case temporary loss of £1.76 million GVA per annum as a result of the Scheme's construction on tourism-dependent industries. The Applicant does not consider that this effect on tourism industries is significant, accounting for approximately 0.17% of tourism employment across Wiltshire and less than 0.15% of the value of the combined tourism industry value across Wiltshire (Visit Wiltshire, 2021). The Applicant also seeks to reiterate that this is a temporary effect, anticipated to occur

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				during construction, based on a worst-case scenario determined by likely significant effects from the Scheme, with recovery to tourism businesses anticipated to begin when construction works are complete.

2.12 Noise and Vibration

Table 2-12

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
N-01	RR-4817, RR-2324, RR-2998, RR-3568, RR-4493, RR-4513, RR-1589, RR-1329, RR-1240, RR-3898, RR-2345, RR-0208, RR-4550, RR-3510, RR-3726, RR-4594, RR-4492, RR-0778, RR-1332, RR-1496, RR-1186, RR-1731, RR-0879, RR-3936, RR-2184, RR-4671, RR-2254, RR-2899, RR-2658, RR-2266, RR-2631, RR-0373, RR-2061, RR-1828, RR-4648, RR-0680, RR-3836, RR-3199, RR-3548, RR-4537, RR-1094, RR-1099, RR-0386, RR-2157, RR-3253, RR-1272, RR-4423, RR-0346, RR-3002, RR-2348, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-1136, RR-3067, RR-2790, RR-0012, RR-1095, RR-1096, RR-2803, RR-3073, RR-3040, RR-3640, RR-1457, RR-2062, RR-2097, RR-2927, RR-4257, RR-2511, RR-0753, RR-1486, RR-2356, RR-2394, RR-0805, RR-3540, RR-4369, RR-1090, RR-1591, RR-2138, RR-1769, RR-4453, RR-1055, RR-3246, RR-4216, RR-2207, RR-2174, RR-2979, RR-2854, RR-1872, RR-1574, RR-4699, RR-3895, RR-2692,	General Concern	Respondents expressed general concern for increased noise and / or 'buzzing' and / or vibration. Some respondents expressed concern for noise and / or vibration from specific components of the solar park, including panels, inverters, transformers, cooling fans, and tracking panel movements.	An assessment of the effects of the Scheme on noise and vibration is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], with supporting technical information supplied in ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237]. The assessment considers potential noise and vibration impacts during construction, operation and decommissioning, including from solar PV panels and associated infrastructure. The conclusions of the assessment in relation to each phase of the Scheme are set out below. The conclusions of the assessments are made for the Scheme as a whole (i.e. all of its components combined, including the BESS), and therefore any specific component (including those listed by the respondents) will not have any greater effects than those that have been assessed. The Applicant notes that the general approach to noise and vibration has been discussed and agreed with Wiltshire Council, as described in Section 14.2 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. In addition, further meetings have been held over the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1739, RR-0959, RR-2626, RR-4473, RR-0669, RR-1083, RR-1820, RR-2681, RR-3847, RR-0195, RR-3175, RR-1317, RR-0364, RR-4728, RR-0404, RR-1402, RR-2126, RR-0467, RR-0324, RR-1571, RR-2452, RR-1570, RR-4111, RR-4098, RR-4200, RR-1908, RR-0633, RR-3590, RR-4655, RR-0509, RR-4130, RR-2139, RR-0071, RR-1115, RR-2588, RR-0757, RR-2506, RR-1624, RR-2929, RR-2958, RR-4168, RR-3064, RR-1613, RR-1138, RR-3364, RR-0321, RR-2643, RR-4294, RR-0391, RR-0271, RR-3535, RR-0598, RR-0130, RR-4478, RR-2486, RR-1054, RR-2555, RR-4600, RR-3479, RR-0636, RR-2029, RR-3758, RR-2866, RR-3913, RR-1752, RR-1384, RR-1114, RR-3844, RR-1516, RR-4348, RR-4775, RR-1748, RR-4151, RR-0958, RR-2377, RR-0267, RR-2746, RR-3682, RR-2901, RR-1061, RR-2136, RR-0871, RR-0845, RR-4299, RR-4235, RR-3544, RR-1036, RR-1036, RR-2975, RR-1996, RR-1179, RR-4103, RR-0767, RR-3643, RR-0816, RR-3842, RR-4583, RR-3515, RR-3597, RR-4694, RR-1188, RR-2295, RR-0978, RR-1514, RR-3933, RR-4580, RR-4519, RR-4019, RR-1489, RR-4851, RR-4283, RR-3787, RR-2817, RR-1480,			approach to the management plans, and a Statement of Common Ground will be issued and updated throughout examination. <u>Construction phase</u> The noise and vibration impacts arising from construction activities are assessed in Section 14.10 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. For the purposes of the assessment, a reasonable worst-case scenario assuming piling activities has been considered. Mitigation measures associated with construction activity, such as temporary screens and advanced warning of works, are presented in Section 14.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] and are committed to through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]. With these measures in place, the assessment concludes that no significant effects from construction noise or vibration are expected. A noise monitoring programme agreed with Wiltshire Council will be carried out during construction, as committed to in the Outline CEMP [APP-277]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the Construction Environmental Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277], is secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0765, RR-0132, RR-2076, RR-3931, RR-0591, RR-2603, RR-0154, RR-2732, RR-0181, RR-4942, RR-1953, RR-0320, RR-1471, RR-4278, RR-1418, RR-2579, RR-1547, RR-3305, RR-4357, RR-4271, RR-1993, RR-3221, RR-1155, RR-0851, RR-1087, RR-4078, RR-3179, RR-2717, RR-1211, RR-1765, RR-4669, RR-3550, RR-1355, RR-3257, RR-2484, RR-3089, RR-0786, RR-2702, RR-0043, RR-3814, RR-4284, RR-1142, RR-2807, RR-4464, RR-1305, RR-2961, RR-0917, RR-0917, RR-2384, RR-1436, RR-0830, RR-2793, RR-0820, RR-1632, RR-1444, RR-4681, RR-3861, RR-3197, RR-4787, RR-0136, RR-0136, RR-2593, RR-4737, RR-4919, RR-4197, RR-1441, RR-1361, RR-2374, RR-4800, RR-4783, RR-0350, RR-4052, RR-1563, RR-3405, RR-2382, RR-1879, RR-0922, RR-3201, RR-1856, RR-4805, RR-1951, RR-4736, RR-2848, RR-3698, RR-3638, RR-4382, RR-2665, RR-3243, RR-2471, RR-1485, RR-0602, RR-4302, RR-3104, RR-2736, RR-1269, RR-1726, RR-3666, RR-3788, RR-3670, RR-4335, RR-3689, RR-2526, RR-4621, RR-2215, RR-0148, RR-0910, RR-1970, RR-3393, RR-0235, RR-0809, RR-4759, RR-0490, RR-4872, RR-3439,			(DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that construction noise and vibration effects are appropriately controlled. <u>Operational phase</u> The noise impacts from the operation of the Scheme and its components are assessed in Section 14.10 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], with supporting modelling provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237]. The predicted noise levels from the Solar PV Sites have been artificially increased by 3 dB to account for the increased likelihood that the noise from the Scheme would be perceived as distinct from the existing background sound. Potential mitigation measures are detailed in Section 14.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], and with such measures in place, including bunds and barriers around the central BESS area, the assessment concludes that significant effects from operational noise are not expected. The Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278] commits to noise levels from the Scheme at residential dwellings being the same as or below those modelled in the ES. This provides assurance that significant effects from operational noise will be avoided, while allowing flexibility in how this is achieved.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1428, RR-0128, RR-4050, RR-2101, RR-1294, RR-1013, RR-1698, RR-2891, RR-1550, RR-1549, RR-3727, RR-1148, RR-0617, RR-0827, RR-2379, RR-0044, RR-4518, RR-0308, RR-2928, RR-1925, RR-0752, RR-2534, RR-1617, RR-2189, RR-2809, RR-0894, RR-3348, RR-0670, RR-4405, RR-2435, RR-0205, RR-3315, RR-1894, RR-4213, RR-3313, RR-2719, RR-4290, RR-3674, RR-4643, RR-1525, RR-2108, RR-0387, RR-0779, RR-0059, RR-0715, RR-3397, RR-4705, RR-4002, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-2496, RR-3923, RR-0052, RR-1666, RR-3372, RR-0358, RR-0729, RR-2291, RR-1723, RR-2188, RR-0933, RR-3071, RR-2154, RR-1510, RR-3262, RR-1729, RR-3407, RR-1175, RR-1542, RR-1336, RR-3404, RR-1934, RR-0479, RR-4597, RR-1506, RR-3852, RR-1034, RR-2314, RR-0227, RR-2796, RR-1210, RR-3615, RR-2699, RR-1524, RR-2004, RR-0539, RR-0520, RR-1182, RR-1629, RR-3582, RR-3864, RR-2092, RR-2149, RR-3876, RR-3497, RR-2383, RR-2490, RR-4207, RR-3999, RR-4836, RR-1628, RR-3976, RR-2011, RR-3416, RR-1292, RR-2105, RR-1634, RR-0768, RR-4462, RR-0640, RR-3317, RR-4159, RR-0795, RR-3473,			The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed OEMP, substantially in accordance with the outline plan, is secured through Requirement 14 of Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016] , ensuring operational noise is appropriately controlled throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0540, RR-1876, RR-3600, RR-2405, RR-3685, RR-0348, RR-3860, RR-4883, RR-1652, RR-3357, RR-3517, RR-4330, RR-4266, RR-1162, RR-4337, RR-4792, RR-4938, RR-1231, RR-1691, RR-4729, RR-3266, RR-4379, RR-1167, RR-0966, RR-3102, RR-1450, RR-4012, RR-1771, RR-4642, RR-4199, RR-4750, RR-4366, RR-2297, RR-3213, RR-3321, RR-0334, RR-4228, RR-1649, RR-4394, RR-2221, RR-0242, RR-1639, RR-0491, RR-1839, RR-4172, RR-1940, RR-2473, RR-3066, RR-2591, RR-1917, RR-3217, RR-3495, RR-4004, RR-0891, RR-0167, RR-0532, RR-2683, RR-1601, RR-2475, RR-0966, RR-2698, RR-2052, RR-4910, RR-0152, RR-0798, RR-0056, RR-1530, RR-4064, RR-2749, RR-3704, RR-1890, RR-2159, RR-3816, RR-2862, RR-1185, RR-1002, RR-3647, RR-4118, RR-0656, RR-4056, RR-2155, RR-1821, RR-1289, RR-0619, RR-2852, RR-3934, RR-2152, RR-0028, RR-0116, RR-4247, RR-0697, RR-0599, RR-4071, RR-3318, RR-0989, RR-3209, RR-3508, RR-0562, RR-1648, RR-3767, RR-2480, RR-0144, RR-2977, RR-3910, RR-3139, RR-3805, RR-3965, RR-3406, RR-2878, RR-2508, RR-0772, RR-4458, RR-0121, RR-1586,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2976, RR-4549, RR-2067, RR-1178, RR-3817, RR-4219, RR-3356, RR-0422, RR-4713, RR-4403, RR-2337, RR-4416, RR-0967, RR-4007, RR-1727, RR-2853, RR-1854, RR-4091, RR-2222, RR-3068, RR-4295, RR-0329, RR-1537, RR-0892, RR-3014, RR-2491, RR-2397, RR-3625, RR-1323, RR-4066, RR-3513, RR-3382, RR-0790, RR-2725, RR-1483, RR-4770, RR-4904, RR-0518, RR-0234, RR-4545, RR-4374, RR-4182, RR-2847, RR-3192, RR-1826, RR-4875, RR-4322, RR-4875, RR-2825, RR-2359, RR-3546, RR-2264, RR-2696, RR-0423, RR-4230, RR-1161, RR-3023, RR-3460, RR-2557, RR-1923, RR-3162, RR-2110, RR-2370, RR-0207, RR-4779, RR-4070, RR-0333, RR-1345, RR-3589, RR-1016, RR-3648, RR-4203, RR-4566, RR-2576, RR-0317, RR-3401, RR-3958, RR-2053, RR-1783, RR-3708, RR-2102, RR-0890, RR-0754, RR-1032, RR-3979, RR-2845, RR-1098, AS-008, RR-0717, RR-1711, RR-1840, RR-1947, RR-2602, RR-2618, RR-3592, RR-4543, RR-4765			
N-02	RR-3955, RR-1462, RR-0822, RR-4764, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-0502, RR-2860,	Battery Energy Storage System (BESS)	Respondents expressed concern for noise and / or vibration from the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS).	The noise impacts from the operation of the Scheme and its components, including the BESS, are assessed in Section 14.10 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] , with supporting

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3726, RR-0607, RR-4797, RR-1528, RR-4687, RR-0680, RR-4407, RR-3779, RR-1099, RR-0126, RR-4088, RR-2874, RR-3928, RR-3297, RR-4317, RR-4453, RR-2818, RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-1163, RR-2626, RR-1317, RR-2276, RR-3530, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-0592, RR-0324, RR-1278, RR-4098, RR-0633, RR-2882, RR-4126, RR-2162, RR-3252, RR-2369, RR-2400, RR-1188, RR-0132, RR-0413, RR-1768, RR-4753, RR-1320, RR-1603, RR-2873, RR-1436, RR-1389, RR-1899, RR-4096, RR-4737, RR-0555, RR-4919, RR-2399, RR-0672, RR-2665, RR-3670, RR-3703, RR-4309, RR-1294, RR-1013, RR-0169, RR-3026, RR-0449, RR-2601, RR-4518, RR-0752, RR-1617, RR-3862, RR-3923, RR-2857, RR-1723, RR-0933, RR-1510, RR-1175, RR-3404, RR-4597, RR-1373, RR-0520, RR-4688, RR-2383, RR-3999, RR-3362, RR-0768, RR-0549, RR-0640, RR-3473, RR-1876, RR-0194, RR-2258, RR-3586, RR-4337, RR-4792, RR-2709, RR-4729, RR-4379, RR-4642, RR-4228, RR-1649, RR-0491, RR-1940, RR-2473, RR-1917, RR-4004, RR-2683, RR-2475, RR-2166, RR-0152, RR-0798, RR-4396, RR-3647, RR-2155, RR-0116, RR-4071, RR-2980,			modelling provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237]. The assessment considers operational noise from all Scheme infrastructure, including the BESS, using realistic worst-case design parameters. Predicted noise levels from the Solar PV Sites have been artificially increased by 3 dB to account for the nature of the sound being distinct from the existing sources of sound in the area, and as such more likely to be perceived. Noise from the BESS containers, inverters and conversion units is relatively broadband, as evidenced by Table 6 of Appendix 14-4 Noise Modelling [APP-237]. While it is true that substation transformers can produce a low-frequency hum, the Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan [APP-278] commits to transformers being over 400m away from properties and therefore, as stated in Paragraph 14.6.29 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], transformers are not the dominant noise source at dwellings. The BESS is contained within one central area and largely screened by a bund, barrier and the substation, as shown on ES Volume 2, Figure 3-3 400kV Substation and BESS Layout [APP-083]. With silencers on the BESS containers and inverters, as detailed in Section 14.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], the assessment concludes that significant effects from operational noise are not expected.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3508, RR-1648, RR-3767, RR-0144, RR-3139, RR-3805, RR-3406, RR-2508, RR-1586, RR-2976, RR-0782, RR-4864, RR-1669, RR-3871, RR-2853, RR-1854, RR-0522, RR-0329, RR-2397, RR-0810, RR-3513, RR-2725, RR-4875, RR-1500, RR-2359, RR-2264, RR-0423, RR-2077, RR-2110, RR-0207, RR-2788, RR-3502, RR-0333, RR-3589, RR-4566, RR-1032, RR-2845, RR-1098, RR-0623, RR-3592, RR-4765			The Applicant notes that the approach to noise from BESS has been discussed and agreed with Wiltshire Council, as described in Section 14.2 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. The Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278] commits to noise levels from the Scheme, including BESS, at residential dwellings being the same as or below those modelled in the ES. This provides reassurance that significant effects from operational noise will be avoided while allowing flexibility in how this is achieved. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed OEMP, substantially in accordance with the outline plan, is secured through Requirement 14 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring operational noise from the BESS and other Scheme components is appropriately controlled throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
N-03	RR-4550, RR-1239, RR-0583, RR-1278, RR-4098, RR-3402, RR-1054, RR-3913, RR-1151, RR-4898, RR-1061, RR-4145, RR-4690, RR-4916, RR-3837, RR-4731, RR-3515, RR-4694, RR-1188, RR-3759, RR-3915, RR-2483, RR-3787, RR-4876, RR-4422, RR-0320, RR-1557, RR-1418, RR-4357, RR-1993, RR-1560, RR-3028, RR-4078,	Construction	Respondents expressed concern for increased noise and / or vibration from construction activities. Some respondents included related concerns regarding piling and heavy machinery.	The noise and vibration impacts arising from construction activities (and the corresponding machinery required for these) are assessed in Section 14.10 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] , with supporting technical information provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237] . For the purposes of the assessment, a reasonable worst-case

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2717, RR-3555, RR-2734, RR-2484, RR-1415, RR-1085, RR-1444, RR-4114, RR-4681, RR-4813, RR-3861, RR-0672, RR-2025, RR-3243, RR-4302, RR-2130, RR-1556, RR-0728, RR-1726, RR-1319, RR-3788, RR-3689, RR-4621, RR-1976, RR-4872, RR-4035, RR-3439, RR-1428, RR-1013, RR-1106, RR-3026, RR-4762, RR-0702, RR-1372, RR-4518, RR-4888, RR-0308, RR-2163, RR-0500, RR-0752, RR-4405, RR-0205, RR-0410, RR-0804, RR-4507, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-3862, RR-3923, RR-0629, RR-2291, RR-1393, RR-0933, RR-0497, RR-3857, RR-1510, RR-1175, RR-1321, RR-1193, RR-3986, RR-2004, RR-0539, RR-4688, RR-1628, RR-2011, RR-3416, RR-3473, RR-0540, RR-3798, RR-3860, RR-0784, RR-0192, RR-1356, RR-4936, RR-3586, RR-1691, RR-4379, RR-0966, RR-2802, RR-3102, RR-4366, RR-3213, RR-1809, RR-3080, RR-4394, RR-1649, RR-1940, RR-3723, RR-0655, RR-0532, RR-2683, RR-1601, RR-2475, RR-2166, RR-0152, RR-3816, RR-3647, RR-2155, RR-1289, RR-0929, RR-2852, RR-3934, RR-3622, RR-2002, RR-0599, RR-1648, RR-3767, RR-2480, RR-2977, RR-3406, RR-2878, RR-2508, RR-4458, RR-4549,			scenario assuming piling activities has been considered. The Applicant notes that the approach to construction noise and vibration has been discussed with Wiltshire Council, as described in Section 14.2 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. Mitigation measures associated with construction activity, such as temporary screens and the appropriate programming of activities, are presented in Section 14.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] and are committed to through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]. With these measures in place, the assessment concludes that no significant effects from construction noise or vibration are expected, including in relation to piling and heavy machinery. A noise monitoring programme agreed with Wiltshire Council will be carried out during construction. This is committed to in the Outline CEMP [APP-277]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the Construction Environmental Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277], is secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that construction noise and vibration are

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1178, RR-0782, RR-2064, RR-1236, RR-0015, RR-1854, RR-0892, RR-2397, RR-3513, RR-0790, RR-1283, RR-4545, RR-3192, RR-4322, RR-4875, RR-2264, RR-1868, RR-0423, RR-4230, RR-2696, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-2231, RR-2557, RR-1923, RR-3162, RR-2110, RR-0333, RR-1345, RR-4917, RR-2714, RR-3648, RR-0794, RR-4566, RR-0317, RR-3098, RR-2997, RR-0307, RR-1366, RR-1098, RR-1711, RR-2618			appropriately controlled throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.
N-04	RR-0208	Noise Impact Assessments	Respondent expressed concern that noise assessments have artificially elevated the true background noise baseline and does not meet Wiltshire Council's planning guidance on "design objective rating level of 5dB(A) below the typical background level" at all noise sensitive receptors"	Details of the comprehensive baseline sound surveys are presented in ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-3: Baseline Noise Survey [APP-236] and typical background sound levels have been determined in accordance with appropriate guidance within BS4142:2014+A1:2019. A full justification for the lower limit on background sound levels, including reference to appropriate guidance, is provided in Section 14.6 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. This is a conservative approach when compared to other solar DCOs which have been granted development consent, such as Tillbridge Solar Project, also in a quiet rural area, where a lower limit of between 30 and 45 dB was applied. This approach was agreed with Wiltshire Council as stated in Table 14-2 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				While rating levels do not always meet Wiltshire Council's design objective of 5 dB below background, the text immediately below this states that <i>"Where this criterion cannot be achieved, the various noise control measures considered as part of the assessment should be fully explained (i.e. relocation of noise sources, use of quieter equipment, enclosures, screening, restriction of the hours of operation) and the achievable noise level should be identified. This information will allow a judgement to be made concerning the application and its likely impact on the surrounding area."</i> These noise control measures are identified in Section 14.6 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] and achievable noise levels are identified in ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237] and committed to in Table 8 of the Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan [APP-278]. The Applicant is developing a Statement of Common Ground with Wiltshire Council in which the Applicant anticipates agreeing that such measures commit to significant effects from the operational noise of the scheme being avoided.
N-05	RR-0208	Noise Impact Assessments	Respondent expressed concern that data on noise reflects controlled conditions and does not account for degradation over time.	Table 8 of the Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278] commits to noise monitoring throughout the lifetime of the Scheme to demonstrate no material increase in operational noise from the degradation over time of the plant.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed OEMP, substantially in accordance with the outline plan, is secured through Requirement 14 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring operational noise from the BESS and other Scheme components is appropriately controlled throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
N-06	RR-4453	Noise Impact	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the project on residents with audio sensory medical issues.	The noise impacts from the operation of the Scheme and its components are assessed in Section 14.10 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. As shown in Table 8 and Table 9 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237], levels would typically be below or slightly above the existing background sound in the area during the day and night. The Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278] commits to noise levels from the Scheme at residential dwellings being the same as or below those modelled in the ES. This provides assurance that significant effects from operational noise will be avoided, while allowing flexibility in how this is achieved. The assessment of 'noise and vibration' impacts on health and wellbeing in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] draws on the conclusions of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. This identifies that people with sensory impairments, disabilities, and additional sensitivity are

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				likely to be most impacted by noise and vibration from the Scheme. As such, these have been considered in the application of the 'sensitivity' of the population to these types of impacts. Subject to the implementation of mitigation measures as set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and Outline OEMP [APP-278] , which are secured by Requirements 13 and 14 respectively in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , no significant residual adverse effects to human health as a result of noise impacts are anticipated at any phase of the Scheme.
N-07	RR-4453	Noise Impact - Animals	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of noise on dairy farms and milk production, indicating that it would impact the quality of milk produced.	Assessment of impacts on livestock including dairy animals has not been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], which is only concerned with human receptors, and as such has not been considered as a factor in the assessment of likely economic impacts on agricultural production in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-068]. However, the Applicant notes a report published in respect of High Speed 2, which at the request of the House of Commons Select Committee responsible for HS2, has assessed operational impacts from high-speed rail use on neighbouring farms with livestock, including dairy herds. The report finds that while dairy animals may be affected by sudden acute noises

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				(sonic booms from aircraft are referenced), there is no link between exposure to noise up to 99 dBL_{pAmax} and milk production. Noise levels from the Scheme are predicted to be well below this threshold and therefore no adverse effects on dairy animals are expected. Reference: Arup (2017). High Speed 2 Limited Phase One: Noise effects on Livestock. Available at https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a748691ed915d0e8e398e49/Noise_Effects_on_Livestock.pdf
N-08	RR-0364, RR-2155	Noise Impact	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of noise in Hullavington.	Hullavington is around 1 km from the closest Scheme infrastructure. At this distance, on-site construction noise may occasionally be heard in the distance, but would not constitute an adverse effect on health or quality of life. This is supported by the levels for R10 and R11 in Table 14-18 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. No adverse effects are predicted at these locations, which are closer to Scheme infrastructure than Hullavington. During operation, noise levels from the Scheme are expected to be below 30 dB in Hullavington, as can be seen from ES Volume 2, Figure 14-2 Daytime Operational Noise Contours [APP-160]. Such levels would be below the existing sound in the area the vast majority of the time and would therefore not be audible and would not constitute any adverse effect on health or quality of life.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
N-09	RR-3913, RR-2400,	Noise Impact Assessments	Respondents questioned the methodology of the 500m buffer for noise pollution.	A 500 m study area for noise was selected on the basis that it would capture all potentially significant effects associated with noise pollution from the Scheme. This is well preceded in other similar solar schemes such as the consented Tillbridge Solar Project, and has been agreed with Wiltshire Council as detailed in Table 14-2 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. As no residual significant effects have been identified for noise sensitive receptors within this study area, as evidenced in Section 14.10 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], adverse effects beyond this distance are not expected. With reference to ES Volume 2, Figure 14-2 Daytime Operational Noise Contours [APP-160], levels at 500 m from the Scheme are expected to be below 30 dB and therefore would not constitute an adverse effect on health or quality of life.
N-10	RR-4299, RR-3805, RR-2976, RR-3192	Noise Impact - Animals	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of noise on horses.	Assessment of noise impacts for all sensitive receptors have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. As there is currently no established regulatory guidance for assessing noise induced behavioural change in livestock or horses, the approach where noise for humans and horses are considered in terms of a receptor are addressed as potential amenity impacts on equestrian facilities, including private stables, paddocks, stud farms and riding schools, which have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Recreation [APP-068] and its supporting ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptor Tables [APP-241]. This approach aligns with an accepted methodology based on expert advice in other DCOs, including Sunnica Energy Farm, where the Secretary of State (SoS) agreed that an animals processing of decibel levels is sufficiently similar to a humans' that the established noise threshold is a fair benchmark for noise impacts to be considered. The Scheme's approach has assessed the likely direct impact of construction on equestrian facilities, and accessibility and amenity impacts on those facilities and surrounding rights of way used for hacking. With the exception of Park Farm, Yatton Keynell (which is directly affected by the construction of the Cable Route Corridor in its paddocks), no significant effects to equestrian facilities are anticipated at any phase of the Scheme. No significant residual effects to the operation, business or recreational use of equestrian facilities have been identified as a result of noise impacts, subject to implementation of embedded and additional mitigation measures (such as noise screening and offsetting away from neighbouring paddocks) with any mitigation requirement secured through the relevant management documents by requirement in Schedule 2 to the Draft DCO [APP-016].
N-11	RR-3931	Noise Impact	Respondent noted that other planning applications in the	The Applicant notes this comment. However, sufficient commitments are in place with respect to Lime Down Solar

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			area had been denied due to noise impact.	Park to avoid significant adverse effects from noise, such that it should not be a reason for refusal in this instance.
N-12	RR-1142	Noise Impact Assessments	Respondent stated that the developer placed sound monitors on their land without permission in July 2025	The sound surveys conducted by the Applicant are presented in ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-3: Baseline Noise Survey [APP-236] . Table 1 within this appendix shows that the last of these surveys was complete in April 2025. Therefore, any sound monitors placed on land in July 2025 do not relate to Lime Down Solar Park.
N-13	RR-4681	Noise Impact Assessments	Respondent stated that the noise assessment does not adequately reflect the low ambient baseline typical of the countryside.	Details of the comprehensive baseline sound surveys are presented in ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-3: Baseline Noise Survey [APP-236] and typical background sound levels have been determined in accordance with appropriate guidance within BS4142:2014+A1:2019. A full justification for the lower limit on background sound levels, including reference to appropriate guidance, is provided in Section 14.6 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. This is a conservative approach when compared to other solar DCOs which have been granted development consent, such as Tillbridge Solar Project, also in a quiet rural area, where a lower limit of between 30 and 45 dB was applied. This approach was agreed with Wiltshire Council as stated in Table 14-2 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066].
N-14	RR-3405	Noise Impact	Respondent stated that some of the noise could exceed 100dB.	While some of the sound power levels associated with construction activity listed in Table 1 in ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				4: Noise Modelling [APP-237] are above 100 dB at the source, these would all be comfortably below 100 dB at 10 m from any activity and, as evidenced by Table 14-18 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], average levels at local properties would typically be around 55 dB during construction, which is the level of regular conversation. A commitment to such levels, in the form of a noise monitoring programme to be agreed with Wiltshire Council, is contained in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], which is secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. None of the operational plant, as listed in Table 5 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237], has a sound power above 100 dB. As evidenced by Table 8 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237], operational noise at residential properties would be below 38 dB and typically around the existing background of the area. The Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278] commits to noise levels from the Scheme at residential dwellings being the same as or below those modelled in the ES. This provides assurance that significant effects from operational noise will be avoided,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				while allowing flexibility in how this is achieved. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed OEMP, substantially in accordance with the outline plan, are secured through Requirement 14 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order [APP-016], ensuring operational noise is appropriately controlled throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
N-15	RR-0910	Noise Impact	Respondent stated that noise pollution constitutes a contravention of human rights.	Article 8 of the Human Rights Act states that "Everyone has the right to respect for his private and family life, his home and his correspondence.". Excessive noise pollution could be deemed to be a breach of this article, but given the absence of significant adverse effects from noise and vibration, as summarised in ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] , the Applicant considers that there is no breach in the case of Lime Down Solar Park.
N-16	RR-3749	Noise Impact	Respondent stated that solar farms do not cause noise.	The Applicant notes this comment. While certain items of solar farm infrastructure do create noise, as outlined in Table 5 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237] , this is not expected to lead to significant adverse effects on local residents, as outlined in Section 14.10 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] .
N-17	RR-4330, RR-2697	Noise Impact	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of noise in Corston.	Corston is around 600 m from the closest solar PV panels and further still from other elements of Scheme infrastructure. At this distance, on-site construction noise may

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				occasionally be heard in the distance, but would not constitute an adverse effect on health or quality of life. This is supported by the levels for R13 in Table 14-18 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] . No adverse effects are predicted at this location, which is on the edge of Corston closest to the Scheme. During operation, noise levels from the Scheme are expected to be below 30 dB in Corston, as can be seen from ES Volume 2, Figure 14-2 Daytime Operational Noise Contours [APP-160] . Such levels would be below the existing sound in the area the vast majority of the time and would therefore not be audible and would not constitute any adverse effect on health or quality of life.
N-18	RR-4379	Noise Impact	Respondent stated that all noise sources for the Scheme will be greater than 50dB and would like the specific amount of how much louder they will be.	Sound power levels associated with construction activity and operational plant are listed in Table 1 and Table 5 respectively in ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237]. It is important to note that these levels represent the total acoustic energy associated with the source and not the sound level experienced by people in practice. For example, associated sound pressure levels as close as 10 m would be around 28 dB below these values. As evidenced by Table 14-18 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], average levels at local properties would typically be around 55 dB during construction, which is the level of regular conversation.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				A commitment to such levels, in the form of a noise monitoring programme to be agreed with Wiltshire Council, is contained in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], which is secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order [APP-016]. As evidenced by Table 8 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237], operational noise at residential properties would be below 38 dB and typically around the existing background of the area. The Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278] commits to noise levels from the Scheme at residential dwellings being the same as or below those modelled in the ES. This provides assurance that significant effects from operational noise will be avoided, while allowing flexibility in how this is achieved. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed OEMP, substantially in accordance with the outline plan, are secured through Requirement 14 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring operational noise is appropriately controlled throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
N-19	RR-4379	Noise Impact	Respondent asked why the Scheme will not provide noise	A 500 m study area for noise was selected on the basis that it would capture all

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			pollution information beyond 500m from the Order Limits.	potentially significant effects associated with noise pollution from the Scheme. This is well preceded in other similar solar schemes such as the consented Tillbridge Solar Project, and has been agreed with Wiltshire Council as detailed in Table 14-2 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. As no residual significant effects have been identified for noise sensitive receptors within this study area, as evidenced in Section 14.10 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], adverse effects beyond this distance are not expected. With reference to ES Volume 2, Figure 14-2 Daytime Operational Noise Contours [APP-160], levels at 500 m from the Scheme are expected to be below 30 dB and therefore would not constitute an adverse effect on health or quality of life.
N-20	RR-4379	Noise Impact Assessments	Respondent stated that the noise assessment does not include the noise from the BESS and electrical substations.	The noise assessment does include noise from the BESS and electrical substations. Their sound power levels are given in Table 5 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237] and the noise from this infrastructure in the vicinity of the Scheme can be seen in ES Volume 2, Figure 14-2 Daytime Operational Noise Contours [APP-160] and ES Volume 2, Figure 14-3 Night-time Operational Noise Contours [APP-161] .
N-21	RR-4379	Noise Impact	Respondent stated that the noise from the BESS will be louder at night than during the day.	In absolute terms, the BESS would not be louder at night due to typically lower ambient temperatures. However, in relative terms, it could be perceived as louder due to quieter background sound levels. With

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				reference to Table 9 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237] , levels at night would be below the typical background at the majority of noise sensitive receptors. Even where levels would be above the existing background sound level, they would be below 36 dB outside properties and therefore below 30 dB inside properties, even with windows open, which is conducive to a good night's sleep as defined by World Health Organisation guidelines. In their relevant representation, Wiltshire Council has acknowledged that these levels would not constitute a significant adverse effect.
N-22	RR-4379	Noise Impact	Respondent provided their own calculation for BESS noise levels. They found that the BESS at night could be as loud as a nightclub (111dB).	Indicative sound power levels for the BESS containers and inverters, derived from manufacturer specification sheets, are given in Table 5 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237] . These levels are below 90 dB. It is important to note that these levels represent the total acoustic energy associated with the source and not the sound level experienced by people in practice. For example, associated sound pressure levels as close as 10 m would be around 28 dB below these values and around 60 dB or the level of normal conversation. At local properties, levels would be lower still, typically around 30 dB which is close to the quiet existing background sound level. Operational noise in the vicinity of the Scheme can be seen in ES Volume 2, Figure 14-2 Daytime Operational Noise Contours [APP-160] and ES Volume 2, Figure 14-3 Night-time Operational Noise Contours [APP-161] .

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
N-23	RR-0966	Noise Impact Assessments	Respondent stated that their property has not been included as one of the closest residential noise receptors in Chapter 14 of the ES. They state that their property is 65 m closer to the Order Limits than receptor R04, and claim that there is an inconsistency regarding reference distances between paragraph 14.4.18 and Table 14-2. They expressed concern regarding the adequate assessment, modelling and identification of noise receptors.	As the address of the property has been redacted, the Applicant is not able to identify if it has been assessed or what the potential noise levels at this location would be. However, we would welcome a discussion on the matter and advise that we are contactable at info@limedownsolar.co.uk. The Applicant can clarify that there is no contradiction between paragraph 14.4.18 and Table 14-12 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] as they are referring to different reference distances. Table 14-12 lists distances to the order limits whereas paragraph 14.4.18 is referring to distances to solar PV panels. While there are several identified receptors within 100 m of the Order Limits, none are within 100 m of solar PV arrays. With regards to construction noise, solar PV piling uses small mini-piling rigs which are quieter than the worst-case assumption in ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] and the duration of noise events from such activity would be short and limited to works associated with the closest solar panels. These levels would not be experienced for the full 24-month construction period. With appropriate mitigation measures as committed to in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], including prior warning to local residents of any noisy works, no significant effects from construction noise or vibration are expected. A noise

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				monitoring programme agreed with Wiltshire Council will be carried out during construction.
N-24	RR-0966	Noise Impact Assessments	Respondent stated that, given their property is closer to the Order Limits that R04, they expect operational noise effects at their home would be at least comparable and potentially greater, yet these effects have not been quantified or mitigated.	As the address of the property has been redacted, the Applicant is not able to identify if it has been assessed or what the potential noise levels at this location would be. However, we would welcome a discussion on the matter and advise that we are contactable at info@limesdownsolar.co.uk .
N-25	RR-0966	Noise Impact Assessments	Respondent stated that the decision to exclude certain operational noise sources is solely based on assumptions about receptor distance that are not robustly evidenced for all nearby dwellings. This undermines the confidence in the conclusions drawn regarding residential amenity impacts.	As the address of the property has been redacted, the Applicant is not able to identify if it has been assessed or what the potential noise levels at this location would be. However, we would welcome a discussion on the matter and advise that we are contactable at info@limesdownsolar.co.uk .
N-26	RR-1082	Noise Impact	Respondent stated that no plans have been included in the proposal to manage noise.	Mitigation measures associated with construction activity are presented in Section 14.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] and are committed to through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] . With these measures in place, the assessment concludes that no significant effects from construction noise or vibration are expected. A noise monitoring programme agreed with Wiltshire Council will be carried out during construction.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The preparation, approval and implementation of the Construction Environmental Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP, is secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that construction noise and vibration are appropriately controlled. Potential mitigation measures with respect to operational plant are detailed in Section 14.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], and with such measures in place, significant effects from operational noise are not expected. The Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278] commits to noise levels from the Scheme at residential dwellings being the same as or below those modelled in the ES. This provides assurance that significant effects from operational noise will be avoided, while allowing flexibility in how this is achieved. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed OEMP, substantially in accordance with the outline plan, is secured through Requirement 14 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring operational noise is appropriately controlled throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
N-27	RR-3497	Cable Route	Respondent stated that the Scheme has yet to fully demonstrate that the grid connection / cabling works will not generate unacceptable additional impact in terms of noise and community disruption.	Potential noise impacts from works associated with the cable route corridor have been assessed and are reported in Section 14.10 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. With appropriate mitigation in place, no significant adverse effects are expected. Unmitigated night-time noise levels associated with Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) would be significant, but if night-time HDD works were required, temporary acoustic screens would be used to reduce noise levels below the threshold of significance. Mitigation measures associated with construction activity are presented in Section 14.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] and are committed to through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]. These include best practicable means of minimising noise and vibration as well as prior warning to local residents of any noisy works. The preparation, approval and implementation of the Construction Environmental Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277], is secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that construction noise and vibration are appropriately controlled.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
N-28	RR-0604	Noise Impact	Respondents expressed concern regarding the impact of the noise during construction.	The noise and vibration impacts arising from construction activities are assessed in Section 14.10 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], with supporting technical information provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237]. For the purposes of the assessment, a reasonable worst-case scenario assuming piling activities has been considered. In practice, solar PV piling uses small mini-piling rigs which are quieter than the worst-case assumption in ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] and the duration of noise events from such activity would be short. With appropriate mitigation measures as committed to in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], including prior warning to local residents of any noisy works, the assessment concludes that no significant effects from construction noise or vibration are expected. A noise monitoring programme agreed with Wiltshire Council will be carried out during construction. This is committed to in the Outline CEMP [APP-277]. The approach to construction noise and vibration has been discussed with Wiltshire Council, as described in Section 14.2 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the Construction

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Environmental Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] , is secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring that construction noise and vibration are appropriately controlled throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.
N-29	RR-0966	Noise Impact Assessments	Respondent stated that their home is 65m closer to the Order Limits than receptor R04, which is identified in the ES as one of the closest residential noise receptors.	As the address of the property has been redacted, the Applicant is not able to identify if it has been assessed or what the potential noise levels at this location would be. However, we would welcome a discussion on the matter and advise that we are contactable at info@limedownsolar.co.uk .
N-30	RR-3135	Noise Impact	Respondent noted that the WHO recommends 2-mile distances for the BESS from residential properties.	The World Health Organization has published guidance for exposure to environmental health which have helped to define policy and industry guidance in relation to the determination of noise impacts. However, the Applicant has not been able to find any specific guidance in relation to BESS noise and recommended offsets to residential properties. As such, the Applicant is confident in the methodology, study area and assessment outcomes in ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] that no significant residual effects to residential properties is anticipated as a result of the BESS on the Scheme.
N-31	RR-2413	Noise Impact	Respondent stated that properties near the Fosse Way will be impacted by the	The noise and vibration impacts arising from construction activities are assessed in Section 14.10 of ES Volume 1, Chapter

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			noise and disruption of piling during construction.	14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], with supporting technical information provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237]. For the purposes of the assessment, a reasonable worst-case scenario assuming piling activities has been considered. In practice, solar PV piling uses small mini-piling rigs which are quieter than the worst-case assumption in ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] and the duration of noise events from such activity would be short. With appropriate mitigation measures as committed to in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], including prior warning to local residents of any noisy works, the assessment concludes that no significant effects from construction noise or vibration are expected, including on properties near the Fosse Way. A noise monitoring programme agreed with Wiltshire Council will be carried out during construction. This is committed to in the Outline CEMP [APP-277]. The approach to construction noise and vibration has been discussed with Wiltshire Council, as described in Section 14.2 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the Construction Environmental Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Outline CEMP [APP-277] , is secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring that construction noise and vibration are appropriately controlled throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.
N-32	RR-1315	Noise Impact	Respondent stated that there are also no plans to manage the construction noise, this is to be finalised after approval which is contrary to NPPF 15 Ground conditions and pollution.	The management of construction noise is outlined in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], including prior warning to local residents of any noisy works, no significant effects from construction noise or vibration are expected. A noise monitoring programme agreed with Wiltshire Council will be carried out during construction. This is committed to in the Outline CEMP [APP-277]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the Construction Environmental Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277], is secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that construction noise and vibration are appropriately controlled throughout the construction phase of the Scheme. A summary of how the application meets the relevant requirements of the NPPF is provided in Table 2 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-1: Noise and Vibration Legislation Policy and Guidance [APP-235]. The Applicant is confident that all

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				relevant requirements of the NPPF have been complied with.
N-33	RR-1711	Policy Compliance	Respondent questioned if the Scheme addresses or complies with policy concerning ambient noise, in particular, within a rural environment.	A summary of how the application meets the requirements of National Policy is provided in Table 1 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-1: Noise and Vibration Legislation, Policy and Guidance [APP-234] . By avoiding significant adverse effects on health and quality of life the application also aligns with Wiltshire Core Strategy. The relevant parts of the Wiltshire Core Strategy are also presented in ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-1: Noise and Vibration Legislation, Policy and Guidance [APP-234] .

2.13 Transport and Access

Table 2-13

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
T-01	RR-4817, RR-2324, RR-2998, RR-3955, RR-2293, RR-2415, RR-0440, RR-4493, RR-2892, RR-4604, RR-2336, RR-2479, RR-4489, RR-3481, RR-3917, RR-4513, RR-4944, RR-3220, RR-3114, RR-1218, RR-1589, RR-1076, RR-1426, RR-1426, RR-4764, RR-1581, RR-1580, RR-4419, RR-3898, RR-3565, RR-4161, RR-1579, RR-2345, RR-2933, RR-3741, RR-0961, RR-3726, RR-4594, RR-3454, RR-3354, RR-4492, RR-1877, RR-4607, RR-2963, RR-2521, RR-1496, RR-1303, RR-0879, RR-2751, RR-3936, RR-4896, RR-4149, RR-1120, RR-2184, RR-0170, RR-1358, RR-4671, RR-2893, RR-1811, RR-0544, RR-0530, RR-3900, RR-2111, RR-2202, RR-0738, RR-4639, RR-2185, RR-3823, RR-2631, RR-0228, RR-2083, RR-2173, RR-1828, RR-3161, RR-2578, RR-0428, RR-4648, RR-4346, RR-2970, RR-4560, RR-1527, RR-1133, RR-2560, RR-0897, RR-3836, RR-3199, RR-1088, RR-2505, RR-3656, RR-1670, RR-1637, RR-4537, RR-1094,	Traffic	Respondents expressed concern about the Scheme increasing traffic and/or causing disruption to roads during construction. Some respondents specified this concern in relation to a specific town, village or area, for example: Sherston, Little Badminton, Malmesbury, Corsham, Grittleton, Hullavington, Norton, Luckington, Sherston, Easton Grey, The Gibb, Acton Turville, Crudwell, Yatton keynall, Leigh Delamere, Stanton St Quintin, Burton, Chippenham, Bath, Corston, Alderton Village, Westonbirt, and Cirencester.	The potential effects of construction traffic on the local highway network are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065], which considers construction vehicle movements, traffic volumes, road safety and effects on road users. This included consideration of the capacity of local roads and infrastructure to accommodate construction vehicles with consideration of construction traffic volumes, vehicle types, route suitability, and network performance. The assessment concludes that the level of construction traffic associated with the Scheme is unlikely to materially affect road safety or delay on links within the Study Area, and no significant adverse effects on accident risk are anticipated. The assessment additionally concludes that with appropriate mitigation such as the Construction Traffic Management Plan in place to manage construction vehicle movements, there would be no significant adverse impacts on the local highway network during the construction, operation, maintenance, and decommissioning phases of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1099, RR-2157, RR-4819, RR-4088, RR-4793, RR-1432, RR-3858, RR-2700, RR-4784, RR-4706, RR-3928, RR-2457, RR-3002, RR-0420, RR-3219, RR-3067, RR-4373, RR-0012, RR-2001, RR-2803, RR-2944, RR-2945, RR-3745, RR-1667, RR-1073, RR-2219, RR-2686, RR-2158, RR-2232, RR-1089, RR-3947, RR-2220, RR-1847, RR-1153, RR-4823, RR-3009, RR-0153, RR-2904, RR-2783, RR-2097, RR-3932, RR-4257, RR-2927, RR-1722, RR-1003, RR-4912, RR-4224, RR-4014, RR-4540, RR-1316, RR-2661, RR-0753, RR-2236, RR-2037, RR-1928, RR-0372, RR-0395, RR-1458, RR-1969, RR-3190, RR-0805, RR-3540, RR-0583, RR-2114, RR-3707, RR-1575, RR-2413, RR-1769, RR-1352, RR-4453, RR-4128, RR-2818, RR-2678, RR-1784, RR-4887, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-1739, RR-2626, RR-0762, RR-2277, RR-4473, RR-0776, RR-3796, RR-3691, RR-2681, RR-3847, RR-0195, RR-0725, RR-1644, RR-0658, RR-3616, RR-0199, RR-0604, RR-1817, RR-2276, RR-3530, RR-0281, RR-0404, RR-3721, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-0289, RR-1893, RR-3063, RR-0853, RR-3700, RR-1459, RR-0467, RR-0324, RR-1339, RR-4712, RR-3429, RR-2452,			An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (Outline CTMP) [APP-287] has been prepared and submitted with the DCO application. The aim of the Outline CTMP [APP-287] is to ensure that the effect of the construction phase on the local highway network is minimised. The Outline CTMP [APP-287] secures measures to coordinate construction deliveries by HGVs to arrive and depart between 09:30 and 16:30 where practicable, to avoid peak network hours of 08:00–09:00 and 17:00–18:00. It also includes a community liaison element to keep local communities informed about the construction process and to provide a contact for feedback should any issues arise. Compliance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287] will be monitored by the Developer, Contractor and Wiltshire Council as local highway authority. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287], are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring construction traffic impacts are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2894, RR-3144, RR-1278, RR-0237, RR-4937, RR-3888, RR-0328, RR-3869, RR-3093, RR-4200, RR-0633, RR-2882, RR-3911, RR-2162, RR-4130, RR-4204, RR-2139, RR-4185, RR-1844, RR-3714, RR-2588, RR-0757, RR-4000, RR-3256, RR-2144, RR-1046, RR-1107, RR-1138, RR-0048, RR-3132, RR-0945, RR-0391, RR-4466, RR-0271, RR-0598, RR-0130, RR-1967, RR-1051, RR-4478, RR-1126, RR-2486, RR-2571, RR-1054, RR-2924, RR-2831, RR-3604, RR-2388, RR-0636, RR-1464, RR-2029, RR-3758, RR-2677, RR-2870, RR-4084, RR-1752, RR-4922, RR-1517, RR-1298, RR-2400, RR-1994, RR-2815, RR-3820, RR-2949, RR-1151, RR-3095, RR-1041, RR-4775, RR-4544, RR-2636, RR-1748, RR-4151, RR-0958, RR-1152, RR-0582, RR-0997, RR-0749, RR-3682, RR-4898, RR-4259, RR-3760, RR-1061, RR-0481, RR-2136, RR-2289, RR-4054, RR-3477, RR-0016, RR-3567, RR-1300, RR-4235, RR-2467, RR-2651, RR-4145, RR-1036, RR-4690, RR-2520, RR-3983, RR-2682, RR-3081, RR-1078, RR-4916, RR-3837, RR-2587, RR-2988, RR-3151, RR-4101, RR-3065, RR-1968, RR-2975, RR-1592, RR-1996, RR-1242, RR-0571, RR-3643,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4873, RR-3108, RR-1344, RR-3015, RR-2190, RR-0222, RR-1395, RR-2322, RR-3597, RR-4183, RR-2414, RR-0419, RR-4694, RR-1188, RR-1514, RR-0801, RR-0090, RR-3206, RR-1694, RR-3933, RR-2403, RR-4265, RR-3630, RR-1944, RR-3744, RR-4580, RR-4519, RR-4592, RR-3281, RR-2227, RR-4851, RR-4283, RR-4338, RR-3787, RR-3904, RR-2817, RR-0765, RR-4567, RR-4568, RR-2208, RR-1962, RR-3269, RR-4165, RR-4239, RR-3967, RR-0591, RR-0591, RR-0088, RR-3856, RR-1281, RR-3620, RR-1299, RR-0351, RR-1673, RR-0154, RR-4509, RR-1768, RR-2833, RR-3274, RR-1105, RR-2408, RR-1277, RR-1435, RR-3359, RR-3168, RR-2801, RR-4231, RR-3907, RR-1953, RR-2316, RR-2048, RR-3316, RR-3959, RR-3756, RR-1033, RR-1471, RR-1557, RR-1060, RR-3043, RR-2579, RR-1021, RR-1603, RR-1081, RR-0092, RR-3557, RR-1914, RR-0389, RR-0024, RR-1181, RR-4357, RR-4271, RR-3897, RR-1704, RR-1559, RR-1560, RR-4296, RR-2307, RR-0382, RR-2753, RR-4078, RR-2177, RR-2717, RR-2474, RR-3555, RR-1780, RR-1765, RR-4367, RR-3550, RR-4347, RR-2389, RR-2734, RR-3456, RR-3089, RR-1118,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1370, RR-0026, RR-2873, RR-3519, RR-3182, RR-0043, RR-2707, RR-4284, RR-2807, RR-1232, RR-2767, RR-0008, RR-1305, RR-0917, RR-0147, RR-1436, RR-0780, RR-1085, RR-1444, RR-4114, RR-1941, RR-4028, RR-0003, RR-0936, RR-4681, RR-3705, RR-1389, RR-3819, RR-3861, RR-1899, RR-2024, RR-0863, RR-4358, RR-0858, RR-4288, RR-3244, RR-4940, RR-2909, RR-1022, RR-1911, RR-1271, RR-3566, RR-4308, RR-0190, RR-4205, RR-0274, RR-4363, RR-0297, RR-4919, RR-2103, RR-2399, RR-0161, RR-2510, RR-0672, RR-1441, RR-1259, RR-0477, RR-0874, RR-1109, RR-2150, RR-0350, RR-0937, RR-4751, RR-1563, RR-0179, RR-4080, RR-1625, RR-2312, RR-0876, RR-1856, RR-3201, RR-1834, RR-1951, RR-2025, RR-3307, RR-0946, RR-2848, RR-3638, RR-2063, RR-3111, RR-4526, RR-4521, RR-1043, RR-2665, RR-3243, RR-0588, RR-1942, RR-3191, RR-0942, RR-3889, RR-1761, RR-4302, RR-0602, RR-2130, RR-4794, RR-0042, RR-1269, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-2736, RR-1225, RR-0728, RR-2368, RR-1726, RR-2605, RR-3666, RR-1319, RR-2087, RR-3734, RR-0621, RR-4555, RR-1824, RR-3328, RR-3670,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4061, RR-3328, RR-0999, RR-0834, RR-4335, RR-2526, RR-2464, RR-1079, RR-4745, RR-4621, RR-0232, RR-1025, RR-4780, RR-2939, RR-3366, RR-1660, RR-0148, RR-1716, RR-0708, RR-3332, RR-2268, RR-2617, RR-3393, RR-1970, RR-3573, RR-1860, RR-0235, RR-2274, RR-2410, RR-3349, RR-3775, RR-2199, RR-0836, RR-1335, RR-0527, RR-2016, RR-2947, RR-2625, RR-3880, RR-2351, RR-2879, RR-2528, RR-3609, RR-2629, RR-2703, RR-2703, RR-0987, RR-4759, RR-4872, RR-0990, RR-2598, RR-1267, RR-4035, RR-2828, RR-0128, RR-4561, RR-1978, RR-3703, RR-1964, RR-2821, RR-2101, RR-0184, RR-4905, RR-1702, RR-2994, RR-3664, RR-1318, RR-3686, RR-1013, RR-2771, RR-1612, RR-3339, RR-0169, RR-2733, RR-0972, RR-4762, RR-1698, RR-2891, RR-0449, RR-2846, RR-1550, RR-2206, RR-4020, RR-1852, RR-4392, RR-0702, RR-1314, RR-3884, RR-3727, RR-2601, RR-1916, RR-0755, RR-0617, RR-1140, RR-1832, RR-3305, RR-1288, RR-0541, RR-2379, RR-3693, RR-4518, RR-0308, RR-0817, RR-4022, RR-2843, RR-0507, RR-0108, RR-4660, RR-4008, RR-1382, RR-4708, RR-2928, RR-3799, RR-3921,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0752, RR-1703, RR-3229, RR-2900, RR-4217, RR-1617, RR-3381, RR-2189, RR-3980, RR-0178, RR-2675, RR-3985, RR-3120, RR-0894, RR-0690, RR-1266, RR-0647, RR-1447, RR-0223, RR-1371, RR-0670, RR-1196, RR-1894, RR-3433, RR-2946, RR-4213, RR-3050, RR-2719, RR-4290, RR-3674, RR-0804, RR-4643, RR-2331, RR-0010, RR-1252, RR-1512, RR-1525, RR-0140, RR-1176, RR-4171, RR-1688, RR-3202, RR-2525, RR-0715, RR-0829, RR-3030, RR-3491, RR-1679, RR-0113, RR-3781, RR-0514, RR-4002, RR-1713, RR-3048, RR-3145, RR-0678, RR-4507, RR-0569, RR-0589, RR-2020, RR-1596, RR-0902, RR-2496, RR-3862, RR-3923, RR-0629, RR-3851, RR-1499, RR-4232, RR-3372, RR-2857, RR-2781, RR-4388, RR-1423, RR-2885, RR-0475, RR-0414, RR-4039, RR-1723, RR-3187, RR-1397, RR-1393, RR-2967, RR-3949, RR-2633, RR-2654, RR-0885, RR-4277, RR-4525, RR-0933, RR-0497, RR-3857, RR-1729, RR-3262, RR-2328, RR-4848, RR-3272, RR-3184, RR-1175, RR-1336, RR-3496, RR-1077, RR-2872, RR-1934, RR-0498, RR-3870, RR-2695, RR-4679, RR-2436, RR-1093, RR-4786, RR-0970, RR-3278, RR-2275,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1721, RR-3338, RR-3629, RR-1159, RR-0272, RR-2038, RR-3125, RR-1193, RR-0625, RR-2314, RR-1635, RR-0227, RR-2796, RR-1830, RR-3701, RR-1210, RR-3752, RR-3615, RR-2699, RR-2935, RR-4596, RR-4928, RR-2234, RR-4053, RR-3676, RR-1482, RR-0840, RR-2004, RR-0539, RR-0520, RR-1182, RR-0923, RR-1871, RR-4201, RR-3582, RR-3960, RR-3864, RR-0712, RR-0187, RR-4861, RR-3525, RR-2092, RR-0594, RR-1935, RR-0203, RR-0064, RR-3096, RR-2239, RR-4331, RR-1104, RR-3497, RR-2054, RR-0953, RR-2383, RR-2490, RR-4554, RR-4836, RR-1628, RR-3976, RR-3118, RR-3416, RR-1924, RR-3164, RR-3271, RR-2105, RR-0220, RR-0865, RR-1522, RR-1846, RR-0219, RR-1770, RR-1493, RR-0793, RR-4413, RR-0319, RR-4843, RR-4225, RR-1302, RR-1302, RR-2656, RR-3641, RR-3641, RR-2621, RR-3929, RR-4760, RR-0549, RR-4462, RR-0640, RR-3317, RR-0709, RR-4552, RR-2573, RR-4159, RR-1330, RR-0517, RR-4177, RR-0259, RR-0795, RR-3473, RR-3055, RR-0540, RR-2216, RR-3806, RR-1876, RR-2780, RR-3600, RR-2405, RR-3685, RR-0376, RR-4446, RR-3798, RR-4026, RR-0301, RR-2069,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2238, RR-0688, RR-1400, RR-0101, RR-3379, RR-0436, RR-0194, RR-1166, RR-0246, RR-3520, RR-3951, RR-3357, RR-0173, RR-4576, RR-3517, RR-3811, RR-1056, RR-2156, RR-1897, RR-3385, RR-4330, RR-4266, RR-3176, RR-2670, RR-0192, RR-1356, RR-4936, RR-2213, RR-4339, RR-3032, RR-4190, RR-2353, RR-1663, RR-2775, RR-4337, RR-2841, RR-1245, RR-1636, RR-0066, RR-4001, RR-1708, RR-3576, RR-0037, RR-1231, RR-0415, RR-1691, RR-4556, RR-0399, RR-3678, RR-4565, RR-4306, RR-4379, RR-4029, RR-3102, RR-1167, RR-1720, RR-0196, RR-1450, RR-4012, RR-4199, RR-4642, RR-4440, RR-4215, RR-2763, RR-0911, RR-1981, RR-4366, RR-2297, RR-1807, RR-3213, RR-4148, RR-0334, RR-0618, RR-3080, RR-1649, RR-1602, RR-4394, RR-2221, RR-3651, RR-0242, RR-2221, RR-1639, RR-0491, RR-1936, RR-0791, RR-0783, RR-2774, RR-1839, RR-1940, RR-4732, RR-2449, RR-3066, RR-3172, RR-2019, RR-3723, RR-2591, RR-0655, RR-3501, RR-2575, RR-3489, RR-1598, RR-1922, RR-1917, RR-1641, RR-2610, RR-1102, RR-3495, RR-3123, RR-2867, RR-0886, RR-1027, RR-0559, RR-0891, RR-1172,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4004, RR-1448, RR-2229, RR-2120, RR-1491, RR-2683, RR-2518, RR-0515, RR-4661, RR-0686, RR-2556, RR-2475, RR-1385, RR-1097, RR-4910, RR-0798, RR-0056, RR-1530, RR-4064, RR-1030, RR-2749, RR-0269, RR-4511, RR-1154, RR-2159, RR-0596, RR-4341, RR-2228, RR-1185, RR-4918, RR-2799, RR-0844, RR-3334, RR-4118, RR-2155, RR-4056, RR-1734, RR-4672, RR-2596, RR-1821, RR-4488, RR-0533, RR-2660, RR-0251, RR-2267, RR-0929, RR-2852, RR-3186, RR-1804, RR-2667, RR-0980, RR-0438, RR-0619, RR-4539, RR-1199, RR-2045, RR-1042, RR-0028, RR-2624, RR-1823, RR-2644, RR-4825, RR-1362, RR-4247, RR-3345, RR-4067, RR-0459, RR-2630, RR-0697, RR-4546, RR-0599, RR-4163, RR-3420, RR-0603, RR-0268, RR-4399, RR-4740, RR-1648, RR-1631, RR-2386, RR-3532, RR-2495, RR-2090, RR-2197, RR-1818, RR-3767, RR-2541, RR-2842, RR-2480, RR-2977, RR-3607, RR-0463, RR-3805, RR-3965, RR-3406, RR-2878, RR-2299, RR-2508, RR-2235, RR-4664, RR-4458, RR-0121, RR-2428, RR-0354, RR-4245, RR-4549, RR-2067, RR-3436, RR-1178, RR-4502, RR-3683, RR-3058, RR-3817, RR-3754,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4193, RR-0782, RR-1681, RR-4881, RR-1906, RR-3984, RR-0286, RR-3356, RR-0653, RR-1067, RR-4500, RR-0422, RR-0869, RR-0869, RR-0166, RR-0394, RR-4713, RR-4062, RR-4291, RR-1194, RR-4403, RR-1467, RR-0606, RR-2337, RR-4416, RR-1301, RR-2501, RR-0446, RR-2010, RR-1536, RR-0566, RR-4007, RR-2738, RR-2542, RR-2395, RR-4832, RR-2864, RR-1405, RR-1902, RR-2141, RR-1236, RR-3922, RR-0566, RR-3388, RR-1854, RR-1885, RR-2222, RR-3068, RR-1483, RR-0065, RR-2118, RR-0898, RR-2834, RR-4210, RR-0329, RR-3045, RR-0340, RR-0457, RR-2367, RR-3712, RR-2094, RR-4497, RR-0797, RR-4720, RR-3839, RR-2797, RR-1684, RR-3833, RR-4627, RR-0892, RR-4354, RR-3302, RR-2491, RR-0614, RR-3625, RR-2397, RR-0810, RR-1323, RR-1368, RR-3513, RR-3920, RR-2725, RR-4083, RR-2725, RR-4484, RR-1701, RR-4285, RR-4857, RR-2745, RR-2637, RR-1359, RR-4770, RR-0156, RR-2022, RR-3579, RR-1819, RR-2310, RR-4904, RR-3838, RR-1931, RR-2327, RR-0518, RR-0234, RR-4182, RR-2847, RR-2117, RR-0247, RR-1826, RR-1507, RR-0278, RR-1797, RR-4322, RR-2825, RR-1500,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3902, RR-4536, RR-2359, RR-2487, RR-2264, RR-4623, RR-0883, RR-1868, RR-3214, RR-2883, RR-2282, RR-4571, RR-2696, RR-1201, RR-1896, RR-1900, RR-1161, RR-2167, RR-3023, RR-2937, RR-0556, RR-3859, RR-2557, RR-3319, RR-3587, RR-4535, RR-2089, RR-1113, RR-0264, RR-0264, RR-0860, RR-2110, RR-2370, RR-3183, RR-2301, RR-3613, RR-3450, RR-0745, RR-0789, RR-2488, RR-2201, RR-4070, RR-4781, RR-2871, RR-3502, RR-0333, RR-4917, RR-1345, RR-3408, RR-0371, RR-2940, RR-4490, RR-3314, RR-3648, RR-0794, RR-0854, RR-1308, RR-1456, RR-3533, RR-3098, RR-3650, RR-0611, RR-2663, RR-3603, RR-2840, RR-1590, RR-2830, RR-0165, RR-2515, RR-4258, RR-1938, RR-4716, RR-3866, RR-4710, RR-4948, RR-2193, RR-3614, RR-1783, RR-0597, RR-3708, RR-0748, RR-3056, RR-2080, RR-0754, RR-3001, RR-2080, RR-1366, RR-0683, RR-4835, RR-2845, RR-0730, RR-1082, RR-4341, RR-0103, RR-0623, RR-0717, RR-0913, RR-1145, RR-1658, RR-1706, RR-1840, RR-1947, RR-2602, RR-2618, RR-3592, RR-4543, RR-4765			
T-02	RR-3955, RR-1756, RR-2308, RR-1910, RR-2336, RR-0648,	Road damage	Respondents expressed concern that construction	The effects of construction traffic on the local highway network are assessed in ES

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3822, RR-2355, RR-0488, RR-1240, RR-3074, RR-1579, RR-3454, RR-1186, RR-1496, RR-0879, RR-2751, RR-3936, RR-1811, RR-2202, RR-0738, RR-0421, RR-0607, RR-2578, RR-0428, RR-4560, RR-1219, RR-3199, RR-3548, RR-4819, RR-4088, RR-3031, RR-3988, RR-4447, RR-4439, RR-2457, RR-1096, RR-3100, RR-3009, RR-2904, RR-3932, RR-1722, RR-0747, RR-1003, RR-4879, RR-0295, RR-0904, RR-3872, RR-1928, RR-4184, RR-0395, RR-2287, RR-0805, RR-3722, RR-2114, RR-2009, RR-2816, RR-1769, RR-1352, RR-2818, RR-4216, RR-4206, RR-4887, RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-3895, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-1739, RR-0039, RR-0959, RR-4316, RR-0776, RR-3796, RR-3691, RR-2681, RR-1633, RR-0604, RR-3785, RR-1317, RR-3694, RR-0404, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-2044, RR-0233, RR-3063, RR-0853, RR-1339, RR-0590, RR-1278, RR-0237, RR-4937, RR-0328, RR-4098, RR-3093, RR-4200, RR-1908, RR-3590, RR-3471, RR-3911, RR-4130, RR-4204, RR-0071, RR-1349, RR-2506, RR-3653, RR-3504, RR-2929, RR-0445, RR-2144, RR-2244, RR-3402, RR-4443, RR-0321, RR-3132, RR-1135, RR-0311, RR-0391, RR-4466,		vehicles and / or HGVs and / or Abnormal Indivisible Loads (AIL) vehicles would cause damage to local roads and verges. Some respondents asked if any damage caused would be repaired and / or potholes would be filled.	Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065], which considers construction vehicle routing, traffic volumes and potential impacts on highway safety and road condition. The assessment concludes that, with appropriate management measures in place, construction traffic effects can be appropriately controlled and through road condition surveys pre and post construction, any damage caused to the highway by the construction vehicles will be rectified as set out in Section 6.12 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic and protect local roads are set out in the Outline CTMP [APP-287]. The Outline CTMP [APP-287] has been developed to minimise impacts on the local highway network and includes measures for routing, scheduling of deliveries and liaison with the local highway authority. A road condition and dilapidation survey of minor roads not typically used by HGV traffic pre and post construction is committed to within the CTMP. The CTMP will also secure, as set out in the outline CTMP, that any damage to verges or carriageway surfaces caused by construction vehicles will be rectified to the satisfaction of the local highway authority. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0271, RR-0598, RR-4478, RR-2486, RR-2571, RR-2831, RR-2172, RR-2388, RR-0636, RR-4911, RR-2029, RR-3758, RR-4112, RR-2677, RR-3913, RR-0009, RR-2870, RR-1752, RR-4922, RR-1517, RR-2400, RR-1994, RR-2831, RR-2949, RR-1152, RR-0267, RR-0582, RR-0749, RR-4898, RR-2901, RR-3760, RR-2289, RR-3465, RR-3962, RR-0845, RR-0118, RR-3966, RR-3544, RR-1036, RR-3547, RR-3065, RR-3626, RR-3783, RR-4731, RR-3108, RR-4491, RR-3906, RR-2322, RR-3515, RR-3597, RR-2414, RR-0978, RR-3759, RR-3915, RR-2483, RR-4265, RR-3630, RR-2227, RR-4851, RR-4283, RR-2680, RR-3787, RR-2756, RR-3593, RR-0551, RR-3931, RR-4568, RR-1962, RR-2076, RR-4239, RR-3967, RR-0591, RR-0088, RR-1281, RR-3620, RR-1299, RR-0351, RR-1673, RR-2498, RR-1105, RR-2408, RR-0069, RR-1953, RR-2316, RR-0320, RR-3756, RR-1033, RR-1471, RR-1557, RR-1060, RR-4278, RR-1418, RR-1320, RR-2579, RR-1603, RR-0092, RR-0024, RR-0079, RR-1155, RR-0083, RR-4264, RR-3446, RR-1211, RR-0040, RR-3550, RR-3211, RR-4144, RR-4704, RR-1677, RR-0043, RR-4284, RR-2548, RR-0917, RR-3892,			substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287] , are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring construction traffic impacts, including potential damage to local roads, are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0147, RR-0830, RR-1980, RR-1444, RR-1416, RR-4391, RR-0864, RR-0003, RR-4681, RR-1389, RR-4418, RR-3244, RR-4787, RR-1911, RR-1271, RR-4197, RR-2399, RR-1259, RR-0291, RR-0477, RR-0937, RR-3737, RR-1834, RR-4805, RR-2025, RR-3307, RR-4077, RR-4574, RR-3243, RR-3191, RR-1388, RR-4476, RR-1485, RR-1761, RR-1556, RR-2736, RR-3666, RR-2087, RR-4555, RR-3328, RR-4842, RR-4621, RR-1025, RR-4780, RR-2939, RR-4021, RR-1970, RR-1860, RR-0831, RR-0836, RR-1335, RR-0527, RR-2947, RR-3880, RR-2351, RR-2879, RR-2528, RR-3609, RR-0506, RR-2703, RR-0490, RR-4872, RR-1267, RR-3439, RR-1428, RR-2821, RR-2101, RR-1870, RR-2530, RR-1318, RR-3133, RR-1106, RR-0169, RR-0615, RR-4392, RR-0702, RR-3363, RR-1140, RR-1288, RR-2379, RR-4518, RR-0817, RR-4022, RR-1382, RR-1327, RR-1925, RR-3921, RR-0752, RR-4668, RR-2534, RR-1312, RR-0894, RR-1763, RR-1512, RR-1266, RR-2000, RR-3780, RR-1894, RR-0120, RR-3313, RR-3050, RR-2719, RR-1252, RR-1176, RR-4171, RR-2108, RR-3202, RR-0829, RR-1665, RR-0113, RR-3048, RR-3145, RR-4507, RR-0849,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-2781, RR-2291, RR-2885, RR-3353, RR-4039, RR-3187, RR-4251, RR-1397, RR-0933, RR-3071, RR-2956, RR-0057, RR-0427, RR-1729, RR-4848, RR-1175, RR-3496, RR-1321, RR-4785, RR-3746, RR-0812, RR-3398, RR-1988, RR-1721, RR-3629, RR-1159, RR-0272, RR-4328, RR-2038, RR-1373, RR-4243, RR-1635, RR-4079, RR-1210, RR-2935, RR-3986, RR-1524, RR-4928, RR-4053, RR-1268, RR-3676, RR-0520, RR-1182, RR-1871, RR-3525, RR-2149, RR-1935, RR-3771, RR-3497, RR-1438, RR-2383, RR-4554, RR-3337, RR-2011, RR-1924, RR-1292, RR-3271, RR-2105, RR-2453, RR-0865, RR-0219, RR-4930, RR-0793, RR-2955, RR-4843, RR-1302, RR-2656, RR-3641, RR-3641, RR-4760, RR-0768, RR-4462, RR-4552, RR-0517, RR-4177, RR-0540, RR-3055, RR-0540, RR-2216, RR-3806, RR-2780, RR-3600, RR-4425, RR-3685, RR-4446, RR-3798, RR-0688, RR-4693, RR-3860, RR-0246, RR-3357, RR-0173, RR-4576, RR-3517, RR-4330, RR-0628, RR-3176, RR-4936, RR-4769, RR-1663, RR-0066, RR-4001, RR-3576, RR-1198, RR-0415, RR-1691, RR-4556, RR-3235, RR-4565, RR-4379, RR-3097, RR-4029,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3102, RR-0172, RR-4642, RR-4742, RR-2763, RR-1807, RR-4148, RR-3080, RR-1809, RR-2425, RR-3651, RR-2221, RR-0242, RR-2221, RR-1639, RR-0491, RR-1936, RR-0791, RR-0783, RR-2774, RR-1940, RR-4732, RR-0687, RR-3066, RR-3723, RR-0655, RR-2941, RR-2575, RR-3487, RR-0886, RR-1027, RR-0891, RR-4004, RR-0673, RR-0532, RR-2683, RR-0515, RR-4661, RR-0686, RR-3899, RR-2475, RR-1385, RR-0056, RR-0727, RR-1530, RR-0596, RR-2862, RR-3556, RR-3275, RR-2155, RR-1734, RR-4672, RR-4488, RR-2267, RR-2852, RR-2667, RR-0980, RR-0438, RR-0619, RR-1199, RR-1042, RR-0116, RR-2002, RR-2624, RR-2644, RR-4825, RR-0459, RR-0697, RR-3420, RR-0268, RR-4740, RR-1648, RR-2495, RR-2090, RR-3767, RR-2541, RR-3607, RR-3805, RR-2508, RR-4458, RR-0121, RR-2428, RR-2693, RR-0354, RR-4245, RR-3436, RR-1178, RR-3754, RR-0782, RR-3984, RR-4864, RR-0286, RR-0653, RR-1067, RR-2003, RR-4500, RR-0394, RR-4713, RR-4062, RR-0606, RR-2337, RR-0967, RR-4007, RR-4832, RR-0572, RR-2853, RR-1854, RR-4939, RR-4651, RR-2834, RR-4210, RR-0329, RR-0992, RR-3712,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4720, RR-2797, RR-1684, RR-4659, RR-3302, RR-2491, RR-2397, RR-0810, RR-2433, RR-3513, RR-2725, RR-4484, RR-2637, RR-1359, RR-4770, RR-3579, RR-3322, RR-1819, RR-4904, RR-0518, RR-0234, RR-4545, RR-4182, RR-2847, RR-1507, RR-0278, RR-1797, RR-2825, RR-1500, RR-1778, RR-2359, RR-2487, RR-2264, RR-4623, RR-3214, RR-2883, RR-2282, RR-4571, RR-4230, RR-1201, RR-1896, RR-3023, RR-2231, RR-3319, RR-2089, RR-1113, RR-0264, RR-0860, RR-2110, RR-2370, RR-3183, RR-2301, RR-3450, RR-0745, RR-0789, RR-2488, RR-2201, RR-4781, RR-2871, RR-0333, RR-1345, RR-0371, RR-2940, RR-3314, RR-0794, RR-4566, RR-1308, RR-2576, RR-1498, RR-3098, RR-3650, RR-0611, RR-2840, RR-4258, RR-1938, RR-4710, RR-4948, RR-3306, RR-1783, RR-4256, RR-3708, RR-0748, RR-2080, RR-0683, RR-0730, RR-0623, RR-2602, RR-2618			
T-03	RR-2237, RR-2324, RR-3955, RR-2308, RR-3417, RR-4604, RR-1618, RR-3599, RR-0245, RR-0648, RR-1462, RR-2479, RR-0361, RR-4513, RR-1218, RR-1589, RR-2519, RR-1426, RR-2616, RR-3218, RR-3715, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157,	Infrastructure	Respondents expressed concern about the capacity of local roads and / or infrastructure to accommodate construction vehicles. Some respondents specified local roads and / or country	The capacity of local roads and infrastructure to accommodate construction vehicles is assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] , which considers construction traffic volumes, vehicle types, route suitability and network performance. The assessment concludes that, with

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-0502, RR-4874, RR-2860, RR-2014, RR-1926, RR-3335, RR-0347, RR-3510, RR-0290, RR-3236, RR-3726, RR-3454, RR-0150, RR-0778, RR-1024, RR-1293, RR-4607, RR-1332, RR-2963, RR-2521, RR-0879, RR-3936, RR-1811, RR-2658, RR-2266, RR-0530, RR-2202, RR-0738, RR-3823, RR-2226, RR-2504, RR-2631, RR-3827, RR-2578, RR-4829, RR-1687, RR-1979, RR-4945, RR-0461, RR-4560, RR-1133, RR-4773, RR-4797, RR-1528, RR-4687, RR-4806, RR-2748, RR-4407, RR-3779, RR-0119, RR-0126, RR-4423, RR-1851, RR-4706, RR-1144, RR-2457, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-3248, RR-2271, RR-1136, RR-0420, RR-3067, RR-4441, RR-4441, RR-0575, RR-0115, RR-2499, RR-0626, RR-2803, RR-0955, RR-2944, RR-2945, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-0061, RR-2220, RR-4034, RR-4823, RR-4863, RR-0153, RR-2783, RR-2005, RR-0362, RR-1003, RR-4879, RR-0295, RR-3872, RR-3687, RR-2661, RR-2236, RR-3503, RR-2037, RR-3654, RR-2798, RR-1132, RR-4334, RR-3260, RR-2394, RR-2287, RR-0548, RR-1458, RR-0805, RR-2114, RR-1090, RR-1591, RR-2138,		lanes were unsuitable for the increased volume of traffic and / or size of the construction vehicles / HGVs / AILs.	appropriate mitigation in place, there would be no significant adverse impacts on the local highway network and that the network can accommodate construction traffic. Supporting technical information is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233], which identifies specific locations where traffic management measures or passing arrangements may be required on narrower sections of construction routes. The assessment presented in Annex H of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] demonstrates adequate carriageway width or frequency of passing place opportunity to pass at least an HGV and a light vehicle, as requested in comments provided by Wiltshire Council. All construction routes also include passing points to allow two HGVs to pass. Mitigation measures, including those referenced above, to manage construction traffic are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. The Outline CTMP [APP-287] is designed to minimise the effects of construction traffic on local roads and includes measures for vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and liaison with the local highway authority. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2794, RR-2413, RR-1352, RR-3246, RR-1055, RR-1473, RR-4216, RR-2563, RR-2174, RR-1712, RR-4206, RR-4887, RR-2979, RR-3165, RR-3895, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-0959, RR-2856, RR-3003, RR-4316, RR-0776, RR-3796, RR-1465, RR-0724, RR-0195, RR-0725, RR-2706, RR-0658, RR-3616, RR-0199, RR-1317, RR-4353, RR-2276, RR-0364, RR-3530, RR-2306, RR-0535, RR-2205, RR-0289, RR-0233, RR-3063, RR-0853, RR-0467, RR-0324, RR-1339, RR-4712, RR-2894, RR-1215, RR-4111, RR-4937, RR-4098, RR-0328, RR-3869, RR-3590, RR-1519, RR-3911, RR-2162, RR-4130, RR-4204, RR-0071, RR-1349, RR-4000, RR-3653, RR-1597, RR-4162, RR-3504, RR-1624, RR-0445, RR-2144, RR-2565, RR-2354, RR-2244, RR-1250, RR-3402, RR-2577, RR-1046, RR-1107, RR-3364, RR-1420, RR-4472, RR-4294, RR-1135, RR-3252, RR-0027, RR-3535, RR-1967, RR-2570, RR-2831, RR-2555, RR-2884, RR-2639, RR-2172, RR-2388, RR-3479, RR-2151, RR-4911, RR-2411, RR-4112, RR-2677, RR-2866, RR-3913, RR-0009, RR-1346, RR-1752, RR-1244, RR-4922, RR-2400, RR-3820, RR-2653, RR-3997, RR-4544, RR-4151, RR-0958,			Outline CTMP [APP-287] , are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring construction traffic impacts on local roads and infrastructure are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0582, RR-0997, RR-1061, RR-3760, RR-3465, RR-2031, RR-3962, RR-0845, RR-0118, RR-3567, RR-4299, RR-1399, RR-3544, RR-1036, RR-4690, RR-4342, RR-1078, RR-4735, RR-3789, RR-4101, RR-2823, RR-3065, RR-3626, RR-3783, RR-1242, RR-4103, RR-3108, RR-3597, RR-1188, RR-3759, RR-3206, RR-2042, RR-3933, RR-3630, RR-3121, RR-1253, RR-4519, RR-2227, RR-4851, RR-2680, RR-3787, RR-2756, RR-1480, RR-4444, RR-0765, RR-0551, RR-3931, RR-4568, RR-2230, RR-2603, RR-3620, RR-3945, RR-0351, RR-2732, RR-1768, RR-2536, RR-1105, RR-3717, RR-0181, RR-1997, RR-3957, RR-0069, RR-2249, RR-3907, RR-1953, RR-1033, RR-1471, RR-1060, RR-4278, RR-1320, RR-1081, RR-0092, RR-3557, RR-4357, RR-1822, RR-3897, RR-0079, RR-3221, RR-1155, RR-3157, RR-2766, RR-2147, RR-0851, RR-3415, RR-2177, RR-3179, RR-1211, RR-4367, RR-0040, RR-0293, RR-4347, RR-3211, RR-2734, RR-1355, RR-4144, RR-3257, RR-2484, RR-0807, RR-4704, RR-1677, RR-2707, RR-2807, RR-4209, RR-4605, RR-2548, RR-1305, RR-2961, RR-1645, RR-0917, RR-3892, RR-0147, RR-3365, RR-1755, RR-0830,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2793, RR-4132, RR-1085, RR-1444, RR-1416, RR-4114, RR-4391, RR-4028, RR-0003, RR-0936, RR-4854, RR-1899, RR-2024, RR-1309, RR-0863, RR-4358, RR-0858, RR-4096, RR-4940, RR-1835, RR-4787, RR-1022, RR-2204, RR-1911, RR-2597, RR-0555, RR-4205, RR-0274, RR-4919, RR-2103, RR-4197, RR-0161, RR-2399, RR-0672, RR-1259, RR-1441, RR-0477, RR-1452, RR-1361, RR-1109, RR-2150, RR-0937, RR-4080, RR-3737, RR-3307, RR-1490, RR-2848, RR-4485, RR-4526, RR-3191, RR-2471, RR-0942, RR-3889, RR-1388, RR-1761, RR-0602, RR-1485, RR-2736, RR-0042, RR-1269, RR-2736, RR-1225, RR-1427, RR-2606, RR-2368, RR-2057, RR-0299, RR-3395, RR-0621, RR-3049, RR-1824, RR-3670, RR-3328, RR-3689, RR-4852, RR-2526, RR-1079, RR-4745, RR-0900, RR-4530, RR-1475, RR-3387, RR-4021, RR-1982, RR-0148, RR-1311, RR-1970, RR-3573, RR-2741, RR-0831, RR-4902, RR-2410, RR-0836, RR-1725, RR-3790, RR-2528, RR-3609, RR-0506, RR-4759, RR-3249, RR-2598, RR-0296, RR-4050, RR-3351, RR-1251, RR-1294, RR-3140, RR-2530, RR-4667, RR-1318, RR-2533, RR-3133, RR-1013, RR-1407,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2132, RR-0895, RR-1612, RR-3339, RR-1678, RR-1106, RR-3026, RR-1698, RR-4020, RR-0615, RR-2537, RR-1372, RR-0617, RR-4847, RR-1140, RR-1328, RR-2905, RR-1023, RR-1288, RR-0541, RR-0356, RR-0827, RR-3693, RR-4518, RR-2163, RR-2402, RR-0817, RR-0500, RR-1540, RR-1280, RR-3739, RR-4660, RR-2791, RR-1327, RR-4340, RR-2421, RR-3799, RR-0752, RR-0951, RR-1617, RR-2189, RR-3980, RR-3985, RR-1312, RR-0894, RR-1763, RR-1695, RR-1512, RR-1266, RR-3348, RR-3595, RR-4405, RR-3765, RR-2435, RR-4233, RR-2539, RR-4094, RR-0410, RR-0010, RR-1252, RR-1525, RR-0140, RR-1176, RR-4038, RR-4171, RR-4376, RR-2108, RR-3202, RR-0715, RR-0175, RR-4420, RR-3491, RR-1679, RR-0113, RR-3781, RR-0514, RR-3048, RR-3145, RR-0678, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-0902, RR-2496, RR-1950, RR-3923, RR-3851, RR-2081, RR-1197, RR-0055, RR-0729, RR-2781, RR-1423, RR-3016, RR-0475, RR-4039, RR-1723, RR-4251, RR-1397, RR-0885, RR-2188, RR-3158, RR-3857, RR-3071, RR-2154, RR-2956, RR-0057, RR-1510, RR-0427, RR-1729, RR-4848, RR-1175, RR-3061, RR-3496, RR-2200,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4785, RR-4214, RR-2248, RR-3870, RR-1313, RR-3746, RR-2436, RR-1093, RR-4786, RR-0812, RR-2275, RR-1721, RR-2072, RR-3629, RR-1159, RR-0272, RR-4328, RR-2038, RR-1795, RR-1373, RR-4243, RR-1635, RR-2796, RR-3701, RR-0788, RR-4079, RR-3752, RR-2699, RR-2935, RR-3986, RR-4596, RR-4928, RR-4053, RR-3284, RR-1189, RR-1919, RR-3676, RR-3961, RR-0840, RR-3761, RR-2004, RR-0921, RR-0520, RR-1871, RR-2135, RR-3525, RR-2149, RR-1935, RR-0203, RR-2239, RR-4331, RR-2953, RR-1104, RR-1438, RR-3497, RR-0953, RR-2383, RR-4207, RR-3337, RR-4836, RR-3999, RR-1628, RR-2011, RR-3976, RR-3118, RR-1875, RR-3362, RR-1292, RR-1799, RR-2880, RR-2105, RR-2665, RR-0220, RR-2453, RR-1846, RR-0219, RR-1620, RR-1047, RR-1493, RR-0793, RR-2955, RR-0319, RR-2513, RR-4843, RR-1302, RR-1302, RR-2656, RR-3641, RR-3929, RR-4760, RR-3738, RR-0640, RR-0709, RR-4552, RR-2573, RR-0469, RR-0517, RR-4177, RR-3473, RR-3055, RR-2216, RR-4619, RR-1876, RR-4425, RR-2405, RR-4665, RR-0348, RR-3867, RR-0101, RR-4693, RR-0194, RR-2258, RR-0246, RR-3520,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1652, RR-4576, RR-3517, RR-1056, RR-2156, RR-3176, RR-3606, RR-2670, RR-1356, RR-2986, RR-0595, RR-4769, RR-2353, RR-1663, RR-2775, RR-4337, RR-1636, RR-0066, RR-2697, RR-4867, RR-4009, RR-4938, RR-3576, RR-1198, RR-1231, RR-0415, RR-1691, RR-3235, RR-4565, RR-3097, RR-3102, RR-1167, RR-4602, RR-0196, RR-4012, RR-4199, RR-1771, RR-1981, RR-4366, RR-1849, RR-1364, RR-1807, RR-3213, RR-0334, RR-3060, RR-3080, RR-4395, RR-1809, RR-3005, RR-2425, RR-1602, RR-4394, RR-1649, RR-3651, RR-2221, RR-0242, RR-0491, RR-0783, RR-2774, RR-1839, RR-4172, RR-1940, RR-4732, RR-2449, RR-3066, RR-3172, RR-2019, RR-2941, RR-3501, RR-2575, RR-1917, RR-3487, RR-1595, RR-3123, RR-2229, RR-0673, RR-4661, RR-0686, RR-3899, RR-2475, RR-1097, RR-1082, RR-2698, RR-0152, RR-2284, RR-0798, RR-0056, RR-1794, RR-0727, RR-1890, RR-3704, RR-2159, RR-0596, RR-3816, RR-4341, RR-2228, RR-3647, RR-3556, RR-2155, RR-4672, RR-1821, RR-0149, RR-2007, RR-0929, RR-4003, RR-2852, RR-2667, RR-0677, RR-4868, RR-3924, RR-4958, RR-0438, RR-4539, RR-2881,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1042, RR-3622, RR-0116, RR-2624, RR-2644, RR-4067, RR-0459, RR-3420, RR-4740, RR-3532, RR-2495, RR-2090, RR-1818, RR-3552, RR-3767, RR-2541, RR-2480, RR-2977, RR-3805, RR-2878, RR-2299, RR-2508, RR-2235, RR-4458, RR-2428, RR-0363, RR-2693, RR-0354, RR-4245, RR-2067, RR-3436, RR-1178, RR-3683, RR-3754, RR-1681, RR-0441, RR-4881, RR-1906, RR-3984, RR-4864, RR-0286, RR-3356, RR-0653, RR-2003, RR-4914, RR-0422, RR-0869, RR-4713, RR-4062, RR-4403, RR-1467, RR-0606, RR-4416, RR-0967, RR-1301, RR-4496, RR-2869, RR-3601, RR-3588, RR-2010, RR-0566, RR-2064, RR-1902, RR-0660, RR-0566, RR-1854, RR-2222, RR-4210, RR-0329, RR-3045, RR-0340, RR-0457, RR-0992, RR-4720, RR-3839, RR-2797, RR-1684, RR-0665, RR-3833, RR-0892, RR-2491, RR-1157, RR-2397, RR-0630, RR-0810, RR-1660, RR-3513, RR-3920, RR-2725, RR-2429, RR-1758, RR-4083, RR-3374, RR-4285, RR-4857, RR-2637, RR-1359, RR-4770, RR-0156, RR-3579, RR-3322, RR-1819, RR-4904, RR-1931, RR-0518, RR-0234, RR-4545, RR-1538, RR-4374, RR-4276, RR-1826, RR-0247, RR-1507, RR-0278,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1500, RR-1778, RR-3926, RR-0543, RR-4289, RR-2487, RR-3371, RR-3214, RR-4571, RR-2696, RR-4230, RR-1933, RR-2176, RR-1201, RR-1900, RR-1896, RR-1161, RR-2167, RR-3319, RR-0264, RR-2110, RR-3183, RR-3450, RR-0745, RR-0789, RR-4779, RR-2488, RR-2201, RR-4781, RR-2871, RR-2714, RR-0371, RR-3562, RR-2940, RR-3314, RR-0794, RR-4566, RR-1498, RR-4608, RR-3650, RR-0611, RR-2840, RR-4258, RR-1938, RR-3866, RR-4710, RR-4948, RR-1324, RR-2053, RR-1783, RR-4256, RR-3708, RR-3056, RR-2080, RR-2845, RR-0730, RR-0717, RR-0984, RR-1145, RR-1561, RR-1658, RR-2286, RR-2602, RR-2618, RR-3592, RR-3740, RR-3804, RR-4237, RR-4310, RR-4765			
T-04	RR-4817, RR-2324, RR-3955, RR-2308, RR-3417, RR-2427, RR-0648, RR-0361, RR-1589, RR-1240, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-4874, RR-3565, RR-2860, RR-4161, RR-1926, RR-0347, RR-0778, RR-2963, RR-1496, RR-1731, RR-2751, RR-3936, RR-1358, RR-1811, RR-0544, RR-2899, RR-2658, RR-4069, RR-2202, RR-4639, RR-0428, RR-4648, RR-0461,		Respondents expressed safety concerns about an increased risk of accidents and injury caused by construction vehicles and / or increased traffic. Some respondents specified these safety concerns for: horse riders, dog walkers, school children, cyclists, motorcyclists, cows, other car users.	The potential effects of construction traffic on highway safety and accident risk are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065], which considers changes in traffic flows, HGV movements and accident risk on the local road network. Links experiencing traffic increases above defined assessment thresholds were taken forward for detailed analysis. Supporting technical evidence is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233],

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1527, RR-4773, RR-0722, RR-3779, RR-3656, RR-3548, RR-4537, RR-0126, RR-4819, RR-4005, RR-4088, RR-4793, RR-4706, RR-4447, RR-2457, RR-3002, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-1096, RR-1411, RR-3040, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-1667, RR-2895, RR-1275, RR-1847, RR-3464, RR-4803, RR-1003, RR-4879, RR-0295, RR-3872, RR-1316, RR-1969, RR-0805, RR-3540, RR-1090, RR-4317, RR-1575, RR-4453, RR-1055, RR-1473, RR-1055, RR-2818, RR-4206, RR-2854, RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-1739, RR-2856, RR-3003, RR-2626, RR-4316, RR-4606, RR-1083, RR-0659, RR-1820, RR-2681, RR-1465, RR-3847, RR-3616, RR-1317, RR-2309, RR-3721, RR-1402, RR-1893, RR-0233, RR-3063, RR-0853, RR-3700, RR-0467, RR-0324, RR-1339, RR-4712, RR-2452, RR-1278, RR-1215, RR-4111, RR-0328, RR-4799, RR-0633, RR-4393, RR-4393, RR-3590, RR-1853, RR-4130, RR-3818, RR-1597, RR-1250, RR-0212, RR-2577, RR-3364, RR-4294, RR-1135, RR-0311, RR-3252, RR-4466, RR-0598, RR-1967, RR-4478, RR-2570, RR-2486, RR-2571, RR-2831, RR-2884, RR-2172, RR-3479, RR-0636, RR-2151, RR-3758,			which includes an assessment of baseline accident data and predicted changes in collision risk arising from construction traffic and on non-motorised user delay and amenity, including Fear and Intimidation. <u>NMU Delay</u> Non-motorised user delay is considered negligible given there is no existing pedestrian or cycle infrastructure on the construction routes and likely low numbers of existing NMU users. It is anticipated that PRoW which cross the Order Limits will generally remain open during the construction phase of the Scheme. There may be some slight perceptible delay to NMU movement if a construction vehicle is crossing a PRoW within the Order Limits. An Outline PRoW and Permissive Path Management Plan [APP-282] forms an embedded mitigation and will provide measures to ensure the effects of the construction phase on PRoW users is minimised. It contains specific measures for the temporary diversion of footpath WT GRIT 20 and bridleway WT MALW 54, where access to Cable Route Corridor works east of Grittleton, and HGV access to Lime Down E are taken respectively. These diversions are proposed to ensure unreasonable effects to PROW users are not experienced during construction, replacement and decommissioning. <u>NMU Amenity</u>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0642, RR-3913, RR-1244, RR-4922, RR-1994, RR-2831, RR-3820, RR-2377, RR-1152, RR-4898, RR-1061, RR-0481, RR-2136, RR-2031, RR-0118, RR-3567, RR-3544, RR-4145, RR-4690, RR-2520, RR-3547, RR-3081, RR-3626, RR-3783, RR-0767, RR-4731, RR-0816, RR-2190, RR-2322, RR-3515, RR-4252, RR-3759, RR-3915, RR-2483, RR-3630, RR-3148, RR-4580, RR-0470, RR-3281, RR-4851, RR-3787, RR-3904, RR-2756, RR-0765, RR-0424, RR-0088, RR-1673, RR-0413, RR-2523, RR-1768, RR-2498, RR-2408, RR-3717, RR-3957, RR-2249, RR-3907, RR-3959, RR-1557, RR-1320, RR-2579, RR-1603, RR-4357, RR-1822, RR-3897, RR-1704, RR-1560, RR-1155, RR-0430, RR-0083, RR-3415, RR-4078, RR-2717, RR-3446, RR-1211, RR-4669, RR-3211, RR-1355, RR-0996, RR-4704, RR-1118, RR-0026, RR-3182, RR-2707, RR-4284, RR-2807, RR-4209, RR-1064, RR-4605, RR-1305, RR-2961, RR-1415, RR-4132, RR-1085, RR-0820, RR-4391, RR-1941, RR-0003, RR-0864, RR-0936, RR-4681, RR-1389, RR-1959, RR-4418, RR-1899, RR-1309, RR-1835, RR-3566, RR-4308, RR-0555, RR-4205, RR-2103, RR-2399, RR-0672, RR-1109,			Pedestrian amenity is broadly defined as the relative pleasantness of a journey, and is considered to be affected by traffic flow, traffic composition and pavement width/separation from traffic. The construction routes on the road network have little existing pedestrian infrastructure and no footways, making them already unattractive as walking routes with no pedestrian amenity so this cannot be assessed. Fear and Intimidation for other NMU's can be assessed. Highway widths of construction routes have been assessed ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] and provide sufficient width for HGV traffic to comfortably pass cyclists. ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] concludes that the level of flow change will not result in a step change in fear and intimidation as defined in the ISEP guidance at tables 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3. ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] concludes that on all links in the study area the significance of effect is Minor Adverse (temporary). The assessment concludes that the level of construction traffic associated with the Scheme is unlikely to materially affect road safety on links within the Study Area, and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3307, RR-4382, RR-4476, RR-2130, RR-0042, RR-1269, RR-2736, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-1225, RR-1427, RR-2417, RR-1726, RR-3666, RR-3395, RR-3788, RR-0621, RR-4555, RR-3670, RR-3689, RR-2526, RR-4745, RR-4621, RR-0900, RR-1475, RR-4021, RR-3380, RR-2268, RR-2410, RR-1335, RR-3342, RR-2528, RR-2629, RR-0506, RR-4872, RR-3249, RR-2598, RR-3439, RR-1428, RR-0296, RR-1964, RR-1553, RR-1552, RR-3664, RR-3686, RR-2533, RR-1013, RR-3339, RR-1106, RR-1698, RR-4020, RR-0702, RR-3884, RR-3727, RR-4957, RR-1328, RR-2905, RR-1288, RR-3529, RR-0500, RR-1540, RR-3953, RR-4660, RR-3799, RR-3229, RR-1617, RR-3381, RR-0894, RR-1695, RR-0223, RR-0670, RR-0205, RR-4233, RR-4633, RR-1252, RR-4171, RR-4376, RR-3202, RR-0113, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-0902, RR-3862, RR-3923, RR-1666, RR-2017, RR-4232, RR-2291, RR-3016, RR-4039, RR-1723, RR-4251, RR-1397, RR-3949, RR-2188, RR-0497, RR-1510, RR-0427, RR-4848, RR-4442, RR-3496, RR-2248, RR-1313, RR-2436, RR-1093, RR-1159, RR-1795, RR-2314, RR-1635, RR-2796, RR-1830, RR-4079, RR-1210, RR-3986,			no significant adverse effects on accident risk are anticipated. <u>Mitigation</u> An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] supports the DCO Application and presents outline measures which restrict construction deliveries to the proposed construction routes and separates the public and recreational users from hazards, including, traffic management measures such as signage and banksmen, fencing, and dust and noise control. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287], are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring construction traffic is managed safely throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4596, RR-4928, RR-3676, RR-0539, RR-1871, RR-3864, RR-3525, RR-2149, RR-1921, RR-2239, RR-3497, RR-1438, RR-0953, RR-2383, RR-4207, RR-1628, RR-3416, RR-1799, RR-2105, RR-1846, RR-4930, RR-1493, RR-1302, RR-2972, RR-2656, RR-0549, RR-3317, RR-4159, RR-3055, RR-2216, RR-3600, RR-2405, RR-3685, RR-2238, RR-3867, RR-0101, RR-3384, RR-3379, RR-4693, RR-3517, RR-3176, RR-0792, RR-4936, RR-0595, RR-1663, RR-4337, RR-4279, RR-2195, RR-1231, RR-3678, RR-4565, RR-4379, RR-4029, RR-3102, RR-1167, RR-2802, RR-4642, RR-1981, RR-1849, RR-1807, RR-3213, RR-0334, RR-3080, RR-1809, RR-1602, RR-3651, RR-2774, RR-4732, RR-2449, RR-3066, RR-3172, RR-2941, RR-3487, RR-1595, RR-0886, RR-0891, RR-1448, RR-0532, RR-3899, RR-1497, RR-2475, RR-2166, RR-2698, RR-1442, RR-2284, RR-0798, RR-0056, RR-2749, RR-1890, RR-2159, RR-3816, RR-2862, RR-1185, RR-2886, RR-3556, RR-3275, RR-1766, RR-2155, RR-4056, RR-1734, RR-4672, RR-4003, RR-2667, RR-0438, RR-0619, RR-1199, RR-1042, RR-3622, RR-2002, RR-2624, RR-2644, RR-4825, RR-0599, RR-3420,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0603, RR-2980, RR-4740, RR-1648, RR-2495, RR-2090, RR-2541, RR-2480, RR-3805, RR-2878, RR-2508, RR-2235, RR-2428, RR-0363, RR-2693, RR-4245, RR-2067, RR-3436, RR-3683, RR-0286, RR-4062, RR-1194, RR-0967, RR-4007, RR-2864, RR-1727, RR-1236, RR-1885, RR-0522, RR-4651, RR-0340, RR-4311, RR-1684, RR-2397, RR-1323, RR-3513, RR-3920, RR-2725, RR-3374, RR-4285, RR-4857, RR-4041, RR-2637, RR-1359, RR-4770, RR-3637, RR-3579, RR-3322, RR-4904, RR-3838, RR-1931, RR-0518, RR-4545, RR-2847, RR-1826, RR-0278, RR-1797, RR-4875, RR-1539, RR-1778, RR-2359, RR-0543, RR-3371, RR-4623, RR-3214, RR-2696, RR-2176, RR-1896, RR-1161, RR-2557, RR-3587, RR-3183, RR-3450, RR-4779, RR-2488, RR-3502, RR-2940, RR-0854, RR-4566, RR-1308, RR-2576, RR-1498, RR-0611, RR-2663, RR-3603, RR-2840, RR-2784, RR-0165, RR-2515, RR-4258, RR-1938, RR-4710, RR-4948, RR-1783, RR-2080, RR-0683, RR-2845, RR-0717, RR-0913, RR-1561, RR-1711, RR-1861, RR-2286, RR-2602, RR-2618, RR-3361, RR-3467, RR-3592, RR-3632, RR-4180, RR-4237, RR-4765			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
T-05	RR-3955, RR-4764, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-0502, RR-3779, RR-4088, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-1055, RR-1215, RR-4111, RR-3252, RR-3544, RR-2756, RR-1768, RR-3756, RR-0555, RR-1109, RR-1269, RR-2368, RR-3670, RR-1698, RR-2238, RR-3384, RR-2670, RR-1231, RR-4070	Traffic	Respondents expressed concern that construction traffic has been underestimated by 40%.	The Applicant has predicted construction traffic flows for the Scheme based on a realistic breakdown of construction materials, such as aggregate and access tracks, number of modules including footings, and fencing. Calculations are provided in Annex E of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] . Further detail of trip generation will also be added to ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] and resubmitted at Deadline 1 of Examination. The total construction traffic flows are an estimate of worst-case peak day flows, rather than average flows and are robust.
T-06	RR-3074, RR-2366	Access	Respondents oppose any new access roads or widening on any existing roads.	The Applicant notes this comment and can confirm that the proposals include limited widening to allow passing places and no new roads are proposed outside of the order limits.
T-07	RR-2868	Damage	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the tracks in Rodbourne.	The proposed access track connects the A429 to Lime Down E referenced in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and shown in Annex C ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] drawing PL18 provides access for construction traffic in order to avoid construction traffic routing through Rodbourne Village. Therefore, in transport terms, this link reduces any construction impact in Rodbourne Village. Supporting technical information is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] , which identifies specific locations where traffic

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				management measures or passing arrangements may be required on narrower sections of construction routes.
T-08	RR-3779, RR-1339, RR-2571, RR-3783, RR-0003, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-0595, RR-0783, RR-2428, RR-1727, RR-3839, RR-2176, RR-3724, RR-0376, RR-3863	Traffic	Respondents expressed scepticism regarding the implementation/effectiveness of mitigation measures for construction traffic. Some respondents expressed scepticism that mitigation measures would be effective due to the geographic spread of the Scheme.	The potential effects of construction traffic on the local highway network are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065], which considers construction vehicle movements, traffic volumes, road safety and effects on road users. Likely effects have been assessed for the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Scheme. The Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases. Construction vehicle movements will be managed through a Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP). An Outline CTMP [APP-287] has been prepared and submitted with the DCO application. The aim of the CTMP is to ensure that the effect of the construction phase on the local highway network is minimised. The Outline CTMP [APP-287] sets out measures to coordinate construction deliveries by HGVs to arrive and depart between 09:30 and 16:30 where practicable, to avoid peak network hours of 08:00–09:00 and 17:00–18:00. It also includes a community liaison element to keep local communities informed about the construction process and to provide a contact for feedback should any issues arise. Compliance with the CTMP will

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				be monitored by the Developer, Contractor and Wiltshire Council as local highway authority. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287], are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring construction traffic impacts are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.
T-09	RR-1863, RR-0915, RR-2275, RR-4566	Traffic	Respondent expressed concern about the impact on local lanes, which are single way, noting that they are not up to the weight and size of construction vehicles.	Annex H of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233], demonstrates adequate carriageway width and/or adequate frequency of passing places providing opportunity to pass at least an HGV and a light vehicle as requested in comments provided by Wiltshire Council in its response (August 2025) to the Transport Assessment Scoping Note and confirmed in RR4934 Paragraph 4 of 'Appendix A - Highways and Transport Document Review - Detailed Comments (Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN010168)'. This confirms that no passing point or widening improvements are needed to accommodate these movements on the construction route outside of the Order Limits. The distances between passing points outside of the Order Limits are all within 150m and forward visibility extending to the next passing point and beyond.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
T-10	RR-3858	Traffic	Respondent expressed concern that Malmesbury has been omitted from traffic assessments.	Malmesbury does not form part of the main construction routes so will not be impacted in transport terms by the proposals and therefore doesn't require any assessment. Construction routes are set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] . The A429 runs through the outer edge of Malmesbury (Burton Hill) and will form part of the route for ALL vehicles accessing Lime Down E and D. These are limited one off deliveries and are managed separately from the DCO through Special Types General Order Agreements with the Highway authorities and police. This ensures no significant effects and so are not required to be assessed.
T-11	RR-4005	Traffic	Respondent supports the commitments to scheduling deliveries between 9.30am and 4.30pm so that the hours will be shortened during the winter months.	The Applicant notes this comment. The Applicant can confirm that the construction hours of work (ES Chapter 1 Volume 3) are: - Monday to Friday from 07:00 to 18:00 (daylight hours permitting); - Saturday from 08:00 to 13:30 (daylight hours permitting); and - No Sunday or Bank Holiday working unless crucial to construction (for example for HDD which must be a continuous activity) or in an emergency Workers will arrive outside of peak hours (0800-0900 and 17:00-18:00)

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Construction deliveries are restricted to 09:30-16:30 on weekdays and 0930-12:30 on Saturdays and none on Sundays as set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] .
T-12	RR-4005	Traffic	Respondent requested that advance notice is provided through temporary roadside signage of exceptional construction vehicle movements, so that inexperienced riders can plan ahead.	The Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] includes a community liaison element to keep local communities informed about the construction process and to provide a contact for feedback should any issues arise. This will include notice of any peak or significant delivery days. Compliance with the CTMP will be monitored by the Developer, Contractor and Wiltshire Council as local highway authority. For any Abnormal Load deliveries the haulage company is legally required to notify the authorities (police, local councils, and National Highways) prior to delivery and this will be communicated via the community liaison to local communities.
T-13	RR-4005	Traffic	Respondent expressed concern regarding the core hours including 8am - 1.30pm on Saturdays, due to the high incidence of motorcycle collisions at weekends. The respondent added that if absolutely necessary, efforts should be made to ensure no construction movements for events such as the Castle Combe Circuit calendar, including the Motorcycle National event.	Construction routes are set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-287] . There will be a commitment to stopping construction deliveries during Badminton Horse Trials. No such allowance has been made for any Castle Combe events as this is not considered to be affected by the main construction route as stated in section 6.4 of the Outline CTMP [APP-287] . Any further measures required during major events at Castle Combe would be discussed with the LHA as part of the detailed CTMP.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
T-14	RR-4005	Traffic	Respondent stated that the CTMP should explicitly reference motorcycles and how this will be managed at Solar Site Access Point 4, requesting that banksmen should be positioned to give trail riders warning.	During the construction phase, banksmen will be deployed at each access including access 4 whenever construction vehicles are accessing or egressing the Order Limits see the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] paragraph 2.1.5. This will ensure the safe movement of construction vehicles in and out of the Solar PV Sites and will overcome any instances where the achievable visibility is below guidance, which is a factor at a small number of access locations.
T-15	RR-3872, RR-2067	Traffic	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact on farmers' access to the land.	Access to farmland isn't precluded by the proposals. The use of the land for the Solar Panel Areas has been agreed with the affected landowners, who would be renting the land to the Applicant for the duration of the Scheme. The landowners will not be farming the land during construction; and Access will be maintained through the Sites. Land disturbed for the installation of cables will be subject to the principles set out in the Outline Soil Resources Management Plan (SRMP) [APP-280], including identification of appropriate soil handling windows, to minimise effects on soils and reinstated land. The disturbance will be temporary and short-term, with land reinstated soon after installation. There will be no resulting access difficulties to land on the Cable Route.
T-16	RR-3872	Traffic	Respondent stated that there are no credible assurances	Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic and protect local roads

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			that lanes will be restored to original condition.	are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. The Outline CTMP [APP-287] has been developed to minimise impacts on the local highway network and includes measures for routing, scheduling of deliveries and liaison with the local highway authority. A road condition and dilapidation survey of minor roads not typically used by HGV traffic is included as a measure within the Outline CTMP [APP-287]. Any damage to verges or carriageway surfaces caused by construction vehicles will be rectified to the satisfaction of the local highway authority. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287], are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring construction traffic impacts, including potential damage to local roads, are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.
T-17	RR-3796	Traffic	Respondent expressed concern that the access roads proposed will be used to facilitate further developments should the development be completed.	The Applicant can confirm that this is not the case. The Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] authorises the construction of the Scheme as assessed in the Environmental Statement.
T-18	RR-1278, RR-3604, RR-4898, RR-4704, RR-1305, RR-1259, RR-2580, RR-1056, RR-1198, RR-0783, RR-3356, RR-2725,	Traffic	Respondents expressed concern that increased traffic as a result of the Scheme could lead to delays in	The potential effects of construction traffic on the local highway network are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] , which considers

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1359, RR-2282, RR-2871, RR-4566, RR-2014, RR-1293, RR-3479, RR-4898, RR-0320, RR-1320, RR-1835, RR-4039, RR-4661, RR-0717		emergency services responding to emergencies. Some respondents expressed concern regarding the ability of emergency services being able to use the local roads at all.	construction vehicle movements, traffic volumes, road safety and effects on road users. The assessment reports on road vehicle driver and passenger delay and concludes that the effects are minor and temporary, so not significant.
T-19	RR-0633, RR-3931, RR-3592	Traffic	Respondents expressed concern regarding the impact of Scheme workers travelling to the site during construction. One respondent expressed concern that traffic assessments do not seem to include traffic for employees working at the Scheme.	The Applicant confirms that construction workers and vehicle trips are included in the assessment and are set out in Section 13.10 and Table 13.22 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. On a peak day, assuming the build out of all areas/elements of the Scheme concurrently, there is expected to be 622 workers spread across the Solar PV Sites. For assessment, construction workers have been spread across the Solar PV Sites on a proportional basis, based on the output of each area. Construction worker shifts will be scheduled so that workers are not travelling during the network peak hours of 08:00-09:00 and 17:00-18:00. Appendix C of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] includes a Travel Plan to manage construction workers trips to site. This will include measures for the provision of shuttle buses to transport construction workers to and from the Solar PV Sites. This is particularly important for non-local workers, who will stay in local

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				accommodation and be transported to the Solar PV Sites. It will also be utilised by other workers as appropriate. It is expected that the shuttle bus will be able to accommodate 20 workers on average (shuttle buses are likely to be varying size). In addition, workers who drive will be encouraged to car share where practicable.
T-20	RR-2244	Traffic	Respondent asked who is funding the alterations to roundabouts.	The Applicant will be funding all highway mitigation measures. The draft DCO provides for the Applicant to carry out the highway alteration works as part of the construction of the Scheme, and the cost has been factored into the estimated cost of the Scheme set out in the Funding Statement [APP-019] .
T-21	RR-1039	Traffic	Respondent expressed concern about the change in delivery routes.	The construction delivery routes are fixed to the proposed routes set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] . In the case of an emergency, the detour put in place by emergency services would be used.
T-22	RR-2571	Traffic	Respondent expressed concern that there is not a Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) with defined HGV routes, time windows, signage, banksmen protocols, layover controls, and independent monitoring	Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic and protect local roads are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] . The Outline CTMP [APP-287] has been developed to minimise impacts on the local highway network and includes measures for routing, scheduling of deliveries and liaison with the local highway authority.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The construction delivery routes are fixed to the proposed routes set out in Section 4 of the Outline CTMP [APP-287]. The Outline CTMP [APP-287] includes a community liaison element to keep local communities informed about the construction process and to provide a contact for feedback should any issues arise. This will include notice of any peak or significant delivery days. Compliance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287] will be monitored by the Developer, Contractor and Wiltshire Council as local highway authority. For any Abnormal Load deliveries, the haulage company is legally required to notify the authorities (police, local councils, and National Highways) prior to delivery and this will be communicated via the community liaison to local communities. A road condition and dilapidation survey of minor roads not typically used by HGV traffic is included as a measure within the Outline CTMP [APP-287]. Any damage to verges or carriageway surfaces caused by construction vehicles will be rectified to the satisfaction of the local highway authority. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287], are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring construction

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				traffic impacts, including potential damage to local roads, are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.
T-23	RR-3643	Traffic	Respondent expressed concern for vehicle access/storage on the outskirts of Yatton Keynall.	The Access Plan [APP-010] includes the cable access points near Yatton Keynall. Access 107/108 is located to the north and 109 is located to the south of the village. Access 107 and 108 will take tipper 10m lorries and Access 109 will take an Abnormal Load Vehicle. As shown in table 13.13 in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] all links in the cable route area already include existing HGV's and the access points are suitable for the type of construction vehicle proposed. The Section 3.3 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] explains that Cable Route Corridor temporary accesses including 107/108 and 109, will generate up to eight arrivals and eight departures per day for the delivery of material and equipment. Each access will operate for 90 days over the whole construction period. Around half of these will be HGV trips and half LGV trips. There will also be around 10 construction workers per access. Therefore, accesses along the cable route will generate the following trips per day: • HGV - 4 deliveries (8 movements) per access;

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				- LGV - 4 deliveries (8 movements) per access; - Construction worker arrivals (car/van) – 10 arrivals (20 movements). As a worst-case assessment, it is assumed that all workers along the Cable Route Corridor will arrive via a private vehicle. Any storage will be located temporarily within the DCO Order Limits of the cable route corridor. No storage will be located on the highway network meaning there will be no impact to highway users. This low number of temporary trips is unlikely to generate significant effects on the highway network as concluded in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065].
T-24	RR-3931	Traffic	Respondent asked why other planning applications had been refused due to the potential impact on traffic and this one is allowed to go ahead.	The DCO application has entered the Examination process. Following this, the Examining Authority will make a recommendation to the Secretary of State. The Secretary of State will then determine whether to grant development consent for the Scheme. At this time, the DCO application has not been approved. Each application for planning permission or development consent is decided on its own merits.
T-25	RR-3515, RR-3262	Traffic	Respondents requested to see detailed plans for traffic movements.	Construction routes are set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] . The Applicant confirms that construction workers and vehicle trips are included in

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				the assessment in Section 13.10 and Table 13.22 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] .
T-26	RR-1444, RR-4875	Traffic	Respondents stated that the assessments provided by the developer do not include the abnormal traffic entailed by investigating, planning and construction of the cable route to Melksham. The respondent added that it will divert many cars down country lanes.	The Access Plan [APP-010] includes the cable access points. Appendix D of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] includes plans showing which accesses are proposed to take abnormal indivisible load (AIL) vehicles. AIL deliveries are one-off planned deliveries with limited short-term diversions. The proposed AIL movements and Management is included in Appendix D of the Outline CTMP [APP-287] in the Abnormal Indivisible Load Access Report.
T-27	RR-1259	Traffic	Respondent stated that a site meeting should be made by the Planning Inspectorate to the Alderton Road/Grittleton crossroads and the Developer should be required to submit proposals to the Highways authorities before the Application proceeds.	The DCO includes liaison with the highway authorities as a requirement including technical approval of detailed design works either as part of approval of the detailed Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP), or through an agreement with the highway authority entered into under article 15 of the DCO.
T-28	RR-1252	Traffic	Respondent expressed concern that AILs were not mentioned in previous rounds of consultation and believes there is limited awareness of this as a result.	The Access Plan [APP-010] includes all the proposed access points. Appendix D of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] includes plans showing what access are proposed to take AIL vehicles. AIL deliveries are one-off planned deliveries with limited short-term diversions. The proposed AIL movement and Management is included in Appendix D of the Outline CTMP [APP-287] in the Abnormal Indivisible Load Access Report.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
T-29	RR-2496, RR-1711	Traffic	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of construction traffic on local flora, fauna and / or verges.	The Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] secures a road condition and dilapidation survey of minor roads not typically used by HGV traffic. Any damage to verges or carriageway surfaces caused by construction vehicles will be rectified to the satisfaction of the local highway authority. The cost of any repairs will be borne by the Applicant.
T-30	RR-0540	Traffic	Respondent expressed concern regarding the burden on the taxpayer to maintain roads due to unusual heavy traffic.	The Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] secures a road condition and dilapidation survey of minor roads not typically used by HGV traffic. Any damage to verges or carriageway surfaces caused by construction vehicles will be rectified to the satisfaction of the local highway authority. The cost of any repairs will be borne by the Applicant.
T-31	RR-3102	Traffic	Respondent expressed concern regarding potholes and wider road damage: construction traffic will both make existing damage worse and cause further damage, while also making it harder for other vehicles to circumvent damage such as potholes.	The Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] secures a road condition and dilapidation survey of minor roads not typically used by HGV traffic. Any damage to verges or carriageway surfaces caused by construction vehicles will be rectified to the satisfaction of the local highway authority. The cost of any repairs will be borne by the Applicant.
T-32	RR-0095	Traffic	Respondent states that the project breaches NPPF 111 relating to traffic.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] assesses the impact of the proposals in terms of relevant policy and the likely effects of the Scheme in relation to transport and access. NPPF 111 states:

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Planning policies should: a) support an appropriate mix of uses across an area, and within larger scale sites, to minimise the number and length of journeys needed for employment, shopping, leisure, education and other activities; b) be prepared with the active involvement of local highways authorities, other transport infrastructure providers and operators and neighbouring councils, so that strategies and investments for supporting sustainable transport and development patterns are aligned; c) identify and protect, where there is robust evidence, sites and routes which could be critical in developing infrastructure to widen transport choice and realise opportunities for large scale development; d) provide for attractive and well-designed walking and cycling networks with supporting facilities such as secure cycle parking (drawing on Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plans); e) provide for any large-scale transport facilities that need to be located in the area, and the infrastructure and wider development required to support their operation, expansion and contribution to the wider economy. In doing so they should take into account whether such development is likely to be a nationally significant infrastructure project and any

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				relevant national policy statements; and f) recognise the importance of maintaining a national network of general aviation airfields, and their need to adapt and change over time – taking into account their economic value in serving business, leisure, training and emergency service needs, and the General Aviation Strategy Likely effects have been assessed for the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Scheme. The Chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases. An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan [APP-282] have been prepared to manage construction vehicle movement during the construction phase and operation and maintenance phase. Therefore, the scheme does not breach NPPF111.
T-33	RR-3102	Traffic	Respondent expressed concern for damage to resident's vehicles as a result of road degradation resulting from construction traffic.	The Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] secures a road condition and dilapidation survey of minor roads not typically used by HGV traffic. Any damage to verges or carriageway surfaces caused by construction vehicles will be rectified to the satisfaction of the local highway authority. The cost of any repairs will be borne by the Applicant.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The construction phase is temporary and the numbers of construction vehicles on road links are unlikely to result in any significant effects as concluded in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. The Outline CTMP [APP-287] includes a community liaison element to keep local communities informed about the construction process and to provide a contact for feedback should any issues arise. This will include notice of any peak or significant delivery days. Compliance with the CTMP will be monitored by the Developer, Contractor and Wiltshire Council as local highway authority. For any Abnormal Load deliveries, the haulage company is legally required to notify the authorities (police, local councils, and National Highways) prior to delivery and this will be communicated via the community liaison to local communities. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287], are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring construction traffic impacts, including potential damage to local roads, are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
T-34	RR-4366, RR-0334, RR-2602	Traffic	Respondents expressed concern regarding the impact of operational traffic.	During the operation and maintenance phase, there are anticipated to be around five visits to each Solar PV Site per month for maintenance purposes. These would typically be made by light van or 4 x 4 type vehicles. Whilst each construction compound will be removed at the end of the construction phase, space will remain within each Solar PV Site on the access tracks for such a vehicle to turn around to ensure that reversing will not occur onto the highway. The access locations are set out in Table 13-9 of ES Volume 2, Figure 13-11: Construction Access Locations: Solar PV Sites [APP-156]. There will be occasional trips to the proposed habitat areas within the Solar PV Sites for operational and maintenance purposes. These would typically be made by light van or 4 x 4 type vehicles and will use the existing field access locations detailed in Table 3-5 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] and as shown in ES Volume 2, Figure 13-13: Operational Only Access Locations: Solar PV Sites [APP-158]. Vehicle trip generation associated with these access locations will be the same or lower than the existing agricultural use. There will be no transport operation effects associated with the installed Grid Connection Cables (within the Cable Route Corridor) as they will be located underground. Access may be required for maintenance, but this is only likely once or twice a year.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Section 13.10 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] concludes that effects on severance, driver delay, non-motorised user delay and amenity, road safety and hazardous loads during the operation and maintenance phase of the Scheme are considered to be negligible or not significant.
T-35	RR-0056	Traffic	Respondent referenced the damage caused to verges by construction vehicles involved in an unspecified housing development in Hullavington, as proof that the Scheme's construction traffic will cause the same damage.	Damage to verges may occur during the temporary construction period. As set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287], a road condition and dilapidation survey of minor roads not typically used by HGV traffic is included as a measure within the CTMP. Any damage to verges or carriageway surfaces caused by construction vehicles will be rectified to the satisfaction of the local highway authority. The Outline CTMP [APP-287] also includes a community liaison element to keep local communities informed about the construction process and to provide a contact for feedback should any issues arise. This will include notice of any peak or significant delivery days. Compliance with the CTMP will be monitored by the Developer, Contractor and Wiltshire Council as local highway authority. For any Abnormal Load deliveries, the haulage company is legally required to notify the authorities (police, local councils, and National Highways) prior to delivery and this will be communicated via the community liaison to local communities.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287] , are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring construction traffic impacts, including potential damage to local roads, are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.
T-36	RR-4410	Traffic	Respondent asked how long construction traffic vehicles will be used on Site.	An indicative construction programme is included in Section 1.4 of the Outline CTMP [APP-287] , showing a maximum of 22 months for Lime Down D which is the longest of construction timeline.
T-37	RR-3275	Traffic	Respondent noted that the speed limit is not observed on many roads (specifically the A350) which will cause safety concerns for non-vehicle users.	It is unlikely that construction vehicles will exceed speed limits on the A350, given that the majority of these trips are HGV's, it only forms part of the cable route construction route and the cable route construction flows are relatively low. ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] includes an assessment of road safety and accidents, and concludes at paragraph 13.10.98 , that the low level of construction traffic associated with the Cable Route Corridor is unlikely to materially affect road safety on the links in the Study Area, and the effects on accidents and safety from the Scheme's construction phase on all links will be temporary and negligible (not significant).
T-38	RR-3275	Traffic	Respondent stated that there is no guarantee that vehicle	The vehicle trips set out in the ES are worst case assumptions and include a 50% uplift to account for a peak day. This

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			numbers will not exceed those presented in the ES.	is then converted into Annual Average Daily Traffic Flows (AADT). On this basis, it is likely that the assessment (presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]) overestimates construction vehicle trips.
T-39	RR-3275	Traffic	Respondent noted that there is no mention of operational vehicle movements or vehicles needed for replacement phases.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] sets out the operational and maintenance trips. This concludes, at paragraph 13.10.105, that effects on severance, driver delay, non-motorised user delay and amenity, road safety and hazardous loads during the operation and maintenance phase of the Scheme are considered to be negligible or not significant. The planned replacement of all Solar PV Panels transformers and BESS Containers will occur once during the Scheme's lifespan. The Solar PV Panels are anticipated to be replaced on a field by field basis. Just the Solar PV Panels, transformers and BESS Batteries will need replacing, with no activity relating to the mounting structures. There will also be no activity in relation to other elements of the Order Limits, including, fencing, access tracks and landscaping. Table 13-15 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] sets out a summary of the HGV movements that will be associated with replacement during the operational phase of the Scheme. The trip generation calculations for replacement are provided in Annex F. The anticipated number of HGV trips for replacement shown in Table 13 15, demonstrate they

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				are well below the number of HGV trips associated with the construction phase The chapter describes that during the operation and maintenance phase, there are anticipated to be around five visits to each Solar PV Site per month for maintenance purposes. These would typically be made by light van or 4 x 4 type vehicles. Whilst each construction compound will be removed at the end of the construction phase, space will remain within each Solar PV Site on the access tracks for such a vehicle to turn around to ensure that reversing will not occur onto the highway. The access locations are set out in Table 13-19 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] and ES Volume 2, Figure 13-11: Construction Access Locations: Solar PV Sites [APP-156]. There will be occasional trips to the proposed habitat areas within the Solar PV Sites for operational and maintenance purposes. These would typically be made by light van or 4 x 4 type vehicles and will use the existing field access locations detailed in Table 3-5 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055] and as shown in ES Volume 2, Figure 13-13: Operational Only Access Locations: Solar PV Sites [APP-156]. Vehicle trip generation associated with these access locations will be the same or lower than the existing agricultural use.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				There will be no transport operation effects associated with the installed Grid Connection Cables (within the Cable Route Corridor) as they will be located underground. Access may be required for maintenance, but this is only likely once or twice a year.
T-40	RR-4488, RR-1711	Traffic	Respondent expressed concern regarding the potential for road alterations and vegetation removal for construction traffic.	Annex H of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] demonstrates adequate carriageway width and/or adequate frequency of passing places providing opportunity to pass at least an HGV and a light vehicle as requested in comments provided by Wiltshire Council in its response (August 2025) to the Transport Assessment Scoping Note and confirmed in RR4934 Paragraph 4 of 'Appendix A - Highways and Transport Document Review - Detailed Comments' (Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN010168) . This confirms that no passing point or widening improvements are needed to accommodate these movements on the construction route outside of the Order Limits. The distances between passing points outside of the Order Limits are all within 150m and forward visibility extending to the next passing point and beyond. Within the Order Limits any widening will only utilise verge rather than hedgerow. Widening will provide a benefit to existing users of the road network if left in as permanent; if temporary, the verge will be reinstated.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
T-41	RR-2090	Traffic	Respondent stated that the A419 already has considerable HGV traffic travelling between the M4 and M5, Swindon to Gloucester with Cirencester mid-way, and is unsuitable for increased heavy traffic.	The A419 doesn't form part of the proposed construction route. The construction delivery routes are fixed and set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] .
T-42	RR-2067	Traffic	Respondent expressed concern for tractors having to share the road with construction traffic, and the impact this will cause to them / their work.	The effect of potential delay caused by construction traffic on road users is assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] . This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases. An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan [APP-287] have been prepared to manage construction vehicle movement during the construction phase and operation and maintenance phase.
T-43	RR-3839	Traffic	Respondent asked how construction traffic routes and timings will be monitored / policed?	The Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] fixes the construction routes. It includes a community liaison element to keep local communities informed about the construction process and to provide a contact for feedback should any issues arise. This will include notice of any peak or significant delivery days. Compliance with the CTMP will be monitored by the Developer, Contractor and Wiltshire Council as local highway authority. For any

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Abnormal Load deliveries, the haulage company is legally required to notify the authorities (police, local councils, and National Highways) prior to delivery and this will be communicated via the community liaison to local communities. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287], are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring construction traffic impacts, including potential damage to local roads, are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme A Final CTMP and Operation Traffic Management Plan (OTMP) in substantial accord with this Outline CTMP [APP-287], will be approved by Wiltshire Council and South Gloucestershire Council, as the local planning and highway authorities, prior to construction commencing.
T-44	RR-3371	Access	Respondent noted that farms will be cut in half by access roads used by construction vehicles.	The Applicant confirms that except where the solar infrastructure/equipment is placed, farms will operate as normal. The cable route corridor includes cables underground allowing any farming to continue as normal after installation.
T-45	RR-3650	Damage	Respondent noted that the damage to the roads in the area caused by the electrification of the Great Western Mainline ten years	Damage to the highway caused by the Scheme's construction vehicles will be rectified and secured as part of the condition surveys set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] .

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			ago still haven't been fully mended.	
T-46	RR-2293, RR-1910	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern about the use of the single road through Hullavington as it is very close to houses and could cause damage to them.	The road through Hullavington Village (the Street) is not proposed as part of the construction route. The construction delivery routes set out in Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] section 4. The construction route near to Hullavington is wide enough for at least a car and HGV to pass.
T-47	RR-4221, RR-0879, RR-1817, RR-1617, RR-3048, RR-2495, RR-3712	Specific roads	Respondents stated large construction vehicles will not be able to access under the Kingway railway bridge and therefore will have to leave the M4 at exit 15 or 18. The respondent added that this will result in more congestion through Cirencester and small lanes such as the B4040.	The bridge has a maximum height restriction of 4.2m, 40ft shipping containers are used to transport the solar panels to site, and can pass under the bridge. Any AIL vehicles will access from the north and will not pass under the bridge and will be in very low numbers, so will only cause temporary disruption on the day of delivery.
T-48	RR-1329	Specific roads	Respondent expressed that the proposed route through Corston is not suitable for construction traffic.	Corston on the A429 no longer forms part of the construction route. There will be one off AIL deliveries through this area. AIL deliveries are managed separately from the DCO through Special Types General Order Agreements with the Highway authorities and police.
T-49	RR-1240, RR-4848, RR-3513	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern about impact of increased construction traffic on the road between Corston and Sherston.	The construction delivery routes are fixed to the proposed routes set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] . Whilst the proposed construction routes don't run through Norton, so won't connect between Corston and Sherston, the construction routes are confined to the road near Hullavington and Fosse Way.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Table 13-18 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] includes the proposed trip distribution and numbers. The proposed construction routes past Hullavington will generate 11 HGV movements per hour (78 daily HGV movements divided by 7 delivery hours) and the route towards Sherston via Fosse Way (but not through Sherston) will generate 8 per hour. This assumes a worst-case assumption for a peak day. All scheme construction trips will be outside of the highway network peak hours, the numbers of proposed construction vehicles passing through these routes are low and construction is temporary. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.
T-50	RR-4161,RR-4393, RR-1752, RR-4101, RR-0996, RR-4209, RR-2961, RR-4137, RR-3299, RR-1513, RR-2410, RR-1870, RR-2379, RR-3048, RR-1226, RR-4499, RR-1634, RR-3806, RR-3176, RR-2495, RR-2090, RR-3513, RR-1931, RR-4875, RR-4566, RR-3918	Specific roads	Respondents expressed concern regarding the impact of traffic on the A429. Some respondents stated that the road was particularly susceptible to flooding.	The A429 has no HGV restrictions and is suitable and appropriate for HGV deliveries to the Scheme. Any significant flooding issues on the A429, specifically under Kingsway Bridge, will delay deliveries as the construction routes are fixed and construction vehicles will not be permitted to take alternative routes unless there is a signed mandatory diversion route. The Applicant also notes the comment regarding flooding susceptibility on the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				A429. Flood risk and surface water conditions across the wider study area have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] , supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218] . This assessment considers baseline flood risk and confirms that the Scheme would not increase flood risk on surrounding infrastructure, including the local highway network.
T-51	RR-4161, RR-4005, RR-3847, RR-3364, RR-2717, RR-4337	Specific roads	Respondents expressed concern regarding the impact of traffic on the B4040. One respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of AIL vehicles of the B4040.	The construction delivery routes are fixed to the proposed routes set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. The B4040 at its western end forms part of the construction route to Lime Down A, B and C. Table 13-18 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] includes the trip distribution. Trips will be outside of peak hours so will have no peak hour impact and the number of trips would be circa 25 arrivals and 25 departures per day for the solar PV sites, which only equates to an average of 4 per hour each way. This is a worst-case assumption, and this level of traffic is unlikely to cause delays. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases. The B4040 is also affected at its junction with A46 Bath Road/B4040 Junction, Old Sodbury with temporary minor road widening at the junction, temporary removal of give-way and no-entry signage and trimming of existing hedge/tree branches to accommodate AIL movements. All AIL movements will be co-ordinated by a haulage specialist. Traffic management will be in place to support the movement. The exact nature of the traffic management will be agreed with the local highway authority and police prior to the delivery being undertaken.
T-52	RR-4161, RR-0128	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of traffic on the B4069	The Applicant can confirm that the B4069 doesn't form part of the proposed construction route so will be unaffected. The construction delivery routes are fixed to the proposed routes set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-287]. Please also note that deliveries are all outside of network peak hours.
T-53	RR-4795, RR-3903, RR-1167, RR-2176	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of traffic on Grittleton, including Main Street.	The construction delivery routes are fixed to the proposed routes set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-287]. The main Street in Grittleton is not proposed as part of any construction routes. The route near Grittleton via Alderton Road will carry some construction traffic. Table 13-18 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] includes the trip distribution. Trips will be

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				outside of peak hours so will have no peak hour impact and the number of daily HGV trips would be circa 25 arrivals and 25 departures for the solar PV sites, which only equates to an average of 4 per hour each way. This is a worst-case assumption, and this level of traffic is unlikely to cause delays. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.
T-54	RR-1731,RR-4453, RR-3714, RR-1135, RR-2653, RR-3760, RR-4694, RR-0351, RR-2471, RR-0879, RR-4782, RR-2854, RR-3364, RR-0321, RR-1244, RR-2653, RR-3760, RR-0351, RR-2961, RR-1444, RR-3299, RR-4526, RR-3380, RR-2339, RR-2214, RR-3099, RR-4872, RR-1870, RR-0702, RR-4378, RR-0057, RR-4499, RR-3525, RR-4883, RR-1167, RR-0242, RR-0116, RR-2495, RR-2090, RR-2853, RR-3045, RR-0992, RR-3712, RR-1323, RR-4857, RR-1507, RR-4536, RR-2264, RR-3023, RR-3319, RR-4535, RR-4601, RR-3079	Specific roads	Respondents expressed concern regarding the impact of traffic on the M4 and junctions 16, 17 and 18	The construction delivery routes are fixed to the proposed routes set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-287]. Both M4 junction 17 and 18 are included in the routes and Junction 16 is not. All Scheme construction trips including construction workers will be outside of the highway network peak hours, the numbers of proposed construction vehicles passing through these junctions are relatively low. Therefore, the construction trips are unlikely to cause any delays or impact these junctions. In addition, construction lasts for a temporary period. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.
T-55	RR-4526, RR-3160, RR-3960, RR-1647	Specific roads	Respondents expressed concern about the traffic impact on the holding bay for cable laying between the M4 and the entrance to Grittleton.	The Applicant can confirm that they are not proposing any holding bays between the M4 and Grittleton,
T-56	RR-2111, RR-1799	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of traffic on Yatton Keynall.	The Access Plan [APP-0 10] includes the cable access points near Yatton Keynall. Access 107/108 is located to the north and 109 is located to the south of the village. Access 107 and 108 will take tipper 10m lorries and Access 109 will take an Abnormal Load Vehicle. As shown in Table 13.13 in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233], all links in the cable route area already include existing HGV's and the access points are suitable for the type of construction vehicle proposed. Section 3.3 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] explains that Cable Route Corridor temporary accesses including 107/108 and 109, will generate up to eight arrivals and eight departures per day for the delivery of material and equipment. Each access will operate for around 90 days over the whole construction period. Around half of these will be HGV trips and half LGV trips. There will also be around 10 construction workers per access. Therefore, accesses along the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				cable route will generate the following trips per day: • HGV - 4 deliveries (8 movements) per access; • LGV - 4 deliveries (8 movements) per access; • Construction worker arrivals (car/van) – 10 arrivals (20 movements). As a worst-case assessment, it is assumed that all workers along the cable route corridor will arrive via a private vehicle. Any storage will be located temporarily within the Development Consent Order (DCO) Order Limits for the cable route corridor. Storage will not be located on the highway network and therefore will not impact highway users. This low number of temporary trips is unlikely to generate significant effects on the highway network as concluded in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065].
T-57	RR-0450, RR-1979,RR-2277. RR-0281, RR-3597, RR-4481, RR-1595	Specific roads	Respondents stated that the planned depot at the junction on the B4039 and Fowlswick Lane is inadvisable as it has been the scene of severe collisions.	The Access Plan [APP-010] includes the cable access points. Access 109 Fowlswick Lane near to B4039 will provide access to an Abnormal Load Vehicle and temporary laydown area. AIL deliveries are managed separately from the DCO through Special Types General Order Agreements with the Highway authorities and police.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				As shown in Table 13.13 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233], all links in the cable route area already include existing HGV's and the access points are suitable for the type of construction vehicle proposed. The Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] at section 3.3 explains that Cable Route Corridor temporary accesses including 109, will generate up to eight arrivals and eight departures per day for the delivery of material and equipment. Each access will operate for 90 days. Around half of these will be HGV trips and half LGV trips. There will also be around 10 construction workers per access. Therefore, accesses along the cable route will generate the following trips per day: • HGV - 4 deliveries (8 movements) per access; • LGV - 4 deliveries (8 movements) per access; • Construction worker arrivals (car/van) – 10 arrivals (20 movements). As a worst-case assessment, it is assumed that all workers along the cable route corridor will arrive via a private vehicle. Any storage will be located temporarily within the Development Consent Order (DCO) Order Limits for the cable route corridor. Storage will not be located on the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				highway network and therefore will not impact highway users. This low number of temporary trips is unlikely to generate significant effects on the highway network as concluded in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. The potential effects of construction traffic on highway safety and accident risk on the construction route to Access 109 is assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This considers changes in traffic flows, HGV movements and accident risk on the local road network. Links experiencing traffic increases above defined assessment thresholds were taken forward for detailed analysis. Supporting technical evidence is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233], which includes an assessment of baseline accident data and predicted changes in collision risk arising from construction traffic. The assessment concludes that the level of construction traffic associated with the Scheme is unlikely to materially affect road safety on links within the Study Area, and no significant adverse effects on accident risk are anticipated. Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic safely are set out in

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				the Outline CTMP [APP-287] , which includes defined vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and coordination with the local highway authority.
T-58	RR-4005, RR-1135, RR-3544, RR-1666, RR-1595	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of construction vehicles on the B4039.	The Access Plan [APP-010] includes the cable access points. The B4039 serves a number of cable route access junctions. As explained below the low number of cable route trips and temporary nature of construction results in a non-significant impact. As shown in Table 13.13 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] all links in the cable route area already support existing HGV movements and the access points are suitable for the type of construction vehicle proposed. Section 3.3 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] explains that Cable Route Corridor temporary accesses will generate up to eight arrivals and eight departures per day for the delivery of material and equipment. Each access will operate for 90 days. Around half of these will be HGV trips and half LGV trips. There will also be around 10 construction workers per access. Therefore, accesses along the cable route will generate the following trips per day: • HGV - 4 deliveries (8 movements) per access; • LGV - 4 deliveries (8 movements) per access;

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Construction worker arrivals (car/van) – 10 arrivals (20 movements). As a worst-case assessment, it is assumed that all workers along the cable route corridor will arrive via a private vehicle. Any storage will be located temporarily within the DCO Order Limits for the cable route corridor. Storage will not be located on the highway network and therefore will not impact highway users. This low number of temporary trips is unlikely to generate significant effects on the highway network as concluded in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. The potential effects of construction traffic on highway safety and accident risk on the construction route through Acton Turville and on the B4039 are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This considers changes in traffic flows, HGV movements and accident risk on the local road network. Links experiencing traffic increases above defined assessment thresholds were taken forward for detailed analysis. Supporting technical evidence is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233], which includes an assessment of baseline

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				accident data and predicted changes in collision risk arising from construction traffic. The assessment concludes that the level of construction traffic associated with the Scheme is unlikely to materially affect road safety on links within the Study Area, and no significant adverse effects on accident risk are anticipated. Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic safely are set out in the Outline CTMP [APP-287], which includes defined vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and coordination with the local highway authority.
T-59	RR-4005	Specific roads	Respondent cited motorcycle collisions on this route near Acton Turville as a cause for safety concerns regarding the Construction vehicle route.	The potential effects of construction traffic on highway safety and accident risk on the construction route through Acton Turville are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This considers changes in traffic flows, HGV movements and accident risk on the local road network. Links experiencing traffic increases above defined assessment thresholds were taken forward for detailed analysis. Supporting technical evidence is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233], which includes an assessment of baseline accident data and predicted changes in collision risk arising from construction traffic.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The assessment concludes that the level of construction traffic associated with the Scheme is unlikely to materially affect road safety on links within the Study Area, and no significant adverse effects on accident risk are anticipated. Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic safely are set out in the Outline CTMP [APP-287], which includes defined vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and coordination with the local highway authority.
T-60	RR-1153, RR-0205, RR-0242	Specific roads	Respondent stated that the narrow poorly made roads between the site and M4 are unsuitable for the increased amount of traffic.	ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] and Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] assess the impact of the proposals in terms of relevant policy and the likely effects of the Scheme in relation to transport and access. The assessment considers the existing road widths and traffic flows and has selected suitable routes to allow construction deliveries whilst minimising their impact. Swept path analysis has shown that all construction routes are able to provide access for at least a car and HGV to pass. Likely effects have been assessed for the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Scheme. The Chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				An Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and Outline Public Rights of Way and Permissive Paths Management Plan [APP-282] have been prepared to manage construction vehicle movement during the construction phase and operation and maintenance phase . Damage to the highway caused by the Scheme's construction vehicles will be rectified and secured as part of the condition surveys set out in the Outline CTMP [APP-287] .
T-61	RR-3545, RR-4499	Specific roads	Respondents stated that the A350 is currently being developed further to ease traffic, which will need digging up to lay cables to Melksham.	The Applicant can confirm that cables will not be placed under or over the A350.
T-62	RR-2990	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern that the access road to the fields for Lime Down E Rodbourne is going to leave lasting damage.	The proposed route through the fields to connect to Lime Down E is an access track but will need to be able to carry the weight of a transformer and HGVs. At the end of the lifetime of the development, the access track will be returned to the existing condition secured in the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] .
T-63	RR-1402	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern for the impact of traffic on the road from Sherston to Hullavington and on to Corston.	The construction delivery routes are fixed to the proposed routes set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] . Table 13-18 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] includes the proposed trip distribution and numbers. The proposed construction routes past Hullavington will generate 11 HGVs per hour and the route towards Sherston via Fosse Way (but not through Sherston) will generate 8 per hours, these numbers are the two-way daily flows

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				divided by the 7 proposed weekday delivery hours. This assumes a worst-case assumption for a peak day. All scheme construction trips will be outside of the highway network peak hours, the numbers of proposed construction vehicles passing through these routes are low and construction is temporary. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.
T-64	RR-0526	Specific roads	Respondent objects to the tarmacking of Field Lane.	The Applicant confirms that they are not tarmacking Field Lane. A tractor and trailer will be used from the proposed compound for deliveries to site, so tarmacking is not required. The track is already surfaced.
T-65	RR-0071	Specific roads	Respondent stated that the Kingway bridge has not been factored in on transport plans.	The Applicant can confirm that construction delivery vehicles can pass under Kingsway Bridge as the maximum vehicle height for any vehicle to pass under the bridge is 4.2m which is lower than an HGV carrying a 40ft container. However, AIL vehicles will route from the north as explained in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] Appendix B which includes plans showing what access are proposed to take AIL vehicles. AIL deliveries are one-off planned deliveries with limited short-term diversions. The proposed AIL movement and Management

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				is included in Appendix D of the Outline CTMP in the Abnormal Indivisible Load Access Report.
T-66	RR-2949, RR-3151, RR-4101, RR-3515, RR-4694, RR-1444, RR-3380, RR-2617, RR-4246, RR-3884, RR-4340, RR-0205, RR-4171, RR-3160, RR-0242, RR-2556, RR-0438, RR-3058, RR-0992	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of traffic on the M4	The construction delivery routes are fixed to the proposed routes set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. Both M4 junction 17 and 18 are included in the routes. All Scheme construction trips including construction worker trips will be outside of the highway network peak hours, the numbers of proposed construction vehicles passing through these junctions are relatively low. Therefore, the construction trips are unlikely to cause any delays or impact these junctions. In addition, construction lasts for a temporary period. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.
T-67	RR-3515	Specific roads	Respondent enquired about what is being done to ensure the AILs do not interfere with traffic flow on the A350.	Appendix D of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] includes plans showing what access are proposed to take AIL vehicles. AIL deliveries are one-off planned deliveries with limited short-term diversions. The proposed AIL movements and Management is included in Appendix D of the Outline CTMP [APP-287] in the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Abnormal Indivisible Load Access Report. All AIL movements will be co-ordinated by a haulage specialist. Traffic management will be in place to support the movement. The exact nature of the traffic management will be agreed with the local highway authority and police prior to the delivery being undertaken.
T-68	RR-3515	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern for the potential use of the Stanton to Rodbourne track.	The track at Rodbourne that travels south over the trainline is being used as a construction route for tractor and trailer trips only and only a small length of track is being used, committed to in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] . The Applicant confirms that it is not tarmacking this track.
T-69	RR-1259, RR-3467	Specific roads	Respondents expressed concern for increased traffic on Alderton Road. one respondent stated that the developer has failed to propose workable road traffic improvements to access this major industrial site particularly in the area half a mile north of the Grittleton crossroads, and that mitigation proposed during consultation was inadequate.	Alderton Road that runs north of the Grittleton crossroads is proposed to be used as part of the construction route to Lime down A, B and C. Table 13-18 in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] includes the construction trip distribution and generation assuming a worst-case assessment. The number of trips would be circa 25 arrivals and 25 departures per day for the solar PV sites, which only equates to an average of 4 per hour each way. All trips will be outside of peak hours so will have no peak hour impact. This level of traffic is unlikely to cause delays. However, some minor widening into the verge is proposed along Alderton Road which will benefit existing road users. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases. The B4040 is also affected at its junction with A46 Bath Road/B4040 Junction, Old Sodbury with temporary minor road widening at the junction, temporary removal of give-way and no-entry signage and trimming of existing hedge/tree branches to accommodate AIL movements. All AIL movements will be co-ordinated by a haulage specialist. Traffic management will be in place to support the movement. The exact nature of the traffic management will be agreed with the local highway authority and police prior to the delivery being undertaken.
T-70	RR-4919	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern for Hill Hayes Lane which is single track.	The Applicant can confirm that Hill Hayes Lane is not proposed for use as a construction route. The construction delivery routes are fixed to the proposed routes set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] .
T-71	RR-1315	Specific roads	Respondent stated that the surrounding transport infrastructure is not suitable to cope with all the daily onslaught of the necessary vehicular access to build such a development for any length of time, and for future access and maintenance. They are all	The capacity of local roads and infrastructure to accommodate construction vehicles is assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] , which considers construction traffic volumes, vehicle types, route suitability and network performance. The assessment concludes that, with appropriate mitigation in place, there

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			small farming villages and towns, and this is a health and safety issue too, for locals and visitors. Contrary to NPPF 15	would be no significant adverse impacts on the local highway network. Supporting technical information is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233], which identifies specific locations where traffic management measures or passing arrangements may be required on narrower sections of construction routes. The assessment presented in Annex H of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] demonstrates adequate carriageway width or frequency of passing place opportunity to pass at least an HGV and a light vehicle as requested in comments provided by Wiltshire Council. All construction routes also include passing points to allow two HGVs to pass. Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. The CTMP is designed to minimise the effects of construction traffic on local roads and includes measures for vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and liaison with the local highway authority. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287], are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				(DCO) [APP-016], ensuring construction traffic impacts on local roads and infrastructure are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.
T-72	RR-2850, RR-1611	Specific roads	Respondents expressed traffic concerns, with roads already overrun with lorries. Respondent noted than Melksham needs a bypass, not a major project.	The Applicant can confirm that no proposed construction route travel through Melksham.
T-73	RR-1225	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concerns for their safety when using the road between The Gibb and Grittleton owing to the construction vehicles.	The route between the Gibb and Grittleton is suitable for HGV traffic given the low flows estimated at around 8 HGVs per hour. The potential effects of construction traffic on highway safety and accident risk on the construction route via The Gibb and Grittleton are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This considers changes in traffic flows, HGV movements and accident risk on the local road network. Links experiencing traffic increases above defined assessment thresholds were taken forward for detailed analysis. Supporting technical evidence is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233], which includes an assessment of baseline accident data and predicted changes in collision risk arising from construction traffic. The assessment concludes that the level of construction traffic associated with the Scheme is unlikely to materially affect road

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				safety on links within the Study Area, and no significant adverse effects on accident risk are anticipated. Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic safely are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287], which includes defined vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and coordination with the local highway authority.
T-74	RR-3380, RR-2339	Specific roads	Respondents expressed concern regarding the impact of the proposals on the Cotswold Way.	The Applicant can confirm that the proposed construction routes do not run along the Cotswold Way and so will have no impact.
T-75	RR-0239	Specific roads	Respondent noted that road improvements would be extensive and increase project costs.	The Applicant can confirm that access tracks are included in the overall Scheme costs so have been allowed for.
T-76	RR-1512	Specific roads	Respondent stated that the impact of traffic on the A46 was concerning.	The Applicant confirms that construction deliveries will be outside of the highway network peak hours on the A46 reducing any potential impact. The capacity of local roads and infrastructure to accommodate construction vehicles is assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065], which considers construction traffic volumes, vehicle types, route suitability and network performance. The assessment concludes that, with appropriate mitigation in place, there would be no significant adverse impacts on the local highway network. The assessment presented in Annex H of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				233] demonstrates adequate carriageway width or frequency of passing place opportunity to pass at least an HGV and a light vehicle as requested in comments provided by Wiltshire Council. All construction routes also include passing points to allow two HGVs to pass. Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. The CTMP is designed to minimise the effects of construction traffic on local roads and includes measures for vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and liaison with the local highway authority. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287], are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring construction traffic impacts on local roads and infrastructure are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.
T-77	RR-3851	Specific roads	Respondent stated that the construction traffic will cause bottlenecks around Yatton Keynell.	The Access Plan [APP-010] includes the cable access points near Yatton Keynell. Access 107/108 is located to the north and 109 is located to the south of the village. Access 107 and 108 will take tipper 10m lorries and Access 109 will take an Abnormal Load Vehicle.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				As shown in Table 13.13 in Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233], all links in the cable route corridor area already accommodate existing HGV movements and the access points are suitable for the type of construction vehicle proposed. Section 3.3 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] explains that Cable Route Corridor temporary accesses including 107/108 and 109, will generate up to eight arrivals and eight departures per day for the delivery of material and equipment. Each access will operate for 90 days. Around half of these will be HGV trips and half LGV trips. There will also be around 10 construction workers per access. Therefore, accesses along the cable route will generate the following trips per day: • HGV - 4 deliveries (8 movements) per access; • LGV - 4 deliveries (8 movements) per access; • Construction worker arrivals (car/van) – 10 arrivals (20 movements). As a worst-case assessment, it is assumed that all workers along the cable route corridor will arrive via a private vehicle. Any storage will be located temporarily within the DCO Order Limits for the cable route corridor. Storage will not be located

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				on the highway network and therefore will not impact highway users. This low number of temporary trips is unlikely to generate significant effects on the highway network as concluded in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065].
T-78	RR-2781	Specific roads	Respondent objects to the use of the M4 as a proposed access route as it goes through Cirencester.	The M4 forms part of the strategic road network making it suitable for use by construction traffic especially as construction trips are outside of peak hours.
T-79	RR-1397	Specific roads	Respondent stated that The Gibb and Grittleton crossroads have limited visibility and one of these blind bends is near their property.	The route between the Gibb and Grittleton is suitable for HGV traffic given the low flows estimated at around 8 HGV's per hour. The potential effects of construction traffic on highway safety and accident risk on the construction route via The Gibb and Grittleton are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This considers changes in traffic flows, HGV movements and accident risk on the local road network. Links experiencing traffic increases above defined assessment thresholds were taken forward for detailed analysis. Supporting technical evidence is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233], which includes an assessment of baseline accident data and predicted changes in collision risk arising from construction traffic.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The assessment concludes that the level of construction traffic associated with the Scheme is unlikely to materially affect road safety on links within the Study Area, and no significant adverse effects on accident risk are anticipated. Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic safely are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287], which includes defined vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and coordination with the local highway authority.
T-80	RR-4848	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern for increased safety risks at the blind bend to Mill Lane, Corston; respondent cites Corston's history of accidents at this blind turning.	The route through Corston is not included in the proposed construction routing so will not affect this junction. An accident study on links along the construction route were assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. The assessment concludes that the level of construction traffic associated with the Scheme is unlikely to materially affect road safety on links within the Study Area, and no significant adverse effects on accident risk are anticipated.
T-81	RR-1895, RR-4306	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the proposed development on the A249.	The A429 is an A-road and suitable for construction traffic and provides direct access to the motorway. The Applicant confirms that construction deliveries on the A429 will be outside of the highway network peak hours reducing any potential impact. The capacity of local roads and infrastructure to accommodate

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				construction vehicles is assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065], which considers construction traffic volumes, vehicle types, route suitability and network performance. The assessment concludes that, with appropriate mitigation in place, there would be no significant adverse impacts on the local highway network. The assessment presented in Annex H of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] demonstrates adequate carriageway width or frequency of passing place opportunity to pass at least an HGV and a light vehicle as requested in comments provided by Wiltshire Council. All construction routes also include passing points to allow two HGVs to pass. Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. The CTMP is designed to minimise the effects of construction traffic on local roads and includes measures for vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and liaison with the local highway authority. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287], are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring construction

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				traffic impacts on local roads and infrastructure are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.
T-82	RR-3525	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of traffic on Summer Lane, particularly for horse riders.	The Applicant can confirm that Summer Lane is not within the cable route corridor, Solar PV sites or any construction route so will be unaffected.
T-83	RR-3118, RR-3102	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern that their route from Sherston to Chippenham Station will be impacted by the increase in traffic.	The proposed construction deliveries are fixed to the proposed routes set out in Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] section 4. Whilst the proposed construction routes don't run through Norton, so won't connect between Corston and Sherston, the construction routes are confined to the road near Hullavington and Fosse Way. Table 13-18 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] includes the proposed trip distribution and numbers. The proposed construction routes past Hullavington will generate 11 HGVs per hour and the route towards Sherston via Fosse Way (but not through Sherston) will generate 8 per hour. This assumes a worst-case assumption for a peak day. All scheme construction trips will be outside of the highway network peak hours, the numbers of proposed construction vehicles passing through these routes are low and construction is temporary. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This chapter

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.
T-84	RR-4446	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern regarding the laying of cables down the A350 which could cause traffic disruption.	The Applicant can confirm that the scheme does not propose cables under the A350.
T-85	RR-2425	Specific roads	Respondent stated that the roads leading to near the proposed 400kV Project substation are narrow and totally unsuitable for large transport.	The routes to the substation have been tracked assuming AIL vehicles and found suitable. The Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] Appendix D includes plans showing what access are proposed to take AIL vehicles. AIL deliveries are one-off planned deliveries with limited short-term diversions. The proposed AIL movement and Management is included in Appendix D of the Outline CTMP [APP-287] in the Abnormal Indivisible Load Access Report. All AIL movements will be co-ordinated by a haulage specialist. Traffic management will be in place to support the movement. The exact nature of the traffic management will be agreed with the local highway authority and police prior to the delivery being undertaken.
T-86	RR-0242	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern for traffic passing through Littleton Drew, cited increased traffic caused by M4 closures, suggested this would only be made worse by	Littleton Drew does not form part of any proposed construction route. Whilst construction deliveries are planned outside of highway network peak hours, deliveries to site will have to be paused if the M4 network is closed as deliveries are expected to originate from the strategic

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			additional Scheme-related traffic.	road network. HGV's can follow formal diversion routes as necessary.
T-87	RR-3275	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern regarding the use of the A350 which is already heavily used by other construction traffic and could divide the village of Lower Stanton St Quintin.	The A350 does not route through Lower Stanton St Quintin. The A429 travels through Lower Stanton St Quinton and as noted it already takes construction traffic so is suitable for this purpose. The impact on Severance and cumulative impact is assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] . The assessment concludes that the level of construction traffic associated with the Scheme is unlikely to materially affect road safety on links within the Study Area, and no significant adverse effects on accident risk are anticipated. The assessment concludes there would be no significant adverse impacts on the local highway network.
T-88	RR-0116	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of traffic on the A429.	The A429 was assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] which considers construction traffic volumes, vehicle types, route suitability and network performance. The assessment concludes that the level of construction traffic associated with the Scheme is unlikely to materially affect road safety on links within the Study Area, and no significant adverse effects on accident risk are anticipated. The assessment concludes there would be no significant adverse impacts on the local highway network.
T-89	RR-2644	Specific roads	Respondent noted that the proposed access route from	Table 13-8 in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233]

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			the M4 J17 exit is north via the A429, then travelling northwest along a C/D class country lane is narrow and cannot support normal HGV traffic let alone long vehicles / AILs. The road surface is in poor condition and is already breaking in many places due to inadequate maintenance. Roadside verges have eroded by existing normal HGV traffic and have caused new and dangerous hazards.	includes the proposed trip distribution and numbers. The proposed construction routes past Hullavington will generate 11 HGVs per hour. This assumes a worst-case assumption for a peak day. All scheme construction trips including worker trips will be outside of the highway network peak hours, the numbers of proposed construction vehicles passing along this route is low and construction is temporary, please see Section 3.4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic are set out in the Outline CTMP [APP-287]. The CTMP is designed to minimise the effects of construction traffic on local roads and includes measures for vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and liaison with the local highway authority. Road condition surveys will be carried out pre and post construction, any damage caused to the highway by the construction vehicles will be rectified as set out in Section 6.12 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (Outline CTMP) [APP-287]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287], are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring construction

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				traffic impacts on local roads and infrastructure are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.
T-90	RR-2644	Specific roads	Respondent stated that the crossroad entry junction to The Street and Hullavington village is already a dangerous junction with limited views and a blind summit. Any significant increase in HGV traffic will increase the danger to all other road and non-road users.	Table 13-18 in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] includes the proposed trip distribution and numbers. The proposed construction routes through the Street/Hullavington junction will generate 11 HGVs per hour. This assumes a worst-case flow of for a peak day. All Scheme construction trips will be outside of the highway network peak hours, the numbers of proposed construction vehicles passing along this route is low and construction is temporary. The assessment presented in Annex H of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] demonstrates adequate carriageway width or frequency of passing place opportunity to pass in this area at least an HGV and a light vehicle as requested in comments provided by Wiltshire Council. All construction routes also include passing points to allow two HGVs to pass.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. The CTMP is designed to minimise the effects of construction traffic on local roads and includes measures for vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and liaison with the local highway authority. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287], are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring construction traffic impacts on local roads and infrastructure are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.
T-91	RR-3532	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern for potential gridlock on the C-class road from Hullavington / Norton to Sherston, which acts as a	The construction delivery routes are fixed to the proposed routes set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. Table 13-18 in ES Volume 3, Appendix

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			gateway and a rat run to the Cotswolds, Westonbirt National Arboretum, Westonbirt School and the AONB. Respondent added that the road has a peak 200 cars per-hour (respondent is a 'speed watch volunteer').	13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] includes the proposed trip distribution and trip numbers. The proposed construction routes past Hullavington will generate 11 HGVs per hour, and the route towards Sherston via Fosse Way (but not through Sherston) will generate 8 HGVs per hour. This assumes a worst-case assumption for a peak construction day. All scheme construction trips will occur outside of the highway network peak hours, the numbers of proposed construction vehicles passing through these routes are low and construction is temporary. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.
T-92	RR-4818	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern with current plans which would mean they could no longer access the M4.	The Applicant can confirm that all proposed construction trips are outside of network peak hours and access to the M4 will not be blocked or stopped. The construction delivery routes are fixed to the proposed routes set out in Section 4 of the Outline CTMP [APP-287]. Table 13-18 in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] table 13-18 includes the proposed trip distribution and trip numbers. The proposed construction routes past Hullavington will generate 11 HGVs per hour, and the route towards

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Sherston via Fosse Way (but not through Sherston) will generate 8 HGVs per hour. This assumes a worst-case assumption for a peak construction day. All scheme construction trips will occur outside of the highway network peak hours, the numbers of proposed construction vehicles passing through these routes are low and construction is temporary. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.
T-93	RR-3023	Specific roads	Respondent stated that Network Rail has already noted some structures have insufficient capacity, adding that 8X120 tonne and 5X183 tonne transformers might be OK on the M4 but not on country lanes and village streets	The Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] Appendix F includes correspondence with Network Rail confirming suitability of structures. The AIL routes have been developed based on this correspondence. The Outline CTMP [APP-287] Appendix D includes plans showing what access are proposed to take AIL vehicles. AIL deliveries are one-off planned deliveries with limited short-term diversions. The proposed AIL movement and Management is included in Appendix D of the Outline CTMP [APP-287] in the Abnormal Indivisible Load Access Report. All AIL movements will be co-ordinated by

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				a haulage specialist. Traffic management will be in place to support the movement. The exact nature of the traffic management will be agreed with the local highway authority and police prior to the delivery being undertaken.
T-94	RR-3371	Specific roads	Respondent stated that the railway bridge on the A429 at Hullavington is too low for lorries carrying the BESS equipment from the M4. This would be using country lanes instead such as Sutton Benger or Leigh Delamere.	The Applicant can confirm that the bridge is high enough to allow an articulated HGV with container to pass underneath as the maximum vehicle height that can pass under the bridge is 4.2m and a 40ft container HGV is lower than this. AIL vehicles will route from the north on the A429 so will not pass under the bridge.
T-95	RR-1390	Specific roads	Respondent expressed concern that construction vehicles will block access to their property.	The Applicant can confirm that no existing accesses will be blocked by construction vehicles. The scheme is required to maintain access to residential properties at all times.
T-96	RR-3603	Specific roads	Respondent expressed safety concerns for Fowlswick Lane in Allington.	The Access Plan [APP-010] includes the cable access points. Access 109 Fowlswick Lane will take an Abnormal Load Vehicle. As explained below the low number of cable route trips and temporary nature of construction results in a non-significant impact. As shown in Table 13.13 in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] all links in the cable route area already include existing HGV's and the access points are suitable for the type of construction vehicle proposed. Section 3.3 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] explains that Cable Route Corridor temporary accesses including 109, will

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				generate up to eight arrivals and eight departures per day for the delivery of material and equipment. Each access will operate for 90 days. Around half of these will be HGV trips and half LGV trips. There will also be around 10 construction workers per access. Therefore, accesses along the cable route will generate the following trips per day: • HGV - 4 deliveries (8 movements) per access; • LGV - 4 deliveries (8 movements) per access; • Construction worker arrivals (car/van) – 10 arrivals (20 movements). As a worst-case assessment, it is assumed that all workers along the cable route corridor will arrive via a private vehicle. Any storage will be located temporarily within the Development Consent Order (DCO) Order Limits for the cable route corridor. Storage will not be located on the highway network and therefore it will not impact highway users. This low number of temporary trips is unlikely to generate significant effects on the highway network as concluded in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. The potential effects of construction traffic on highway safety and accident risk on the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				construction route through Fowlswick Lane are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This considers changes in traffic flows, HGV movements and accident risk on the local road network. Links experiencing traffic increases above defined assessment thresholds were taken forward for detailed analysis. Supporting technical evidence is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233], which includes an assessment of baseline accident data and predicted changes in collision risk arising from construction traffic. The assessment concludes that the level of construction traffic associated with the Scheme is unlikely to materially affect road safety on links within the Study Area, and no significant adverse effects on accident risk are anticipated. Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic safely are set out in the Outline CTMP [APP-287], which includes defined vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and coordination with the local highway authority
T-97	RR-3220, RR-1240, RR-3335, RR-0347, RR-3510, RR-1496, RR-3936, RR-2658, RR-0428, RR-3548, RR-4088, RR-3928, RR-3002, RR-1667, RR-2292, RR-1847, RR-1969, RR-1090, RR-1784, RR-2856, RR-3003,	Noise	Respondents expressed concern for increased noise from construction vehicles and / or traffic. One respondents expressed concern for increase noise from	The noise effects associated with construction traffic are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] , which considers noise from construction vehicles, HGV movements and associated changes in traffic flows on the local road network.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1820, RR-3847, RR-3888, RR-4200, RR-0071, RR-2929, RR-1250, RR-0212, RR-1613, RR-4472, RR-0945, RR-0311, RR-0598, RR-4822, RR-1054, RR-2924, RR-2639, RR-4911, RR-1752, RR-4922, RR-1114, RR-2815, RR-3997, RR-4544, RR-1152, RR-2746, RR-2901, RR-3760, RR-2031, RR-0118, RR-4690, RR-4735, RR-2988, RR-1592, RR-3643, RR-2322, RR-0978, RR-3630, RR-3148, RR-4580, RR-0077, RR-2227, RR-4851, RR-4283, RR-4338, RR-0765, RR-1962, RR-4165, RR-0351, RR-2498, RR-4666, RR-4231, RR-3557, RR-1181, RR-4357, RR-1155, RR-3415, RR-1118, RR-4605, RR-1305, RR-0917, RR-2096, RR-3705, RR-4787, RR-4737, RR-4205, RR-0874, RR-1563, RR-3638, RR-2736, RR-1556, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-2736, RR-1726, RR-3328, RR-4621, RR-0900, RR-1475, RR-2939, RR-4021, RR-0773, RR-2268, RR-0235, RR-2274, RR-2016, RR-2528, RR-3249, RR-2598, RR-1407, RR-1612, RR-0169, RR-1328, RR-1512, RR-3202, RR-3781, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-3862, RR-0629, RR-0414, RR-3071, RR-4214, RR-1721, RR-2319, RR-3525, RR-2149, RR-4688, RR-0203, RR-4554, RR-1292, RR-4225, RR-0549, RR-0101,		construction vehicles outside of 'working hours'.	Supporting technical information is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237]. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, noise effects from construction traffic would be negligible or low in magnitude and no significant adverse effects at any receptors are predicted. The Applicant notes that the approach to construction noise and vibration has been discussed with Wiltshire Council, as described in Section 14.2 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic and minimise associated noise are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287], which includes defined vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and coordination with the local highway authority to reduce impacts on sensitive receptors. The Applicant can confirm that the construction hours of work are: - Monday to Friday from 07:00 to 18:00 (daylight hours permitting); - Saturday from 08:00 to 13:30 (daylight hours permitting); and - No Sunday or Bank Holiday working unless crucial to

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-2258, RR-0173, RR-3517, RR-0628, RR-0595, RR-2841, RR-1245, RR-4379, RR-4029, RR-0966, RR-1807, RR-1809, RR-1936, RR-4732, RR-2941, RR-1917, RR-4004, RR-3899, RR-1442, RR-2284, RR-4341, RR-2886, RR-4118, RR-4056, RR-4488, RR-0929, RR-2624, RR-3209, RR-2090, RR-3767, RR-4664, RR-2693, RR-3436, RR-1178, RR-0286, RR-4713, RR-4062, RR-4007, RR-2542, RR-4832, RR-1902, RR-3068, RR-2118, RR-4311, RR-0665, RR-3302, RR-1797, RR-4322, RR-2359, RR-4623, RR-2176, RR-2167, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-2110, RR-2714, RR-1498, RR-0611, RR-2663, RR-1711, RR-2618, RR-3467			construction (for example for HDD which must be a continuous activity) or in an emergency Workers will arrive outside of peak hours (0800-0900 and 17:00-18:00) and construction deliveries are restricted to 09:30-16:30 on weekdays and 0930-12:30 on Saturdays and none on Sundays as set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287], are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring construction traffic noise is appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.
T-98	RR-0361, RR-1240, RR-1110, RR-3335, RR-0347, RR-3936, RR-2658, RR-2578, RR-4908, RR-3548, RR-0126, RR-4088, RR-3031, RR-3002, RR-1969, RR-1784, RR-0959, RR-0592, RR-3063, RR-3888, RR-4204, RR-2144, RR-0212, RR-0311, RR-1054, RR-4911, RR-3997, RR-4544, RR-4259, RR-2031, RR-3544, RR-4690, RR-1968, RR-2322, RR-4580, RR-0077, RR-4851, RR-0765, RR-4239, RR-3967, RR-0351, RR-0154, RR-2498, RR-4666, RR-4231,	Air quality	Respondent expressed concern for impact to air quality and / or air pollution caused by construction vehicles.	The effects of construction activities on air quality, including emissions from construction vehicles, construction dust and Non-Road Mobile Machinery (NRMM), are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 15: Air Quality [APP-067]. The assessment has been undertaken in accordance with best practice guidance and considers sensitive receptors within the Study Area. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation in place, air quality effects on receptors arising from

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1181, RR-4357, RR-3415, RR-3182, RR-0003, RR-4813, RR-3244, RR-2597, RR-4205, RR-1563, RR-3111, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-1726, RR-3328, RR-3689, RR-0900, RR-1475, RR-1025, RR-2939, RR-2016, RR-2528, RR-3249, RR-2598, RR-0184, RR-1612, RR-3202, RR-3781, RR-4507, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-3862, RR-0414, RR-0933, RR-1721, RR-2319, RR-0788, RR-2935, RR-3697, RR-3761, RR-2137, RR-2149, RR-0203, RR-3497, RR-4836, RR-4225, RR-2656, RR-2621, RR-0549, RR-0101, RR-1356, RR-0595, RR-2195, RR-0415, RR-1807, RR-1809, RR-4228, RR-4732, RR-4004, RR-1497, RR-1442, RR-2284, RR-4341, RR-2886, RR-4056, RR-0149, RR-4163, RR-2090, RR-4458, RR-0286, RR-3356, RR-0394, RR-4713, RR-4062, RR-4007, RR-2542, RR-4832, RR-3068, RR-2118, RR-4311, RR-0665, RR-3302, RR-1797, RR-1500, RR-3926, RR-4623, RR-2176, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-2231, RR-2488, RR-2714, RR-0854, RR-1498, RR-0611, RR-2663, RR-2840, RR-0165, RR-1947			construction traffic and construction activities would not be significant. Mitigation measures to minimise emissions and dust during construction are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. These include measures to control construction vehicle movements, manage site access, reduce dust generation and ensure appropriate maintenance of construction plant and vehicles. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Construction Environmental Management Plan and detailed Construction Traffic Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the corresponding outline plans, are secured through Requirement 13 and Requirement 15, respectively, in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring air quality impacts during construction are appropriately controlled throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.
T-99	RR-2781	Air quality	Respondent expressed concern regarding the increase in dust from construction vehicles.	An assessment of the effects of construction activities on air quality is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 15: Air Quality [APP-067] . The assessment considers potential emissions

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				from construction dust, construction vehicle exhausts and Non-Road Mobile Machinery (NRMM), and has been undertaken in accordance with relevant best practice guidance. Further detail on the construction dust assessment is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 15-1: Construction Dust Methodology and Assessment [APP-238]. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation measures in place, construction-phase air quality effects would not be significant. Mitigation measures to minimise emissions and dust during construction are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. These include measures to control construction vehicle movements, manage site access, reduce dust generation and ensure appropriate maintenance of construction plant and vehicles. The preparation, approval and implementation of detailed construction management plans, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that air quality impacts during construction are appropriately controlled.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
T-100	RR-1003	Traffic Assessments	Respondent stated that critical roads and the town of Malmesbury were removed in the information issued to the public.	The Applicant can confirm that Malmesbury does not site on any of the main construction routes to the site so won't be affected.
T-101	RR-1003	Traffic Assessments	Respondent requested an independent assessment of traffic safety on Malmesbury's arterial routes.	The Applicant can confirm that Malmesbury does not site on any of the main construction routes to the site so won't be affected.
T-102	RR-2781	Traffic	Respondent objected to the level of construction workers (600) travelling to the site.	The Applicant confirms that construction workers and vehicle trips are included in the assessment and in Section 13.10 and Table 13.22 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. On a peak day, assuming the build out of all areas/elements of the Scheme concurrently, there is expected to be 622 workers spread across the Solar PV Sites. For assessment, construction workers have been spread across the Solar PV Sites on a proportional basis, based on the output of each area. Construction worker shifts will be scheduled so that workers are not travelling during the network peak hours of 08:00-09:00 and 17:00-18:00. Appendix C of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] includes a Travel Plan to manage construction workers trips to site. This will include measures for • Shuttle Bus - The location where staff will travel from is unknown at

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				this stage as it will depend on the appointed contractor. However, it is envisaged that the majority of non-local workforce will stay at local accommodation and be transported to the Order Limits by shuttle bus to minimise the impact on the strategic and local highway network; • Car sharing – A car sharing scheme will be set up. This will match construction workers who live in a similar area, or who follow a similar route to the Order Limits and encourage them to car share to save costs and reduce their impact on the environment It is expected that the shuttle bus will be able to accommodate 20 workers on average (shuttle buses are likely to be varying size). In addition, workers who drive will be encouraged to car share where practicable.
T-103	RR-0966	Noise	Respondent stated that construction noise will be severe given the proximity of their property to the Order Limits.	The noise and vibration impacts arising from construction activities are assessed in Section 14.10 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], with supporting technical information provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237]. For the purposes of the assessment, a reasonable worst-case scenario assuming piling activities has been considered.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				In practice solar PV piling uses small mini-piling rigs which are quieter than the worst-case assumption in ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] and the duration of noise events from such activity would be short. With appropriate mitigation measures as committed to in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan [APP-277], including prior warning to local residents of any noisy works, no significant effects from construction noise or vibration are expected. A noise monitoring programme agreed with Wiltshire Council will be carried out during construction. The approach to construction noise and vibration has been discussed with Wiltshire Council, as described in Section 14.2 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP), substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277], are secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that construction noise and vibration are appropriately controlled throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.
T-104	RR-3497	Cable route	Respondent stated that the Scheme has yet to fully demonstrate that the grid connection / cabling works will	As shown in Table 13.13 in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] all links in the cable route area already include existing HGV's and the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			not generate unacceptable additional impact in terms of traffic.	access points are suitable for the type of construction vehicle proposed. Section 3.3 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] explains that Cable Route Corridor temporary accesses including 107/108 and 109, will generate up to eight arrivals and eight departures per day for the delivery of material and equipment. Each access will operate for 90 days. Around half of these will be HGV trips and half LGV trips. There will also be around 10 construction workers per access. Therefore, accesses along the cable route will generate the following trips per day: • HGV - 4 deliveries (8 movements) per access; • LGV - 4 deliveries (8 movements) per access; • Construction worker arrivals (car/van) – 10 arrivals (20 movements). As a worst-case assessment, it is assumed that all workers will arrive via a private vehicle. Any storage will be located temporarily within the Development Consent Order (DCO) redline cable corridor but not on the highway network so won't impact highway users. This low number of temporary trips is unlikely to generate significant effects on the highway network as concluded in ES

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065].
T-105	RR-4005	The Fosse Way	Respondent expressed concern regarding the Fosse Way being an important route for the Community Connexions bus linking Tetbury and Malmesbury on Monday, Wednesday and Thursday. The respondent added that the Construction vehicles routes include the principal roads used by young riders commuting to Bristol, Bath, and Chippenham. The respondent concluded that the CTMP must consider how to communicate key safety information to this group of road users.	The construction delivery routes are fixed to the proposed routes set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. Table 13-18 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] includes the proposed trip distribution and trip numbers. The proposed construction routes via Fosse Way (but not through Sherston) will generate 8 HGVs per hour. This assumes a worst-case assumption for a peak construction day. All Scheme construction trips will occur outside of the highway network peak hours, the numbers of proposed construction vehicles passing through these routes are low and construction is temporary. It is unlikely that the community bus will be impacted significantly considering the low level of traffic flow. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.
T-106	RR-4005	The Fosse Way	Respondent stated that the section of Fosse Way between Littleton Drew and Kemble is considered one of the best routes in the UK for trail riders and has an international	During the construction phase, banksmen will be deployed at each access including access 4 whenever construction vehicles are accessing or egressing the Order Limits, see the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			appeal as part of the Trans Euro Trail. The respondent added that the unpaved section designated as Solar PV Site Access Point 4 will require careful management.	287] paragraph 2.1.5. This will ensure the safe movement of construction vehicles in and out of the Solar PV Sites and will overcome any instances where the achievable visibility is below guidance. The assessment of impacts on long-distance recreational routes in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has identified the Fosse Way as a nationally important historic route. Due to its high sensitivity, it is anticipated the Scheme will have a significant adverse effect on the route throughout the Scheme's development, a matter which will have to be considered in the planning balance when the DCO is determined. Whilst effects on the Trans Euro Trail have not been separately assessed, a review of its route (as available March 2026 at transeurotrail.org/united-kingdom/#) shows the current route interacts with the Scheme only at Lime Down B, following the route Common Road, Corston-Gorsey Leaze-Norton-Fosse Way towards Whitewalls. As such, the Applicant does not consider it likely that significant adverse effects to recreational trail motorcyclists using this route will be experienced.
T-107	RR-4005	The Fosse Way	Respondent stated that there have been several serious collisions recovered along the Fosse Cottages, Newton Diary farm and where the Fosse Way crosses the B4014.	The potential effects of construction traffic on highway safety and accident risk along this link are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] , which considers changes in traffic flows, HGV movements and accident risk on the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				local road network. Links experiencing traffic increases above defined assessment thresholds were taken forward for detailed analysis. Supporting technical evidence is provided in ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233], which includes an assessment of baseline accident data and predicted changes in collision risk arising from construction traffic. The assessment concludes that the level of construction traffic associated with the Scheme is unlikely to materially affect road safety on links within the Study Area, and no significant adverse effects on accident risk are anticipated. Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic safely are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287], which includes defined vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and coordination with the local highway authority. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the outline CTMP, are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order [APP-016], ensuring construction traffic is managed safely throughout the construction phase of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
T-108	RR-3530	The Fosse Way	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of traffic on the old stone road.	During the construction phase, banksmen will be deployed at each access including access 4 whenever construction vehicles are accessing or egressing the Order Limits see the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] paragraph 2.1.5. This will ensure the safe movement of construction vehicles in and out of the Solar PV Sites and will overcome any instances where the achievable visibility is below guidance. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.
T-109	RR-3756	The Fosse Way	Respondent stated that the Fosse Way is a 'strategic spine' route connecting North Wiltshire to the wider Cotswolds cycle network. It is widely used for gravel riding and long-distance touring because of its directness and historical value. HGV traffic will not just affect local commuters but also recreational users of this network.	The construction delivery routes are fixed to the proposed routes set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. Table 13-18 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] includes the proposed trip distribution and trip numbers. The proposed construction routes via Fosse Way (but not through Sherston) will generate 8 HGVs per hour. This assumes a worst-case assumption for a peak construction day. All scheme construction trips will occur outside of the highway network peak hours, the numbers of proposed construction vehicles passing through these routes are low and construction is temporary.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. The CTMP is designed to minimise the effects of construction traffic on local roads and includes measures for vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and liaison with the local highway authority. During the construction phase, banksmen will be deployed at each access including access 4 whenever construction vehicles are accessing or egressing the Order Limits see the Outline CTMP [APP-287] paragraph 2.1.5. This will ensure the safe movement of construction vehicles in and out of the Solar PV Sites and will overcome any instances where the achievable visibility is below guidance. The preparation, approval and implementation of the final CTMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP [APP-287], are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring construction traffic impacts on local roads and infrastructure are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Access [APP-065]. This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases. The assessment of impacts on long-distance recreational routes in ES Volume 3, Appendix 16-2: Tourism and Recreation Receptors [APP-241] has identified the Fosse Way as a nationally important historic route, and runs concurrently with the regionally important Wiltshire Way cycle route from Norton to Grittleton. Due to their high sensitivity, it is anticipated the Scheme will have a significant adverse effect on these routes throughout the Scheme's development, a matter which will have to be considered in the planning balance when the DCO is determined.
T-110	RR-1372, RR-2601, RR-1540, RR-0457	Fosse Way	Respondent expressed concern that Fosse Way will be tarmacked	The Applicant can confirm that the Fosse Way is not proposed to be tarmacked.
T-111	RR-2822	Fosse Way	Respondent expressed concern regarding major accidents on the Fosse Way.	The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Access [APP-065] . This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.
T-112	RR-2644	Fosse Way	Respondent expressed concern about the proposed access route from M4 J18 which could use part of the Fosse Way. They said that this is an insult to the heritage of the countryside and should not be used.	Since the design presented at PEIR stage, Field C26, the westernmost part of Field C25, and the majority of Field C13 have been entirely removed from the Order Limits. Solar PV Panels have also been removed entirely from within Fields B1-B5, C24-C25, and C13. A number of fields abutting the Fosse Way within which Solar PV Panels will be erected have had offsets established with their boundaries with Fosse Way, including Fields B6, C10-C12, and C22-C23. ES Volume 3, Appendix 12.1: Heritage Statement [APP-219] identified that the overall setting and significance of the Fosse Way will remain intact, and the asset will retain its legibility as a roadway within a largely agrarian landscape. Consequently, no significant effects were identified to the asset. Likewise, no impact was identified as a result of the Scheme to the Scheduled Romano-British settlement, earthwork enclosure and a section of the Fosse Way, 415m west of Whatley Manor (NHLE reference 1013354). The construction delivery routes are fixed to the proposed routes set out in Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. Table 13-18 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] includes the proposed trip distribution and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				trip numbers. The proposed construction routes via Fosse Way (but not through Sherston) will generate 8 HGVs per hour. This assumes a worst-case assumption for a peak construction day. All scheme construction trips will occur outside of the highway network peak hours, the numbers of proposed construction vehicles passing through these routes are low and construction is temporary. Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. The CTMP is designed to minimise the effects of construction traffic on local roads and includes measures for vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and liaison with the local highway authority. The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases.
T-113	RR-1315	NPPF - Traffic	Respondent stated that there are also no plans to manage the construction traffic, this is to be finalised after approval which is contrary to NPPF 15 Ground conditions and pollution.	The effects of construction traffic on potential delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This chapter concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual Transport

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				and Access effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases. Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. The CTMP is designed to minimise the effects of construction traffic on local roads and includes measures for vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and liaison with the local highway authority. During the construction phase, banksmen will be deployed at each access whenever construction vehicles are accessing or egressing the Order Limits, as set out in Section 2.1 of the Outline CTMP [APP-287]. This will ensure the safe movement of construction vehicles in and out of the Solar PV Sites and will overcome any instances where the achievable visibility is below guidance. An assessment of the effects of the Scheme on air quality (including the risk of increased pollution) is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 15: Air Quality [APP-067], which considers potential air quality impacts during construction, operation and decommissioning. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation measures in place, the Scheme would not give rise to significant adverse air quality effects at human or ecological receptors.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Mitigation measures to control construction dust, vehicle emissions and plant emissions are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], which includes measures such as dust suppression and site management controls. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Construction Environmental Management Plan and Construction Traffic Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the outline plans, are secured through requirements in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that construction traffic and air quality impacts are appropriately controlled throughout the construction of the Scheme.

2.14 Human Health

Table 2-14

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
HH-01	RR-4817, RR-2308, RR-1693, RR-1616, RR-0648, RR-4138, RR-1589, RR-1076, RR-2519, RR-1582, RR-1240, RR-2616, RR-1398, RR-4709, RR-3483, RR-3335, RR-4550, RR-0961, RR-0778, RR-1877, RR-1332, RR-1186, RR-2184, RR-1986, RR-4069, RR-4951, RR-2718, RR-1812, RR-2061, RR-2578, RR-0428, RR-0681, RR-4648, RR-2970, RR-4945, RR-0461, RR-1527, RR-0722, RR-3199, RR-3779, RR-3656, RR-3548, RR-1094, RR-0126, RR-2157, RR-4819, RR-1272, RR-1577, RR-4725, RR-2457, RR-0346, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-0012, RR-2803, RR-1239, RR-1073, RR-2043, RR-2160, RR-3932, RR-1722, RR-4636, RR-0362, RR-3872, RR-3687, RR-2236, RR-0225, RR-2224, RR-4282, RR-0395, RR-0805, RR-2773, RR-4369, RR-2009, RR-0570, RR-1055, RR-4216, RR-3873, RR-4206, RR-4887, RR-2854, RR-4674, RR-1872, RR-1574, RR-4699, RR-2119,	Mental health and wellbeing	Respondents expressed concern about the effects of the Scheme on the community's and / or residents' mental health / wellbeing. Some respondents expressed that the local area and / or access to the local area is important for mental health / wellbeing. Some respondents also expressed concern about and / or that they have experienced increased stress / anxiety / worry / as a result of the proposals and / or application process.	Impacts of the Scheme on mental health and wellbeing are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070]. This chapter provides a comprehensive assessment of the Scheme's potential effects on human health, including mental health and wellbeing, through consideration of a broad range of health determinants. These include access to open space and recreation, transport and connectivity, community identity and cohesion, employment and income, noise and vibration, air quality, and access to health and social care services. The assessment applies a health "lens" to the findings of relevant technical chapters and concludes that, with the embedded mitigation and additional measures outlined in the relevant management plans [APP-277 to APP-287] and secured by their respective requirements in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], the Scheme would not give rise to significant adverse effects on population health, including mental health and wellbeing. Mitigation measures include (but are not limited to) ensuring PROWs remain open as much as is practicable, HGV movements are set to

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3895, RR-2692, RR-1739, RR-0959, RR-1163, RR-2856, RR-3003, RR-0762, RR-1249, RR-1820, RR-2681, RR-0195, RR-2990, RR-3616, RR-0199, RR-0873, RR-1317, RR-2978, RR-4603, RR-2205, RR-1893, RR-3700, RR-1459, RR-0467, RR-0324, RR-2452, RR-1570, RR-1278, RR-1215, RR-4098, RR-2127, RR-0633, RR-4655, RR-4126, RR-0509, RR-2347, RR-4130, RR-2139, RR-1844, RR-3714, RR-4563, RR-1597, RR-0640, RR-0919, RR-2144, RR-0212, RR-1046, RR-4443, RR-4242, RR-3364, RR-0078, RR-0321, RR-3132, RR-4472, RR-4294, RR-0311, RR-4466, RR-0271, RR-4822, RR-1054, RR-2831, RR-2388, RR-0988, RR-0744, RR-1464, RR-4112, RR-3222, RR-4084, RR-2870, RR-1752, RR-1114, RR-2831, RR-3820, RR-1151, RR-3997, RR-4775, RR-4544, RR-2746, RR-3682, RR-2901, RR-4898, RR-4259, RR-3760, RR-0481, RR-3760, RR-2136, RR-4428, RR-3203, RR-0871, RR-0016, RR-3567, RR-4299, RR-1399, RR-4145, RR-1036, RR-4690, RR-2520, RR-4342, RR-4735, RR-4916, RR-2911, RR-3065, RR-3232, RR-1592, RR-0767,			defined routes and within set hours, community liaison and engagement is maintained, and construction impacts on noise and air quality are regulated. The assessment in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] focuses on communities within a 2 km Study Area surrounding the Scheme, identified as those most likely to experience potential health-related effects. Baseline analysis demonstrates that rates of poor mental health within the Study Area are generally lower than national averages, and the assessment considers potential pathways by which the Scheme could influence mental wellbeing, including changes to environment, amenity, access and community factors. Residual effects of the Scheme on health following mitigation are assessed as not significant at any phase of the Scheme. Consultation with Wiltshire Council's Public Health Team has informed the approach to mental health considerations, as documented in ES Volume 3, Appendix 18-1: Human Health Consultation [APP-244]. Through this engagement, the Applicant has committed to signposting locally available mental health and wellbeing resources to members of the public engaging with the Scheme, using information provided by Wiltshire Council's Public Health Team. The Applicant will

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3643, RR-3289, RR-3108, RR-4146, RR-4583, RR-4491, RR-3906, RR-2322, RR-3515, RR-4252, RR-4694, RR-0068, RR-0978, RR-0801, RR-3915, RR-2483, RR-0856, RR-2538, RR-1253, RR-4519, RR-3281, RR-2227, RR-4338, RR-3904, RR-4444, RR-0551, RR-0765, RR-4568, RR-4165, RR-4239, RR-3967, RR-0424, RR-2603, RR-4178, RR-0351, RR-1673, RR-4422, RR-2732, RR-1435, RR-0741, RR-3907, RR-1060, RR-1320, RR-0861, RR-2579, RR-1081, RR-4357, RR-1560, RR-3221, RR-3157, RR-2147, RR-0851, RR-2753, RR-0716, RR-2717, RR-4669, RR-2026, RR-4347, RR-3211, RR-1355, RR-3089, RR-4704, RR-0786, RR-3276, RR-3519, RR-0353, RR-2707, RR-2807, RR-1232, RR-1305, RR-2961, RR-0917, RR-1755, RR-1415, RR-1085, RR-0820, RR-1444, RR-0893, RR-1941, RR-3537, RR-3705, RR-3861, RR-0418, RR-1899, RR-2024, RR-1309, RR-0863, RR-4358, RR-0858, RR-3092, RR-4362, RR-4362, RR-0045, RR-0136, RR-4737, RR-4919, RR-4197, RR-2510, RR-0477, RR-4800, RR-4052, RR-4751, RR-0179, RR-3405, RR-1879,			continue to monitor consultation responses relating to mental health and will provide appropriate signposting to existing local support services where requested. The Applicant notes that the assessment methodology for health and wellbeing has been agreed with Wiltshire Council's Public Health team and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. Community liaison is also directly secured through Requirement 4 in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] through the provision of a community liaison group. This group will act as an intermediary between the public and the onsite contractors to ensure community concerns and any information they need are communicated and responded to, so as to reduce community anxiety and impacts on mental wellbeing, and to assist in directing the public to mental health support if required.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0876, RR-3938, RR-3698, RR-2848, RR-1143, RR-4485, RR-4526, RR-2665, RR-1942, RR-0146, RR-4827, RR-2471, RR-4302, RR-2130, RR-0042, RR-2736, RR-2606, RR-3395, RR-3113, RR-3788, RR-4555, RR-3328, RR-3670, RR-2161, RR-1983, RR-2526, RR-4621, RR-2527, RR-0232, RR-3878, RR-2939, RR-0773, RR-3366, RR-0818, RR-1311, RR-4445, RR-1970, RR-2741, RR-4059, RR-4734, RR-3775, RR-2199, RR-1335, RR-0527, RR-1335, RR-2625, RR-2879, RR-2528, RR-0506, RR-0490, RR-4872, RR-2679, RR-3890, RR-0674, RR-1767, RR-1553, RR-0184, RR-2530, RR-2627, RR-2533, RR-1013, RR-0895, RR-1286, RR-1549, RR-4020, RR-1551, RR-1852, RR-1852, RR-0702, RR-0706, RR-4847, RR-2379, RR-0308, RR-0500, RR-0108, RR-4808, RR-2534, RR-4790, RR-1617, RR-1664, RR-0266, RR-2675, RR-2809, RR-0894, RR-3877, RR-3348, RR-0204, RR-0670, RR-1431, RR-3313, RR-2539, RR-3378, RR-3618, RR-1796, RR-4614, RR-0489, RR-1688, RR-2108, RR-2525, RR-0715, RR-3845, RR-3030, RR-4420, RR-3491, RR-1406,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0053, RR-0902, RR-1950, RR-3923, RR-1666, RR-4368, RR-0414, RR-0310, RR-1723, RR-4809, RR-0933, RR-0497, RR-3071, RR-2470, RR-0995, RR-0740, RR-1934,RR-4927, RR-1093, RR-3006, RR-3278, RR-2635, RR-3160, RR-4243, RR-2796, RR-1830, RR-0714, RR-1210, RR-2699, RR-2935, RR-1482, RR-2004, RR-1182, RR-3347, RR-1871, RR-2549, RR-3864, RR-2092, RR-0594, RR-1935, RR-0203, RR-2239, RR-3497, RR-2383, RR-4207, RR-0134, RR-2011, RR-3416, RR-3362, RR-1433, RR-1292, RR-0220, RR-2453, RR-1634, RR-0219, RR-3855, RR-2955, RR-2972, RR-0549, RR-4462, RR-3317, RR-4177, RR-0540, RR-3473, RR-1876, RR-4446, RR-4026, RR-1657, RR-2238, RR-4595, RR-0101, RR-0436, RR-3346, RR-3860, RR-0194, RR-1166, RR-2634, RR-3357, RR-0173, RR-0784, RR-4266, RR-1356, RR-3470, RR-0595, RR-3296, RR-3032, RR-3418, RR-4792, RR-2697, RR-2195, RR-0577, RR-1198, RR-1691, RR-4306, RR-3102, RR-1533, RR-1720, RR-4642, RR-4215, RR-1981, RR-2763, RR-3213, RR-1807, RR-4148, RR-3060,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1809, RR-4228, RR-3005, RR-4228, RR-1639, RR-0620, RR-1936, RR-1831, RR-2691, RR-2774, RR-4172, RR-3094, RR-1940, RR-3066, RR-3172, RR-2941, RR-0519, RR-1598, RR-1898, RR-3217, RR-2921, RR-0886, RR-0891, RR-0167, RR-2683, RR-1380, RR-2166, RR-1082, RR-1442, RR-2052, RR-0727, RR-1794, RR-2749, RR-1890, RR-3704, RR-4511, RR-2159, RR-3816, RR-0089, RR-1531, RR-3647, RR-4056, RR-1289, RR-0177, RR-0929, RR-2535, RR-3186, RR-2667, RR-0677, RR-4868, RR-2152, RR-1774, RR-0028, RR-3622, RR-0028, RR-4408, RR-4071, RR-3420, RR-0603, RR-3330, RR-3209, RR-1648, RR-1974, RR-2541, RR-2480, RR-0144, RR-2977, RR-3139, RR-3805, RR-3965, RR-3406, RR-1586, RR-3436, RR-4856, RR-3817, RR-1681, RR-1067, RR-0111, RR-4713, RR-3803, RR-4403, RR-0606, RR-1194, RR-0606, RR-0967, RR-2501, RR-1714, RR-1236, RR-4818, RR-2853, RR-3068, RR-0329, RR-1878, RR-2357, RR-3577, RR-1684, RR-0665, RR-4659, RR-2397, RR-0810, RR-3513, RR-0810, RR-1368, RR-3382, RR-2725,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3374, RR-0790, RR-0821, RR-4285, RR-1283, RR-0209, RR-3637, RR-3579, RR-2294, RR-4115, RR-0518, RR-4545, RR-4374, RR-1826, RR-0210, RR-2825, RR-1500, RR-3926, RR-4623, RR-2696, RR-4230, RR-2696, RR-1161, RR-2167, RR-3460, RR-2557, RR-4535, RR-3162, RR-2110, RR-2301, RR-3450, RR-4779, RR-2201, RR-4070, RR-3502, RR-1848, RR-0086, RR-1345, RR-3314, RR-0794, RR-4566, RR-1456, RR-3603, RR-2784, RR-2515, RR-4258, RR-4710, RR-3866, RR-0597, RR-3708, RR-2080, RR-2845, RR-1098, RR-4817, RR-4560, RR-3656, RR-2160, RR-4128, RR-1163, RR-2029, RR-1114, RR-2136, RR-0016, RR-4731, RR-1188, RR-1941, RR-2272, RR-1728, RR-2163, RR-1540, RR-0108, RR-2233, RR-3780, RR-3845, RR-0202, RR-1373, RR-2314, RR-0539, RR-0594, RR-1981, RR-3172, RR-2921, RR-0167, RR-0967, RR-0457, RR-2357, RR-3513, RR-4292, RR-2310, RR-2264, RR-2845, AS-008, AS-011, RR-0913, RR-1711, RR-1947, RR-1947, RR-2286, RR-2602, RR-3361, RR-3602, RR-4189, RR-4765			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
HH-02	RR-2144	Mental health and wellbeing	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the project on children's mental health	Impacts on mental health and wellbeing are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] . This chapter provides a comprehensive assessment of the Scheme's potential effects on human health, including mental health and wellbeing, through consideration of a broad range of health determinants. This has included identifying children as a sub-population where they are likely to be disproportionately affected by health effects from the Scheme (such as access to open space, leisure and play, health impacts from climate change, air quality). The assessment has not identified any health effect to which the impact on the mental health of children is affected to any greater extent than the population as a whole. As such, no significant adverse effects to children's mental health is anticipated at any phase of the Scheme.
HH-03	RR-1464, RR-1305, RR-3845	Mental health and wellbeing	Respondents stated that the reduction of micro-interactions would result in an impact to mental health. One respondent expressed the reduction in informal rural interactions will cost health services more in the long run.	Impacts on mental health and wellbeing are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] . This chapter provides a comprehensive assessment of the Scheme's potential effects on human health, including mental health and wellbeing, through consideration of a broad range of health determinants. The assessment finds no significant adverse effect to mental health and wellbeing. This has considered the frequency and extent of interactions between people and the Scheme as part of the determination of the likely 'magnitude'

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				of impact in respect of the relevant health determinant. As the assessment has not identified any significant adverse effects to human health, it is not considered that there would be a significant or disproportionate increase in funding requirements for health services, above that likely to be required to sustain predicted future health requirements (such as for an aging population).
HH-04	RR-0648, RR-1582, RR-1240, RR-3335, RR-1731, RR-2061, RR-4482, RR-0722, RR-0346, RR-2009, RR-4128, RR-4887, RR-0195, RR-0633, RR-1844, RR-4466, RR-2765, RR-0481, RR-0016, RR-2520, RR-4735, RR-3289, RR-2322, RR-4519, RR-4239, RR-3967, RR-0424, RR-2603, RR-2732, RR-4669, RR-2734, RR-1355, RR-1389, RR-2593, RR-1671, RR-2679, RR-0674, RR-1549, RR-4808, RR-0206, RR-2372, RR-2752, RR-0804, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-0310, RR-0479, RR-1830, RR-0714, RR-2004, RR-2092, RR-2880, RR-2972, RR-1876, RR-4266, RR-0595, RR-3172, RR-1890, RR-3647, RR-4056, RR-2267, RR-0603, RR-3817, RR-3356, RR-1854, RR-3513, RR-0209, RR-0518, RR-3421,	General concern	Respondents expressed concern about the effects of the Scheme on human health [unspecified] and / or physical health.	Impacts on physical health and wellbeing are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070]. This chapter provides a comprehensive assessment of the Scheme's potential effects on human health, including physical health and wellbeing, through consideration of a broad range of health determinants with physical health pathways. These include access to housing, access to open space and recreation, transport and connectivity, climate change, air quality, water quality, land quality, noise and vibration, and access to health and social care services. The assessment in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] applies a health-based review of relevant technical chapters to identify potential pathways by which the Scheme could affect physical health. It concludes that, with the embedded mitigation and additional

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1161, RR-2167, RR-2110, RR-4779, RR-4566, RR-1590, RR-2845, RR-1523, RR-3361			measures outlined in the relevant management plans [APP-277 to APP-287] and secured by their respective requirements in Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], the Scheme would not give rise to significant adverse effects on population physical health at any phase of the Scheme. Mitigation measures include (but are not limited to) ensuring PROWs remain open as much as is practicable, inbound workers are accommodated in the most suitable locations for housing and health service access, community liaison and engagement is maintained, and construction impacts on waterways, soils, noise and air quality are regulated. Priority has been given in the assessment to communities most likely to experience potential health-related effects, within the 2 km Study Area surrounding the Scheme. The Applicant notes that the assessment methodology for health and wellbeing has been agreed with Wiltshire Council's Public Health team and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.
HH-05	RR-3895, RR-1698, RR-1373	Community Cohesion	The respondent stated that the proposals have resulted in division within the local	Impacts on community cohesion have been considered as part of the assessment of "community identity, culture, influence and resilience" in ES Volume 1, Chapter

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			community, which is poor for the health of residents.	18: Human Health [APP-070] . The Applicant has considered how changes to perceptions of rurality, community sense of place, pride, engagement and difference in consideration of importance of different aspects of the Scheme (for example opposing views on harms versus benefits) may lead to a degree of impact to communities. The assessment has considered that this is likely to be up to a minor adverse effect on local communities and thus on residents' mental health and wellbeing. This is not considered a significant effect.
HH-06	RR-0853, RR-2177, RR-4661, RR-0967, RR-0917	Health Infrastructure	Respondents expressed concern that the increase in population associated with the Scheme will put increased stress on NHS services. Some respondents asked what additional funding is being provided to the NHS to mitigate this.	Impacts on healthcare provision have been considered as part of the assessment of "health and social care services" in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] . The Applicant has considered the potential impact on any inbound workers likely to require access to primary (GP) and emergency healthcare. Ignoring that temporary inbound workers are anticipated to be accommodated within 20 km of the Scheme, the additional workforce associated with inbound workers (+412) is equivalent to approximately 0.3% of the patient list of GP practices within 5 km of the Scheme. This is therefore not a substantial increase and is not likely to have any significant effect on health and wellbeing of existing residents nor on NHS funding requirements. Furthermore, this is anticipated to be a temporary effect during the construction period, and the Outline

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] contains provision for inbound temporary workers to be supported in registering with GPs in proximity to where they are accommodated and where there is greatest availability. This should further reduce any additional pressure on existing healthcare services. The Outline CEMP [APP-277] is secured via Requirement 13 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] .
HH-07	RR-1315	Health and wellbeing	Respondent stated that the mental and physical health impact will be impacted contrary to NPPF 15 and 16	Impacts on physical and mental health and wellbeing are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] . This chapter provides a comprehensive assessment of the Scheme's potential effects on human health, through consideration of a broad range of health determinants with physical and mental health pathways. The assessment finds no significant adverse effects to human health and wellbeing, and therefore the Applicant is confident that the Scheme is not contrary to NPPF sections 15 and 16.
HH-08	RR-4572	Health and wellbeing	Respondent suggested that it should be encouraged for people to be vegetarian at least once a week to cut down on methane gas.	While reducing meat and animal products in diets would go some way to reduce potent greenhouse gas emissions from livestock, it does not negate the need for the UK to reduce its reliance on fossil fuels for energy production, and thus the need for large-scale solar infrastructure to help meet the UK's future energy needs and net zero commitments. This need is set out in

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				greater detail in the Statement of Need [APP-266] .
HH-09	RR-1751	Health and wellbeing	Respondent stated that being surrounded by panels contravenes their human rights.	The Scheme does not impact upon the rights of any individual, company or group as defined by the articles under Schedule 1 of the Human Rights Act 1998 (as amended).
HH-10	RR-0239	Health and wellbeing	Respondent expressed concern with increased environmental noise during construction and operation and its adverse impact on health of local people.	An assessment of the effects of the Scheme on noise and vibration is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], with supporting technical information supplied in ES Volume 3, Appendix 14-4: Noise Modelling [APP-237]. The assessment considers potential noise and vibration impacts during construction, operation and decommissioning. The Applicant notes that the approach to noise and vibration has been discussed and agreed with Wiltshire Council, as described in Section 14.2 of ES Chapter 14 [APP-066]. Mitigation measures associated with construction activity are presented in Section 14.9 [APP-066] and are committed to through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277]. The Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278] commits to noise levels from the Scheme at residential dwellings being the same as or below those modelled in the ES. This provides assurance that significant effects from operational noise will be avoided,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				while allowing flexibility in how this is achieved. These measures are secured through Requirements 13 and 14 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. With these measures in place, the assessment concludes that no significant effects from construction or operational noise or vibration are expected. The assessment of impacts to human health from noise and vibration in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] has relied upon the technical assessment in ES Chapter 14 [APP-066]. As such, the assessment has found no significant adverse effects to health and mental wellbeing as a result of noise and vibration from any phase of the Scheme.
HH-11	RR-3262	Health and wellbeing	Respondent has asked whether there will be signage on footpaths setting out environmental hazards	During the operational phase of the Scheme, PROW users are not anticipated to be exposed to any environmental hazards that would have a direct impact on health or safety. As such, the Applicant does not consider this type of signage as necessary. That notwithstanding, during construction, where PROW users may interact with HGVs or onsite construction activity, appropriate warning signage would be put in place ahead of and during construction activities to make sure PROW users are aware of onsite activity. This is set out in the Outline Public Rights of Way and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Permissive Paths Management Plan (PRoWPPMP) [APP-282] and is secured by Requirement 18 of Schedule 2 to the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] .
HH-12	RR-3647	Health and wellbeing	Respondent expressed concern that noise from the Scheme, especially during construction, would worsen their migraines and wider physical health.	The noise and vibration impacts arising from construction activities are assessed in Section 14.10 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066]. For the purposes of the assessment, a reasonable worst-case scenario assuming piling activities has been considered. Mitigation measures associated with construction activity are presented in Section 14.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] and are committed to through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], which is secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. With these measures in place, the assessment concludes that no significant effects from construction noise or vibration are expected. A noise monitoring programme, to be agreed with Wiltshire Council, will be carried out during construction, as set out through the Outline CEMP [APP-277]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the Construction Environmental Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline CEMP [APP-277], is secured

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016], ensuring that construction noise and vibration are appropriately controlled. The assessment of impacts to human health from noise and vibration in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] has relied upon the technical assessment in ES Chapter 14 [APP-066]. The assessment identifies vulnerable sub-populations likely to be disproportionately affected by noise and vibration above the experience of the population overall. As such, the assessment of health impacts has been made against these groups. Subject to implementation of mitigation measures in the Outline CEMP [APP-277], the assessment has found no significant adverse effects to health and mental wellbeing as a result of noise and vibration from any phase of the Scheme.
HH-13	RR-2110	Health and wellbeing	Respondent expressed concern that the light and noise emitted by the Scheme, especially at night, will disrupt sleep and impact sufferers of tinnitus.	The LVIA has considered the construction, operational and decommissioning lighting proposals (as set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-060]) for the Scheme including details of directionality and intermittent lighting. Lighting is not required within the Solar Arrays for the operational phase. Motion sensing security lighting will be provided within substations and within the BESS Area to be used only for maintenance and security purposes. Temporary site lighting during construction will be required to

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				enable safe working during construction and decommissioning during hours of darkness and will be designed as far as reasonably practicable to minimise potential for light spillage outside the Scheme and Cable Corridor, particularly towards houses, traffic and ecological habitats. Standard good practice measures would be employed to minimise light spill, including glare during construction, operation and decommissioning. The potential for cross-topic effects with the lighting proposals has been inherently considered as part of this LVIA. As set out Section 2.6 of the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], which is secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], the use of lighting would be minimised to that required for safe site operations; • Lighting would utilise directional fittings to minimise outward light spill and glare (e.g. via the use of light hoods/cowls which direct light below the horizontal plane, preferably at an angle greater than 20° from horizontal); • Lighting would be directed towards the middle of the Order limits rather than towards the boundaries.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The impact of noise emissions on residential amenity and sensitive receptors is considered in ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066] with details on how these impacts will be minimised presented in Section 14.9. Mitigation measures associated with construction activity are committed to through the Outline CEMP [APP-277], which is secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016], ensuring that construction noise and vibration are appropriately controlled. As a result of these mitigation measures being put in place, the assessment of human health impacts with respect to noise in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] finds no significant adverse effects to health and wellbeing, including for vulnerable sub-populations with sensory sensitivities or impairments.
HH-14	RR-3276, RR-3497	Health and wellbeing	Respondent states that the Scheme contravenes NPS EN-1 and NPPF 2023 by failing to demonstrate social value and protection of community wellbeing.	Impacts on social value and community wellbeing have been assessed through ES Volume 1, Chapter 16: Socio-Economics, Tourism and Recreation [APP-070] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] . Together, these chapters identify both beneficial and adverse effects as a result of the Scheme, and identify no significant adverse effect to social value or community wellbeing.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Furthermore, compliance with national policy, both in the form of NPS EN-1 and the 2025 amendments to the NPPF are set out in Annex A to the Planning Statement [APP-267] .

2.15 Other Environmental Matters

Table 2-15

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
O-01	RR-4111	Lithium	Respondents expressed concern that lithium batteries cannot be recycled.	Details of the disposal and end-of-life management of the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS), including lithium-ion batteries, are provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072], Section 20.3: Materials and Waste. This section confirms that recycling routes for solar PV panels and BESS components, including lithium batteries, are generally available at present, and that these routes are expected to expand further over the lifetime of the Scheme as the recycling market grows in response to increasing deployment of renewable energy infrastructure. The Materials and Waste assessment in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072] and Outline Site Waste Management Plan (SWMP) [APP-281], the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277], the Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278] and the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] also outlines that the Scheme will follow the waste hierarchy, prioritising reuse and recycling wherever

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				reasonably practicable, with disposal as a last resort. Requirement 19 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016] secures that, prior to commencement of construction, a detailed Site Waste Management Plan must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and the approved plan must be implemented. Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016] secures that no part of the authorised development may commence until a construction environmental management plan for that part has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. Construction must be carried out in accordance with the approved plan. Requirement 14 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016] secure that, prior to the date of final commissioning for any part of the authorised development, an operational environmental management plan for that part must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and the approved plan must be implemented. Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016] secures that, prior to decommissioning of the Scheme, a Decommissioning plan must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The Decommissioning plan must be substantially in accordance with the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Outline Decommissioning Strategy and must be implemented as approved.
O-02	RR-4453, RR-4111, RR-0215, RR-4112, RR-0353, RR-3136, RR-0238, RR-0248, RR-2155, RR-4203	Lithium	Respondents expressed concern for the use of lithium in batteries as it cannot be ethically and/or environmentally sourced.	The batteries proposed for Lime Down Solar Park will be sourced from established and responsible supply chains. Island Green Power is part of the Solar Energy UK Supply Chain Commitment, which binds the Applicant to ensuring that our supply chains are free of slavery. As part of this, the solar sector in the UK and Europe has launched the Solar Stewardship Initiative, which independently inspects and certifies individual factories for sustainable and ethical practices. The Applicant notes that lithium-ion technology is currently the industry standard for utility-scale battery energy storage due to its proven performance, efficiency and operational safety. As set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072], recycling routes for battery components are available at present and are expected to expand further over the lifetime of the Scheme as the recycling market develops.
O-03	RR-3955, RR-2355, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-0502, RR-2860, RR-3836, RR-3779, RR-4088, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-1730, RR-4453, RR-4453, RR-4453, RR-0452, RR-2207, RR-4452, RR-3364, RR-2570, RR-1690, RR-3760, RR-2289,	Lithium	Respondents expressed concern for use of lithium batteries. Some respondents expressed environmental and/or safety concerns for the use of lithium in batteries, including concern for increased fire risk and/or increased danger and/or harm in the event of a BESS fire.	The Applicant notes that lithium-ion technology is currently the industry standard for utility-scale battery energy storage due to its proven efficiency, reliability and operational safety. The Applicant refers to its response to reference OF-006 in the Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations (Part 1) [PDA-009].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1036, RR-3101, RR-1768, RR-3514, RR-1557, RR-3257, RR-3814, RR-3136, RR-1389, RR-0555, RR-4302, RR-2130, RR-1269, RR-2410, RR-2338, RR-2742, RR-0044, RR-3662, RR-1688, RR-3923, RR-0862, RR-0248, RR-1599, RR-3384, RR-4314, RR-2670, RR-3176, RR-1231, RR-3678, RR-1807, RR-4228, RR-2425, RR-3651, RR-3094, RR-2475, RR-2698, RR-2155, RR-2644, RR-3767, RR-1857, RR-2977, RR-0606, RR-4416, RR-4818, RR-3513, RR-1701, RR-3041, RR-1826, RR-4875, RR-1161, RR-1016, RR-4765		Some respondents have said that there is not enough information on how a fire would be dealt with, and how residents will remain safe in the event of a fire.	
O-04	RR-2425	Lithium	Respondent stated that LiFePO4 (Lithium Iron Phosphate) batteries offer a different set of advantages to lithium-ion batteries in terms of stability and longevity. LiFePO4 batteries have a slower charge rate compared to lithium-ion batteries, but they make up for this with their low self-discharge rate. This means they retain their charge for a longer period when not in use. They can also withstand higher temperatures without degradation.	The Applicant notes this comment, for clarity it should be confirmed that Lithium Iron Phosphate (LFP) batteries are a recognised lithium-ion battery chemistry. The final BESS design and battery chemistry will only be confirmed at the detailed design stage by the Applicant.
O-05	RR-1462, RR-2184, RR-0379, RR-2457, RR-2356, RR-0583, RR-2413, RR-4128, RR-4603, RR-0467, RR-4098, RR-0328,	Glint and glare	Respondents expressed general concern about glint and glare.	An assessment of glint and glare effects arising from the Scheme is provided in Section 20.5 Glint and Glare in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3818, RR-2144, RR-4822, RR-3906, RR-3597, RR-4252, RR-2538, RR-0765, RR-3931, RR-4165, RR-1673, RR-1557, RR-0083, RR-2807, RR-0785, RR-4737, RR-3243, RR-3104, RR-1556, RR-1726, RR-0621, RR-4445, RR-1617, RR-2539, RR-2108, RR-3923, RR-3854, RR-1510, RR-1175, RR-3746, RR-1373, RR-3976, RR-2955, RR-0768, RR-3317, RR-0540, RR-4266, RR-0143, RR-1639, RR-4172, RR-0891, RR-1836, RR-4910, RR-4085, RR-3647, RR-4118, RR-2155, RR-1821, RR-0028, RR-0599, RR-3805, RR-3406, RR-2976, RR-1178, RR-0782, RR-0606, RR-1854, RR-3625, RR-2725, RR-1283, RR-4904, RR-1826, RR-4875, RR-2264, RR-4070, RR-4566, RR-1366, RR-2602			Matters [APP-072]. The assessment considers potential effects on surrounding receptors, including road and rail users, residential properties, public rights of way, aerodromes and sensitive viewpoints within the Cotswolds National Landscape. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation measures secured through Section 3.18 of the Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (EMP) [APP-278], including the use of fixed-tilt panels at a maximum height of 2.5 metres where required, no significant glint or glare effects are predicted to occur as a result of the Scheme. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed OEMP, substantially in accordance with the Outline EMP [APP-278], are secured through Requirement 14 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring operational noise is appropriately controlled throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
O-06	RR-2560, RR-3199, RR-4098, RR-3479, RR-0917, RR-0893, RR-1703, RR-0933, RR-2328, RR-1175, RR-3746, RR-0768, RR-4172, RR-1836, RR-2155, RR-2644, RR-3805, RR-1854, RR-2725, RR-1283, RR-4230, RR-1366	Glint and glare	Respondent expressed safety concerns about glint and glare. Respondent specified concern about impact on local airfield and/or Bristol Airport. Some respondents expressed concerns regarding glint and glare effects on horse riders and drivers on nearby roads.	An assessment of glint and glare effects arising from the Scheme is provided in Section 20.5 Glint and Glare in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072]. The assessment considers potential effects on surrounding receptors, including road users, rail users, public rights of way and aerodromes. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation measures, including

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				the use of fixed-tilt panels at a maximum height of 2.5 metres where required, no significant glint or glare effects are predicted to occur.
O-07	RR-3931	Glint and glare	Respondent noted that other planning applications had been denied due to light pollution.	The potential for light pollution caused by Glint and Glare has been assessed in Section 20.5 Glint and Glare in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072]. Overall, no significant impacts are predicted towards residential amenity following the implementation of mitigation.
O-08	RR-2579	Glint and glare	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of glint and glare on aircraft using the airport near Kemble.	An assessment of glint and glare effects arising from the Scheme towards aviation is provided in Section 20.5 Glint and Glare in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072]. Cotswold Airport, near Kemble, is located over 12km from the nearest solar site which is beyond the 10km assessment area typically used for aviation receptors. Receptors outside of the study area are not assessed as no likely significant effects are expected due to the distance between the Scheme and receptor.
O-09	RR-3212, RR-2976, RR-2310	Glint and glare	Respondent expressed concern about glint and glare impact on horses.	An assessment of glint and glare effects arising from the Scheme towards horses and users of Public Rights of Way is provided in Section 8 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 20-4: Solar Photovoltaic Glint and Glare Study [APP-261]. Overall, no significant impacts are predicted towards users of public rights of way, including horses.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
O-10	RR-4778, RR-0768	Glint and glare	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of glare on villages nearby the solar sites, including: Sherston, Norton, Alderton,	An assessment of glint and glare effects arising from the Scheme upon residential amenity is provided in Section 20.5 Glint and Glare in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072] . Following mitigation, no significant impacts are predicted towards surrounding dwellings.
O-11	RR-0768, RR-3406	Glint and glare	Respondent enquired why Page Power do not carry out physical surveys for glint and glare and why google earth photos plotting receptors for glint and glare not showing the village, road or addresses of properties affected. They further noted that Atkins highlighted this issue acting as third party on Page Power in 2021, clearly this has been ignored.	The Applicant's consultant, Pager Power, utilise an established methodology to undertake Glint and Glare assessments. Glint and glare assessments are a primarily desk-based report, due to the reliance upon geometric modelling and ready availability of suitable satellite and ground-based imagery. Pager Power use both available Google Earth imagery and site photography provided by other members of the project team and there is therefore typically no requirement for a site survey. Receptor details are clearly shown in images within ES Volume 3, Appendix 20-4: Solar Photovoltaic Glint and Glare Study [APP-261] to allow readers to identify locations. This is preferred to using addresses as often receptors may correspond to multiple street addresses.
O-12	RR-3406	Glint and glare	Respondent stated that the consultant (Pager Power) the Applicant has engaged are using software which does not meet current national accepted standards for measuring glint and glare, as	The Applicant's consultant, Pager Power, utilise two different pieces of modelling software, one bespoke in-house model which is used to determine the geometric possibility, location and duration of glare for all receptors and a third-party software (Forge Solar) which is widely-used within

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			such it is being under-stated considerably.	the industry and is used for modelling tracking panels and determining glare intensity for aviation receptors. This approach and use of software is consistent with Pager Power's Glint and Glare Guidance and industry best-practice and has been used for over 1,800 Glint and Glare Assessments worldwide, including many DCOs in the UK.
O-13	RR-4085	Glint and glare	Respondent expressed concern that not enough assessment or consideration has been granted for the impact of glint and glare from the tracking panels.	Glint and Glare impacts for tracking panels have been fully-modelled and presented within ES Volume 3, Appendix 20-4: Solar Photovoltaic Glint and Glare Study [APP-261] for all receptors within the relevant study areas.
O-14	RR-3542, RR-2631, RR-0546, RR-2646, RR-1688, RR-3367, RR-0758, RR-4416, RR-0665, RR-2110	Electromagnetic fields	Respondents expressed concern regarding electromagnetic fields.	The effects of electromagnetic fields (EMF) arising from the Scheme are assessed in Section 20.6: Electromagnetic Fields of ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072]. The assessment considers EMF emissions from the Scheme's electrical infrastructure and evaluates potential effects on human health. The assessment concludes that no significant impacts are likely to occur as a result of any of the Scheme's infrastructure. It also confirms that predicted EMF levels are below the reference levels set by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection.
O-15	RR-1305	Electromagnetic fields	Respondent expressed concern that the solar farm will interfere with phone signal.	There is no credible potential for the solar farm and associated electrical infrastructure to interfere with phone signals due to the discrepancy in the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				frequency of EMFs produced. The most significant source of EMFs is the 400kV cables, which output EMFs with a very low frequency (approximately 50Hz) whereas cellular services and Wi-Fi operate at ultra-high frequency. No interference is therefore likely to occur.
O-16	RR-3023	Electromagnetic fields	Respondent expressed concern that the proposals will impact internet connectivity.	There is no credible potential for the solar farm and associated electrical infrastructure to interfere with internet connectivity due to the discrepancy in the frequency of EMFs produced. The most significant source of EMFs is the 400kV cables, which output EMFs with a very low frequency (approximately 50Hz) whereas mobile internet services in the UK operate at ultra-high frequency (approximately 800MHz to 3400MHz). No interference is therefore likely to occur. Cable internet would not be affected by EMFs.
O-17	RR-2110	Electromagnetic fields	Respondent expressed concern for the EMF impacts to eels and trout.	ES Volume 3, Appendix 20-5: High-Level Electromagnetic Field Assessment [APP-262] focusses upon impacts towards human health. While there are some water crossings included in the cable route, no concerns have been raised by the Environment Agency regarding EMFs and impacts towards fish are unlikely to be significant.
O-18	RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-0502, RR-3726, RR-2631, RR-0452, RR-4111, RR-2486, RR-0011, RR-2866, RR-2136, RR-1768, RR-1320, RR-1603, RR-0917, RR-1436, RR-0555, RR-1879, RR-3659, RR-1269, RR-1726,	BESS	Respondents expressed concern that the BESS was too close to the railway line.	The location of the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) has been informed by a detailed site selection and design evolution process, as set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056] . This chapter explains that land at Lime Down D was identified as an appropriate location due to its proximity to the solar PV arrays and the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0621, RR-2379, RR-1950, RR-2239, RR-0096, RR-3384, RR-3379, RR-3357, RR-2914, RR-2670, RR-3176, RR-1231, RR-3678, RR-4379, RR-3102, RR-4742, RR-2425, RR-1602, RR-3094, RR-1097, RR-2644, RR-3209, RR-3406, RR-2508, RR-3322, RR-4374, RR-1826, RR-4875, RR-3592			on-site substation, providing operational benefits including minimising transmission losses, maximising storage efficiency, and enabling rapid and reliable grid response. In considering options for co-locating the BESS with the solar PV Sites, Lime Down D was selected as the preferred location due to its central position within the Scheme, effective natural and proposed screening, limited proximity to residential properties, and closeness to existing infrastructure corridors, including the railway line. Locating the BESS within an existing infrastructure corridor reduces the need for additional land take and avoids introducing new isolated infrastructure into undeveloped areas. The assessment concludes that siting the BESS at Lime Down D presents the lowest potential for significant adverse environmental effects. Accordingly, the proximity of the BESS to the railway line does not give rise to significant safety or environmental concerns. Furthermore, during an emergency event, Network Rail can be made aware of the incident and halt trains from passing the site if it is deemed necessary to do so. As such, there are no significant adverse effects to human health anticipated as a result of the Scheme, as assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070]. The Applicant has followed National Fire Chiefs Council (NFCC) guidance and commissioned a Plume Assessment Study

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				(ES Volume 3, Appendix 15-2 [APP-239]) to model toxic gas emissions and visibility impacts from a BESS fire on all sensitive receptors within a 1km radius of the BESS area. Six worst case BESS fire locations (i.e. locations within the BESS Area closest to sensitive receptors) have been modelled as depicted in ES Volume 2, Figure 15-5: Battery Energy Storage System Fire Emissions Study Area [APP-166]. The Concentrations of carbon monoxide (CO), formaldehyde, hydrogen chloride (HCl), hydrogen cyanide (HCN), hydrogen fluoride (HF), ammonia (NH₃), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and particulates from a potential BESS fire have been modelled using an air quality dispersion model to determine the likely effects on human health. A high-level visibility assessment has also been undertaken using the modelled particulates results to determine the effect of BESS fire emissions on visibility on the local road and rail network to inform the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286]. The Plume Assessment Study has concluded that there are no significant toxic emission or visibility impacts at all sensitive receptor locations. The Applicant has also committed to commissioning an additional Plume Analysis Study at the detailed design stage

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				as stipulated in Section 5.5.9 of the Outline BSMP and secured through the DCO: “..at the detailed design stage a BESS system and site specific Plume Analysis study will be conducted to assess the environmental impact of a site incident to sensitive receptors within a 1 km radius. Toxic gas emissions to sensitive receptors must be below relevant public health exposure limit guidelines when the battery system of a BESS is fully consumed (burnt out), production of Particulate Matter (PM) and a visibility impact assessment on any transport links within a 1 km radius of the BESS area will also be included. The ERP produced at the detailed design stage (template outlined in Section 5.4.4) will incorporate all necessary emergency response procedures and actions based upon thermal runaway test data supplied by the BESS system provider.” The Applicant notes that the approach to BESS safety is under discussion with Dorset & Wiltshire Fire & Rescue Service (D&WFRS) and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is secured through Requirement 6 (Battery safety management) in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], which provides that Work No. 2 must not commence until a Battery Safety Management Plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The approved plan must be

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				substantially in accordance with the Outline BSMP [APP-286] . The Draft DCO [APP-016] also requires the relevant planning authority to consult with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and the Environment Agency before determining the application, and that the Battery Safety Management Plan must be implemented as approved.
O-19	RR-4817, RR-2324, RR-1756, RR-2293, RR-3568, RR-4493, RR-1462, RR-0822, RR-0361, RR-4513, RR-2849, RR-3114, RR-1218, RR-4764, RR-1240, RR-4709, RR-3565, RR-4072, RR-3726, RR-4594, RR-4492, RR-0778, RR-1164, RR-1186, RR-1731, RR-3936, RR-3936, RR-0170, RR-2899, RR-4069, RR-2631, RR-0421, RR-1828, RR-3161, RR-0428, RR-3836, RR-4407, RR-3199, RR-3656, RR-3548, RR-1243, RR-0119, RR-0126, RR-2157, RR-3355, RR-0635, RR-3988, RR-4706, RR-3002, RR-2348, RR-2030, RR-1578, RR-2594, RR-2594, RR-2594, RR-1136, RR-0322, RR-3073, RR-2944, RR-2686, RR-1089, RR-0076, RR-2783, RR-2062, RR-3297, RR-3260, RR-2356, RR-1591, RR-1769, RR-2413, RR-1473, RR-1055, RR-4128, RR-2818, RR-4216, RR-3873, RR-2979, RR-2140, RR-0762, RR-2626, RR-0776, RR-0669, RR-2255, RR-1820, RR-2681, RR-1633, RR-1668,	BESS	Respondents expressed concern about the risk of a BESS fire/ damage/ toxic gases and/or explosion.	The Scheme layout also incorporates separation distances between battery containers to ensure that any isolated fire would not become widespread or lead to a major incident – as shown on ES Volume 2, Figure 3-1: Indicative Site Layout Plan [APP-081], the battery containers will be located more than 200 metres from the nearest Public Right of Way and more than 500 metres from any residential receptors. An Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286] has been prepared for the Scheme using guidance from Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and incorporating recommendations of the National Fire Chiefs Council. The Outline BSMP ensures that risks associated with battery storage are appropriately mitigated. The Outline BSMP will be amended at Deadline 1 to fully accommodate the latest BESS safety requirements contained in NFPA 855 (2026) ensuring that any credible risks associated with battery storage are either prevented or fully mitigated if a BESS failure occurs.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3175, RR-1317, RR-3530, RR-3721, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-0592, RR-1455, RR-0467, RR-0324, RR-2452, RR-1278, RR-4111, RR-4200, RR-1853, RR-3590, RR-0071, RR-2144, RR-2958, RR-1107, RR-4242, RR-1138, RR-3364, RR-2006, RR-0321, RR-4472, RR-0311, RR-3252, RR-4466, RR-0271, RR-0598, RR-4822, RR-2486, RR-2639, RR-0011, RR-1690, RR-2664, RR-2411, RR-3758, RR-4112, RR-2866, RR-3222, RR-0009, RR-1674, RR-1384, RR-1517, RR-0277, RR-2400, RR-1994, RR-2653, RR-3820, RR-3095, RR-4775, RR-1748, RR-1930, RR-1152, RR-0267, RR-0749, RR-3760, RR-1061, RR-0481, RR-2136, RR-3124, RR-2467, RR-4145, RR-4690, RR-3101, RR-3783, RR-2975, RR-1656, RR-0767, RR-3643, RR-0816, RR-3906, RR-1395, RR-2322, RR-3597, RR-4252, RR-2538, RR-4580, RR-3281, RR-2227, RR-4283, RR-4851, RR-3904, RR-0551, RR-0765, RR-3778, RR-1764, RR-4684, RR-2208, RR-4876, RR-4013, RR-0351, RR-0413, RR-1673, RR-4581, RR-0091, RR-1768, RR-1320, RR-0092, RR-4271, RR-0083, RR-4078, RR-2177, RR-1195, RR-1211, RR-3550, RR-4704, RR-1084, RR-0353, RR-0043, RR-2707, RR-2807,			Section 2.4.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>“Final BESS design and site layout will have been validated through mandatory Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT) and rigorous consequence modelling to minimise the requirement for any D&WFRS intervention in a thermal runaway incident. LSFT must establish minimum equipment spacing distances that demonstrate there is no fire propagation to adjacent BESS enclosures or ESS equipment. D&WFRS intervention in worst case scenarios would typically be limited to boundary cooling of adjacent BESS and Energy Storage System (ESS) units to prevent the fire from spreading. This strategy will be finalised with D&WFRS and be clearly communicated in the Emergency Response Plan (ERP);</i> • <i>To ensure that fire, smoke, and any release of toxic gases does not significantly impact site operatives, first responders, and the local community; and</i> • <i>To ensure that firewater run-off is contained and tested before release or, if necessary, removed by tanker and treated offsite.”</i> Section 5 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] covers all requisite firefighting requirements for the Scheme including fire service access, firefighting water supply, and emergency planning requirements. Section 6.1.1 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] confirms that at the detailed design stage, the selected BESS will have

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1305, RR-2961, RR-1436, RR-2793, RR-1415, RR-4132, RR-1085, RR-0820, RR-1070, RR-1941, RR-4121, RR-1389, RR-4854, RR-1899, RR-0654, RR-4787, RR-0808, RR-4737, RR-2597, RR-2103, RR-2399, RR-0672, RR-0477, RR-0937, RR-1563, RR-1879, RR-0922, RR-3659, RR-3111, RR-4574, RR-4521, RR-3243, RR-1942, RR-3320, RR-0602, RR-3104, RR-1556, RR-1269, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-1225, RR-2368, RR-2606, RR-1726, RR-4778, RR-3788, RR-0621, RR-3670, RR-4555, RR-4842, RR-3689, RR-2527, RR-4530, RR-2939, RR-0773, RR-3366, RR-2215, RR-2214, RR-0831, RR-4902, RR-3349, RR-2410, RR-2338, RR-0809, RR-0490, RR-4050, RR-4698, RR-3703, RR-2180, RR-2101, RR-1294, RR-2994, RR-4667, RR-3664, RR-1013, RR-0169, RR-1698, RR-4020, RR-0702, RR-1140, RR-2742, RR-0827, RR-4888, RR-2163, RR-1469, RR-1617, RR-2189, RR-3877, RR-0894, RR-0647, RR-1196, RR-0120, RR-4094, RR-3598, RR-0410, RR-4102, RR-2459, RR-0387, RR-0715, RR-2903, RR-0932, RR-0589, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-2496, RR-1950, RR-3862, RR-0155, RR-2017, RR-1197, RR-2857, RR-0729, RR-4950, RR-3016,			undertaken Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT) to fully inform inputs for risk assessment tools which will be utilised together with detailed consequence modelling to provide a comprehensive site operations and emergency response safety audit. LSFT is a mandatory requirement under NFPA 855 (2026) safety standards to demonstrate thermal insulation protection capabilities of the BESS enclosure design, validate minimum equipment spacing distances, and demonstrate that deflagrations do not occur and/or can be safely constrained. As set out in the Outline BSMP [APP-286], the BESS Area will have a robust and validated Emergency Response Plan (ERP), developed in consultation with Dorset & Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service at the detailed design stage. Section 5.4 of the Outline BSMP specifies the typical emergency response protocols, procedures and safety information that will be included in the ERP. The ERP will apply to all stages of the Scheme, including construction, operation and decommissioning. Potential effects of toxic gases arising from a BESS fire are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 15: Air Quality [APP-067] and in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072], Section 20.7: Major Accidents and Disasters. An assessment of how these fumes could affect sensitive receptors within a 1 km radius of the BESS Area,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1723, RR-2188, RR-4525, RR-1661, RR-0933, RR-2673, RR-0862, RR-2328, RR-3272, RR-1175, RR-0814, RR-2872, RR-2059, RR-1141, RR-1313, RR-1672, RR-4679, RR-3746, RR-4786, RR-2275, RR-1721, RR-4499, RR-2072, RR-2635, RR-1373, RR-0625, RR-4928, RR-3697, RR-0520, RR-0248, RR-1871, RR-1629, RR-3864, RR-0712, RR-0139, RR-2149, RR-2239, RR-1234, RR-2953, RR-1104, RR-4207, RR-3999, RR-3507, RR-1292, RR-2880, RR-2453, RR-1493, RR-2955, RR-4760, RR-0768, RR-0549, RR-0640, RR-3317, RR-3742, RR-0096, RR-0540, RR-2780, RR-2405, RR-1647, RR-0301, RR-1647, RR-2238, RR-3384, RR-3379, RR-3357, RR-2914, RR-2156, RR-0784, RR-4266, RR-3606, RR-2670, RR-1356, RR-2213, RR-0085, RR-0595, RR-3992, RR-2697, RR-1708, RR-1231, RR-3678, RR-4379, RR-1360, RR-3102, RR-1340, RR-4742, RR-1981, RR-1807, RR-4148, RR-4228, RR-3005, RR-2425, RR-1602, RR-3651, RR-2221, RR-0620, RR-0491, RR-3094, RR-1940, RR-4732, RR-0839, RR-4508, RR-2683, RR-1380, RR-1385, RR-2475, RR-1097, RR-3375, RR-0798, RR-3075, RR-4511, RR-0596, RR-2862, RR-1185, RR-2648,			including residential properties and ecological receptors, is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 15: Air Quality [APP-067]. This assessment concludes that no significant off-site impacts are predicted should a fire event occur. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is secured through Requirement 6 (Battery safety management) in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], which provides that Work No. 2 must not commence until a Battery Safety Management Plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The approved plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan. The Draft DCO also requires the relevant planning authority to consult with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and the Environment Agency before determining the application, and that the Battery Safety Management Plan must be implemented as approved.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0844, RR-3275, RR-2155, RR-2169, RR-4488, RR-1289, RR-2267, RR-2007, RR-4003, RR-2852, RR-4958, RR-2152, RR-1116, RR-1042, RR-0028, RR-2644, RR-2468, RR-0989, RR-3209, RR-1648, RR-3767, RR-1857, RR-2977, RR-3406, RR-2878, RR-2508, RR-0253, RR-4458, RR-0121, RR-2067, RR-3817, RR-0782, RR-1681, RR-0441, RR-4881, RR-1669, RR-0166, RR-4713, RR-0606, RR-0967, RR-1621, RR-4007, RR-2542, RR-2395, RR-1727, RR-2853, RR-1854, RR-0522, RR-0665, RR-2491, RR-3513, RR-2165, RR-1758, RR-2725, RR-1701, RR-4770, RR-1283, RR-3322, RR-1686, RR-2847, RR-4374, RR-1507, RR-4875, RR-1500, RR-1778, RR-2359, RR-3926, RR-3546, RR-4623, RR-3371, RR-2264, RR-1868, RR-2696, RR-1161, RR-4365, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-3460, RR-0264, RR-2110, RR-4070, RR-4886, RR-1016, RR-4722, RR-4566, RR-2997, RR-2952, RR-3409, RR-1938, RR-4710, RR-4142, RR-4835, RR-2845, AS-010, RR-0623, RR-2602, RR-3361, RR-3592, RR-4765			
O-20	RR-3955, RR-0361, RR-2849, RR-0585, RR-0335, RR-0157, RR-0761, RR-2851, RR-1843, RR-3523, RR-3126, RR-4448, RR-0502, RR-2860, RR-4537,	BESS	Respondents expressed concern that there is no credible mitigation for a BESS fire/explosion/toxic plume.	The Applicant refers to its response to reference ID 19 under 'Other Environmental Matters' in this document above. An Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286]

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4088, RR-1096, RR-1709, RR-1825, RR-1055, RR-1455, RR-4111, RR-2506, RR-2486, RR-2639, RR-3820, RR-2136, RR-3783, RR-0816, RR-2322, RR-4283, RR-1768, RR-1320, RR-0092, RR-4078, RR-1070, RR-0555, RR-4919, RR-2103, RR-1269, RR-1225, RR-0621, RR-3670, RR-4061, RR-4902, RR-1870, RR-1013, RR-4724, RR-1698, RR-0827, RR-3862, RR-0155, RR-2017, RR-3016, RR-1723, RR-0933, RR-2673, RR-0814, RR-0712, RR-3271, RR-3317, RR-2238, RR-3384, RR-3379, RR-2914, RR-2670, RR-3176, RR-1231, RR-3678, RR-4379, RR-4742, RR-4915, RR-3816, RR-0028, RR-3419, RR-2644, RR-0989, RR-0253, RR-3139, RR-0441, RR-4007, RR-3513, RR-2725, RR-0826, RR-1507, RR-1826, RR-4875, RR-4623, RR-2370, RR-0794, RR-3592			has been prepared for the Scheme using guidance from Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and incorporating recommendations of the National Fire Chiefs Council. The Outline BSMP ensures that risks associated with battery storage are appropriately mitigated. The Outline BSMP will be amended at Deadline 1 to fully accommodate the latest BESS safety requirements contained in NFPA 855 (2026) ensuring that any credible risks associated with battery storage are either prevented or fully mitigated if a BESS failure occurs. Section 2.4.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>“Final BESS design and site layout will have been validated through mandatory Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT) and rigorous consequence modelling to minimise the requirement for any D&WFRS intervention in a thermal runaway incident. LSFT must establish minimum equipment spacing distances that demonstrate there is no fire propagation to adjacent BESS enclosures or ESS equipment. D&WFRS intervention in worst case scenarios would typically be limited to boundary cooling of adjacent BESS and Energy Storage System (ESS) units to prevent the fire from spreading. This strategy will be finalised with D&WFRS and be clearly communicated in the Emergency Response Plan (ERP);</i> <i>To ensure that fire, smoke, and any release of toxic gases does not</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				<i>significantly impact site operatives, first responders, and the local community; and</i> <i>To ensure that firewater run-off is contained and tested before release or, if necessary, removed by tanker and treated offsite."</i> Section 5 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] covers all requisite firefighting requirements for the Scheme including fire service access, firefighting water supply, and emergency planning requirements. Section 6.1.1 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] confirms that at the detailed design stage, the selected BESS will have undertaken Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT) to fully inform inputs for risk assessment tools which will be utilised together with detailed consequence modelling to provide a comprehensive site operations and emergency response safety audit. LSFT is a mandatory requirement under NFPA 855 (2026) safety standards to demonstrate thermal insulation protection capabilities of the BESS enclosure design, validate minimum equipment spacing distances, and demonstrate that deflagrations do not occur and/or can be safely constrained. As set out in the Outline BSMP [APP-286], the BESS Area will have a robust and validated Emergency Response Plan (ERP), developed in consultation with Dorset & Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service at the detailed design stage.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Section 5.4 of the Outline BSMP specifies the typical emergency response protocols, procedures and safety information that will be included in the ERP. The ERP will apply to all stages of the Scheme, including construction, operation and decommissioning. The Applicant has followed National Fire Chiefs Council (NFCC) guidance and commissioned a Plume Assessment Study to model toxic gas emissions and visibility impacts from a BESS fire on all sensitive receptors within a 1km radius of the BESS area. The fire emissions modelled in the report were based upon recent UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) requests for DCO BESS projects which explore both immediate air quality impacts together with annual UK air quality requirements. The UKHSA is a statutory consultee for the Scheme and has confirmed that they are satisfied with the Applicant's submitted documentation and Plume Assessment Study methodology and results. Potential effects of toxic gases arising from a BESS fire are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 15: Air Quality [APP-067] and in Section 20.7: Major Accidents and Disasters in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072]. An assessment of how these fumes could affect sensitive receptors within a 1 km radius of the BESS Area, including residential properties and ecological receptors, is provided in ES Volume 1, Chapter 15: Air Quality [APP-067]. This

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				assessment concludes that no significant off-site impacts are predicted should a fire event occur. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is secured through Requirement 6 (Battery safety management) in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], which provides that Work No. 2 must not commence until a Battery Safety Management Plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The approved plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline BSMP [APP-286]. The Draft DCO [APP-016] also requires the relevant planning authority to consult with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and the Environment Agency before determining the application, and that the Battery Safety Management Plan must be implemented as approved.
O-21	RR-3568, RR-3329, RR-1768, RR-1320, RR-1225, RR-2368, RR-0096, RR-3384, RR-3176, RR-4379, RR-3102, RR-1981, RR-1807, RR-3094, RR-2169, RR-2644, RR-0989, RR-1648, RR-0253, RR-4374, RR-1826, RR-4875, RR-3592	Thermal Runway	Respondents expressed concern regarding the dangers of a thermal runaway. Includes comments relating to the mitigation strategies being used (i.e. water bundling) not being sufficient.	An Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286] has been prepared for the Scheme using guidance from Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and incorporating recommendations of the National Fire Chiefs Council. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] ensures that risks associated with battery storage are appropriately mitigated. The safety objectives for the design of the BESS site are listed in Section 2.4 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286]. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] will be amended at Deadline 1 to fully accommodate the latest

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				BESS safety requirements contained in NFPA 855 (2026) ensuring that any credible risks associated with battery storage are either prevented or fully mitigated if a BESS failure occurs. Section 2.4.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>"Final BESS design and site layout will have been validated through mandatory Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT) and rigorous consequence modelling to minimise the requirement for any D&WFRS intervention in a thermal runaway incident. LSFT must establish minimum equipment spacing distances that demonstrate there is no fire propagation to adjacent BESS enclosures or ESS equipment. D&WFRS intervention in worst case scenarios would typically be limited to boundary cooling of adjacent BESS and Energy Storage System (ESS) units to prevent the fire from spreading. This strategy will be finalised with D&WFRS and be clearly communicated in the Emergency Response Plan (ERP);</i> • To ensure that fire, smoke, and any release of toxic gases does not significantly impact site operatives, first responders, and the local community; and • To ensure that firewater run-off is contained and tested before release or, if necessary, removed by tanker and treated offsite." Section 5 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] covers all requisite firefighting requirements for the Scheme including fire

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				service access, firefighting water supply, and emergency planning requirements. Section 6.1.1 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] confirms that at the detailed design stage, the selected BESS will have undertaken Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT) to fully inform inputs for risk assessment tools which will be utilised together with detailed consequence modelling to provide a comprehensive site operations and emergency response safety audit. LSFT is a mandatory requirement under NFPA 855 (2026) safety standards to demonstrate thermal insulation protection capabilities of the BESS enclosure design, validate minimum equipment spacing distances, and demonstrate that deflagrations do not occur and/or can be safely constrained. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] specifies that the indicative site design will accommodate as follows: <i>"The BESS Area will contain a minimum of two firefighting water storage units of no less than 228,000 litres in capacity, capable of delivering 1900 litres per minute for 4 hours (exceeding NFCC guidance)."</i> Section 5.3.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>"The firefighting water requirement will be fully assessed at the detailed design stage based upon BESS fire and explosion test data by an independent Fire Protection Engineer and water storage volumes will be agreed with D&WFRS during detailed design."</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Applicant is currently drafting a Statement of Common Ground (SOCG) with the Environment Agency (EA) on Scheme environmental protection issues including the BESS area drainage strategy. The agreed strategy document will be appended to the Outline BSMP at Deadline 1, to provide further assurance that all water pollution risks from a BESS fire are fully mitigated. The EA is a statutory consultee for the Outline BSMP [APP-286] and drainage strategies and must approve the final BSMP and drainage design at detailed design before construction of the BESS commences. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is secured through Requirement 6 (Battery safety management) in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], which provides that Work No. 2 must not commence until a Battery Safety Management Plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The approved plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline BSMP [APP-286]. The Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] also requires the relevant planning authority to consult with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and the Environment Agency before determining the application, and that the Battery Safety Management Plan must be implemented as approved.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
O-22	RR-3568	BESS	Respondent expressed concern regarding the impact of the BESS on the ecosystem of the Gauze brook.	The Applicant notes the concern regarding potential contamination associated with the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) on the Gauze Brook water course. Potential risks to groundwater and surface water from electrical infrastructure, including the BESS, have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9 Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061], Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071]. The assessment concludes that, with embedded mitigation and secured controls, the Scheme would not give rise to significant adverse effects on watercourses including Gauze Brook. The design of the BESS areas incorporates containment and controlled drainage measures to manage potential spillages or firewater, as described in the ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-6: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy - Lime Down D BESS [APP-215]. These measures ensure that any accidental releases would be contained and would not result in contamination of surrounding land or watercourses. Section 5 of the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286] covers all requisite firefighting requirements for the Scheme including fire service access, firefighting water supply, and emergency planning requirements and is fully compliant with NFCC guidance.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Applicant is currently drafting a Statement of Common Ground (SOCG) with the Environment Agency (EA) on Scheme environmental protection issues including the BESS area drainage strategy. The agreed strategy document will be appended to the Outline BSMP at Deadline 1, to provide further assurance that all water pollution risks from a BESS fire are fully mitigated. The EA is a statutory consultee for the Outline BSMP and drainage strategies and must approve the final BSMP and drainage design at detailed design before construction of the BESS commences. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is secured through Requirement 6 (Battery safety management) in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016], which provides that Work No. 2 must not commence until a Battery Safety Management Plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The approved plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan. The Draft DCO also requires the relevant planning authority to consult with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and the Environment Agency before determining the application, and that the Battery Safety Management Plan must be implemented as approved. The Applicant otherwise refers to its response to reference ID O-19 under 'Other Environmental Matters' in this document above.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
O-23	RR-3114, RR-3936, RR-4069, RR-1243, RR-0592, RR-0467, RR-3783, RR-3778, RR-4271, RR-4132, RR-1070, RR-1389, RR-1899, RR-0169, RR-0862, RR-0640, RR-0096, RR-3384, RR-1360, RR-1185, RR-0844, RR-3275, RR-2155, RR-1116, RR-2644, RR-0989, RR-3209, RR-3513, RR-4374, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-2110, RR-4566	BESS	Respondent expressed concern that local emergency services will not be able to deal with the fallout from a BESS fire. One respondent noted that the nearest fire station in Corston is staffed by volunteers.	The Applicant refers to its response to reference ID O-19 under 'Other Environmental Matters' in this document above. An Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286] has been prepared for the Scheme using guidance from Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service (D&WFRS) and incorporating recommendations of the National Fire Chiefs Council. The Outline BSMP ensures that risks associated with battery storage are appropriately mitigated, and that D&WFRS incident response requirements are minimised in all credible BESS failure scenarios. The safety objectives for the design of the BESS site are listed in Section 2.4 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286]. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] will be amended at Deadline 1 to fully accommodate the latest BESS safety requirements contained in NFPA 855 (2026) ensuring that any credible risks associated with battery storage are either prevented or fully mitigated if a BESS failure occurs. Section 2.4.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>"Final BESS design and site layout will have been validated through mandatory Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT) and rigorous consequence modelling to minimise the requirement for any D&WFRS intervention in a thermal runaway incident. LSFT must establish minimum equipment spacing distances</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				<i>that demonstrate there is no fire propagation to adjacent BESS enclosures or ESS equipment. D&WFRS intervention in worst case scenarios would typically be limited to boundary cooling of adjacent BESS and Energy Storage System (ESS) units to prevent the fire from spreading. This strategy will be finalised with D&WFRS and be clearly communicated in the Emergency Response Plan (ERP);</i> <i>• To ensure that fire, smoke, and any release of toxic gases does not significantly impact site operatives, first responders, and the local community; and</i> <i>• To ensure that firewater run-off is contained and tested before release or, if necessary, removed by tanker and treated offsite."</i> Section 5 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] covers all requisite firefighting requirements for the Scheme including fire service access, firefighting water supply, and emergency planning requirements. As set out in the Outline BSMP [APP-286], the BESS Area will have a robust and validated Emergency Response Plan (ERP), developed in consultation with Dorset & Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service at the detailed design stage. Section 5.4 of the Outline BSMP specifies the typical emergency response protocols, procedures and safety information that will be included in the ERP. The ERP will apply to all stages of the Scheme, including construction, operation and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				decommissioning. D&WFRS must approve the ERPs and therefore be comfortable that their emergency response resources are appropriate for incident response requirements. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is secured through Requirement 6 (Battery safety management) in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], which provides that Work No. 2 must not commence until a Battery Safety Management Plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The approved plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline BSMP [APP-286]. The Draft DCO [APP-016] also requires the relevant planning authority to consult with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and the Environment Agency before determining the application, and that the Battery Safety Management Plan must be implemented as approved.
O-24	RR-4709	BESS	Respondents cited a devastating fire in 2025 which demonstrated the fire risk of the BESS.	The Applicant refers to its response to reference MFS-016 in the Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations (Part 1) [PDA-009] .
O-25	RR-3673, RR-1863	BESS	Respondents were concerned about battery leak and asked who would be responsible for leaking batteries or other errors.	An Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286] has been prepared for the Scheme using guidance from Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and incorporating recommendations of the National Fire Chiefs Council. The Outline BSMP [APP-

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				286] ensures that all risks associated with battery storage are appropriately mitigated. The safety objectives for the design of the BESS site are listed in Section 2.4 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286]. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] will be amended at Deadline 1 to fully accommodate the latest BESS safety requirements contained in NFPA 855 (2026) ensuring that any credible risks associated with battery storage are either prevented or fully mitigated if a BESS failure occurs. Section 2.4.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>"Final BESS design and site layout will have been validated through mandatory Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT) and rigorous consequence modelling to minimise the requirement for any D&WFRS intervention in a thermal runaway incident. LSFT must establish minimum equipment spacing distances that demonstrate there is no fire propagation to adjacent BESS enclosures or ESS equipment. D&WFRS intervention in worst case scenarios would typically be limited to boundary cooling of adjacent BESS and Energy Storage System (ESS) units to prevent the fire from spreading. This strategy will be finalised with D&WFRS and be clearly communicated in the Emergency Response Plan (ERP);</i> • To ensure that fire, smoke, and any release of toxic gases does not significantly impact site operatives, first responders, and the local community; and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				• <i>To ensure that firewater run-off is contained and tested before release or, if necessary, removed by tanker and treated offsite.</i> Section 5 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] covers all requisite firefighting requirements for the Scheme including fire service access, firefighting water supply, and emergency planning requirements. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] specifies that the indicative site design will accommodate as follows: <i>"The BESS Area will contain a minimum of two firefighting water storage units of no less than 228,000 litres in capacity, capable of delivering 1900 litres per minute for 4 hours (exceeding NFCC guidance)."</i> Section 5.3.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>"The firefighting water requirement will be fully assessed at the detailed design stage based upon BESS fire and explosion test data by an independent Fire Protection Engineer and water storage volumes will be agreed with D&WFRS during detailed design."</i> The Applicant is currently drafting a Statement of Common Ground (SOCG) with the Environment Agency (EA) on Scheme environmental protection issues including the BESS area drainage strategy. The agreed strategy document will be appended to the Outline BSMP at Deadline 1, to provide further assurance that all water pollution risks from a BESS fire are

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				fully mitigated. The EA is a statutory consultee for the Outline BSMP and drainage strategies and must approve the final BSMP and drainage design at detailed design before construction of the BESS commences. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is secured through Requirement 6 (Battery safety management) in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016], which provides that Work No. 2 must not commence until a Battery Safety Management Plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The approved plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline BSMP [APP-286]. The Draft DCO [APP-016] also requires the relevant planning authority to consult with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and the Environment Agency before determining the application, and that the Battery Safety Management Plan must be implemented as approved.
O-26	RR-4457	BESS	Respondent stated that in the event of common mode failure of the battery charging system to prevent over-charging, all of the cells in the system would undergo exothermic self-accelerating thermal decomposition of electrolyte, generating up to 100 t of highly toxic hydrogen fluoride vapour. This vapour would condense as a mist cloud enveloping Gauze Brook and Hullavington with risk to	The Applicant refers to its response to MFS-016 in the Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations (Part 1) [PDA-009] . As now mandated under NFPA 855 (2026) the Applicant will only be able to select a BESS system that has undertaken Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT). At the detailed design stage, the selected BESS LSFT data will be leveraged to fully inform inputs for risk assessment tools which will be utilised together with detailed consequence modelling to provide a comprehensive site operations and emergency response safety audit, this is

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			residents of fatality and irreversible injury. It is considered imperative that an in-depth hazard analysis of the BESS is carried out and a safety case is presented to the UKHSE for approval in the same way as any installation covered by the COMAH regulations (2015).	stipulated in the Outline BSMP [APP-286] pre-construction requirements (Section 6). The objective of the test is to evaluate the thermal exposure impacts from a developed BESS enclosure, to determine propagation risk to adjacent BESS or equipment. Testing also defines the length of burn, duration of Peak Heat Release Rate, maximum burn temperatures, etc. Final comprehensive risk assessment reports, BESS design and site-specific consequence modelling, and detailed Emergency Response Plans (ERPs) can only be drafted when based upon the specific BESS design selected at the detailed design stage. Key safety content requires that all equipment within the BESS area is defined, battery system operating limits and test data are fully defined, and the BESS failure protection system is defined. Incident response tactics require significant test data and rigorous consequence modelling from the specific BESS design to develop safe protocols for incident response. Section 5.4.9 – 5.4.11 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that the ERP will follow NFCC and NFPA 855 (2026) guidelines and stipulates the minimum content that an ERP must contain, including: <i>“Emergency procedures for all credible hazards and risks, including building, infrastructure and vehicle fire, wildfires, impacts on local respondents, impacts on transport infrastructure.”</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				All risk assessments to be conducted at detailed design for BESS projects required by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) to assess fire and explosion risk are stipulated in Section 6.1.5 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] .
O-27	RR-2970, RR-2425	BESS	Respondent stated that local fire services need to guarantee they are equipped to fight a battery fire.	The Applicant refers to its response to reference ID O-21 under 'Other Environmental Matters' in this document above. The Applicant is in the process of agreeing to a Statement of Common Ground (SOCG) with D&WFRS to provide assurance that all safety and emergency response requirements are fulfilled.
O-28	RR-4626, RR-0452, RR-4283, RR-4704, RR-3659, RR-0768, RR-4379, RR-4070	Hazard/Threat	Respondent expressed concern that the Scheme could become a terrorist target.	The Applicant notes the concern raised regarding the potential for the Scheme to be targeted in the event of war or terrorism. An assessment of Major Accidents and Disasters has been undertaken as part of Section 20.7: Major Accidents and Disasters in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072] . As per ES Volume 3, Appendix 20-6: Long List of Major Accidents and Disasters [APP-263] , the Scheme was assessed as being unlikely to be a target for terrorism related events (see Section 7 of Table 1) and therefore was not carried into the assessment presented in the main chapter. The long list of major accidents and disasters was reviewed and approved by the Planning Inspectorate at scoping stage and therefore the Applicant is content that no further assessment of terrorism beyond that initial screening exercise presented in

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Appendix 20-6 [APP-263] is necessary. Moreover, this approach is also consistent with the Major Accident and Disaster assessments of other solar NSIPs of which the Applicant is not aware of another comparable scheme whereby terrorism has been screened in. While such risks are not specific to this Scheme and apply broadly across national infrastructure, the Applicant continues to engage with relevant authorities to ensure the Scheme aligns with applicable guidance and regulations. Moreover, the Applicant is committed to providing a high level of security features on the site including cameras, perimeter fencing and lighting. These measures are secured as part of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] and help reduce security threats on site. In accordance with National Policy, the delivery of the Scheme would improve the resilience of the UK electricity system against the general threat of war/terror (i.e. in the event another electricity generation project was to be targeted, the Scheme would then ensure continued electricity supply).
O-29	RR-0395, RR-2140	Engagement with Fire Service	Respondent stated there has been a lack of consultation with Dorset and Wiltshire fire service	An Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286] has been prepared for the Scheme using guidance from Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service (D&WFRS) and incorporating recommendations of the National Fire Chiefs Council. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is fully compliant with the original

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				NFCC Guidance and the revised guidance which was published in February 2026. The safety objectives for the design of the BESS site are listed in Section 2.4 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286]. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] will be amended at Deadline 1 to fully accommodate the latest BESS safety requirements contained in NFPA 855 (2026) ensuring that any credible risks associated with battery storage are either prevented or fully mitigated if a BESS failure occurs. The Applicant is in the process of agreeing a Statement of Common Ground (SOCG) with D&WFRS to provide assurance that all safety and emergency response requirements are fulfilled.
O-30	RR-3783	Hazards	Respondent requests a comprehensive Major Accident Hazards assessment under COMAH regulations and consultation with the Health and Safety Executive and local Fire and Rescue authorities.	The Applicant has assessed likely impacts to human health in ES Volume 1, Chapter 18: Human Health [APP-070] which finds no significant adverse effects to human health. The assessment methodology and outcomes have been agreed by the UK Health Security Agency (including its counterpart the Office for Health Improvements and Disparities) in their Relevant Representation [RR-4798]. NPS EN-1 (January 2026) sets out matters relating to safety; however, this mainly applies to schemes that are subject to the Control of Major Accidents hazards (COMAH) Regulations. The Scheme is not subject to the COMAH Regulations as there are no COMAH sites within 5 km of the Scheme. Regardless of this fact an

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				assessment of Major Accidents and Disasters has been undertaken as part of Section 20.7 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072]. The chapter concludes no likely significant major accident and disaster effects are predicted to arise as a result of the Scheme. As per Table 20-18 of Section 20.7 the Applicant has engaged with both the Health and Safety Executive and the local Fire and Rescue authorities to inform the assessment presented within the ES. Moreover, the approach to the assessment in relation to Major Accidents and Disasters in general is under discussion with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue and is set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground to be submitted at Deadline 1.
O-31	AS-005, RR-1085	Engagement with Fire Service	Respondent requested that Wiltshire Fire and Rescue should have an emergency plan in place for battery safety, which should be tied to the consent.	The Applicant refers to its response to reference ID 21 under 'Other Environmental Matters' in this document above. Section 6.1.9 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates: <i>Emergency Response Plan(s) covering construction, operation and decommissioning phases will be developed once a construction team, and an operator have been appointed. These plans will be developed in consultation D&WFRS and other local emergency services to include the adequate provision of firefighting equipment onsite and ensure that fire, smoke, and any release of toxic gases from a thermal runaway incident</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				<i>does not significantly affect site operatives, first responders, and the local community.</i> The Applicant is in the process of agreeing a Statement of Common Ground (SOCG) with D&WFRS to provide assurance that all safety and emergency response requirements are fulfilled.
O-32	RR-0413, RR-1768, RR-1320, RR-2961, RR-1269, RR-3384, RR-2670, RR-1231, RR-3275, RR-4374	BESS	Respondents noted that there are no contingencies for any critical emergencies regarding the safety of the BESS.	The Applicant refers to its response to reference ID 21 under 'Other Environmental Matters' in this document above and its response to reference ID MFS-016 in the Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations (Part 1) [PDA-009]. Section 5.3.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>"The firefighting water requirement will be fully assessed at the detailed design stage based upon BESS fire and explosion test data by an independent Fire Protection Engineer and water storage volumes will be agreed with D&WFRS during detailed design."</i> As set out in Outline BSMP [APP-286], the BESS Area will have a robust and validated Emergency Response Plan (ERP), developed in consultation with Dorset & Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service at the detailed design stage. Section 5.4 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] specifies the typical emergency response protocols, procedures and safety information that will be included in ERPs. ERPs will be drafted and approved for all stages of the Scheme, including

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				construction, operation and decommissioning. The Applicant's ERP template includes all requirements specified in both NFCC and NFPA 855 guidance and recommendations. Final comprehensive risk assessment reports, BESS design and site-specific consequence modelling, and detailed Emergency Response Plans (ERPs) can only be drafted when based upon the specific BESS design selected at the detailed design stage. Key safety content requires that all equipment within the BESS area is defined, battery system operating limits and test data are fully defined, and the BESS failure protection system is defined. Incident response tactics require significant test data and rigorous consequence modelling from the specific BESS design to develop safe protocols for incident response. Section 6.1.3 – 6.1.7 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] defines key risk assessments and consequence modelling reports required by NFPA 855, NFCC guidance, and HSE BESS safety requirements. These risk assessment tools minimize BESS failure risks, mitigate BESS failure scenarios, and minimize fire propagation risks. Section 6.1.3 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] specifically documents: <i>As stipulated in NFPA 855 (2023) (Ref 3), a Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) of the BESS (BS EN IEC 60812 (Ref 36)) or</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				<i>Layer of Protection Analysis (LOPA) of the BESS will be conducted to lay the foundation for predictive maintenance requirements and complement the fault indicator capabilities of the BMS data analytics system. This key analysis minimises the probability of a BESS failure in relation to the specific BESS system and site design and analyses key mitigation solutions to minimise the impact of a BESS failure in the unlikely event that this would occur. These types of risk analysis provide confidence to demonstrate that under day-to-day operation there is a low risk of a BESS failure incident, and in the event of an incident the credible hazards are understood and have been evaluated both at the illustrative and detailed design stages to demonstrate that the risk to site operatives, first responders, and the local population remains very low.</i> The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is secured through Requirement 6 (Battery safety management) in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], which provides that Work No. 2 must not commence until a Battery Safety Management Plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The approved plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline BSMP [APP-286]. The Draft DCO [APP-016] also requires the relevant planning authority to consult with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and the Environment Agency before determining the application, and that the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Battery Safety Management Plan must be implemented as approved.
O-33	RR-1768	BESS	Respondent stated that the entire village of Hullavington would lie within one mile of the proposed BESS, meaning every resident would be directly exposed to the risks, impacts and consequences of the installation.	The Applicant has followed National Fire Chiefs Council (NFCC) guidance and commissioned a Plume Assessment Study to model toxic gas emissions and visibility impacts from a BESS fire on all sensitive receptors within a 1km radius of the BESS area. The fire emissions modelled in the report were based upon recent UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) requests for DCO BESS projects which explore both immediate air quality impacts together with annual UK air quality requirements. The UKHSA is a statutory consultee for the Scheme and has confirmed that they are satisfied with the Applicant's submitted documentation and Plume Assessment Study. Six worst case BESS fire locations (i.e. locations within the BESS Area closest to sensitive receptors) have been modelled as depicted in the BESS Fire Emissions Modelling Report [APP-239]. The Concentrations of carbon monoxide (CO), formaldehyde, hydrogen chloride (HCl), hydrogen cyanide (HCN), hydrogen fluoride (HF), ammonia (NH₃), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and particulates from a potential BESS fire have been modelled using an air quality dispersion model to determine the likely effects on human health.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				A high-level visibility assessment has also been undertaken using the modelled particulates results to determine the effect of BESS fire emissions on visibility on the local road and rail network to inform the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286]. The Plume Assessment Study has concluded that there are no significant toxic emission or visibility impacts at all sensitive receptor locations. The Applicant has also committed to commissioning an additional Plume Analysis Study at the detailed design stage as stipulated in Section 5.5.9 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] and secured through the DCO: <i>"...at the detailed design stage a BESS system and site specific Plume Analysis study will be conducted to assess the environmental impact of a site incident to sensitive receptors within a 1 km radius. Toxic gas emissions to sensitive receptors must be below relevant public health exposure limit guidelines when the battery system of a BESS is fully consumed (burnt out), production of Particulate Matter (PM) and a visibility impact assessment on any transport links within a 1 km radius of the BESS area will also be included. The ERP produced at the detailed design stage (template outlined in Section 5.4.4) will incorporate all necessary emergency response procedures and actions based upon</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				<i>thermal runaway test data supplied by the BESS system provider."</i> As now mandated under NFPA 855 (2026) the Applicant will only be able to select a BESS system that has undertaken Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT). At the detailed design stage, the selected BESS LSFT data will be leveraged to fully inform inputs for risk assessment tools which will be utilised together with detailed consequence modelling to provide a comprehensive site operations and emergency response safety audit, this is stipulated in the Outline BSMP [APP-286] pre-construction requirements (Section 6). Section 2.4.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>"Final BESS design and site layout will have been validated through mandatory Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT) and rigorous consequence modelling to minimise the requirement for any D&WFRS intervention in a thermal runaway incident. LSFT must establish minimum equipment spacing distances that demonstrate there is no fire propagation to adjacent BESS enclosures or ESS equipment. D&WFRS intervention in worst case scenarios would typically be limited to boundary cooling of adjacent BESS and Energy Storage System (ESS) units to prevent the fire from spreading. This strategy will be finalised with D&WFRS and be clearly communicated in the Emergency Response Plan (ERP);</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				• To ensure that fire, smoke, and any release of toxic gases does not significantly impact site operatives, first responders, and the local community; and • To ensure that firewater run-off is contained and tested before release or, if necessary, removed by tanker and treated offsite." Section 5 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] covers all requisite firefighting requirements for the Scheme including fire service access, firefighting water supply, and emergency planning requirements. The Outline BSMP specifies that the indicative site design will accommodate as follows: <i>"The BESS Area will contain a minimum of two firefighting water storage units of no less than 228,000 litres in capacity, capable of delivering 1900 litres per minute for 4 hours (exceeding NFCC guidance)."</i> Section 5.3.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>"The firefighting water requirement will be fully assessed at the detailed design stage based upon BESS fire and explosion test data by an independent Fire Protection Engineer and water storage volumes will be agreed with D&WFRS during detailed design."</i> The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is secured through Requirement 6 (Battery safety management) in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO)

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				[APP-016] , which provides that Work No. 2 must not commence until a Battery Safety Management Plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The approved plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline BSMP [APP-286] . The Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] also requires the relevant planning authority to consult with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and the Environment Agency before determining the application, and that the Battery Safety Management Plan must be implemented as approved.
O-34	RR-1768, RR-1320, RR-1269, RR-3670, RR-3384, RR-3379, RR-2670, RR-1231, RR-1826	BESS	Respondents expressed concern regarding the impact of a BESS incident on local schools, villages and surrounding communities.	The Applicant refers to its response to reference ID O-33 under 'Other Environmental Matters' in this document above.
O-35	RR-1320, RR-0292, RR-2952	BESS	Respondents stated that BESS technology is relatively new and there is not enough data to inform the creation of standardised, evidence-based, mandatory regulations for suppliers and installers. They noted that this lack of standard regulation will increase the risk of BESS fires.	An Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286] has been prepared for the Scheme using guidance from Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service (D&WFRS) and incorporating recommendations of the National Fire Chiefs Council. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is fully compliant with the original NFCC Guidance and the revised guidance which was published in February 2026. The safety objectives for the design of the BESS site are listed in Section 2.4 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] . The Outline BSMP [APP-286] will be amended at Deadline 1 to fully accommodate the latest BESS safety requirements contained in

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				NFPA 855 (2026) ensuring that any credible risks associated with battery storage are either prevented or fully mitigated if a BESS failure occurs.
O-36	RR-1320	BESS	Respondent expressed concern regarding the potential impact of toxic cloud risks from BESS fires, particularly the impact to local schools, the Hullavington airfield, young people and the elderly.	The Applicant refers to its response to reference ID O-33 under 'Other Environmental Matters' in this document above.
O-37	RR-1320	BESS	Respondent expressed concern regarding the potential impact of toxic cloud risks from BESS fires on local wildlife and livestock.	The Applicant refers to its response to reference ID O-33 under 'Other Environmental Matters' in this document above. Final comprehensive risk assessment reports, BESS design and site-specific consequence modelling, and detailed Emergency Response Plans (ERPs) can only be drafted when based upon the specific BESS design selected at the detailed design stage. Key safety content requires that all equipment within the BESS area is defined, battery system operating limits and test data are fully defined, and the BESS failure protection system is defined. Incident response tactics require significant test data and rigorous consequence modelling from the specific BESS design to develop safe protocols for incident response. Section 5.4.9 – 5.4.11 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that the ERP

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				will follow NFCC and NFPA 855 (2026) guidelines and stipulates the minimum content that an ERP must contain, including: "Emergency procedures for all credible hazards and risks, including building, infrastructure and vehicle fire, wildfires, impacts on local respondents, impacts on transport infrastructure." The potential impact of toxic gas emissions from BESS fires on wildlife and livestock have not been explicitly assessed, however protective ecological buffers between BESS elements and important wildlife habitats (such as watercourses, hedgerows and woodlands) have been embedded into the Scheme from an early stage in order to minimise the likelihood of adverse impacts arising. These are described in section 9.9 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061]. Similarly, embedded mitigation measures (including the Outline BSMP) have been incorporated into the Scheme in order to minimise the likelihood and severity of BESS fires, and therefore minimise the potential impact on wildlife and livestock.
O-38	RR-0917	BESS	Respondent asked what the impact of rising air temperatures would be on the batteries and panels.	As stated in the ES Volume 1, Chapter 7: Climate Change [APP-059], the BESS will include Heating, Ventilation and Cooling (HVAC) systems contained within individual equipment containers to manage temperature conditions. This resilient design, with embedded mitigation measures, the BESS will automatically maintain optimal operating temperatures regardless of ambient conditions.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Hot summers can overheat solar panels, affecting their performance as the efficiency of silicon solar panels drops when an air temperature of 23°C is exceeded. However, the risk to this Scheme is low given the site's baseline climate as stated in the ES Volume 1, Chapter 7: Climate Change [APP-059]. The warmest month on average at the site is July with 21.93°C, which is below the 23°C threshold at which efficiency losses begin. Under the worst-case climate scenario (RCP 8.5), maximum air temperature anomalies are projected to increase by only +1.1°C by 2049, +2.6°C by 2079, and +4.0°C by 2099, meaning July temperatures will remain close to or only slightly exceed 25°C by the end of the century. Even under the worst case projections, panel efficiency losses will be minimal and manageable throughout the Scheme's 60-year operational life.
O-39	RR-2368	BESS	Respondent noted concern regarding the siting of the BESS on a flood plain.	The Applicant notes the concern raised regarding the siting of the BESS within a floodplain. Flood risk across the Scheme has been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11 Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], supported by the Volume 3, Appendix 11-6 Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy – Lime Down D BESS [APP-215]. These assessments confirm that the BESS infrastructure is located within Flood Zone 1, which is defined as land with the lowest probability of fluvial flooding, and is also located within areas identified as having low surface water flood risk. The

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				assessment therefore concludes that there are no residual likely significant effects of flooding at the BESS site.
O-40	RR-1525, RR-1661	BESS	Respondent expressed concern that panels getting too hot could cause fires.	The risk of solar panels overheating and catching fire is extremely low. Modern solar panels are engineered and tested to withstand extreme weather events and therefore would be largely unaffected by an event such as a heatwave. As per ES Volume 3, Appendix 20-6: Long List of Major Accidents and Disasters [APP-263] heatwaves are not expected to have a significant impact on the Scheme. The Applicant refers to its response to reference ID O-41 under 'Other Environmental Matters' in this document below.
O-41	RR-1661	BESS	Respondent expressed concern regarding the potential for the solar panels to catch fire and the difficulty in fighting such a fire, refers to the difficulty in extinguishing a solar panel fire on the rooftop of a major hospital in Bristol, which was far smaller than LDSP.	The risk of fire from solar panels or cabling is minimal, with the primary risks associated with potential damage to circuitry, electrical arcing, or sparking. These risks are rigorously managed through good industry practice and embedded mitigation measures secured through the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan [APP-277] , the Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan [APP-278] , and Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] , all of which are legally binding via the requirements in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] . Regarding the reference to a rooftop solar fire at a hospital, the Applicant highlights that ground-mounted utility-scale solar differs significantly from rooftop

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				installations in terms of accessibility, scale, and firefighting protocols. Rooftop systems are integrated into existing building structures, whereas the Scheme is designed with spacing distances between equipment parcels and is situated in a rural environment with dedicated emergency access points which are developed in accordance with National Fire Chiefs Council (NFCC) guidance and in consultation with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire & Rescue Service.
O-42	RR-1175	BESS	Respondent stated that: The draft Limedown Battery Safety Management Plan (EN01068) Section 4.1.15 states that the distance to the nearest public ROW from the BESS is 355m. This is incorrect/misleading. Hill Hayes Lane which is used by walkers as a quiet lane is 80m from the proposed BESS boundary, and the railway line is only approx. 35m away (as measured by Google Earth) There will be considerable noise from cooling fans on the BESS site audible from the lane, and there are also safety aspects for trains and passengers and railway workers on the railway line in the event of a BESS fire. The railway line power lines are nearby, and the railway could be closed down in the event of a fire.	As per ES Volume 2, Figure 3-1: Indicative Site Layout Plan [APP-081] the battery containers will be located more than 350 m from the nearest PRow and more than 600 m from any residential receptors. The nearest PRow to the BESS is HULL 24 which sits approximately 350 m from the BESS site. HULL 24 does sit around 31 m to the Order Limits, however, this is in relation to Lime Down C which does not form part of the BESS site as reported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-2: Scoping LVIA Receptor Sheets [APP-188]. Hill Hayes Lane as mentioned in the comment is not a defined PRow. Regardless of this, as per ES Volume 1, Chapter 14: Noise and Vibration [APP-066], no significant effects on any noise receptors are anticipated as a result of the Scheme. Regarding the concerns around maximum debris distance, potential environmental and safety effects associated Building Failure, Fire and Associated Explosions (including risk posed by the BESS) are

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			EN010168 4.1.18 states that the maximum debris distance in the event of a BESS thermal incident is 100ft with a safe cordon distance of 200ft. I am sceptical about this. There have been cases of people killed at 200 metres just by exploding small propane canisters, and the cordon distance for a small pipe bomb is 300m, so surely a large thermal runaway would be dangerous for a much larger radius? In any case the railway line is closer than their stated 200ft cordon distance. EN010168 is too vague, leaving too much to the design phase, which is after the planning will be approved, for example the proximity of the battery cabins. In section 4 EN010168 discusses having 1 hour fire protection, and allowing the cabins closer together with no automatic fire suppression due to the thermal protection. From FOI requests on the Cirencester BESS fire I know that in spite of having advanced alarm systems triggered, the fire brigade was not actually called until a neighbouring land owner saw	assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters, Section 20.7: Major Accidents and Disasters [APP-072]. This assessment considers credible worst-case accident scenarios and concludes that, with embedded mitigation and appropriate management measures, no significant adverse effects are anticipated. Section 4.1.18 of the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286] references established NFPA 855 exclusion zone safety distances: 30.5 metres is a typical explosion risk safe exclusion zone radius as modelling and previous BESS incidents typically show 25 m to be the maximum radius. 61 metres or 200 feet does not refer to a safe cordon distance; it specifies that any building within this radius must automatically be evacuated. BESS systems are in no way comparable to small pipe bombs or propane cannisters. As now mandated under NFPA 855 (2026), the Applicant will only be able to select a BESS system that has undertaken Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT). At the detailed design stage, the selected BESS LSFT data will be leveraged to fully inform inputs for risk assessment tools which will be utilised together with detailed consequence modelling to provide a comprehensive site operations and emergency response safety audit, this is stipulated in the Outline BSMP [APP-286] pre-construction requirements (Section 6).

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			the fire, and overall it took very much longer than an hour from the start of the thermal event for the fire brigade to arrive. One hour fire protection is therefore insufficient and I am very concerned that there will be a massive chain reaction fire event triggering from one container to another due to a close proximity. This could potentially lead to a wide evacuation zone and huge and permanent environmental damage. EN010168 section 5 talks about BESS containment of the fire fighting water/chemicals until it can be tested and disposed of. I believe it is over optimistic to be certain to be able to contain the water from the tanks, plus the water from a theoretical simultaneous 200 year storm amplified with future global warming, plus whatever the fire service might bring in externally from appliances and hydrants during what might be a very long fire fight. The document does not state how it will be handled if the containment is overwhelmed and the contaminated water and	All risk assessments to be conducted at detailed design for BESS projects required by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) to assess fire and explosion risk are stipulated in Section 6.1.5. BESS safe blast radius is defined by NFPA 855 (2026) and has been derived from BESS explosion incidents. Further explosion prevention, mitigation, and safety requirements for the Scheme are stipulated in the Outline BSMP [APP-286] Sections: 1.1.6 – 1.1.7, 4.1.3 – 4.1.10, 4.1.18, 4.1.27, 4.1.30 - 4.1.33, 4.1.36 – 4.1.39, 5.1.3, and 5.1.6. The Applicant considers these measures are sufficient to address the explosion prevention and protection risk for the BESS. Section 5 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] covers all requisite firefighting requirements for the Scheme including fire service access, firefighting water supply, and emergency planning requirements. The Applicant is in the process of agreeing a Statement of Common Ground (SOCG) with D&WFRS to provide assurance that all safety and emergency response requirements are fulfilled. The thermal insulation capability of the BESS system selected at detailed design must be fully validated by LSFT and site-specific spacing must also be validated by rigorous consequence modelling. The Outline BSMP only specifies that the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			chemicals into the environment. I am concerned about the lack of end of life plans for the proposed Limedown scheme. I fear that this will leave a permanent industrial site which will be open to future development. I am also concerned that unused areas within the Limedown curtilage might be developed for other purposes. I am concerned about the disposal of potentially highly polluting components when they reach their natural end of life whilst the site is still within its long operating lifetime. For example EN010168 appears to entirely rely on the contracted battery suppliers obligations under the 2009 Waste Batteries act, and their contract, to solve the problem of disposal. What if the component/battery supplier company no longer exists by the time the disposal is required? What if the site operator/owner is bankrupt? I fear that the site might become a storage ground for old components which might be highly polluting.	minimum internal fire resistance rating currently stipulated in key safety standards. Most current BESS designs integrate much higher fire resistance ratings because equipment spacing and test requirements dictate that fire propagation does not occur during the full duration of a BESS fire, even when equipment is spaced within 150mm of the BESS fire. Section 2.4.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>"Final BESS design and site layout will have been validated through mandatory Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT) and rigorous consequence modelling to minimise the requirement for any D&WFRS intervention in a thermal runaway incident. LSFT must establish minimum equipment spacing distances that demonstrate there is no fire propagation to adjacent BESS enclosures or ESS equipment. D&WFRS intervention in worst case scenarios would typically be limited to boundary cooling of adjacent BESS and Energy Storage System (ESS) units to prevent the fire from spreading. This strategy will be finalised with D&WFRS and be clearly communicated in the Emergency Response Plan (ERP);</i> • To ensure that fire, smoke, and any release of toxic gases does not significantly impact site operatives, first responders, and the local community; and • To ensure that firewater run-off is contained and tested before release or, if

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			During the construction phase there will be tens of thousands of HGV movements for a long period, as well as hundreds of workers commuting daily. The area has narrow roads. I will feel at risk whilst walking, cycling and driving, and there will be long delays and congestion in the area. Also there will be road closures which divert traffic over long distances, with everyone having to bear the extra journey costs.	<i>necessary, removed by tanker and treated offsite."</i> The Outline BSMP [APP-286] specifies that the indicative site design will accommodate as follows: <i>"The BESS Area will contain a minimum of two firefighting water storage units of no less than 228,000 litres in capacity, capable of delivering 1900 litres per minute for 4 hours (exceeding NFCC guidance)."</i> Section 5.3.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>"The firefighting water requirement will be fully assessed at the detailed design stage based upon BESS fire and explosion test data by an independent Fire Protection Engineer and water storage volumes will be agreed with D&WFRS during detailed design."</i> The design of the BESS areas incorporates containment and controlled drainage measures to manage potential spillages or firewater, as described in the ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-6: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-215]. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is secured through Requirement 6 (Battery safety management) in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], which provides that Work No. 2 must not commence until a Battery Safety Management Plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The approved plan must be

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				substantially in accordance with the Outline BSMP [APP-286]. The Draft DCO [APP-016] also requires the relevant planning authority to consult with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and the Environment Agency before determining the application, and that the Battery Safety Management Plan must be implemented as approved. In relation to the disposal and end-of-life management of the BESS, the Applicant refers to its response to reference ID O-1 under 'Other Environmental Matters' in this document above. The potential effects of construction traffic on the local highway network are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065], which considers construction vehicle movements, traffic volumes, road safety and effects on road users. The assessment reports on road vehicle driver and passenger delay and concludes that the effects are minor and temporary, so not significant.
O-43	RR-4330	BESS	Respondent expressed concern regarding the potential impact of toxic cloud risks from BESS fires on Corston.	The Applicant refers to its response to reference ID O-33 under 'Other Environmental Matters' in this document above.
O-44	RR-3176	BESS	Respondent asked what analysis has been conducted for the Failure Modes & Effects of the PLDD infrastructure, and how will the	As now mandated under NFPA 855 (2026), the Applicant will only be able to select a BESS system that has undertaken Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT). At the detailed design stage, the selected BESS

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			design, installation and operation mitigate any risks. In particular, what provisions are in place to prevent storm damage, fires and or thermal runaway given the numerous incidents worldwide and in the UK resulting from such? Since 2017 there have been numerous recorded incidents involving BESSs for example in Liverpool (Sept 2020), Moss Landing Jan 2025, and Cirencester in March 2025. In the event that prevention fails, what are the provisions to fight the fire/thermal runaway swiftly and effectively? There is strong evidence from recent incidents that inadequate understanding of the inherent risk of the infrastructure combined with inadequate measures in place to deal with fire/thermal runaway was a significant factor in the scale of the incident. What lessons have been applied to IGP's design to reduce the risk to public safety and the Environment to As Low As Reasonably Practicable (ALARP) and the Best Practicable	LSFT data will be leveraged to fully inform inputs for risk assessment tools which will be utilised together with detailed consequence modelling to provide a comprehensive site operations and emergency response safety audit. This is stipulated in the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286] pre-construction requirements (Section 6). The objective of the test is to evaluate the thermal exposure impacts from a developed BESS enclosure, to determine propagation risk to adjacent BESS or equipment. Testing also defines the length of burn, duration of Peak Heat Release Rate, maximum burn temperatures, etc. Final comprehensive risk assessment reports, BESS design and site-specific consequence modelling, and detailed Emergency Response Plans (ERPs) can only be drafted when based upon the specific BESS design selected at the detailed design stage. Key safety content requires that all equipment within the BESS area is defined, battery system operating limits and test data are fully defined, and the BESS failure protection system is defined. Incident response tactics require significant test data and rigorous consequence modelling from the specific BESS design to develop safe protocols for incident response. Section 6.1.3 – 6.1.7 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] defines key risk assessments and consequence modelling reports required by NFPA 855, NFCC guidance,

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			Environmental Option (BEPO)? Specifically what measures will be put in place to ensure there is an adequate water supply in the vicinity of the BESSs such that a thermal runaway can be doused for long enough to cool and stop the runaway? How has the amount of stored water been calculated and has the fire brigade agreed the calcs? What measures will be put in place to ensure the cooling/fire fighting water will not contaminate the soil and or water table?	and HSE BESS safety requirements. These risk assessment tools minimize BESS failure risks, mitigate BESS failure scenarios, and minimize fire propagation risks. Section 6.1.3 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] specifically documents: <i>As stipulated in NFPA 855 (2023) (Ref 3), a Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) of the BESS (BS EN IEC 60812 (Ref 36)) or Layer of Protection Analysis (LOPA) of the BESS will be conducted to lay the foundation for predictive maintenance requirements and complement the fault indicator capabilities of the BMS data analytics system. This key analysis minimises the probability of a BESS failure in relation to the specific BESS system and site design and analyses key mitigation solutions to minimise the impact of a BESS failure in the unlikely event that this would occur. These types of risk analysis provide confidence to demonstrate that under day-to-day operation there is a low risk of a BESS failure incident, and in the event of an incident the credible hazards are understood and have been evaluated both at the illustrative and detailed design stages to demonstrate that the risk to site operatives, first responders, and the local population remains very low.</i> The Outline BSMP [APP-286] has been prepared for the Scheme using guidance from Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and incorporating recommendations of the National Fire

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Chiefs Council. The safety objectives for the design of the BESS site are listed in Section 2.4 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286]. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] will be amended at Deadline 1 to fully accommodate the latest BESS safety requirements contained in NFPA 855 (2026) ensuring that any credible risks associated with battery storage are either prevented or fully mitigated if a BESS failure occurs. Section 2.4.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>"Final BESS design and site layout will have been validated through mandatory Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT) and rigorous consequence modelling to minimise the requirement for any D&WFRS intervention in a thermal runaway incident. LSFT must establish minimum equipment spacing distances that demonstrate there is no fire propagation to adjacent BESS enclosures or ESS equipment. D&WFRS intervention in worst case scenarios would typically be limited to boundary cooling of adjacent BESS and Energy Storage System (ESS) units to prevent the fire from spreading. This strategy will be finalised with D&WFRS and be clearly communicated in the Emergency Response Plan (ERP);</i> • To ensure that fire, smoke, and any release of toxic gases does not significantly impact site operatives, first responders, and the local community; and • To ensure that firewater run-off is contained and tested before release or, if

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				<i>necessary, removed by tanker and treated offsite."</i> Section 5 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] covers all requisite firefighting requirements for the Scheme including fire service access, firefighting water supply, and emergency planning requirements. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] specifies that the indicative site design will accommodate as follows: <i>"The BESS Area will contain a minimum of two firefighting water storage units of no less than 228,000 litres in capacity, capable of delivering 1900 litres per minute for 4 hours (exceeding NFCC guidance)."</i> Section 5.3.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>"The firefighting water requirement will be fully assessed at the detailed design stage based upon BESS fire and explosion test data by an independent Fire Protection Engineer and water storage volumes will be agreed with D&WFRS during detailed design."</i> The Applicant is currently drafting a Statement of Common Ground (SOCG) with the Environment Agency (EA) on Scheme environmental protection issues including the BESS area drainage strategy. The agreed strategy document will be appended to the Outline BSMP at Deadline 1, to provide further assurance that all water pollution risks from a BESS fire are fully mitigated. The EA is a statutory consultee for the Outline BSMP and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				drainage strategies and must approve the final BSMP and drainage design at detailed design before construction of the BESS commences. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is secured through Requirement 6 (Battery safety management) in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], which provides that Work No. 2 must not commence until a Battery Safety Management Plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The approved plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan. The Draft DCO also requires the relevant planning authority to consult with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and the Environment Agency before determining the application, and that the Battery Safety Management Plan must be implemented as approved.
O-45	RR-4379	BESS	Respondent stated that the BESS will be charged from fossil fuel generated power over night at a low tariff, then sold to customers the following day at a higher tariff.	The Applicant notes the comment and confirms that the technical role of the BESS is to provide the National Grid Transmission Network with essential flexibility and a reliable source of electricity by storing, then distributing surplus electricity as and when needed. The Scheme is designed to allow charging from both the on-site Solar PV arrays and electricity from the grid. Grid import typically occurs during periods of oversupply, for example when national generation is high and demand is low, rather than targeting fossil fuel generation. The stored electricity can then be exported

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				later when demand is higher. As highlighted in National Policy Statement EN-1, BESS technology is critical for providing the flexibility required to integrate low carbon power onto the network.
O-46	RR-4379	BESS	Respondent stated that the BESS proposed for the Scheme will lose 13% of the energy generated to charge it. They state that this is 13% more CO2 pollution than if the power was generated to meet demand rather than stored.	Para 3.3.6 of NPS EN-1 (2023) states that <i>"Storage ... can provide flexibility, meaning that less of the output of plant is wasted as it can either be stored or exported when there is excess production. They can also supply electricity when domestic demand is higher than generation, supporting security of supply. This means that the total amount of generating plant capacity required to meet peak demand is reduced, bringing significant system savings ... Storage can also reduce the need for new network infrastructure."</i> The BESS which is proposed as associated development to the Scheme would support the operation of the solar panels, including by storing energy generated by the solar panels (if it is not immediately needed on the grid) and exporting it to the grid when needs are greater. This would ensure that the energy generated by the panels can be made available to consumers when it is needed and in doing so would contribute to reducing the CO2 footprint of additional infrastructure needed if flexibility was not a part of the national grid, as set out in NPS EN-1. The GHG assessment presented in the ES Volume 1, Chapter 7: Climate Change [APP-059] considers the efficiency of the BESS to be 85%. By storing predominantly low-carbon energy

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				(e.g. from the Scheme's solar generation) and discharging during peak demand periods, the Scheme would reduce emissions by displacing fossil fuel generation. Paragraph 7.10.67 of the Climate Change chapter evaluates the additional carbon saving of the BESS at approximately 245,696 tCO ₂ e over the Scheme's 60-year lifespan.
O-47	RR-4379	BESS	Respondent expressed concern regarding the potential for water to be contaminated in the event of a BESS fire.	The Applicant acknowledges the concern raised regarding potential water contamination in the event of a fire at the Battery Energy Storage System (BESS). The potential effects of the Scheme on hydrology and water quality have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], supported by the ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-6: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy – Lime Down D BESS [APP-215]. The BESS drainage design incorporates containment measures, including controlled drainage and isolation mechanisms, to ensure that any firewater or contaminated runoff can be retained and managed on site. The Applicant is currently drafting a Statement of Common Ground (SOCG) with the Environment Agency (EA) on Scheme environmental protection issues including the BESS area drainage strategy. The agreed strategy document will be appended to the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286] at Deadline 1, to provide further assurance that all water pollution risks from a BESS

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				fire are fully mitigated. The EA is a statutory consultee for the Outline BSMP [APP-286] and drainage strategies and must approve the final BSMP and drainage design at detailed design before construction of the BESS commences. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is secured through Requirement 6 (Battery safety management) in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], which provides that Work No. 2 must not commence until a Battery Safety Management Plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The approved plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline BSMP [APP-286]. The Draft DCO [APP-016] also requires the relevant planning authority to consult with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and the Environment Agency before determining the application, and that the Battery Safety Management Plan must be implemented as approved.
O-48	RR-2619	Radiation	Respondent questioned whether the toxic sides effects of the Scheme could become a scandal in years to come, for example, possible radiation impacting children.	The Applicant confirms that none of the components used within the Scheme use any radioactive materials which could cause ionizing radiation poisoning. An assessment of non-ionizing Electromagnetic Fields (EMF) produced by electrical infrastructure used throughout the Scheme is presented in Section 20.6 in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072]. This assessment concludes that the Scheme

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				will not result in any likely significant EMF effects on human health.
O-49	RR-0253	BESS	Respondent enquires whether a site-specific quantitative risk assessment has been submitted and independently reviewed; whether minimum separation distances, access routes, fire-fighting water provision, containment and isolation measures are adequately defined at application stage; whether the emergency response arrangements are demonstrably deliverable by the relevant emergency services (not merely theoretical); whether there are credible worst-case assessments for toxic plume, water run-off and extended-duration fire scenarios.	As now mandated under NFPA 855 (2026), the Applicant will only be able to select a BESS system that has undertaken Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT). At the detailed design stage, the selected BESS LSFT data will be leveraged to fully inform inputs for risk assessment tools which will be utilised together with detailed consequence modelling to provide a comprehensive site operations and emergency response safety audit, this is stipulated in the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286] pre-construction requirements (Section 6), the objective of the test is to evaluate the thermal exposure impacts from a developed BESS enclosure, to determine propagation risk to adjacent BESS or equipment. Testing also defines the length of burn, duration of Peak Heat Release Rate, maximum burn temperatures, etc. Final comprehensive risk assessment reports, BESS design and site-specific consequence modelling, and detailed Emergency Response Plans (ERPs) can only be drafted when based upon the specific BESS design selected at the detailed design stage. Key safety content requires that all equipment within the BESS area is defined, battery system operating limits and test data are fully defined, and the BESS failure protection system is defined. Incident response tactics require significant test data and rigorous consequence modelling from the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				specific BESS design to develop safe protocols for incident response. The BESS site is located within a seven minute journey of the Strategic Road Network and is accessible to emergency vehicles. A separate emergency access to the BESS site is also provided in accordance with guidance set out by the National Fire Chiefs Council. Section 6.1.3 – 6.1.7 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] defines key risk assessments and consequence modelling reports required by NFPA 855, NFCC guidance, and HSE BESS safety requirements. These risk assessment tools minimize BESS failure risks, mitigate BESS failure scenarios, and minimize fire propagation risks. Section 6.1.3 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] specifically documents: <i>As stipulated in NFPA 855 (2023) (Ref 3), a Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) of the BESS (BS EN IEC 60812 (Ref 36)) or Layer of Protection Analysis (LOPA) of the BESS will be conducted to lay the foundation for predictive maintenance requirements and complement the fault indicator capabilities of the BMS data analytics system. This key analysis minimises the probability of a BESS failure in relation to the specific BESS system and site design and analyses key mitigation solutions to minimise the impact of a BESS failure in the unlikely event that this would occur. These types of risk analysis provide</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				<i>confidence to demonstrate that under day-today operation there is a low risk of a BESS failure incident, and in the event of an incident the credible hazards are understood and have been evaluated both at the illustrative and detailed design stages to demonstrate that the risk to site operatives, first responders, and the local population remains very low.</i> The Outline BSMP [APP-286] has been prepared for the Scheme using guidance from Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and incorporating recommendations of the National Fire Chiefs Council. The safety objectives for the design of the BESS site are listed in Section 2.4 of the Outline BSMP. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] will be amended at Deadline 1 to fully accommodate the latest BESS safety requirements contained in NFPA 855 (2026) ensuring that any credible risks associated with battery storage are either prevented or fully mitigated if a BESS failure occurs. Section 2.4.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>"Final BESS design and site layout will have been validated through mandatory Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT) and rigorous consequence modelling to minimise the requirement for any D&WFRS intervention in a thermal runaway incident. LSFT must establish minimum equipment spacing distances that demonstrate there is no fire propagation to adjacent BESS enclosures or ESS equipment. D&WFRS intervention</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				<i>in worst case scenarios would typically be limited to boundary cooling of adjacent BESS and Energy Storage System (ESS) units to prevent the fire from spreading. This strategy will be finalised with D&WFRS and be clearly communicated in the Emergency Response Plan (ERP);</i> • <i>To ensure that fire, smoke, and any release of toxic gases does not significantly impact site operatives, first responders, and the local community; and</i> • <i>To ensure that firewater run-off is contained and tested before release or, if necessary, removed by tanker and treated offsite."</i> Section 5 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] covers all requisite firefighting requirements for the Scheme including fire service access, firefighting water supply, and emergency planning requirements. D&WFRS must be consulted and agree the final BSMP content and all emergency planning contingencies and protocols including ERPS, Risk Management plans, and Site Specific Risk Information (SSRI). Section 1.1.17 of the Outline BSMP stipulates: <i>Communication with the local fire and rescue service Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service (D&WFRS) with engagement early in the project and continuing across design and construction phases. This will ensure robust emergency response planning, risk management planning and ensure all safety materials and equipment is available</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				<i>in an emergency for first responders. Engagement and incident response training with D&WFRS will continue across the project lifecycle.</i> <i>Sections 5.4.5 - 5.4.7 of the Outline BSMP stipulate that:</i> <i>The BESS site owner during design development, as well as the operator once appointed, will work closely with D&WFRS to provide all relevant information on BESS and site design features to inform all necessary hazard and risk analysis studies and assist in the development of comprehensive Risk Management Plan and Emergency Response Plan.</i> <i>Information will be supplied as early as possible in the detailed design stage to allow an initial appraisal of the BESS to be made. This information will be provided to D&WFRS with appropriate evidence provided to support any claims made on performance, and with appropriate standards cited for installation. Such information should also be made available to D&WFRS for inclusion in Site Specific Risk Information (SSRI) records.</i> <i>A Risk Management Plan shall be developed with D&WFRS post consent at the detailed design stage which, as a minimum, will provide advice in relation to potential emergency response implications including:</i>

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				• <i>The hazards and risks to the facility and their proposed management;</i> • <i>Any safety issues for firefighters responding to emergencies at the BESS facility;</i> • <i>Safe access to and within the facility for emergency vehicles and responders, including to key site infrastructure and fire protection systems. Establishment of response times and site arrival protocols;</i> • <i>The adequacy of proposed fire detection and suppression systems e.g., water supply on-site; and</i> • <i>Natural and built infrastructure and on-site processes that may impact or delay effective emergency response i.e., firefighting water runoff capture.</i> The Outline BSMP [APP-286] specifies that the indicative site design will accommodate as follows: “<i>The BESS Area will contain a minimum of two firefighting water storage units of no less than 228,000 litres in capacity, capable of delivering 1900 litres per minute for 4 hours (exceeding NFCC guidance).</i>”

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Section 5.3.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>"The firefighting water requirement will be fully assessed at the detailed design stage based upon BESS fire and explosion test data by an independent Fire Protection Engineer and water storage volumes will be agreed with D&WFRS during detailed design."</i> The Applicant is currently drafting a Statement of Common Ground (SOCG) with the Environment Agency (EA) on Scheme environmental protection issues including the BESS area drainage strategy. The agreed strategy document will be appended to the Outline BSMP [APP-286] at Deadline 1, to provide further assurance that all water pollution risks from a BESS fire are fully mitigated. The EA is a statutory consultee for the Outline BSMP and drainage strategies and must approve the final BSMP and drainage design at detailed design before construction of the BESS commences. The Outline BSMP [APP-286] is secured through Requirement 6 (Battery safety management) in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], which provides that Work No. 2 must not commence until a Battery Safety Management Plan has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The approved plan must be substantially in accordance with the Outline BSMP [APP-286]. The Draft DCO [APP-016] also requires the relevant

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				planning authority to consult with Dorset and Wiltshire Fire and Rescue Service and the Environment Agency before determining the application, and that the Battery Safety Management Plan must be implemented as approved. In relation to the assessment for toxic plume, the Applicant refers to its response to reference ID O-33 under 'Other Environmental Matters' in this document above.
O-50	RR-4374	Thermal Runway	Respondent noted that the Application did not contain information about water sources and water bunding calculations for a long duration thermal runaway, where cooling would be required for multiple days.	The Applicant acknowledges the concern raised regarding water requirements and containment during a potential battery thermal runaway event. The design and safety management of the Battery Energy Storage System are addressed in the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286], which considers emergency response procedures and management of firewater. Potential effects on hydrology and water quality, including management of firewater and contaminated runoff, have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], supported by the ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-6: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy - Lime Down D BESS [APP-215]. These assessments confirm that appropriate containment and drainage measures are incorporated to manage such scenarios and prevent uncontrolled

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				discharge to surrounding watercourses or receptors. Section 2.4.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>"Final BESS design and site layout will have been validated through mandatory Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT) and rigorous consequence modelling to minimise the requirement for any D&WFRS intervention in a thermal runaway incident. LSFT must establish minimum equipment spacing distances that demonstrate there is no fire propagation to adjacent BESS enclosures or ESS equipment. D&WFRS intervention in worst case scenarios would typically be limited to boundary cooling of adjacent BESS and Energy Storage System (ESS) units to prevent the fire from spreading. This strategy will be finalised with D&WFRS and be clearly communicated in the Emergency Response Plan (ERP);</i> • To ensure that fire, smoke, and any release of toxic gases does not significantly impact site operatives, first responders, and the local community; and • To ensure that firewater run-off is contained and tested before release or, if necessary, removed by tanker and treated offsite." Section 5 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] covers all requisite firefighting requirements for the Scheme including fire service access, firefighting water supply, and emergency planning requirements.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Outline BSMP [APP-286] specifies that the indicative site design will accommodate as follows: <i>"The BESS Area will contain a minimum of two firefighting water storage units of no less than 228,000 litres in capacity, capable of delivering 1900 litres per minute for 4 hours (exceeding NFCC guidance)."</i> Section 5.3.2 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that: <i>"The firefighting water requirement will be fully assessed at the detailed design stage based upon BESS fire and explosion test data by an independent Fire Protection Engineer and water storage volumes will be agreed with D&WFRS during detailed design."</i> The Applicant is currently drafting a Statement of Common Ground (SOCG) with the Environment Agency (EA) on Scheme environmental protection issues including the BESS area drainage strategy. The agreed strategy document will be appended to the Outline BSMP [APP-286] at Deadline 1, to provide further assurance that all water pollution risks from a BESS fire are fully mitigated. The EA is a statutory consultee for the Outline BSMP and drainage strategies and must approve the final BSMP and drainage design at detailed design before construction of the BESS commences.
O-51	RR-1507	BESS	Respondent asked what measures are in place to ensure the evacuation of livestock in the event of a BESS fire.	The Applicant has followed National Fire Chiefs Council (NFCC) guidance and commissioned a Plume Assessment Study to model toxic gas emissions and visibility impacts from a BESS fire on all sensitive

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				receptors within a 1km radius of the BESS area. The fire emissions modelled in the report were based upon recent UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA) requests for DCO BESS projects which explore both immediate air quality impacts together with annual UK air quality requirements. The UKHSA is a statutory consultee for the Scheme and has confirmed that they are satisfied with the Applicant's submitted documentation and Plume Assessment Study. Six worst case BESS fire locations (i.e. locations within the BESS Area closest to sensitive receptors) have been modelled as depicted in the BESS Fire Emissions Modelling Report [APP-239]. The Concentrations of carbon monoxide (CO), formaldehyde, hydrogen chloride (HCl), hydrogen cyanide (HCN), hydrogen fluoride (HF), ammonia (NH₃), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and particulates from a potential BESS fire have been modelled using an air quality dispersion model to determine the likely effects on human health. A high-level visibility assessment has also been undertaken using the modelled particulates results to determine the effect of BESS fire emissions on visibility on the local road and rail network to inform the Outline Battery Safety Management Plan (BSMP) [APP-286]. The Plume Assessment Study has concluded that there are no

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				significant toxic emission or visibility impacts at all sensitive receptor locations, this also includes farmland. The Applicant has also committed to commissioning an additional Plume Analysis Study at the detailed design stage as stipulated in Section 5.5.9 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] and secured through the DCO: “<i>..at the detailed design stage a BESS system and site specific Plume Analysis study will be conducted to assess the environmental impact of a site incident to sensitive receptors within a 1 km radius. Toxic gas emissions to sensitive receptors must be below relevant public health exposure limit guidelines when the battery system of a BESS is fully consumed (burnt out), production of Particulate Matter (PM) and a visibility impact assessment on any transport links within a 1 km radius of the BESS area will also be included. The ERP produced at the detailed design stage (template outlined in Section 5.4.4) will incorporate all necessary emergency response procedures and actions based upon thermal runaway test data supplied by the BESS system provider.</i>” As now mandated under NFPA 855 (2026) the Applicant will only be able to select a BESS system that has undertaken Large Scale Fire Testing (LSFT). At the detailed design stage, the selected BESS LSFT data will be leveraged to fully inform inputs for risk assessment tools which will be utilised together with detailed consequence

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				modelling to provide a comprehensive site operations and emergency response safety audit, this is stipulated in the Outline BSMP [APP-286] pre-construction requirements (Section 6). Final comprehensive risk assessment reports, BESS design and site-specific consequence modelling, and detailed Emergency Response Plans (ERPs) can only be drafted when based upon the specific BESS design selected at the detailed design stage. Key safety content requires that all equipment within the BESS area is defined, battery system operating limits and test data are fully defined, and the BESS failure protection system is defined. Incident response tactics require significant test data and rigorous consequence modelling from the specific BESS design to develop safe protocols for incident response. This would include communications with surrounding farms to ensure that animal welfare considerations are fully addressed. Section 5.4.9 – 5.4.11 of the Outline BSMP [APP-286] stipulates that the ERP will follow NFCC and NFPA 855 (2026) guidelines and stipulates the minimum content that an ERP must contain, including: “Emergency procedures for all credible hazards and risks, including building, infrastructure and vehicle fire, wildfires, impacts on local respondents, impacts on transport infrastructure.”

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
O-52	RR-3719, RR-3421	Chemical Waste	Respondent expressed concern regarding waste management, particularly replacement or damaged PV panels. They mentioned lead, cadmium and other chemicals which could harm the environment.	The materials and waste assessment, set out in Section 20.3 in ES Volume 1, Chapter 20: Other Environmental Matters [APP-072] confirms that the Scheme will follow the waste hierarchy, prioritising reuse and recycling wherever reasonably practicable, with disposal as a last resort. An Outline Site Waste Management Plan [APP-281], Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan [APP-277], Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan [APP-278] and Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279] have been submitted with the Application, which set out how waste — including solar panels — will be managed during construction, operation and decommissioning. These documents commit to segregation of materials on-site and to the use of appropriately licensed waste contractors and facilities. On this basis, the assessment concludes that the Scheme would not give rise to significant adverse effects in relation to materials use or waste management, and that appropriate recycling and disposal routes for solar panels are available and expected to expand further over time. Requirement 19 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] secures that, prior to commencement of construction, a detailed Site Waste Management Plan must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority, and that

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				construction must be carried out in accordance with the approved plan. Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016] secures that, no part of the authorised development may commence until a construction environmental management plan for that part has been submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. Requirement 14 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016] secures that, prior to the date of final commissioning for any part of the authorised development, an operational environmental management plan for that part must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. Requirement 20 in Schedule 2 of the Draft DCO [APP-016] secures that, prior to decommissioning of the Scheme, a Decommissioning Strategy must be submitted to and approved by the relevant planning authority. The Decommissioning Strategy must be substantially in accordance with the Outline Decommissioning Strategy and ensure removal of above-ground infrastructure and appropriate management of all resulting waste, including recycling of BESS components where practicable. An assessment of potential contamination risks is provided

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 19: Ground Conditions [APP-071], which consider risks to surface water, groundwater and sensitive receptors during construction, operation and decommissioning of the Scheme. These assessments conclude that, with appropriate mitigation and management measures in place, no significant contamination effects are anticipated. The Applicant notes that the approach to assessment of risk to groundwater and surface water arising from the Scheme has been agreed with Wiltshire Council and is currently being discussed with the Environment Agency. These discussions are set out in the relevant Statement of Common Ground with Wiltshire Council to be submitted at Deadline 1 and in the relevant Statement of Common Ground with the Environment Agency which will be submitted at a later Deadline. Mitigation and management measures to protect sensitive ground and surface water receptors during the construction phase are set out in the Outline Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-277] and supporting management plans. These include pollution prevention controls, containment measures, emergency response procedures, and site-specific drainage

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				design. Management measures and mitigation to protect sensitive ground and surface water receptors during the operational phase are set out in the Outline Operational Environmental Management Plan (OEMP) [APP-278]. In particular, drainage within substation and Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) areas will incorporate lined drainage and automatically actuating shut-off valves to contain any accidental spills or firewater, preventing release to surrounding land or watercourses. Mitigation and management measures to protect sensitive ground and surface water receptors during decommissioning are set out in the Outline Decommissioning Strategy [APP-279], which includes measures to management wastes generated when removing infrastructure from the scheme. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed CEMP, substantially in accordance with the outline plan, is secured through Requirement 13 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring that contamination risks are appropriately managed throughout construction, operation and decommissioning of the Scheme.

2.16 Cumulative and In-Combination Effects

Table 2-16

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CI-01	RR-1618, RR-3724, RR-4874, RR-0347, RR-3936, RR-2184, RR-0379, RR-1829, RR-4318, RR-4407, RR-1243, RR-1136, RR-2001, RR-3745, RR-1847, RR-2783, RR-2062, RR-4879, RR-0295, RR-3687, RR-2889, RR-3297, RR-2114, RR-4192, RR-2854, RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-2681, RR-2309, RR-2131, RR-2205, RR-4262, RR-0324, RR-3258, RR-0328, RR-3364, RR-0412, RR-1306, RR-0321, RR-4466, RR-1967, RR-4584, RR-2486, RR-2924, RR-4248, RR-2553, RR-4911, RR-3222, RR-4084, RR-4922, RR-4348, RR-3567, RR-2467, RR-3783, RR-0767, RR-0276, RR-3128, RR-0002, RR-4851, RR-4338, RR-3787, RR-2076, RR-0088, RR-4666, RR-1471, RR-4278, RR-2225, RR-2734, RR-1070, RR-1899, RR-4362, RR-2103, RR-2399, RR-0672, RR-1563, RR-4622, RR-2446, RR-0602, RR-0728, RR-2171, RR-0735, RR-1513, RR-2526, RR-4662, RR-2274, RR-2214, RR-0831, RR-1466, RR-2628, RR-1407, RR-2132, RR-1106, RR-4518,	Cumulative effects	Respondents expressed concern for cumulative impact and / or the cumulative impact of solar parks and / or energy related developments in the area / Wiltshire. Some respondents expressed Wiltshire already has enough and / or too many solar parks. A number of respondents referenced that 8 out of 10 of the largest solar parks in the country are in Wiltshire.	The Applicant has undertaken a comprehensive Cumulative and In-Combination Effects Assessment in accordance with Planning Inspectorate Advice Note 17 and the relevant NPS EN-1, NPS EN-3 and NPS EN-5 requirements which are set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 21: Cumulative and In-Combination Effects [APP-073] and associated Appendix (ES Volume 3, Appendix 21-1 Long List of In-Combination Effects and Cumulative Developments [APP-264]). Interaction of the Scheme with proposed or consented but not yet built developments has been fully explored within each of the technical assessments [APP-059 to APP-072] with a summary of each of these assessments provided within ES Volume 1, Chapter 21 Cumulative and In-Combination Effects [APP-073]. Existing schemes are captured within the baseline environment. The four-stage cumulative assessment methodology is described within ES Volume 1, Chapter 6: EIA Methodology [APP-058]. 358 developments were included in Volume 3, Appendix 21-1 Long List of In-Combination Effects and Cumulative Developments [APP-264]. 41 developments are included in the short

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-0500, RR-0696, RR-1617, RR-0223, RR-3294, RR-1713, RR-2772, RR-2033, RR-1393, RR-2633, RR-0862, RR-3832, RR-3761, RR-1104, RR-3497, RR-2011, RR-1799, RR-0219, RR-0664, RR-3317, RR-3517, RR-2213, RR-3176, RR-4792, RR-0577, RR-1771, RR-3080, RR-3651, RR-0056, RR-1800, RR-2007, RR-4802, RR-0759, RR-0697, RR-2693, RR-3436, RR-3683, RR-3498, RR-4426, RR-1587, RR-4858, RR-3356, RR-1621, RR-3428, RR-2745, RR-1826, RR-4875, RR-1932, RR-3314, RR-0833, RR-3958, RR-1378, RR-1449, AS-004			list (and are therefore assessed) including a number of proposed solar and BESS developments. The list of developments included in the cumulative assessment was reviewed and developed in consultation with Wiltshire Council. Table 21-8 within ES Volume 1, Chapter 21: Cumulative and In-Combination Effects [APP-073] identifies that, taking a conservative worse case approach, significant residual cumulative effects with the short list of developments are identified at a limited and discrete number of receptors comprising tourism and recreation impacts during the construction phase on Corsham Park, PRoW WT[CORM]122, WT[HULL]29, and Sustrans Cycle Route 403, and on skylark at a District level. Skylark-specific mitigation and habitat enhancement measures are incorporated into the Scheme design to reduce effects on skylark as far as practicable and are described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061]. These include the provision of suitably large, open set-aside and grassland habitats designed to provide suitable skylark breeding and foraging conditions. These measures are illustrated in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. Such measures have been designed to reduce the anticipated

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				residual effects resulting from the Scheme from a District down to a Local level of geographic importance. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283] , is secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring skylark mitigation and long-term habitat management measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
CI-02	RR-3584, RR-3687, RR-1784, RR-1872, RR-4699, RR-2309, RR-0412, RR-2571, RR-4248, RR-3222, RR-4922, RR-4840, RR-2702, RR-4622, RR-2848, RR-3104, RR-1728, RR-4021, RR-1612, RR-1393, RR-3497, RR-3651, RR-3647, RR-4664, RR-3255, RR-2810, RR-0717, AS-004	Cumulative effects	Respondents expressed concern that cumulative effects have not been adequately considered and / or assessed.	The Cumulative and In-Combination Effects Assessment as described above has been undertaken in accordance with Planning Inspectorate Advice Note 17 and the relevant NPS EN-1, NPS EN-3 and NPS EN-5 requirements which are set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 21: Cumulative and In-Combination Effects [APP-073] and associated Appendices [APP-264]. The assessment is comprehensive, taking into consideration 358 proposed or consented schemes within the identified Zones of Influence. The long-list and short-list (provided in full in ES Volume 3, Appendix 21-1 Long List of In-Combination Effects and Cumulative Developments [APP-264]) has been developed and agreed in consultation with Wiltshire Council as set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 21: Cumulative and In-Combination Effects [APP-073].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CI-03	RR-0002	Cumulative effects	Respondent expressed concern that the cable corridor would be used to facilitate further projects not yet in the planning process.	The Applicant is seeking to secure the consents for the Grid Connection Works via the DCO application through Works Nos. 3, 4 and 5 as set out in Schedule 1 of the Draft DCO [APP-016] . The grid connection works relate solely to the Scheme proposed within the Application. No works are proposed that would facilitate further projects not yet in the planning process.
CI-04	RR-4987	Cumulative effects	Respondent expressed concern with an existing solar farm near Tidworth, which has seen impacts such as construction potholes and litter. A project on an even larger scale would cause more significant impacts.	Impacts and effects from the Scheme are identified and assessed within the technical discipline studies of the Environmental Statement [APP-059 - APP-072]. With regard to potholes, a pre-construction road condition survey will be carried out on the local highway network before the construction phase commences. Interim surveys and a completion survey will be undertaken to identify any additional defects that can reasonably be attributable to construction activities at the Site. Any identified highways defects resulting from construction activities will be corrected to the satisfaction of the local highway authority. These measures are set out within Section 6.12 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] and are secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. With regard to litter, the Outline Site Waste Management Plan [APP-281] secured via Requirement 19 in the Draft

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] sets out the measures that will be implemented by the Principal Contractor on behalf of the Applicant to manage waste. The Principal Contractor will adopt good practice measures which go beyond statutory compliance. This may include good practice measures set out in construction industry guidance for example, guidance from the Considerate Constructors Scheme (CCS) and the Construction Industry Research and Information Association (CIRIA) .
CI-05	RR-4217	Cumulative effects	Respondent noted Lyneham, Hullavington, Colerne, Kemble, Yatesbury, Wroughton as existing sites with solar panels that should be considered in assessments.	The Applicant notes reference to existing solar sites. Solar and battery storage sites that are built and in operation in Wiltshire, including those listed in the locations by the respondent, are taken into consideration within the baseline assessment of each of the ES Chapters [APP-059 - APP-072] and associated Appendices. Proposed solar (and non-solar) developments are also considered within the Environmental Statement discipline Chapters [APP-059 - APP-072] with a summary of inter-actions and effects provided within ES Volume 1, Chapter 21: In-Combination and Cumulative Effects [APP-073] .
CI-06	RR-3497	Cumulative effects	Respondent stated that cumulative impact of the cable and wider grid works appears under-assessed in the documentation.	The Cumulative and In-Combination Effects Assessment is based on a maximum worst case scenario and has been undertaken in accordance with Planning Inspectorate Advice Note 17 and the relevant NPS EN-1, NPS EN-3 and NPS EN-5 requirements which are set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 21: In-Combination and Cumulative Effects 21

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				[APP-073] and associated appendix, ES Volume 3, Appendix: Long List of InCombination Effects and Cumulative Developments [APP-264]. 358 developments were included in the Long List [APP-264]. 41 developments are included in the short list including a number of proposed solar and BESS developments in proximity to the grid corridor. The location of the developments considered and their proximity to the grid corridor is shown on ES Volume 2, Figure 21-1: Location of Short List Cumulative Developments [APP-178]. Taking a conservative worse case approach, significant cumulative effects with the short list of developments are identified at a limited and discrete number of receptors comprising tourism and recreation impacts during the construction phase on Corsham Park, PRoW WT[CORM]122, WT[HULL]29, and Sustrans Cycle Route 403, and on skylark at a District level.
CI-07	RR-0056	Cumulative effects	Respondents expressed concern for the cumulative land-take in Wiltshire as a result of the Scheme and housing developments.	Based on the 41 developments (including proposed housing developments) included on the short list set out in Table 21-4 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 21: In-Combination and Cumulative Effects 21 [APP-073] significant cumulative effects are identified primarily as a result of construction activities at a limited and discrete number of receptors comprising tourism and recreation impacts during the construction phase on Corsham Park, PRoW WT[CORM]122, WT[HULL]29, and Sustrans Cycle Route 403, and on skylark at a District level. The cumulative assessments undertaken for each

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				discipline are set out within each of the ES Volume 1, Chapters [APP-059 - APP-072] and a summary is provided within ES Chapter 21 Cumulative and In-Combination Effects [APP-073]. More generally, the Applicant has sought to avoid the use of land allocated for housing when selecting the site for development, so as not to conflict with that potential use. Consequently, the Solar PV Sites are not allocated for housing locations identified within Wiltshire's adopted or emerging local plans. Any application for their use for housing would have to consider their suitability for that use in line with planning policy.
CI-08	RR-1003	Flood risk	Respondent requested a cumulative impact flood assessment.	The Applicant acknowledges the request for consideration of cumulative flood risk impacts. The potential effects of the Scheme on hydrology and flood risk, including cumulative effects with other developments, have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 11: Hydrology, Flood Risk and Drainage [APP-063], supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 11-1 to 11-9: Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy [APP-210 to APP-218]. The assessment considers the scale and nature of the Scheme and concludes that it would not increase runoff rates or volumes leaving the Site and would not give rise to increased flood risk within the wider catchment. Accordingly, no significant cumulative flood risk effects are identified.
CI-09	RR-2031, RR-0320, RR-3415, RR-1087, RR-2702, RR-0870, RR-4021	Ecology	Respondents expressed that the cumulative ecological	The ecological cumulative effects assessment is provided within ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			impact has not been adequately addressed.	[APP-061]. For individual Important Ecological Features, the cumulative effect assessment identifies where the assessed effects of the Scheme could interact with effects arising from other plans and/or projects within a 10km Study Area on a spatial and/or temporal basis. No ecological cumulative effects are identified with the exception of effects on skylark. Assuming a worse-case scenario where high numbers of skylarks are displaced by proposed projects within the ecology Zone of Influence (Zoi), a significant adverse effect is identified at a District level through combined displacement skylark territories. Skylark-specific mitigation and habitat enhancement measures are incorporated into the Scheme design to mitigate and reduce effects on skylark as far as practicable and are described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061]. These include the provision of suitably large, open set-aside and grassland habitats designed to provide suitable skylark breeding and foraging conditions. These measures are illustrated in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283], is secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] , ensuring skylark mitigation and long-term habitat management measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme.
CI-10	RR-2731, RR-3517	Agricultural land	Respondents expressed concern regarding the cumulative impact of this Scheme and other developments using agricultural / BMV land.	The cumulative impact of the Scheme on agricultural / BMV land has been assessed in Section 17-3 and Table 17-8 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 17: Soils and Agriculture [APP-069]. If all of the 26 projects listed in Section 17-13 progressed, there would be a cumulative permanent loss of approximately 88 ha of agricultural land, mostly arable, and a cumulative temporary but long-term loss of an additional 720 ha of agricultural land, also mostly in arable use. Together with the Scheme's solar sites (712 ha), this would represent the permanent (88 ha) or long-term temporary loss (720 ha) to agriculture of approximately 1,520 ha, which represents 0.5% of agricultural land in Wiltshire. The Written Ministerial Statement <i>Solar and protecting our Food Security and Best and Most Versatile (BMV) Land</i> of May 2024 indicated under the subheading of 'Addressing Cumulative Impacts' that, even in the most ambitious scenarios, the area that would be needed for solar PV generation would occupy less than 1% of the UK's agricultural land. Given that the cumulative proportions of the total agricultural area and the total BMV area of the Scheme with other projects is half that

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				of the most ambitious scenario for the country, which is in itself seen as 'very small' in the WMS, these effects are not significant.
CI-11	RR-1596, RR-1799, RR-1356, RR-1940	Transport and access	Respondent expressed concern regarding the cumulative impact of the Scheme and other developments on traffic and road users.	Cumulative schemes identified in ES Volume 1, Chapter 21: Cumulative and In-Combination Effects have been reviewed. Those schemes which have the potential to result in cumulative effects on Transport and Access within the Study Area are set out in Table 13-44 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. The remaining schemes are not considered to have cumulative effects on Transport and Access within the Study Area. The traffic flows on the links associated with the construction phase of the Scheme are low, and so the severity of effects from the Scheme are unchanged by cumulative schemes. This is particularly the case given that the impacts of the construction phase are temporary in nature and that measures set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] will ensure that construction traffic avoids the AM and PM highway peaks. These measures are secured in the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016]. The TEMPro growth factor applied within the assessment to establish the future baseline year also allows for increased future flows and, therefore, inherently considers those from permitted and allocated developments.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CI-12	RR-1799	Transport and access	Respondent expresses concern regarding the cumulative traffic impact to Yatton Keynell as a result of the Scheme and Leigh Delamere Solar Farm.	The Applicant understands the concern regarding cumulative traffic impacts on Yatton Keynell particularly with regards to Leigh Delamere Solar Farm located approximately 1.3km from the Order Limits of the Scheme. The transport cumulative effects assessment is provided within ES Volume 1, Chapter 13 Transport and Access [APP-065], Section 13.13. This approach is in line with the methodology detailed in Section 6.8 of ES Volume 1, Chapter 6: Environmental Impact Assessment Methodology [APP-058] which has been undertaken in accordance with Planning Inspectorate Advice Note 17 and the relevant NPS EN-1, NPS EN-3 and NPS EN-5 requirements. Leigh Delamere Solar Farm is included within the shortlist of Schemes (ID: 221 of Table 21-8) presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 21: Cumulative and In-Combination Effects [APP-073] which has been reviewed and approved by Wiltshire Council. The assessment with regards to Transport and Access concludes that due to the construction of Leigh Delamere having already begun and therefore likely to be built out before construction of Scheme commences, there are no potential cumulative effects identified as a result with interaction with the Scheme.
CI-13	RR-0253	Ecology	Respondent requested cumulative assessment of adverse effects at regional and sub-regional scales on	The Applicant has conducted a comprehensive Cumulative and In-Combination Effects Assessment in accordance with Planning Inspectorate Advice Note 17 and the relevant NPS EN-

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			biodiversity and landscape, as set out in EN-1.	1, NPS EN-3 and NPS EN-5 requirements which are set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 21: Cumulative and In-Combination Effects [APP-073] and associated appendix, ES Volume 3, Appendix: Long List of In-Combination Effects and Cumulative Developments [APP-264]. Interaction with proposed or consented but not yet built developments has been fully explored within each of the technical assessments [APP-059 - APP-072] with a summary of each of these assessments provided within ES Volume 1, Chapter 21 Cumulative and In-Combination Effects [APP-073]. Existing schemes are captured within the baseline environment. The four-stage cumulative assessment methodology is described within ES Volume 1, Chapter 6: EIA Methodology [APP-058]. 358 developments were included in the Volume 3, Appendix 21-1 Long List of In-Combination Effects and Cumulative Developments [APP-264]. 41 developments are included in the short list (and are therefore assessed) including a number of proposed solar and BESS developments. The list of developments included in the cumulative assessment was reviewed and developed in consultation with Wiltshire Council. The ecological cumulative effects assessment is provided within ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061]. For individual Important

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Ecological Features (IEFS), the cumulative effect assessment identifies where the assessed effects of the Scheme could interact with effects arising from other plans and/or projects on a spatial and/or temporal basis. The assessment has applied an appropriate Zone of Influence (Zol) within which other projects have been identified and considered for their potential to result in additional impacts and/or increase the magnitude of effect for impacts already identified as likely to result from the Scheme. The Zol has taken into consideration the maximum extent of direct and indirect effects on ecology from sources of impact, as well as typical home ranges and foraging distances for species of wildlife, including highly mobile species such as species of bats, birds, and large mammals. No ecological cumulative effects are identified with the exception of effects on skylark. Assuming a worst-case scenario where high numbers of skylarks are displaced by proposed projects within the ecology Zone of Influence (Zol), a significant adverse effect is identified at a District level through combined displacement of skylark territories. Skylark-specific mitigation and habitat enhancement measures are incorporated into the Scheme design to mitigate and reduce effects on skylark as far as practicable and are described in ES Volume 1, Chapter 9: Ecology and Biodiversity [APP-061]. These include the provision of suitably large, open set-aside and grassland habitats designed to

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				provide suitable skylark breeding and foraging conditions. These measures are illustrated in ES Volume 2, Figure 3-4: Landscape and Ecological Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and detailed in the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283]. The preparation, approval and implementation of the detailed Landscape and Ecological Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline LEMP [APP-283], is secured through Requirement 7 in Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016], ensuring skylark mitigation and long-term habitat management measures are delivered and maintained throughout the lifetime of the Scheme. The Landscape Cumulative Assessment of the Scheme is set out in Section 4 of ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-3-2-2: Landscape and Visual Assessment Sheets (Significant) [APP 191]. The Study Area was extended to 10km for the Cumulative Assessment and encompasses the regional and sub-regional scales of landscape character. The LVIA includes an assessment of the cumulative effects of the five Solar Sites making up the Scheme (intra-project cumulative effects) to ensure the effects of the Scheme as a whole are understood. It also assesses the cumulative effects of the Scheme in combination with other similar developments in the area. The full detailed LVIA methodology is set out in ES Volume

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				3, Appendix 8.1: Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment Methodology [APP-187] and ES Volume 1, Chapter 6: Environmental Impact Assessment Methodology [APP-058]. The Assessment concluded there would be Moderate Adverse (Significant) landscape effects within 1km Local Study Area during Construction and Year 1. However, there are no cumulative development sites within the 1km Study Area and the significant effects are derived solely from the Lime Down Scheme. The Scheme effects included consideration of the existing Hullavington Solar Farm within 1 km Local Study Area as part of the baseline. No significant effects were recorded within the 2km Wider Study Area, the 5km Study Area or the 10km Study Area due to the distances between the Sites and the intimate character of the landscape which restricts intervisibility. In visual terms, the Cumulative Visual Effects have been assessed within the Assessment of Effects on Visual Receptors, including Private, Public and Transport receptors in ES Volume 3, Appendix 8-3 Landscape and Visual Assessment Sheets [APP-189-192]. No visual relationship was recorded between any of the identified Cumulative Development Sites and visual receptors. As such there are no significant cumulative visual effects. Mitigation measures to address landscape and visual effects are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Visual [APP-060], Figure 3-4-1 to 3-4-5.2 Landscape and Ecology Mitigation Plan [APP-084] and secured within the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (OLEMP) [APP-283] and the Outline Ecological Protection and Mitigation Strategy (EPMS) [APP-284]. These documents include proposals for new and enhanced planting, retention of existing hedgerows and woodland, and landscape integration measures to reduce visual effects over time.
CI-14	RR-4668	Site selection process	Respondent suggested that Hullavington is already home to many solar panels which have a significant impact already. Further panels would increase the negative impact.	The Applicant notes reference to existing solar sites in Hullavington. Solar and battery storage sites that are built and in operation in Wiltshire, including the solar site at Hill Hayes Lane, Hullavington are taken into consideration within the baseline assessment of each of the ES Chapters [APP-059 - APP-072] and associated appendix, ES Volume 3, Appendix: Long List of In-Combination Effects and Cumulative Developments [APP-264] [APP-264]. Proposed solar (and on solar) developments are also considered within the ES chapters [APP-059 - APP-072] with a summary of inter-actions and effects provided within ES Volume 1, Chapter 21: In-Combination and Cumulative Effects [APP-073]. The assessment presented in Chapter 21 identifies that, taking a conservative worse case approach, significant cumulative effects with the short list of developments are identified at a limited and discrete number of receptors comprising tourism

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				and recreation impacts during the construction phase on Corsham Park, PRoW WT CORM 122, WT HULL 29, and Sustrans Cycle Route 403, and on skylark at a District level.
CI-15	RR-1378, RR-0717	Policy Compliance	One respondent states that the cumulative impact of the Scheme and nearby energy-related developments is contrary to local and national policy, which emphasise the need to protect landscapes and avoid cumulative harm. One respondent states that, taken together, the scale, location, traffic impact, ecological harm, and precedent-setting nature of the Scheme, make it contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework and local planning policies.	A comprehensive Cumulative and In-Combination Effects Assessment has been undertaken in accordance with Planning Inspectorate Advice Note 17 and the relevant NPS EN-1, NPS EN-3, NPS EN-5 requirements and the Wiltshire Core Strategy (which require consideration and assessment of cumulative effects). Details of the assessment are set out within ES Volume 1, Chapter 21: Cumulative and In-Combination Effects [APP-074] and associated Appendices [APP-264]. The Scheme has therefore complied with requirements of the relevant planning policy. The cumulative assessment concludes that for the majority of the environmental disciplines, no significant cumulative effects are identified. The assessment presented in ES Chapter 21 [APP-074] identifies that, taking a conservative worse case approach, significant cumulative effects with the short list of developments are identified at a limited and discrete number of receptors comprising tourism and recreation impacts during the construction phase on Corsham Park, PRoW WT CORM 122, PRoW WT HULL 29, and Sustrans Cycle Route 403, and on skylark at a District level.

2.17 Consultation and Engagement

Table 2-17

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CE-01	RR-4677, RR-3584, RR-2184, RR-4088, RR-0575, RR-1847, RR-1003, RR-2356, RR-3222, RR-1244, RR-2136, RR-4342, RR-0002, RR-0088, RR-2498, RR-0226, RR-2474, RR-2873, RR-1142, RR-3667, RR-1070, RR-2550, RR-2103, RR-1883, RR-2736, RR-1728, RR-3133, RR-2407, RR-0044, RR-1406, RR-0053, RR-4368, RR-1393, RR-2673, RR-0497, RR-3857, RR-1888, RR-1193, RR-3497, RR-3416, RR-4792, RR-1708, RR-3554, RR-3825, RR-3651, RR-3487, RR-1380, RR-1800, RR-4630, RR-0989, RR-4104, RR-1621, RR-3014, RR-1016, RR-2080, RR-1385, RR-4416, RR-3920, RR-0876, RR-1596	Adequacy of consultation	Respondents expressed general dissatisfaction with the consultation process and/or that consultation on the Scheme was inadequate and/or misleading. Some respondents expressed that images on website are misleading. Some respondents expressed that consultation materials were unclear, hard to read, inaccessible due to their individual size/detail, and/or misleading. Some respondents expressed dissatisfaction with the level of detail consulted on during the pre-application phase. Some respondents specified dissatisfaction with the level of detail on the location of the cable route.	The Applicant considers that the statutory consultation has been robust, thorough and undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the Planning Act 2008, the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) regulations, and the Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009. The Applicant considers the materials provided were adequate and informative, and presented information using standard mapping conventions. The acceptance of the Applicant's application for examination by the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) serves as confirmation that the Applicant has fulfilled its statutory pre-application duties. This process included a thorough assessment of the Consultation Report [APP-022], which details the Applicant's approach to pre-application consultation. Throughout the consultation period, anyone was welcome and encouraged to get in touch through any of the free-to-use communication channels provided, including email, freephone, and post. Respondents were also invited to attend the in-person and virtual community

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				information events, where they could view the maps and ask questions or seek clarification directly to the Project team. The Applicant also notes that the examination process provides a further opportunity for interested parties to comment on the Scheme. This examination is managed by an independently appointed Examining Authority. Further to this, the Applicant provided an extended Relevant Representation period beyond the minimum statutory timeframe to allow sufficient time for members of the public to review the submitted application documents and register as an interested party. As set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 3: The Scheme [APP-055], the routing of the Grid Connection Cables and interconnecting cables is defined within a Cable Route Corridor, rather than a fixed alignment, to allow for appropriate design flexibility. It is necessary that there will be some flexibility built into the design of the Scheme so that the detailed design work can be informed by technical considerations, post-consent work, and take advantage of innovation in technology (ES Chapter 13, Paragraph 3.2.22). This approach reflects the Rochdale Envelope principles adopted for the ES, whereby the cable route is assessed within a defined corridor to ensure that all likely significant environmental effects are

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				considered on a worst-case basis. The final alignment will be determined through the detailed design stage within the parameters assessed and secured through the Development Consent Order (DCO). Notwithstanding this, the Applicant provided information on, and consulted on, the cable route search corridor throughout the pre-application phase. Initial non-statutory consultation presented broad corridor options, which were subsequently refined at statutory consultation. This was an iterative process, with updates provided to the community and stakeholders as the design evolved, including through consultation materials and project newsletters. In recognition of consultee interest in the cable route, following statutory consultation, a Summer Newsletter also provided an update on the ongoing refinement of the Cable Route Search Corridor presented within the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR), presented in Consultation Report Appendix I-5: Targeted Consultation [APP-051].
CE-02	RR-1070	Additional consultation	Respondents requested another stage of consultation on the submitted application documents and/or dissatisfaction that another stage of consultation was not held.	The Applicant considers that the statutory consultation has been robust, thorough and undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the Planning Act 2008, the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) regulations, and the Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009. For this reason, the Applicant did not hold a further round of pre-application consultation.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Furthermore, acceptance of the Applicant's application for examination by the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) serves as confirmation that the Applicant has fulfilled its statutory pre-application duties. The Applicant also notes that the examination process provides a further opportunity for interested parties to comment on the Scheme. This examination is managed by an independently appointed Examining Authority. Further to this, the Applicant provided an extended Relevant Representation period beyond the minimum statutory timeframe to allow sufficient time for members of the public to review the submitted application documents and register as an interested party.
CE-03	RR-2103	Targeted consultation	Respondents expressed that the targeted consultation was not open to the public and/or it was unclear that the consultation was open to the public. Some respondents noted the comments submitted by Wiltshire Council on this (in its Adequacy of Consultation Representation) and/or by the Planning Inspectorate (in its Section 51 advice).	The Applicant refers to its response outlined in the pages 1-4 of the table contained in the Applicant's Response to Section 51 and Section 55 following Acceptance [AS-002]. The Applicant also notes that the examination process provides a further opportunity for interested parties to comment on the Scheme. This examination is managed by an independently appointed Examining Authority.
CE-04	RR-1398, RR-0126, RR-2794, RR-1244, RR-2673	Project team	Respondents expressed that the Applicant team lacked knowledge and/or were unable to answer questions during	The Applicant provided a broad range of Project team members at the information events, including Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) specialists and Island

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			information events and/or webinars. Some respondents noted that the Applicant team provided contradictory or inaccurate information to questions.	Green Power representatives, to ensure coverage of a wide range of aspects of the Scheme. Where questions could not be answered on the day, attendees were invited to leave their contact details, and the Applicant followed up after the event to provide the requested information. This approach was designed to ensure all queries received an informed response, even if not immediately available at the event.
CE-05	RR-0648, RR-3858, RR-0088	Maps	Respondent expressed that consultation maps were deliberately misleading by removing Malmesbury and the A429.	The Applicant considers that the consultation materials, including maps, were adequate and informative, and were prepared using standard mapping conventions appropriate to the scale and purpose of the material. More detailed Ordnance Survey and technical mapping, including the location of Malmesbury and the A429, was available within the full suite of Preliminary Environmental Information Report documents published on the Scheme website, and at in-person consultation events and Community Access Points (CAPs) for inspection. The Applicant can confirm that Malmesbury was not omitted from the consultation process. The town and civil parish of Malmesbury formed part of the Core Consultation Zone during both the Stage Two (statutory) consultation (January–March 2025) and the subsequent targeted consultation. Over 14,000 properties within this Core Consultation Zone were contacted to publicise and encourage participation in these consultations.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Core Consultation Zone was defined in the Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC), which was made available on the Scheme website. Further detail is provided in Consultation Report [APP-022] , Section 6.4.
CE-06	RR-2184	Consultation	Respondent expressed that results from the 2025 consultation remain unpublished.	The Consultation Report [APP-022] was published when the Application was accepted for Examination on 17 October 2025. The Consultation Report [APP-022] provides information on both the Stage Two Statutory Consultation (undertaken between 29 January to 19 March 2025) and the Targeted Consultation (held between 3 June to 11 July 2025). It also provides an overview of key themes of feedback received during the Stage One community consultation, held between 14 March and 26 April 2024. The Applicant also published a newsletter in October 2025 which provided an overview of the submitted proposals and how consultation feedback had been considered.
CE-07	RR-2173	Adequacy of consultation	Respondent stated that the consultation process has not adequately shown the potential impact on transport routes in and out of surrounding villages.	The PEIR, which was consulted on during the Statutory Consultation, set out initial findings of the EIA process. The Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] provides further details regarding potential impacts on transport routes. This includes ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] and ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233] which outline the assessments undertaken to date, the proposed transport routes and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				any necessary mitigation measures to avoid or minimise impacts to surrounding villages. These mitigation measures are provided in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] which are secured by Requirements through the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016] .
CE-08	RR-4749	Misinformation	Respondent expressed concern that the opposition group Stop Lime Down has been spreading misinformation about the Scheme.	The Applicant acknowledges the concern regarding the potential spread of inaccurate information and confirms that the Scheme must be determined based on the technical assessments, design parameters and mitigation measures presented in the submitted documents. Full and accurate details regarding the Scheme's design, impacts, and proposed mitigation are contained within the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] , which provides a comprehensive assessment of likely significant effects across all relevant environmental topics. Ultimately, any representations made during the examination process will be considered by the Examining Authority alongside the formal evidence submitted.
CE-09	RR-0108	Consultation Materials	Respondent stated that the original material issued by the developers indicated a much smaller scale of work implying that the project would easily blend into the local countryside.	The Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA), presented in ES Volume 1, Chapter 8: Landscape and Visual [APP-060] , provides the assessment of landscape impacts and mitigation measures that will be utilised to minimise these impacts. The PEIR presented initial findings of the LVIA, including visualisations of what the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Scheme could look like through its lifespan. Mitigation measures for landscape and visual impacts are secured through the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Plan (LEMP) [APP-283], which is secured by Requirement through the Draft Development Consent Order (DCO) [APP-016].
CE-10	RR-0002	Adequacy of consultation	Respondent stated that the consultation process was insufficiently publicised.	The Applicant publicised the Stage Two statutory consultation and information events in line with statutory guidance and the commitments set out in the Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC). This included mailing a consultation information leaflet to around 14,187 addresses within the core consultation zone, publishing a formal Section 48 Notice in regional and national newspapers, issuing a media release to regional and local media outlets, and sending posters with details of the information events to a number of local information points. Further details on the Applicant's approach to publicising the Stage Two consultation can be found in Chapters 6-7 of the Consultation Report [APP-022].
CE-11	RR-0002	Consultation Materials	Respondent stated that the consultation process was overly technical.	The Applicant provided a range of consultation materials, in accordance with legislative requirements. In addition to technical documents, such as the PEIR, the Applicant also produced non-technical documents to present this information. This included the PEIR Non-Technical Summary (NTS) which provided an overview of the information and findings set out in the PEIR. A full list of the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Applicant's statutory consultation materials that were available on the Applicant's website and in hard copy at Community Access Points can be found in Chapter 7 of the Consultation Report [APP-022]. The Applicant also made available Scheme communication channels and held 10 information events to ensure members of the community could get in touch to discuss any technical information. Finally, the Applicant has prepared the ES Volume 4, Non-Technical Summary (NTS) [APP-265], which is specifically designed to summarise the ES in an accessible and non-technical format.
CE-12	RR-0088	Adequacy of consultation	Respondent stated that the consultation regarding the underground cable route and environmental impacts was inadequate.	<u>Cable Route Corridor</u> The Applicant considers that the statutory consultation has been robust, thorough and undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the Planning Act 2008, the EIA regulations, and the Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009. The acceptance of the Applicant's application for examination by the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) serves as confirmation that the Applicant has fulfilled its statutory pre-application duties. This process included a thorough assessment of the Consultation Report [APP-022], which details the Applicant's approach to pre-application consultation.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				For Stage Two statutory consultation, the Applicant identified and invited feedback on an area of land (the Cable Route Search Corridor) which covered approximately 1,947 ha of land within which the Cable Route Corridor would be sited. Details of the Cable Route Corridor (as refined for the DCO application submission) can be found in ES Volume 1, Chapter 2: The Order Limits [APP-054]. More information regarding the selection of the Cable Route Corridor is contained within ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056]. In recognition of consultee interest in the Cable Route Search Corridor, the Applicant committed to providing updates on its proposals for the Search, including the Cable Route Search Corridor. On 3 June 2025, the Applicant published a Summer Newsletter on the Scheme website and issued this to over 14,000+ addresses located in the Core Consultation Zone. The Newsletter provided an update on the ongoing work being undertaken to refine the Cable Route Search Corridor as presented in the PEIR and introduced the Targeted Consultation on minor amendments to the development boundary of the Scheme. This presented an opportunity for recipients to provide comments on the updates regarding the Cable Route Corridor should they have further feedback. The Summer Newsletter

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				is provided in Consultation Report Appendix I-1: Targeted Consultation [APP-047]. <u>Environmental Impacts</u> The Applicant has undertaken extensive environmental assessments as part of the development of the Scheme. For Stage Two consultation, the findings from the assessments completed to date were set out in the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR). The PEIR provided information about the potential effects the Scheme would have on the environment. It included assessments as to how the Scheme could impact things like local wildlife, air and water quality, noise levels and the surrounding community. It also set out details of proposed measures to reduce or manage those impacts. The PEIR is different to the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] which accompanies the DCO application. The PEIR set out the findings of environmental assessments as they were available at the point of consultation. The Applicant considers this to have been an appropriate level for pre-application consultation, to provide an opportunity to influence the development of the Scheme. The ES is the final detailed report produced after the PEIR. It includes more comprehensive assessments and

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				considers feedback received through statutory consultation. The ES provides a more detailed assessment of environmental impacts and mitigation measures and will be interrogated as part of the statutory examination process.
CE-13	RR-0088	Adequacy of consultation	Respondent stated that there was a lack of clarity about impacts and exclusion of certain geographies.	The Applicant considers that the statutory consultation process has been robust, thorough and undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the Planning Act 2008. The acceptance of the Applicant's application for examination by the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) serves as confirmation that the Applicant has fulfilled its statutory pre-application duties. This process included a thorough assessment of the Consultation Report [APP-022], which details the Applicant's approach to pre-application consultation. ES Volume 1, Chapter 2: The Order Limits [APP-054] sets out the precise boundaries of the Scheme, and the potential effects of the development within these limits are assessed in detail across the subsequent technical chapters. The Applicant refers to its response to reference ID CE-12 above in relation to the assessment of impacts.
CE-14	RR-3959	Adequacy of consultation	Respondent stated that there was no proper consultation on the cable route, with most of the community not knowing the possible impact.	The Applicant refers to its response above to reference ID CE-12 in relation to the cable route corridor.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CE-15	RR-2460	Adequacy of consultation	Respondent is happy with the Applicant's efforts to engage with the community and refine the Scheme in response to feedback. They note that adjustments made to the layout, screening and mitigation indicate a genuine attempt to balance national need with local impact.	The Applicant welcomes this comment and thanks the respondent for their feedback.
CE-16	RR-1142	Consultation / DCO Process	Respondent referred to the consultation as a tick box exercise.	The Applicant is required, under Section 49(2) of the Planning Act, to have regard to all relevant responses received during statutory consultation when finalising the application for development consent. The Consultation Report [APP-022] and its Appendices [APP-023 to APP-051] provide a detailed account of how consultation feedback, including prescribed consultees and public comments, has been considered and, where appropriate, how they have influenced the evolution of the Scheme. Consultation feedback has led to changes to the design of the Scheme through both non-statutory consultation and statutory consultation. A summary of the feedback to the Stage One non-statutory consultation and the Applicant's response is provided in Consultation Report Appendix C: Non-statutory consultation [APP-031]. Feedback received during the statutory consultation under Section 47 is summarised in Consultation Report

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Appendix F-7: Statutory Consultation under Section 47 of the Planning Act [APP-040] and Consultation Report: Appendix G-5 Statutory Consultation under Section 42 [APP-045]. The Applicant emphasises that consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the Planning Act 2008, the associated regulations, and the Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC), ensuring that feedback has been an integral part of the iterative design process. The SoCC is provided in Consultation Report Appendix E: Publication and Compliance with Statement of Community Consultation [APP-033].
CE-17	RR-4164	Adequacy of consultation	The respondent seconds Stop Lime Down's submission regarding adequacy of consultation.	The Applicant notes this comments and refers to the Applicant's response to Stop Lime Down's Relevant Representation provided in the Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations (Part 1) [PDA-009] , submitted at Deadline A.
CE-18	RR-2171	Project team	Respondent expressed concern that the proposed cable route crosses the only access to their and neighbouring properties, and stated that the Applicant has not answered questions on how access will be maintained during construction.	The Applicant acknowledges the concerns raised by the respondent and remains committed to undertaking reasonable and appropriate engagement with stakeholders to understand the impacts of the design and discuss reasonable and proportionate mitigation measures. Broomfield Road is crossed by the cable route corridor and is shown on the Works Plan [APP-007] Sheet 10 and Streets Plan [APP-008] Sheet 10 . The works in this location would include excavation across the road to lay the cable. Construction traffic management in this area will be addressed in the final

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP), to be agreed with Wiltshire Council as highway authority, as set out in section 2.4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] . The Applicant anticipates that access would be maintained during construction through the use of appropriate traffic management measures, including banksmen and temporary trench covers or over-ridable panels where necessary. Works would also be undertaken outside highway network peak hours where possible. The cable route was included in the consultation undertaken between 29 January and 19 March 2025.
CE-19	RR-3684	Adequacy of consultation	Respondent expressed concern with 'unknown factors' and noted that there has been significant hidden information that has not been disclosed.	The findings of the environmental surveys undertaken ahead of the Applicant's Stage Two statutory consultation, which launched in January 2025, were published in the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR). The PEIR was available to view throughout the Stage Two consultation period, and the Applicant welcomed feedback on its content. A further round of Targeted Consultation was held between June and July 2025, providing the opportunity to comment on minor amendments to the development boundary of the Scheme. The Targeted Consultation Information Change Note, provided in Consultation Report Appendix I-3: Targeted Consultation [APP-049], presented the details of these changes and if this led to any further impacts that were not reported in the PEIR, which was not the case.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Applicant has published all findings of the environmental assessments and design work in the Environmental Statement (ES) [APP-052 to APP-265] which is available to view and comment on throughout the Examination process.
CE-20	RR-3487	Consultation Materials	Respondent expressed concern regarding the volume of technical and Scheme information materials. They stated that this hindered meaningful community engagement.	The Applicant refers to its response to reference ID CE-11 above.
CE-21	RR-0824	Adequacy of consultation Consultation Materials	Respondent states that the Applicant withheld information during both stages of the public consultation, particularly regarding construction vehicle routes, planned roadworks, and the existence of a proposed link road to Site E. - Respondent stated that this was despite having detailed route plans, such as the A429 Section 58 roadworks and the link-road option, before the March 2024 Stage 1 consultation began; - that IGP presented unrealistic HGV routes in consultations while privately advancing the link-road proposal,	In relation to the timing and availability of information on construction vehicle routes, the general construction routes serving the Solar PV Sites were presented at statutory consultation (Stage Two). Detailed information regarding traffic and access, including figures and proposed mitigation measures, was provided within PEIR Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access, alongside Figure 13-1 and 13-5 in PEIR Volume 2. These documents, including Figure 13-1 which shows the proposed construction vehicle route, were publicly available from the launch of the consultation on 29 January 2025 via the Scheme website, at Community Access Points, and at all in-person events. With regard to the A4209 Section 58 roadworks, the referenced works at Hullavington (commencing on 29 January 2024) are unrelated to the Scheme. These works comprised carriageway resurfacing

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			only revealing it at one in-person event before removing it from later displays; - that vehicle numbers and construction access details were omitted from the Non-Technical Summary and public-facing documents, while the final proposal involves involved approximately 20,000 HGV and 73,000 service-vehicle movements per year; - that subsequent targeted consultation materials in June altered the primary HGV route to match the link-road alignment while the Applicant publicly claimed no changes had been made; - and that concerns raised by the local MP in July 2025 regarding withheld transport and land-purchase information were met with dismissive and misleading public responses from the Applicant, reinforcing the view that the	and associated maintenance undertaken by Wiltshire Council and do not form part of, or influence, the Applicant's proposal. In respect of the alleged link road, Lime Down E will be served by an access located off the A429. This is internal to the site, and not a link road. This access is located off of the general construction route presented at Stage Two. The Applicant does not agree that any such infrastructure was withheld or misrepresented. In relation to the presentation of construction routes and associated information, Figure 13-1 was displayed at in-person information events within the printed PEIR and through additional large-format copies prepared to support discussion. Following feedback at the Sherston and Hullavington events, minor aesthetic improvements were made to these supplementary materials to enhance legibility. These changes were purely presentational and did not alter the construction routes, which remained consistent with those published in the PEIR. With regard to the provision of vehicle numbers and construction details, this information was included within the PEIR documentation, specifically within PEIR Volume 1, Chapter 13: Traffic and Access, and was therefore publicly available throughout the consultation period. The Applicant considers that sufficient detail

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			statutory consultation was not transparent, did not present full and accurate information, and was structured to achieve the Applicant's predetermined outcome.	was provided at the appropriate stage, proportionate to the level of design development at that time. In relation to the targeted consultation, this exercise focused on fifteen specific and relatively minor changes to the development boundary compared to that presented at Stage 2 consultation. These changes did not alter the primary construction routes as presented in PEIR Figure 13-1, and the Applicant does not agree that there was any inconsistency in how this information was communicated. Updated and detailed information on construction traffic, including construction vehicle routes, trip generation, vehicle numbers and the assessment of likely effects, is provided within ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. This is supported by ES Volume 3, Appendix 13-1: Transport Assessment [APP-233], which includes detailed trip distribution data (including Table 13-18), construction traffic flows and technical assessment of impacts. Construction routes are further defined within Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-287], which sets out the approved routing strategy, delivery controls and traffic management measures, including vehicle routing, scheduling and liaison with the local highway authority. The preparation, approval and implementation

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				of the final Construction Traffic Management Plan, substantially in accordance with the Outline CTMP, is secured through Requirement 15 of Schedule 2 of the Draft Development Consent Order [APP-016], ensuring that construction traffic and routing are appropriately managed throughout the construction phase of the Scheme. With regard to wider concerns about transparency, the Applicant considers that the consultation process was robust, thorough, and undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the Planning Act 2008. The acceptance of the Application for Examination by the Planning Inspectorate confirms that the Applicant has fulfilled its statutory pre-application duties, including consultation. The approach to consultation is set out in detail in the Consultation Report [APP-022], which demonstrates how feedback was considered and informed the evolution of the Scheme. The Applicant does not agree that consultation was structured to achieve a predetermined outcome. The Scheme has been subject to iterative design development informed by consultation feedback, environmental assessment, and ongoing stakeholder engagement. Engagement with elected representatives, including host MPs, formed part of the wider programme of stakeholder engagement undertaken alongside the

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				statutory consultation. Matters raised were considered and responded to in a proportionate and appropriate manner, based on the information available at the relevant stage of design development.
CE-22	RR-4875	Consultation Materials	Respondent stated that the Applicant has failed to produce accessible and understandable visualisations of the areas to be impacted despite clearly having spent millions of pounds in preparing its application materials. The respondent added that the prices set by the Applicant regarding the print and postage of Application documents or materials were unacceptable. The respondent concluded that failing to provide documents in the correct printed format is unfair and prevents interested parties from seeing clearly what the harm to the landscape would be.	The Applicant undertook its consultation in compliance with commitments made in its published Statement of Community Consultation, which in turn was informed through consultation with Wiltshire Council. This is described in Chapter 6 (Preparation for Statutory Consultation) of the Consultation Report [APP-022]. To support responses to the consultation, the Applicant published a range of consultation materials including a community Consultation Leaflet and Project Information Booklet summarising the proposals, and a PEIR Non-Technical Summary (NTS) providing an overview of the information and findings set out in the PEIR. In addition, a Document Navigation Booklet was made available to guide readers through the full suite of consultation documents. Hard copies were made available on request. Reference copies of technical documents (PEIR, NTS of the PEIR, and maps and plans) were available to view free of charge at Community Access Points (CAPs) for inspection in printed and electronic format. Copies of the community consultation literature (Consultation Leaflet, Project Information Booklet, Feedback Form) were also available at CAPs to view and takeaway free of charge.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Applicant held a series of in-person and online information events where the proposals could be discussed with the Applicant's project team, and hosted free-to-use Scheme communication channels for enquiries.
CE-23	RR-4104	Adequacy of consultation	Respondent stated that not all relevant residents were notified of the consultation periods.	The Applicant considers that the statutory consultation was undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the Planning Act 2008, the EIA regulations, and the Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009. This includes publicising the consultation in accordance with Section 47 and Section 48 of the Planning Act 2008. The acceptance of the Applicant's application for examination by the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) serves as confirmation that the Applicant has fulfilled its statutory pre-application duties. This process included a thorough assessment of the Consultation Report [APP-022], which details the Applicant's approach to pre-application consultation. The Applicant determined a core consultation zone to which consultation information leaflets were mailed to. The respondent can find further details on how the Applicant determined the core consultation zone in Chapter 6-7 of the Consultation Report [APP-022]. The Applicant also sought to consult with those beyond the core consultation zone

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				and raised awareness of the consultation in the following ways: • Directly notifying any individual who has registered to receive information about the Scheme, including those who have expressed concern or opposition to the proposals; • Published a Section 48 notice in the local and national press and London Gazette; • Advertised the consultation in local media outlets reaching audiences beyond the core consultation zone; and • Published consultation materials so they are available to view online. The Applicant maintained a series of free-to-use communication channels (phone, post and email) throughout the pre-application period. These channels were publicised throughout the Applicant's literature (such as newspaper adverts and press releases, on the Scheme website, and on literature including leaflets and posters). The Applicant welcomed enquiries and feedback from any interested party through these channels.
CE-24	RR-1963	Adequacy of consultation	Respondent states "<i>My client owns the land between the Rodbourne and Hullavington solar sites.</i> <i>They feel they have not been</i>	The Applicant acknowledges the concerns raised and is committed to encouraging reasonable discussions with stakeholders to form voluntary agreements. A record of these negotiations and consultation can be found in the Consultation Report [APP-

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			<i>fairly consulted with in the lead up to this project.</i> <i>The option area proposed is over 100 acres with a payment only proposed over the eventual easement width which is unfair.</i> <i>My client feels that information is being withheld such as the true location of the cable. My client has not been told how the large apparatus is likely to be transported, with a suspicion it will use the proposed temporary access road proposed over their land, extending the time period of disruption for them and their farming business.</i> <i>The road network surrounding the project is not appropriate for such a Scheme.</i> <i>The area has a history which will be likely damaged by the Scheme.</i> <i>My client suggests planning for the Rodbourne site to not be granted due to the above."</i>	022], Consultation Report Appendices - Appendix F-7 Statutory Consultation under Section 47 [APP-040] and the Land and Rights Negotiations Tracker [APP-021] (Page 7) respectively. The Applicant acknowledges the option area is greater than the final easement width, however this is to allow flexibility when factoring in the ongoing surveys and subsequent data received into informing the final design. The Environmental Statement (ES Volume 2, Appendix 3-2: Cable Route Construction Method Statement [APP-183]) confirms that the widest cable trench is anticipated to be 7m. This process of refining the option area is common practice for these types of projects. The Applicant has maintained discussions with the respondent and their professional representative to enable proper consideration to the final area where the Applicant seeks rights over the land. The general construction routes serving the Solar PV Sites were presented at Stage 2 statutory consultation in January 2025. The traffic and transport and heritage impacts of the Scheme have been assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 12: Cultural Heritage [APP-064] and Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065]. These assessments conclude that there are not likely to be any significant residual traffic and transport or heritage effects

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				during the construction, operation and decommissioning of the Scheme.
CE-25	RR-3819	Applicant's consideration of feedback	Respondents expressed that the feedback they/others submitted to consultation has not been considered and/or has been ignored.	The Applicant has not ignored any feedback. The Consultation Report [APP-022] and its Appendices [APP-023 to APP-051] provide a detailed account of how consultation feedback, including prescribed consultees and public comments, has been considered and, where appropriate, how it has influenced the evolution of the Scheme.
CE-26	RR-4560, RR-1165, RR-2520, RR-1373, RR-4026, RR-2862, RR-2966	Applicant's consideration of feedback	Respondents expressed concern that the issues raised in their Relevant Representations will be ignored, not considered and/or make no difference.	The Applicant can confirm that all RRs submitted to the Examination have been formally recorded by the Planning Inspectorate and provided to the Applicant via the Examination Library. Each RR is assigned a unique reference ID by the Planning Inspectorate, ensuring that every submission can be individually identified and tracked throughout the Examination process. In preparing the Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations (Part 2) (this document), each RR submitted by the general public, and any other non-statutory parties not responded to at Deadline A, has been systematically reviewed and a summary of the issues raised has been prepared. These summaries are presented alongside the corresponding unique reference ID, enabling a clear audit trail between the original representation and the Applicant's response. The Applicant has therefore had regard to all RRs received and has provided a response to the issues raised. The

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Examination process, led by the Examining Authority, will further consider all RRs, alongside the Applicant's responses and any subsequent submissions, in reaching a recommendation on the Scheme. The Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations [PDA-009], submitted at Deadline A, responds to the RRs submitted by statutory parties (including parties listed in the Book of Reference), as well as RRs submitted by organisations and individuals that are not statutory parties but may be considered notable. It also provided a summary of key themes from RRs submitted by members of the public.
CE-27	RR-4587	Consultation with Statutory Bodies	Respondent enquired whether the Environment Agency had been asked how they feel about the Scheme.	The Environment Agency (EA) was consulted on the proposals for the Scheme during Stage Two statutory consultation and Targeted Consultation. The Applicant's response to the EA's comments provided during the statutory consultation can be found in Consultation Report Appendix G-5 Statutory Consultation under Section 42 [APP-045]. The Applicant's response to the EA's comments received during the Targeted Consultation can be found in Consultation Report Appendix I-5 Targeted Consultation [APP-051]. The Applicant's response to the Environment Agency's relevant representation can be found in section 3.1 of the Applicant's Response to Relevant Representations (Part 1) [PDA-009].

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CE-28	RR-2736	Targeted Consultation	Respondent stated "Additional removal of parts of the Fosse Way was identified in the late Targeted Consultation. This is significant erosion of part of the Fosse way above that identified in the initial consultation period. I believe, as such, the limited openness of the additional impact on the Fosse Way is not in keeping with the regulations as required by a Statement of Community Consultation. The opening remarks made on video by IGP/Macquarie (see Lime Down web) concerning the Lime Down proposal stated that the company had a wealth of knowledge and practical working experience on such proposals. If this is so, why did they not include such a controversial impact on the Fosse Way at the beginning of the consultation period? I am sceptical about this about this lack of openness as well as the physical negative impact of an historic landmark."	Since the design presented at the PEIR stage, Field C26, the westernmost part of Field C25, and the majority of Field C13 have been removed from the Order Limits. Solar PV Panels have also been removed entirely from Fields B1–B5, C24–C25 and C13. In addition, offsets have been established between Solar PV Panels and the Fosse Way in a number of adjacent fields, including Fields B6, C10–C12 and C22–C23. Appendix 12.1: Heritage Statement [APP-219] identifies that the overall setting and significance of the Fosse Way will remain intact, and that the asset will retain its legibility as a roadway within a largely agrarian landscape. Consequently, no significant effects on the asset were identified. Construction delivery routes are defined within Section 4 of the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287]. Appendix 13.1: Transport Assessment [APP-233], Table 13-18, sets out the proposed trip distribution and trip numbers. The proposed construction routes via the Fosse Way (but not through Sherston) would generate up to 50 HGV trips northbound and 50 HGV trips southbound per day under a worst-case peak construction scenario. Construction traffic would, where possible, be routed outside of highway network peak hours. The volume of construction traffic is limited and temporary in nature.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Mitigation measures to manage construction traffic are set out in the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) [APP-287] , which includes measures relating to vehicle routing, delivery scheduling and liaison with the local highway authority to minimise effects on the local road network. The effects of construction traffic, including potential impacts on delay, traffic volumes, road safety and road users, are assessed in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] . This assessment concludes that the Scheme is not likely to result in any significant residual traffic and transport effects during the construction, operation and decommissioning phases
CE-29	RR-3074, RR-2366	Targeted Consultation	Respondents criticised wording of the modified proposals referred to in the Autumn Newsletter as 'vague'.	The Applicant acknowledges these comments but remains confident that the information made available during the consultation was adequate and appropriate for that stage in the process.
CE-30	RR-0126	Targeted Consultation	Respondent expressed that the revised proposals including AIL routes were not clearly explained.	In preparing for the Targeted Consultation, the Applicant shared its proposed consultation approach with Wiltshire Council and South Gloucestershire Council in advance, as documented in Tables 11-1 and 11-2 of the Consultation Report [APP-022] . Feedback from both authorities was considered and incorporated prior to the consultation launch. This included providing further details on the AIL vehicles, proposed routes, and mitigation measures within the consultation materials. The AIL routes and associated changes were clearly presented through multiple

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				channels during the targeted consultation (3 June to 11 July 2025): • Information Change Note (provided in Consultation Report Appendix I-3: Targeted Consultation [APP-049]): This document provided a dedicated section on AIL, describing the vehicles required (transformers, cable drums), the temporary nature of the highway works, and commitments to reinstatement. It also included detailed maps showing the locations of the proposed changes. • Project Website: Dedicated webpages and interactive maps were published, allowing consultees to view the proposed AIL routes in detail. The Summer Newsletter (provided in Consultation Report Appendix I-1: Targeted Consultation [APP-047]) directed over 14,000 properties to these online resources. • Publicity and Notification: Letters were issued to localised communities within 200 metres of the changes (provided in Consultation Report Appendix I-1: Targeted Consultation [APP-047]), and a press release was distributed to regional media. An online webinar was held on 19 June 2025, providing an

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				opportunity for attendees to ask questions directly to the project team. Document Accessibility: Hard copies of the targeted consultation materials were available for inspection at six libraries, including Chipping Sodbury and Yate libraries in South Gloucestershire. The Applicant is satisfied that the information provided was adequate for consultees to understand the nature and purpose of the proposed AIL routes. The consultation was designed to be proportionate to the scale of the changes, and all feedback received has been considered in finalising the Development Consent Order application. Further details on the construction traffic assumptions and management measures are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] and the Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-287], which accompanies the application.
CE-31	RR-3760, RR-4792, RR-1385	Targeted Consultation	Respondent stated that the need for Abnormal Indivisible Load (AIL) vehicles were not mentioned until the targeted consultation, resulting in limited awareness by local people.	PEIR Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access presented the initial findings of the EIA. The EIA is iterative and is conducted in parallel with design development. The PEIR was presented at statutory consultation so that technical stakeholders, local communities, individuals and interested parties could develop an informed view of the potential impacts the Scheme may have on the local environment and provide us with their views and feedback.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				The Applicant confirms that AILs were included within PEIR Chapter 13, including at paragraphs 13.6.14, Table 13-4, Paragraph 13.9.7 and Paragraph 13.10.6. AILs were also included within the Non-Technical Summary (NTS) of the PEIR, at Paragraphs 6.8.14 to 6.8.15, which explained: <i>"Where construction routes pass through sections of road considered narrow and requiring widening, these have been adopted into the design as 'Highway Improvement Areas' which will ensure sufficient passing room is present along the routes. Abnormal load movements to transport larger transformers and substation equipment would be managed by a specialist haulage company so potential effects are mitigated. The exact measures would be agreed with the Local Highway Authority (LHA) and police prior to the movements occurring."</i> The PEIR and NTS remain available to view via the Scheme website. The Applicant is satisfied that the information provided was adequate for consultees to understand the nature and purpose of the proposed AIL routes. The consultation was designed to be proportionate to the scale of the changes, and all feedback received has been considered in finalising the Development Consent Order application. Further details on the construction traffic assumptions and management measures are set out in ES Volume 1, Chapter 13: Transport and Access [APP-065] and Outline

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Construction Traffic Management Plan [APP-287] , which accompanies the application.
CE-32	RR-2399	Targeted Consultation	Respondent stated that in relation to the targeted consultation many of those directly affected by the traffic proposals were not adequately informed of the developers' intentions and subsequently felt they had been excluded from the consultation process.	As set out in the Consultation Report [APP-022], the Applicant identified and notified localised communities in the immediate vicinity of each proposed change including the six areas for temporary highway works to accommodate Abnormal Indivisible Loads (AIL). Properties within a minimum distance of 200 metres of these changes were issued written notification by post, inviting them to comment and directing them to further information. This approach for targeted consultation was shared in advance with Wiltshire Council and South Gloucestershire Council, whose feedback was incorporated prior to the consultation launch (Consultation Report [APP-022] Tables 11-1 and 11-2). In addition to direct mailings, the consultation was publicised through a Summer Newsletter (provided in Consultation Report Appendix I-1: Targeted Consultation [APP-047]) distributed to over 14,000 properties within the Core Consultation Zone, a press release to regional media, and an online webinar on 19 June 2025 where attendees could ask questions directly to the project team. Further, the statutory consultation presented the assessment of traffic and transport impacts, including construction routes, within the Preliminary

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Environmental Information Report (PEIR). This information was made available online, at consultation events and at Community Access Points, providing multiple opportunities for those potentially affected to review and comment on the proposals.
CE-33	RR-2736	Targeted Consultation	Respondent stated that the removal of parts of the project near the Fosse Way at the targeted consultation invalidates the Statement of Community Consultation as concern should have been given at the start of the consultation period.	The Applicant notes this comment. As noted in ES Volume 1, Chapter 4: Alternatives and Design Evolution [APP-056], feedback received during the Stage Two consultation (29 January to 19 March 2025) highlighted concerns regarding the Scheme's proximity to the Fosse Way. In response, the Applicant undertook further design work to reduce potential impacts. This resulted in the removal of solar PV panels from fields adjacent to the Fosse Way. The targeted consultation held in June 2025 focused on fifteen minor additions to the development boundary: six for AIL highway works and nine to avoid constraints such as woodland and hedgerows. It did not include the removal of land near the Fosse Way. The Heritage Statement (ES Volume 3, Appendix 12.1 [APP-219]) confirms that the setting and significance of the Fosse Way will remain intact, with no significant effects identified.
CE-34	RR-1406	Targeted Consultation	Respondent enquired why proposals to widen the Fosse Way were not included in the initial consultation.	The Applicant does not agree that proposals to widen the Fosse Way were omitted from the initial consultation. The Order Limits in the area of the Fosse Way have not changed since the initial

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				consultation. The relevant DCO works in this location, including street works, are shown on the Streets Plan [APP-008] and the Works Plan [APP-007] .
CE-035	PDA-015	Transparency	Respondent stated that the most visible public notices, including the Stage One Poster and Advert in Consultation Report Appendix C-3 [APP-027], did not include the acreage of the Scheme. The respondent further stated that the overall scale of the Scheme was not clearly communicated in public-facing materials during consultation. The respondent added that maps provided did not include a visible scale and were difficult to interpret, meaning residents did not fully understand the extent of land take at an early stage. The respondent concluded that this reduced early engagement and that greater transparency regarding the scale of the Scheme would have enabled more meaningful participation.	At the time of the Stage One consultation, the Scheme was at an early stage of design development and, as such, the level of detail presented was proportionate to that stage. As the design progressed, further information on the extent of the Scheme, including the approximate hectareage of the Solar Sites and Cable Route Search Corridor, was provided during the Stage Two statutory consultation. This included information set out within the Project Information Booklet (see Consultation Report Appendix F-3 [APP-036]) and the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR). The acceptance of the Applicant's application for examination by the Planning Inspectorate (PINS) serves as confirmation that the Applicant has fulfilled its statutory pre-application duties. This process included a thorough assessment of the Consultation Report [APP-022], which details the Applicant's approach to pre-application consultation. As set out in the Consultation Report [APP-022], a comprehensive suite of consultation materials was prepared and made available to support understanding of the Scheme, including plans, figures and explanatory text describing the extent and nature of the proposed development. These materials were proportionate to their

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				purpose and prepared in accordance with standard consultation practice. Detailed plans and mapping were made available throughout the statutory consultation period. Hard copies of the full suite of consultation documents including larger format plans within the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR), were available to view at Community Access Points (CAPs) and at in-person consultation events, enabling attendees to review information at an appropriate scale. The Applicant also ensured that members of the community were able to seek further assistance where required. This included the opportunity to contact the Applicant directly to request additional information, arrange access to larger scale mapping, or receive support in interpreting the consultation materials.

2.18 Community Benefit

Table 2-18

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
CB-01	RR-2293, RR-3417, RR-4604, RR-4944, RR-1377, RR-4677, RR-1398, RR-3225, RR-3074, RR-2908, RR-1424, RR-3584, RR-3726, RR-3354, RR-1024, RR-4607, RR-4514, RR-1731, RR-3936, RR-0379, RR-3673, RR-2185, RR-4181, RR-1828, RR-0428, RR-1829, RR-4318, RR-4559, RR-3548, RR-4537, RR-0126, RR-2157, RR-2874, RR-3002, RR-0012, RR-1096, RR-1457, RR-1474, RR-2220, RR-1847, RR-0076, RR-2062, RR-2620, RR-0558, RR-3482, RR-3631, RR-1594, RR-0372, RR-2394, RR-0548, RR-0805, RR-2114, RR-2794, RR-2413, RR-4128, RR-2419, RR-2678, RR-4206, RR-1803, RR-2681, RR-1465, RR-4293, RR-0725, RR-1644, RR-2706, RR-2990, RR-3175, RR-4353, RR-0404, RR-1402, RR-2044, RR-0289, RR-1893, RR-2126, RR-1455, RR-3700, RR-0651, RR-0467, RR-0324, RR-1215, RR-4717, RR-4799, RR-2882, RR-3590, RR-1776, RR-3364, RR-0412, RR-2643, RR-1965, RR-0391, RR-3163, RR-4466, RR-0130,	General	Respondents expressed that the Scheme would have no benefit to the local community and / or that the benefits are insufficient and / or not enough. Some respondents expressed scepticism that community benefits would be delivered.	The Applicant acknowledges that any adverse effects from the Scheme are more likely to be felt locally than the largely wider-scale benefits of the Scheme. The Scheme is anticipated to help meet the UK's net zero requirements for energy production, which is considered a critical national priority and set out in National Policy Statements for Energy NPS EN-1 (section 4.2) and EN-3. This will therefore benefit the national population rather than just the local population. The benefits of the Scheme are set out in Section 5.3 of the Planning Statement [APP-267] and include the delivery of large amounts of cheap, secure, and low carbon electricity which will help the UK to achieve net zero by 2050, ecological and landscape enhancements, biodiversity net gain, permissive paths, employment generation, economic benefits and skills training. The Scheme is also anticipated to benefit the population within approximately 20km of the Scheme, through providing employment and income especially during construction and peak replacement activities, and providing skills and training opportunities. Where the Scheme is anticipated to benefit

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-4478, RR-4248, RR-3017, RR-0636, RR-4911, RR-3758, RR-0009, RR-3010, RR-2765, RR-4775, RR-1152, RR-0267, RR-3046, RR-3465, RR-0871, RR-0676, RR-0118, RR-1777, RR-4956, RR-2520, RR-3983, RR-1068, RR-0796, RR-4103, RR-0276, RR-4404, RR-0222, RR-3906, RR-4252, RR-1514, RR-3759, RR-4744, RR-1944, RR-4757, RR-2260, RR-4580, RR-4851, RR-1480, RR-3593, RR-0765, RR-4068, RR-4013, RR-1213, RR-0320, RR-1603, RR-3557, RR-0024, RR-3173, RR-3709, RR-3234, RR-1780, RR-3051, RR-2734, RR-1715, RR-1118, RR-4733, RR-2085, RR-0353, RR-3478, RR-0458, RR-4284, RR-2807, RR-0468, RR-1305, RR-0917, RR-1415, RR-1070, RR-0864, RR-2550, RR-2241, RR-3819, RR-1835, RR-2027, RR-2103, RR-4923, RR-2399, RR-4380, RR-0477, RR-1361, RR-1109, RR-1808, RR-3299, RR-0400, RR-2848, RR-1869, RR-3638, RR-4485, RR-2257, RR-3320, RR-3680, RR-4476, RR-2288, RR-0487, RR-4061, RR-1740, RR-1513, RR-3689, RR-4621, RR-1475, RR-4160, RR-4780, RR-2939, RR-3332, RR-3573, RR-2274, RR-4902, RR-2016, RR-2879, RR-0987, RR-4759, RR-4872, RR-3249, RR-4035, RR-4561,			local people more specifically, this is done through providing onsite permissive paths to increase access to the countryside, providing improved landscape planting through reinforcing existing hedgerows and planting of new trees, providing biodiversity net gains through habitat improvements. That notwithstanding, the Applicant is committed to providing a Community Benefit Fund to allow for community-driven benefits that are not necessarily associated with the Scheme itself. The purpose is to establish a means to recognise local community needs that the Applicant wants to be able to contribute towards in recognition of the local impact of the Scheme.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1870, RR-2530, RR-3339, RR-1678, RR-2891, RR-0407, RR-2163, RR-2402, RR-0500, RR-0507, RR-4081, RR-4063, RR-0920, RR-3635, RR-0581, RR-3152, RR-3799, RR-2918, RR-4790, RR-1617, RR-1664, RR-0894, RR-3780, RR-2426, RR-4213, RR-3313, RR-2662, RR-4290, RR-2372, RR-4643, RR-0510, RR-0779, RR-0715, RR-3397, RR-4244, RR-1950, RR-3862, RR-4222, RR-0729, RR-4388, RR-3285, RR-1423, RR-1393, RR-2633, RR-3828, RR-2743, RR-4525, RR-0723, RR-3071, RR-2154, RR-3639, RR-0240, RR-3956, RR-3629, RR-3832, RR-0443, RR-1659, RR-0923, RR-1629, RR-3864, RR-0712, RR-2592, RR-1234, RR-1104, RR-3497, RR-0953, RR-4836, RR-2507, RR-0444, RR-0848, RR-2482, RR-3088, RR-2513, RR-4760, RR-0664, RR-3317, RR-3103, RR-0376, RR-3176, RR-0595, RR-2841, RR-1245, RR-0508, RR-1708, RR-0577, RR-3266, RR-1167, RR-2776, RR-1450, RR-4199, RR-3651, RR-3952, RR-1936, RR-4732, RR-2449, RR-0815, RR-3723, RR-4915, RR-1412, RR-2867, RR-2120, RR-2683, RR-1385, RR-1154, RR-0089, RR-1185, RR-2799, RR-0844, RR-1766, RR-3275, RR-4056, RR-3459, RR-2210, RR-4958,			

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-1116, RR-0619, RR-4449, RR-4325, RR-1362, RR-2820, RR-0325, RR-2966, RR-0697, RR-3085, RR-4163, RR-2093, RR-1631, RR-3139, RR-3406, RR-0121, RR-2428, RR-0354, RR-3436, RR-3255, RR-2113, RR-3665, RR-4713, RR-1194, RR-4416, RR-1902, RR-0337, RR-0015, RR-4210, RR-4659, RR-3625, RR-1368, RR-2433, RR-0826, RR-4484, RR-1701, RR-2637, RR-0105, RR-2931, RR-2327, RR-3909, RR-3835, RR-2847, RR-0247, RR-1826, RR-4322, RR-4875, RR-3546, RR-4623, RR-1868, RR-2176, RR-1161, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-2937, RR-0860, RR-0671, RR-0682, RR-2110, RR-4030, RR-4781, RR-0794, RR-4203, RR-1247, RR-3650, RR-2952, RR-0833, RR-2053, RR-3079, RR-0719, RR-3056, RR-2080, RR-4142, RR-0683, AS-008, AS-003, RR-1840, RR-1947, RR-2602, RR-2618, RR-3918			
CB-02	RR-2455, RR-4752, RR-3497, RR-0768, RR-4437, RR-2113	General	Respondents expressed that not enough information / detail has been provided on community benefits and / or request further information / detail.	The Applicant reaffirms its commitment to the provision of a Community Benefit Fund should the Scheme be consented. The Applicant has explored ways in which the fund could be administered through discussions with appropriate bodies, such as established community benefit facilitators, and has sought opinions and suggestions throughout the consultation phases of the Scheme, noting additional

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				expressions of suitable areas of support through the review of, and responses to, relevant representations. Information on the mechanics of the Community Benefit Fund and the areas to which it will contribute are being developed through ongoing consultation with Wiltshire Council, local parish councils, and any community groups that would like to be involved and have needs or services they would like to see benefit from the available funds. The Community Benefit Fund is separate from the DCO process, and so is not bound to the same timescales or information requirements as submitted information. Furthermore, community benefits are legally immaterial to planning decisions and cannot be considered when deciding whether to grant planning consent. The Applicant acknowledges this and so has sought to provide the Community Benefit Fund voluntarily even though it won't add favourable weight in the planning balance.
CB-03	RR-1180, AS-010	General	Respondents expressed general support for community benefits in general and / or the specific benefits proposed.	The Applicant welcomes this comment.
CB-04	RR-2992, RR-1746, RR-3364, RR-3042, RR-4476, RR-1950, RR-0581, RR-0729, RR-1393, RR-4515, RR-4379, RR-4508, RR-3143, RR-1973, RR-3622,	Energy Bills	Respondents expressed dissatisfaction that the Scheme will not result in a reduction of energy bills and/or requested reduced	The Applicant makes note of these comments regarding the desire for reduced energy bills for local residents and confirms there remains an option for the exploration of such initiatives.

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
	RR-3984, RR-1902, RR-2429, RR-0826, RR-4623, RR-1390, RR-0007, RR-2937, RR-0794, RR-2618		electricity costs for local residents. One respondent requested local people should be offered a 3-5% reduction in energy bills.	As established in the Statement of Need [APP-266], as a large-scale solar project, the Scheme would support consumer affordability by generating low-marginal-cost electricity, which reduces the wholesale market price and provides long-term price protection for all GB consumers, including local residents. Regarding the specific request for reduced electricity costs or percentage discounts for local residents, the Applicant is exploring bespoke mechanisms through which such initiatives could be implemented via the Community Benefit Fund. The Applicant would look to establish the specific criteria and facilitation of such options in consultation with Wiltshire Council, parish councils, and community groups to ensure the fund supports local needs effectively.
CB-05	RR-2991, RR-4752	General	Respondents expressed support for community ownership and whether more information could be made available for this.	The Applicant confirms that, alongside exploring how a Community Benefit Fund would be administered, through discussions with appropriate bodies such as established community benefit facilitators, and having sought opinions and suggestions throughout the consultation phases of the Scheme, the matter of shared ownership has been raised and is noted. Furthermore, the Applicant notes Wiltshire Council's Relevant Representation [RR-4934] also seeks to consider how a shared ownership model could be supported in conjunction with established community energy schemes. The Applicant would look to establish the specific criteria and facilitation of such options in consultation

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				with Wiltshire Council, parish councils, and community groups to ensure a Community Benefit fund and associated mechanisms support local needs effectively. The Applicant notes the additional expressions of suitable areas of support through the review of, and responses to, relevant representations.
CB-06	RR-0917	General	Respondent stated that Hullavington does not have a recreational area or playground.	The Applicant interprets this statement in a way that suggests a recreational playground in Hullavington would be welcomed. The Applicant is committed to providing a Community Benefit Fund to allow for community-driven benefits that are not necessarily associated with the Scheme itself. The purpose is to establish a means to recognise local community needs that the Applicant wants to be able to contribute towards in recognition of the local impact of the Scheme. The Applicant is exploring bespoke mechanisms through which such initiatives could be implemented via the Community Benefit Fund. The Applicant would look to establish the specific criteria and facilitation of such options in consultation with Wiltshire Council, parish councils, namely Hullavington Parish Council in this instance, and community groups to ensure the fund supports local needs effectively.
CB-07	RR-3300	General	Respondent questioned what has driven farmers to offer their land for development	The Applicant clarifies that rent that will be paid to the landowners will provide them with a secure income over the operational life of the Scheme which will be consistent and resilient compared to the yields from crops which can be adversely affected by adverse weather conditions. Alongside this, climate change is negatively affecting

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				agricultural productivity, prompting higher levels of uncertainty for landowners and farmers. Securing diversified income is vital to the rural economy. This is recognised in paragraph 2.10.11 of National Policy Statement EN-3, which states that “<i>solar and farming can be complementary, supporting each other financially, environmentally and through shared use of land</i>”. The Statement of Need [APP-266] also describes Government's view that large capacities of low-carbon generation will be urgently required to meet increased demand and replace output from retiring (fossil fuel) plants, and that “<i>a secure, reliable, affordable, Net Zero consistent system in 2050 is likely to be composed predominantly of wind and solar</i>”.
CB-08	RR-4157	Energy Bills	Respondent noted that the Scheme will contribute towards lowering energy prices.	As established in the Statement of Need [APP-266], as a large-scale solar project, the Scheme would support consumer affordability by generating low-cost electricity, which reduces the wholesale market price and provides long-term price protection for all GB consumers, including local residents. Specifically, section 9.3 of the Statement of Need [APP-266] provides analysis of government data which show that solar is now a leading low-cost generation technology. Further, solar generation provides price protection for GB consumers by shielding them from the effects of volatile international energy markets, including gas.
CB-09	RR-3497	Compensation	Respondent stated there is no binding commitment that the	The Applicant acknowledges that any adverse effects from the Scheme are more

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
			CBF will sufficiently and equitably compensate the affected communities, or that it will deliver meaningful local benefit relative to the scale of the harm.	likely to be felt locally than the largely wider-scale benefits of the Scheme. The Scheme is anticipated to help meet the UK's net zero requirements for energy production, which is considered a critical national priority and set out in National Policy Statements for Energy NPS EN-1 (section 4.2) and EN-3. This therefore will benefit the national population rather than just the local population. The Applicant is committed to providing a Community Benefit Fund (CBF) to allow for community-driven benefits that are not necessarily associated with the Scheme itself. The purpose is to establish a means to recognise local community needs that the Applicant wants to be able to contribute towards in recognition of the local impact of the Scheme. The CBF is separate from the DCO process, and so is not bound to the same timescales or information requirements as submitted information. Furthermore, community benefits are legally immaterial to planning decisions and cannot be considered when deciding whether to grant planning consent. The Applicant is committed to the provision of a CBF voluntarily even though it will not add favourable weight in the planning balance. Furthermore, the Applicant anticipates that government supported best practice guidance on community benefits will be issued later this year (2026). The Applicant is committed to incorporating this

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				forthcoming guidance into its final approach, should the Scheme receive consent, to ensure that the facilitation and distribution of the fund align with emerging national standards. Finally, the Applicant is exploring bespoke mechanisms through which such initiatives could be implemented via the Community Benefit Fund. The Applicant would look to establish the specific criteria and facilitation of such options in consultation with Wiltshire Council, parish councils, namely Hullavington Parish Council in this instance, and community groups to ensure the fund supports local needs effectively.
CB-10	RR-4664	General	Respondent stated that benefits and mitigation should be clearly secured through enforceable requirements.	The Applicant has committed to the provision of a Community Benefit Fund (CBF) as stated in section 5.5 of the Planning Statement [APP-267]. The CBF sits separately from the DCO process and will provide funding for local organisations and/or initiatives based on feedback received from the community, both as part of the pre-application consultation and on an ongoing basis if the Scheme is approved and the fund begins operations. The CBF however cannot be taken into consideration by the Examining Authority or the Secretary of State when considering the DCO Application. This was confirmed in the consultation on CBF that was carried out last year, where the Government stated that "It is critical the planning process remains a robust system through which communities can continue to have a say on any proposals in their area. That is why community benefits are legally

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				immaterial to planning decisions and cannot be considered when deciding whether to grant planning consent.” Whilst the Government is considering making the CBF mandatory, this is not yet the case, and the CBF continues to be wholly separate from the DCO and planning process. The Planning Inspectorate's Advice Note 15, at section 15.2, sets out the criteria a DCO Requirement should meet. These are: precise, enforceable, necessary, relevant to the development, relevant to planning and reasonable in all other respects. As such, it would not be appropriate to include a CBF Requirement in Schedule 2 to the DCO. The draft DCO does however contain Requirement 4 (Community Liaison Group) which requires a community liaison group to be established prior to the commencement of the authorised development. This must be administered by the undertaker and operated in accordance with the terms of reference approved by Wiltshire Council. The Applicant has provided for the community liaison group to be in place during construction, replacement activities and decommissioning.
CB-11	RR-1596	General	Respondent expressed that opportunities to deliver meaningful social value have been repeatedly missed.	The Applicant acknowledges the concern regarding the delivery of social value. The Scheme has been designed to deliver a comprehensive package of social, economic, and environmental benefits that

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				provide meaningful value to both the local community and the national population. On a national level, Schemes that reduce the carbon intensity of the grid, like Lime Down, are required to decarbonise the power sector and achieve UK's net zero objectives and reduce the global impact of GHG emissions. The Statement of Need [APP-266] describes that there is an urgent and unprecedented need for new low carbon generation in the UK to meet our climate change, energy security and affordability targets. Further, the Government considers that a secure, reliable, affordable, net zero consistent system in 2050 is likely to be composed predominantly of wind and solar (NPS EN-1, Para 3.3.20). More locally, the Scheme includes the provision of 12.8 km of new permissive paths, significantly enhancing the local Public Rights of Way (PRoW) network and providing improved connectivity and accessibility for pedestrians, cyclists, and horse riders. Furthermore, the Applicant is committed to skills, employment and business enhancement measures as set out in the Outline Skills, Supply Chain and Employment Plan (SSCEP) [APP-285], secured by Requirement 18 in Schedule 2 to the Draft DCO [APP-016], to help increase business activity and local skill capabilities in Wiltshire during the operational lifetime of the Scheme. The

Reference	RR Reference	Issue	Summary of Issues Raised	Applicant's Response
				Applicant seeks to clarify that the measures in the Outline SSCEP [APP-285] are intended to be implemented throughout construction and the operational lifetime of the Scheme to support ongoing skills and employment opportunities. As explained in the Planning Statement [APP-267] the Applicant has committed to a Community Benefit Fund (CBF) which will be available to fund local projects. The CBF does not form part of the DCO application, and this funding is not required to mitigate the impacts of the Scheme and accordingly cannot be taken into account in the decision-making process for determining the DCO application. Information on the mechanics of the CBF and the areas to which it will contribute are being developed through ongoing consultation with Wiltshire Council, local parish councils, and any community groups that would like to be involved and have needs or services they would like to see benefit from the available funds.